Effect of Cytomegalovirus Exposure on the Atherosclerotic Events Among Kidney-Transplanted Patients, A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Abstract

Context: Atherosclerosis is considered as the important cause of death worldwide and especially among kidney-transplanted patients. It is said that an aggressive intervention is needed for preventing new atherosclerotic events post kidney transplantation. Our main purpose was to determine the effect of cytomegalovirus (CMV) exposure on the atherosclerotic events among kidney-transplanted patients using a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Evidence Acquisition: Electronic databases (PubMed, Scopus, Science Direct, and Web of Science) were systematically searched to find related studies for the effect of CMV exposure on the atherosclerosis in the kidney transplantation setting. Quality assessment was done and then because of existence of heterogeneity we used random-effect model to calculate risk ratio (RR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for the CMV effect on the atherosclerosis.

Results: Ten Studies were included in our systematic review. Eight of them proposed CMV as a risk factors for atherosclerosis among kidney-transplanted patients. According to available data for analysis, seven papers were included in our meta-analysis and showed RR of 1.46 (95% CI: 1.15 - 1.85) for the mentioned effect and based on the trim and fill method the corrected RR was as 1.26 (95% CI: 1.01 - 1.65).

Conclusions: Our meta-analysis showed that exposure with CMV can lead to atherosclerosis events among kidney-transplanted patients. However, more original studies are still needed to explore the association between the type of CMV exposure and atherosclerotic events.
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1. Context

Coronary heart disease (CHD), cerebrovascular disease (CVD), and peripheral vascular disease (PVD) have a common basic and underlying etiology called atherosclerosis. It is reported that in the United States, 795000 cases had new or recurrent stroke each year from 2000 to 2010 (1). Also, cardiovascular disease is considered as a major cause of mortality among kidney-transplanted patients and major intervention suggested for reducing this issue (2-4).

Atherosclerosis is a chronic inflammatory disease of arteries (4) which can be started by some traditional risk factors such as hypertension and high plasma levels of cholesterol (5). However, it is now accepted that all process of initiation and development of atherosclerosis cannot be related just to these traditional risk factors. Hence, new theories about the role of infection and inflammation have emerged for atherosclerotic process and some infectious agent like helicobacter pylori and cytomegalovirus (CMV) has been addressed here (6-10).

CMV exposure is present in more than two-thirds of donors and recipients (11). This virus can be transmitted from donors to recipients via blood transfusion or kidney transplantation. Some complications among kidney-transplanted patients like higher morbidity, mortality, infection and graft loss are associated with CMV as the most important and common opportunistic viral infection in this group of patients (12-14). Evidence associates CMV exposure with the higher rate of atherosclerotic events...
among patients with kidney transplantation (15, 16). In contrast some others rule out the existence of this association and propose that CMV cannot be a significant risk factor for atherosclerosis in these patients (17, 18).

Considering the importance of atherosclerosis process and inconsistent results for its relationship with CMV exposure among kidney-transplanted patients, we tried to evaluate this relationship with a systematic review and meta-analysis.

2. Evidence Acquisition

2.1. Data Resources

In this systematic review and meta-analysis projects, electronic databases and resources including PubMed, Scopus, Science Direct, and Web of Science were systematically and comprehensively searched. Also, for finding any possible grey literature, we searched Google Scholar and after finding the last related article, we continued the search until we reached 50 serial unrelated links to our topic. After all, we checked the reference lists of all finally included papers to retrieve any missing papers in the electronic search.

2.2. Search Strategies

An exact and sensitive search strategy was applied for running in each aforementioned database (supplementary file Appendix 1). Also, we used combinations of these keywords for searching the Google Scholar; “CMV infection”, “Cytomegalovirus”, “Kidney transplantation”, Renal replacement”, “Coronary artery disease”, “Angina”, “Cerebrovascular Disease”, “Atherosclerosis” and “Peripheral Vascular Disease”. We used no limitation for language and time in our search. The last time we did our search was March 28, 2017

2.3. Eligibility Criteria

Studies were eligible for our systematic review if they have an appropriate study design (cross-sectional, case-control and cohort) and also evaluated effect of CMV exposure (Seropositivity, replication, infection, and disease) on the atherosclerosis among kidney transplanted patients.

