# Review

# Osteosarcopenia: where osteoporosis and sarcopenia collide

Michael A. Clynes (1)<sup>1</sup>, Celia L. Gregson (1)<sup>2</sup>, Olivier Bruyère<sup>3</sup>, Cyrus Cooper<sup>1,4,5</sup> and Elaine M. Dennison<sup>1</sup>

# Abstract

The coexistence of osteoporosis and sarcopenia has been recently considered in some groups as a syndrome termed 'osteosarcopenia'. Osteoporosis describes low bone mass and deterioration of the micro-architecture of the bone, whereas sarcopenia is the loss of muscle mass, strength and function. With an ageing population the prevalence of both conditions is likely to increase substantially over the coming decades and is associated with significant personal and societal burden. The sequelae for an individual suffering from both conditions together include a greater risk of falls, fractures, institutionalization and mortality. The aetiology of 'osteosarcopenia' is multifactorial with several factors linking muscle and bone function, including genetics, age, inflammation and obesity. Several biochemical pathways have been identified that are facilitating the development of several promising therapeutic agents, which target both muscle and bone. In the current review we outline the epidemiology, pathogenesis and clinical consequences of 'osteosarcopenia' and explore current and potential future management strategies.

Key words: bone, muscle, osteoporosis, sarcopenia, osteosarcopenia, falls, fracture, strength

#### Rheumatology key messages

- Osteosarcopenia is a newly proposed syndrome that describes the coexistence of osteoporosis and sarcopenia.
- Sequelae of osteosarcopenia include increased risk of falls and fractures, leading to significant public health burdens.
- Novel pharmacological agents that might target bone and muscle have been proposed and are under evaluation.

# Introduction

Osteoporosis and sarcopenia are both common ageassociated diseases that often coexist. Within an ageing population the prevalence of both these conditions is

<sup>1</sup>MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, <sup>2</sup>Musculoskeletal Research Unit, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK, <sup>3</sup>Division of Public Health, Epidemiology and Health Economics, WHO Collaborating Center for Public Health Aspects of Musculoskeletal Health and Ageing, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium, <sup>4</sup>NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton and University Hospitals Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton and <sup>5</sup>NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Submitted 14 April 2020; accepted 2 October 2020

Correspondence to: Michael A. Clynes, MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University Hospitals Southampton, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK. E-mail: mc@mrc.soton.ac.uk expected to rise in the future, increasing the risk of fragility fractures, which are themselves associated with significant morbidity and mortality [1]. Hence, losses in independence seen in later life are associated with both bone and muscle loss [2].

The economic burden of osteoporotic fragility fractures is high, costing approximate £4 billion per annum in the UK [3]. Osteoporosis is characterized by deterioration in bone microarchitecture resulting in reduced BMD, increased bone fragility and a heightened risk of fracture even as a consequence of minor trauma [4, 5].

Unlike osteoporosis, the economic burden of sarcopenia is poorly characterized, although one study estimated direct costs attributable to sarcopenia in the USA, in the year 2000, to be \$18.5 billion [6]. A recent systematic review exploring the healthcare costs of sarcopenia showed a large heterogeneity between studies but, globally, showed trends towards greater healthcare costs for the sarcopenic population [7]. The etymology of sarcopenia is from the Greek 'sarx' for muscle and 'penia' meaning 'loss' [8]. It is a condition characterized by progressive, age-related loss of muscle mass and function. Unlike osteoporosis, no single broadly accepted clinical definition of sarcopenia has yet been established, although all definitions recognize that measuring muscle mass in isolation is inadequate, as a measure of muscle function is also required. Sarcopenia was previously defined, in 2010, by the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP) as the presence of low muscle mass, reduced muscle strength and physical performance [9]. This definition was updated in 2019 (EWGSOP2) with a greater focus on low muscle strength as the primary parameter characterizing sarcopenia [10]. The new definition defines sarcopenia as reduced hand grip strength or chair stand test together with a reduced skeletal muscle mass index, with severe sarcopenia defined as additional poor physical performance, as assessed by gait speed, timed up and go, short performance battery test and 400metre walk test. A further definition of sarcopenia proposed by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (FNIH) Sarcopenia Project similarly comprises grip strength and the ratio of appendicular lean mass over BMI [9]. Epidemiological studies have found an association between sarcopenia and falls history, whether defined by the EWGSOP [11, 12] or by using appendicular lean mass/BMI [13]. Furthermore, a study of older community-dwelling individuals from the Hertfordshire Cohort Study has shown that sarcopenia, as defined using the FNIH definition, is associated with higher prevalent fractures [14].