Based on the review of related literatures, we considered four major groups for atherosclerotic events in our project including: 1, coronary heart diseases (CHD) (angina, myocardial infarction (MI), heart failure and arrhythmia due to CHD); 2, cerebrovascular diseases (CVD) (cerebrovascular accident (CVA), transient ischemic attack (TIA) and carotid involvement); 3, involvement of abdominal aorta and 4, peripheral vascular diseases (PVD). Also we considered any death due to these four groups as an included outcome in our systematic review.

2.4. Quality Assessment, Study Selection and Data Extraction

The reviewing and screening process of articles was according to the PRISMA guideline for reporting of systematic review (19). Before reviewing the articles, we set a meeting about inclusion and exclusion criteria and reviewers were trained about questions in this issue. Then Two independent groups ((MSR and RA) and (MR-B and MHK)) evaluated 50 articles. At this time, we set the second meeting and discussed the disagreements. Although Kappa coefficient between reviewers was calculated. Each group screened about half of the papers and if both group members were agreed about a paper, it was included. However, if there were any uncertainties about a paper, it was referred to the third reviewers (BE) and the discrepancies were resolved by discussion and consensus. Quality assessment of included papers was done using the “Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses” (20). A pre-defined data extraction form was designed and data and outcome from included articles extracted into it. The data items were name, publication year, country and sample size of the study, patients’ age and gender, follow-up time and duration of dialysis; and the outcome was related to the relationship between CMV exposure and atherosclerosis events.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

For assessment of heterogeneity, the chi-squared and I-squared (takes values from 0% to 100%) tests with the combination of viewing the forest plot were used. P value less than 0.1 was considered significant for the chi-squared test. The combination of all of the mentioned tests was applied for making final decision about heterogeneity. Based on the results of heterogeneity tests, random-effect model (with metan command) were used for calculating the pooled risk ratio (RR), 95% confidence interval (CI) and P value. All statistical calculation was performed using STATA 10.

3. Results

3.1. Study Screening

We identified 1237 references via database searching, Forty-nine references were duplicated and 1188 papers included in our screening process. As it can be seen in Figure 1, we assessed 47 of full-text articles for eligibility. Ultimately ten papers met our inclusion criteria and included in our systematic review.
3.2. Quality Assessment, Study Selection, and Characteristics of Included Papers

The reviewers have a good agreement for study selection (Kappa coefficient was 0.85). Also, no study was excluded according to the quality assessment. Characteristics of included papers in our project have been shown in Table 1. All papers were published after year 2000. Four papers were from USA and 2 from Turkey. Spain, United Kingdom, and France. Australia and New Zealand, each one had one paper about the topic.

3.3. Identification Methods and Definitions for Cytomegalovirus

There were different identification methods for CMV in the included papers. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), polymerase chain reaction (PCR), nested PCR, virus culture (including blood and urine culture) were some of them. Also, different definitions about CMV had been used in these papers for final analysis which were Seropositivity, replication, infection, disease and exposure of CMV. Data about identification methods and definition for CMV of each included papers are shown in Table 2. As we pointed it before, we used CMV exposure as our definition.
Table 1. Characteristics of Included Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author</th>
<th>Publication Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Age, Y, Mean ± SD or Range</th>
<th>Gender, No. Male/Female</th>
<th>Follow up Time, Mo, Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Duration of Dialysis, Mo Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humar A</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>1859</td>
<td>42.81 ± ND</td>
<td>1128/731</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernandez D</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>Event: 45.6 ± 11.6, non-event: 40.4 ± 13.3</td>
<td>569/435</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalil RS</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>48 ± ND</td>
<td>87/71</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toz, H</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>1122</td>
<td>Event: 45.6 ± 11.6, non-event: 40.4 ± 13.3</td>
<td>569/435</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomez E</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>50 ± 15</td>
<td>79/42</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Event: 41 ± 64, non-event: 22 ± 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lentine KL</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>35847</td>
<td>18 - 30, (12.6%), 31 - 44, (28.2%), 45 - 60, (36.8%), &gt; 60, (22.4%)</td>
<td>21473/1347</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Preemptive (7.1%), 0 - 12 (5.25%), 13 - 24 (20.3%), 25 - 60 (43.8%), &gt; 60 (16.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Mattos AM</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>44.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>520/402</td>
<td>23.3 ± 0.98</td>
<td>No dialysis (16.6%), dialysis &lt; 1 year (30%), dialysis &gt; 1 year (33.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozdemir FN</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>36.18 ± 10.23</td>
<td>52/448</td>
<td>At least 48</td>
<td>Event: 30.9 ± 4,2, non-event: 20.6 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courivaud C</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>47 ± 14</td>
<td>377/193</td>
<td>87 ± 31</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Page AK</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Australia and New Zealand</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>4516, 11 loss to follow up</td>
<td>D+/R-:44.4 ± 14.3, D+/R+: 49.7 ± 12.9, D-:45.2 ± 13.2, D+:45 ± 15.2</td>
<td>For male cases: D+/R+: 69.9%, D-:45.2 ± 13.2, D+:45 ± 15.2</td>
<td>Median : 65</td>
<td>D+/R-:3.4, D+/R+: 3.9, D-:3.8, D+:3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: D, Donor; M, Mean ± SD, Mean ± Standard Deviation; No., Number; ND, Not Determined; R, Recipient.

covering all previously mentioned definitions for CMV.

3.4. Identification Methods and Definitions for Atherosclerosis

Like CMV and considering Table 3, data about diagnosis methods and definitions of atherosclerosis in each study were different. Four major categories for atherosclerosis including coronary artery disease (like angina, MI), cerebrovascular disease (like CVA, TIA and carotid involvement), the existence of atherosclerosis in abdominal aorta and peripheral vascular disease were found in the included papers.

3.5. Outcome Evaluation in Meta-Analysis

Seven studies (39759 cases) were included in our meta-analysis. Based on the Chi-squared (chi^2 = 16.79, DF = 6, P = 0.01), I-squared (I^2 = 64.3%, P = 0.01) and Tau-squared (tau^2 = 0.04) tests and also considering forest plot, there was significant heterogeneity between the studies, so pooled RR for the effect of CMV exposure on the atherosclerosis among kidney transplanted patients was calculated based on the random-effect model (RR:1.46, 95% CI:1.15 - 1.85) (Figure 2). Two studies (Humar, A (2) and Kalil RS (3)) have considered some cardiac complications like heart failure and arrhythmia as a related outcome to the atherosclerosis. So a separate analysis was done without these two studies and pooled RR was calculated as RR: 1.45, 95%CI: 1.06 - 1.99 (Figure 3).

To investigate the presence of publication bias, the egger test was done and its coefficient was 2.051, (P = 0.001) indicating publication bias in our meta-analysis. The trim and fill method was used as a solution for overcoming this bias. This method tries to estimate the missed studies and uses them in the meta-analysis. After using this approach,
Table 2. Cytomegalovirus, Identification Methods and Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author</th>
<th>Identification Methods and Techniques</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humar A (21)</td>
<td>Virus culture (standard fibroblast technique), Positive centrifugation-enhanced shell vial rapid antigen detection assay, Histologic observation of characteristic CMV inclusion bodies, Detection of a structural antigen for CMV (pp65) in peripheral blood, leukocytes with commercial kit.</td>
<td>CMV disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernandez D (07)</td>
<td>A significant detection of IgM level, Fourfold rise in the titer of Igg, A new positive blood culture (conventional techniques) or urine culture, Determination of anti - CMV IgM and Igg anti-bodies was with ELIZA.</td>
<td>CMV disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalil RS (22)</td>
<td>Checking the Seropositivity for CMV at the time of KTX, or seroconversion within the first 90 days after KTX.</td>
<td>CMV seropositivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toz H (18)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Previous CMV disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomez E (23)</td>
<td>CMV antigenemia and Nested PCR</td>
<td>CMV replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lentine KL (24)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>CMV seropositivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Mattos AM (16)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Prior CMV Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozdemir FN (25)</td>
<td>ELISA and PCR after KTX</td>
<td>CMV Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courivaud C (26)</td>
<td>ELISA (Before KTX) and PCR (after KTX)</td>
<td>CMV exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Page AK (19)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Pretransplant CMV DIR serostatus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: D, Donor; ELIZA, Enzyme-Linked Immunoabsorbent Assay; Igg, Immunoglobulin G; IgM, Immunoglobulin M; KTX, Kidney Transplantation; ND, Not Determined; MV, Cytomegalovirus; PCR, Polymerase Chain Reaction; R, Recipient.