When osteoporosis and sarcopenia occur in consort it has recently been suggested that they be referred to as 'osteosarcopenia' [7]. It should be noted that the few studies that have considered this issue suggest the risks of serious morbidity are notably higher when osteoporosis and sarcopenia coexist [15]. Furthermore, evidence showing overlap in the pathophysiology of sarcopenia and osteoporosis raises the possibility of common potential treatments for the two conditions [16]. Indeed, new medications are being developed that exploit the cross-talk between bone and muscle and will be explored in this review [17].

# Prevalence of coexistence of osteoporosis and sarcopenia

Bone mass typically reduces by  $\sim$ 30% between the third and seventh decades [18] and it is estimated that one in three women and one in five men over the age of 50 years will suffer a fragility fracture [19]. In older people, fractures occur more frequently in females, with rates becoming approximately twice those of men over the age of 50 years; in older individuals, the forearm, hip and vertebrae are the sites most susceptible to fracture [20].

Muscle fibre parameters appear relatively stable until the end of the fourth decade of life, after which muscle fibre loss accelerates, resulting in  $\sim$ 30% loss of muscle mass by 80 years of age [9]. Sarcopenia is a common condition of ageing with a prevalence in community-dwelling older individuals varying from between 1 and 29% in populations over the age of 50 years, based on the EWGSOP [21], and is projected to affect >200 million individuals worldwide in the next 40 years [9].

In contrast to osteoporosis and sarcopenia considered individually, there are few data on the epidemiology of osteosarcopenia, as the condition has only recently been proposed. A UK study has reported that in osteoporotic post-menopausal females the prevalence of sarcopenia was 50% [15], while a study by Di Monaco and colleagues of 340 Italian Caucasian women with hip fracture, who subsequently underwent DXA scanning, showed that for sarcopenic woman the adjusted odds ratio for T-score <-2.5 was 1.80 (95% CI 1.07, 3.02) [22]. Evidence supports an increased prevalence of osteosarcopenia with advancing age, with a Chinese study of adults over the age of 80 years reporting rates of 10.4% in men and 15.1% in women [23]. More recently, a study of 288 older subjects in Belgian showed that sarcopenic subjects had a 4-fold higher risk of having coexisting osteoporosis compared with nonsarcopenic individuals (odds ratio = 4.18; 95% CI 1.92, 9.12) [24].

## Consequences of the coexistence of osteoporosis and sarcopenia in patients

Coexistence of sarcopenia and osteoporosis has been associated cross-sectionally with depression, malnutrition, peptic ulcer disease, inflammatory arthritis and reduced mobility [15]. Studies from Australia and China have demonstrated that individuals with both osteoporosis and sarcopenia are at higher risk of falls and fractures than those with osteoporosis or sarcopenia alone [15, 23]. The resultant fractures, and particularly hip fractures, are associated with significant morbidity; approximately half of previously ambulatory individuals are unable to mobilize independently post hip fracture [25]. Furthermore, 55% of individuals >90 years of age are unable to live independently following fracture [25]. Frailty is defined as a multidimensional syndrome of loss of reserves (energy, physical ability, cognition, health) that gives rise to vulnerability in older people [26]. A number of older people are both frail or prefrail, and also have osteoporosis and sarcopenia; in one study the conditions were found to coexist in 26.3% of frail men and 38.5% of frail women (compared with 1.6% of non-frail men and 1.9% of non-frail women) [23]. In a study of Korean hip fracture patients, where 1 year mortality overall was remarkably low, the presence of osteosarcopenia was associated with a 1-year mortality rate of 15.1%

compared with patients with osteoporosis (5.1%) or sarcopenia alone (10.3%) [27].