Table 3. Atherosclerotic Events, Identification Methods and Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author</th>
<th>Identification Methods and Techniques</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humar A (21)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>MI, angina, arrhythmia, CHF, and angiographic vessel occlusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernandez D (07)</td>
<td>Cardiac enzyme, angiography, and autopsy after death</td>
<td>MI, coronary artery revascularization, and death as a result of IHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalil RS (22)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Cardiovascular deaths due to MI, arrhythmia, and heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toz H (18)</td>
<td>High resolution B-mode ultrasonography by same radiologist</td>
<td>Thickness of intima-media in common carotid artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomez E (23)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Cardiovascular event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lentine KL (24)</td>
<td>International classification of diseases, ninth revision(CD-9) codes 410.x, death after transplantation with MI specified as a cause</td>
<td>Post Transplantation MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Mattos AM (16)</td>
<td>Acute MI (according to the consensus document of the joint European society of cardiology/American college of cardiology committee for the redefinition of myocardial infarction) (27) and other cardiac events ( based on the angiograms and reports from percutaneous interventions and surgical revascularizations), Endartrectomy, Amputation, revascularizations, newonset claudiation</td>
<td>MI, coronary artery bypasses, angioplasties, and new-onset angina pectoris, CVA, TIA, and carotid Involvement, peripheral-vascular event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozdemir FN (25)</td>
<td>Thallium scintigraphy, coronary angiography, abnormal cardiovascular examinations, CT, MRI, arteriography, Doppler ultrasound, lower-extremity revascularization</td>
<td>CHD (MI, typical history of angina), CVD (new-onset neurological deficit), Lower-extremity arterial disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courivaud C (26)</td>
<td>EKG, cardiac enzyme, angiography, CABG, CT, MRI, carotid endartrectomy, history of abdominal aortic repair, angioplasty, bypass surgery, amputation, Doppler or arteriography</td>
<td>CHD (MI), CVD (hemorrhagic and non- hemorrhagic stroke), abdominal aortic or lower extremity arterial disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Page AK (19)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>CHD or suspected to CHD (CHD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CABG, Coronary Artery Bypass Graft; CHD, Coronary Heart Disease; CHF, Congestive Heart Failure; CMV, Cytomegalovirus; CT, Computed Tomography; CVA, Cerebrovascular Accident; CVD, Cerebrovascular Disease; EKG, Electrocardiography; IHD, Ischemic Heart Disease; ND, Not Determined; MI, Myocardial Infarction; MRI, Magnetic Resonance Imaging; TIA, Transient Ischemic Attack.

the pooled RR was calculated as 1.26, 95% CI: 1.01 - 1.65, P value = 0.03 in the random-effect model.

3.6. Results of Other Included Papers in Our Systematic Review

Although there were some other papers met our inclusion criteria, we could not use of them in the meta-analysis because of the insufficient data and different or undetermined definitions of atherosclerosis and CMV compared with our determined definitions. Here, we explain results of these studies which we included them only in the systematic review. In a study by Toz et al. effect of previous CMV disease on the thickness of intima-media (TIM)
of common carotid artery (CCA), as a factor for atherosclerosis, has been investigated in 102 kidney transplanted patients. It is reported that patients with different TIM had non-significant percentage of previous CMV disease. (13% for TIM < 0.50 mm, 18% for 0.50 mm < TIM < 0.70 and 25% for TIM > 0.70 mm) (18). Methods for diagnosis of CMV and atherosclerosis have not mentioned in Le Page et al.’s paper. Furthermore, type of CHD was not clear in this paper. In addition, they included patients suspected to CHD in their analysis. This paper reported that 18.3% of D+/R+,
pressed subjects like patients undergoing immunosuppression. With the increase in the number of immunosuppressed agent that is involved in the process of atherosclerosis some of these agents (33-35). CMV infection is another productive immune mechanism can be involved as a response to the immune cells such as macrophage and T cells has been seen in atherosclerotic lesions (30). Both innate and adaptive immune mechanism can be involved as a response to inflammation (31) and this inflammation can also help to transduce the effects of many traditional risk factors for atherosclerosis process (29,32).

One of the suggested contributors to the atherosclerosis is infectious agents. Sero-epidemiological projects with different methods like finding of infectious agents in atherosclerotic plaques have shown that some bacteria and viruses are correlated to atherosclerosis (28). Hepatitis C, Helicobacter pylori, and Chlamydia pneumonia are some of these agents (33-35). CMV infection is another proposed agent that is involved in the process of atherosclerosis. With the increase in the number of immunosuppressed subjects like patients undergoing immunosuppressive therapy after transplantation, the importance of CMV infection has also increased (36).

Infection can lead to inflammation which is effective in the process of atherosclerosis. There are two mentioned mechanisms for this effect (28, 37). One is related to the inflammation at the site of blood vessels (Intima). It can affect the modification and deposition of lipid (37). CMV-DNA has been detected in the atherosclerotic tissues and aortic plaques (7,38,39) and also antigens of CMV has been seen in smooth muscle cells of blood vessels wall (40). It is reported that CMV replication can lead to proliferation and migration of smooth muscle cells (41).

Another mechanism is associated with inflammation at the non-vascular sites. It is thought that Cytokines and other factors that is produced at non-vascular sites can be carried out to the wall of blood vessels (37). Dysfunction of HDL due to inflammation is another proposed way of the second mechanism (42). In kidney transplanted patients a higher amount of immune cells has been seen (26). T cells that are specific for CMV are potent effector that can secrete cytokines upon stimulation (43). CD4 Positive T cells are related to instable atherosclerotic plaques (44, 45). Also there are evidences that show CMV can increase growth factors secretion and expression of molecular adhesion of endothelial cells. These increases are considered relevant to changes that are exist in the process of atherosclerosis (25).

Cardiovascular disease as a clinical outcome of atherosclerosis is the important cause of death worldwide and especially among kidney transplanted patients (3,30). It is said that an aggressive intervention is needed for preventing new Cardiovascular diseases in the first year of post kidney transplantation (2). End-stage renal disease (ESRD) can be considered as a risk factor for atherosclerosis (46,47). Some studies have investigated the effect of CMV on the atherosclerosis among ESRD patients. In a study in 2004 with evaluation of 267 ESRD patients, it has been showed that past infection with CMV has no correlation with atherosclerosis disease but this correlation exists for past infection with Helicobacter pylori and Chlamydia pneumonia (48). In contrast with the results of this study, a retrospective project in 2007 with investigation of 408 ESRD subjects have concluded that CMV is significantly associated with atherosclerosis disease. It should be noted that like our study, all four groups of atherosclerosis (CHD, CVD, involvement of abdominal aorta and carotid artery) had been considered in this study (49).

Among the evidence investigating relationship between CMV and atherosclerosis, some of them rejecting this relationship. For instance, there are two papers about the relationship of intima-media thinness of carotid and CMV in HIV-infected patients (50) and in kidney transplanted subjects (18) rejecting this association. However, in a meta-analysis of 55 studies including 17608 cases, an odds ratio (OR) of 1.67 (95% CI, 1.56, 1.79) has been reported for the
effect of CMV on the coronary heart disease. The CMV was a risk factor in both Asian and non-Asian groups and also in both prospective and retrospective studies. Also, the OR was greater in studies that used PCR than ELISA (51).

Unfortunately, and because of limitation in number of included studies in our project we could not run a subgroup analysis about identification methods for CMV and Atherosclerosis. The analysis that seems to be necessary for our project with consideration of available heterogeneity. The sample size of Meta-analyses is comprised of original articles. The increase in the number of original papers can help to increase the power of Meta-analyses. In this project, we could only find seven papers related to the topic of our meta-analysis. So the number available studies for including in the analysis was our main limitation. On the other hand, among included papers, we could not extract some of the suitable data for running subgroup analysis or including them in the meta-regression analysis. Some of these data are related to the duration of dialysis before transplantation and follow-up time. As we said before loss of renal function can be considered as a risk factor for atherosclerosis and also it should be taken into account that difference in the duration of follow up of patients after transplantation can lead to the different number of atherosclerotic events in a study. The other limitation in our study was related to the different method of CMV and atherosclerotic diagnosis in different studies and as we mentioned it before we did not have enough study to run subgroup analysis about this important issue.

There is a need to have more original studies about the topic to run more powerful analysis about the identification method of CMV. However, preventing kidney transplanted patients from exposure to CMV can be effective to reduce the number of atherosclerosis events among these patients.
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Supplementary material(s) is available [here](To read supplementary materials, please refer to the journal website and open PDF/HTML).
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