## Factors associated with osteoporosis and sarcopenia

#### Genetic factors

Genetic factors are important in the achievement of peak bone mass [28, 29]. Recent data from UK Biobank suggest that muscle strength, and therefore likely sarcopenia, is also partially genetically regulated [30]. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms have been shown to be associated with both sarcopenia and osteoporosis [31].

#### Alcohol

Excess alcohol intake has a detrimental effect on skeletal health. In addition to its direct toxic effect on osteoblast function, there are additional adverse effects on gonadal function, protein metabolism, calcium metabolism, physical activity and falls risk [32–34]. A metaanalysis conducted by Kanis and colleagues showed that drinking above 2 units of alcohol a day is associated with an increased risk of fracture [35]. There is limited evidence linking alcohol use to muscle mass, however a study of 608 community-dwelling older men in France has demonstrated that heavy alcohol intake (>210 g/week) is associated with low muscle mass [36].

#### Cigarette smoking

Like alcohol, cigarette smoking has a deleterious effect on both bone and muscle health. A meta-analysis by Law and Hackshaw demonstrated worse bone health in female smokers compared with non-smokers [37]. The mechanism through which cigarette smoking impacts upon BMD and fracture risk is multifactorial and likely to include the increased likelihood of early menopause, on average 9 months earlier, enhanced metabolism of exogenous oestrogens and reduced body weight [2]. Both smoking and alcohol intake are well established risk factors for fracture and are therefore included in the FRAX<sup>TM</sup> fracture risk assessment tool [38].

There is less evidence linking cigarette smoking to loss of muscle mass, but a recent meta-analysis showed cigarette smoking was associated with an increased risk of developing sarcopenia [39]. The association between cigarette smoking and sarcopenia may be as a consequence of smoking being associated with low levels of physical activity and low BMI [40, 41].

#### Physical activity

Physical activity levels have a profound impact on both bone and muscle health. Studies have demonstrated that physical activity prevents bone loss; the most effective type of exercise intervention on femoral neck BMD appears to be non-weight bearing high force exercise such as progressive resistance strength training for the lower limbs while the most effective intervention for spine BMD was combination exercise programmes [42]. Conversely, prolonged immobilization is associated with reduction in BMD and increased fracture risk [43]. There are several trials which have shown that exercise in older people results in improved muscle mass and physical performance [44, 45].

#### Diet

There is evidence to suggest that a good diet is essential for the development and maintenance of good bone and muscle health. For example, adequate calcium and vitamin D intake has been linked to both bone and muscle mass [46]. Weak evidence was detected to support a reduction in fracture risk when taking calcium alone [relative risk (RR) 0.90; 95% CI 0.80, 1.00]. By contrast, a meta-analysis conducted by Bischoff-Ferrari and colleagues found a potentially increased risk of hip fracture in individuals taking calcium supplementation alone, although a relatively low number of participants were included [47]. The analysis performed by Tang and colleagues showed that when calcium and vitamin D supplementation were combined, the RR of any fracture was 0.87 (95% CI 0.77, 0.97), compared with 0.90 (95% CI 0.80, 1.00) for calcium alone [46]. Additionally, a meta-analysis conducted by Bolland and colleagues demonstrated that a combination of calcium with vitamin D supplementation reduced the risk of all fractures (RR 0.89; 95% CI 0.86, 0.99) and vertebral fractures (RR 0.86; 95% CI 0.74, 1.00), but not forearm or hip fractures [48]. Overall, these data suggest that a combination of vitamin D and calcium supplementation affords a modest reduction in fracture risk and is more effective than calcium supplementation alone. There is less evidence for the use of calcium supplementation alone in reducing muscle mass and function decline [49, 50]. There is evidence to suggest that supplementation with vitamin D has a small yet significant effect on increasing muscle strength, but not muscle mass or power [51]. The effect was most pronounced in patients with baseline vitamin D deficiency. Furthermore, experimental studies have demonstrated both histological and electrophysiological changes in muscle in severe vitamin D deficiency [52-54]. There is some evidence to suggest that dietary protein intake may also be important for maintaining bone and muscle mass [55]. For example, it has been demonstrated in participants from the Shanghai Women's health Study that that a high soy consumption is associated with a lower risk of fracture [56], and that in fasting older subjects, muscle protein synthesis is reduced [57].

#### Age, sex and ethnicity

Advancing age and female sex is associated with the development of both osteoporosis and sarcopenia. It has been estimated that in American women over the age of 85 years, 70% are osteoporotic at the hip, lumbar spine or forearm and a further 27% are osteopenic, whereas the majority of women under the age of 50 years have normal BMD [58]. Epidemiological studies

have shown that in Caucasian women aged 50 years, the remaining lifetime risk of fragility fracture is 17.5% for hip fracture, 15.6% for vertebral fracture and 16% for distal forearm fracture. The corresponding risk for men is 6%, 5% and 2.5% [19]. It has been estimated that the prevalence of sarcopenia is 5–13% for adults aged 60–70 years and increases to 11–50% for adults aged above 80 years [59]. North American studies have shown that age- and sex-adjusted hip fracture rates are generally higher in White than in Black or Asian populations [60], and higher muscle mass has been described in Black populations [61].

#### Osteosarcopenic obesity

Low BMI is a risk factor for low BMD and for fragility fracture, with individuals with a BMI  $<20 \text{ kg/m}^2$  at the greatest risk [2]. Converselv, studies have suggested that obesity can be a protective factor against bone loss [62-64]. Interestingly, obesity is not protective against fracture at all skeletal sites, with an increased fracture risk at the proximal humerus, upper leg and ankle [65, 66]. Furthermore, low-trauma fractures are equally prevalent in obese and non-obese women [66]. The protective effect of adiposity on bone mass at some skeletal sites may be in part explained by the welldocumented relationship between peripheral oestrogen levels and obesity, with most circulating oestrogens produced in fat tissue via conversion of androgens postmenopause [62]. Obese individuals have a greater absolute maximum muscle strength compared with nonobese persons, suggesting that increased adiposity acts as a chronic overload stimulus on muscles, so increasing muscle size and strength. However, when maximum muscular strength is normalized to body mass, obese individuals appear weaker [67], which leads to an impairment of physical function [44, 45]. With advancing age the composition of body tissue changes with an overall increase in body fat and decrease in muscle mass, which often occurs despite overall body weight remaining stable. This excess adipose tissue in combination with low muscle mass has been termed 'sarcopenic obesity' and has been shown to be associated with impaired function and increased disability [68, 69].

#### Pathophysiology

Muscle and bone function are closely related, with shared mechanical and molecular mechanisms. The mechanical interaction between muscle and bone is described by the 'mechanostat' theory, which states that muscle imposes mechanical forces on bone, and if these exceed a set threshold the equilibrium of bone turnover shifts away from bone resorption in favour of bone formation [70]. It is thought that this occurs as increases in muscle mass induce the stretching of periosteum and collagen fibres, which results in the stimulation of bone growth [71]. As both bone and muscle mass are intrinsically linked to the reduction in physical

The molecular mechanisms linking bone to muscle function, known as bone-muscle cross-talk, are less well defined. Hormones identified as playing a key role in the development of osteosarcopenia include growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor-1 (GH/IGF1) and gonadal sex hormones [17]. Human muscle and bone cells both express oestrogen receptors, hence hormone replacement therapy in post-menopausal women is able to both preserved bone and muscle mass [72]. Furthermore, early menopause without treatment with exogenous oestrogen is a strong risk factor for future fragility fracture [73]. The pathogenesis of male agerelated osteoporosis and sarcopenia are less well characterized, but it is thought that oestrogens derived from the metabolism of androgens play a role in preserving bone mass and that low testosterone results in reduced protein synthesis with the subsequent loss of muscle mass [74]. Indeed, low testosterone levels in older men are predictive of frailty and incident falls [75, 76]. GH and IGF1 both exert a positive influence on osteoblasts in addition to their anabolic actions on muscle [77].

Chronic non-communicable diseases such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, heart failure and malignancy are associated with cachexia, which describes the loss of body weight including lean muscle mass. Cachexia is associated with the increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (particularly IL-6, IL-1 and TNF) and the resultant inflammatory state results in loss of bone and muscle mass. 'Inflammaging' describes a mechanism through which bone and muscle mass are likely linked. The term inflammaging was originally coined in the year 2000 to describe chronic, low-grade inflammation that increases with age and is a significant risk factor for morbidity and mortality in older people [78]. This increase in the levels of background inflammation with age is thought to occur as a result of cumulative exposure to environmental and infective antigens, which result in the production of reactive oxygen species. Reactive oxygen species stimulate the release of additional cytokines from the innate and acquired immune system, thus tipping the immune balance in favour of a chronic inflammatory state [79]. Studies have linked chronically raised inflammatory cytokines to the development of sarcopenia, possibly through the activation of the ubiquitin-protease pathway [2, 80] and increased pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-a, IL-1 and IL-6, which promote bone resorption [81, 82]. Furthermore, epidemiological studies have shown positive associations between both osteoporosis and sarcopenia and CRP, which is a marker of active inflammation [83-89].

Factors known as myokines, released from muscle, and osteokines, released from bone such as osteocalcin, are known to be one mechanism of communication between the two tissues. A myokine called myostatin has been extensively studied and has been shown in mice to play an important role in the impaired

532

proliferative capacity of muscle and bone progenitor cells with ageing [90]. Furthermore, the Wnt- $\beta$ -catenin signalling pathway has been shown to mediate bone-muscle cross-talk by controlling both osteoblastic activity and muscle regeneration [91]. Understanding the molecular pathways by which muscle and bone interact provides potentially exciting molecular targets for the development of therapeutics for the treatment of osteosarcopenia.

#### Management of osteosarcopenia

Both osteoporosis and sarcopenia are amenable to therapeutic interventions, although many more pharmaceutical agents are currently available for the treatment of osteoporosis. Lifestyle interventions include ensuring adequate protein intake, progressive resistance exercise and vitamin D replacement when necessary.

#### Physical activity and exercise

As previously discussed, physical activity has a profound effect on both bone and muscle strength. Prolonged immobilization is a well-established risk factor for loss of bone density [2] and a meta-analysis has demonstrated that physical activity has a significant protective effect on BMD at the lumbar spine [42]. Furthermore, a meta-analysis of 14 prospective studies has shown a significant inverse relationship between increasing level of physical activity and risk of hip fracture in older women [92]. Similarly, studies have shown that lifelong physical exercise serves to preserve muscle structure and function [93], and increases in mid-life physical activity reduce the risk of impaired mobility in later life [94, 95]. There is evidence to suggest that resistance training is the most effective form of physical exercise to improve muscle strength and physical performance in older people [96].

#### Nutrition

Adequate vitamin D intake is associated with better BMD and muscle mass and function; a linear positive association was observed between BMD and serum 25(OH)D level up to a level of 75 nmol/l in White US populations [97]. Indeed, to prevent age-related deterioration in musculoskeletal health, the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis, Osteoarthritis and Musculoskeletal Diseases (ESCEO) recommends a vitamin D intake of 800 IU/daily to maintain 25(OH)D levels >50 nmol/l in post-menopausal women [2]. Adequate protein intake is essential, with 5-12% of older men and 20-24% of older women consuming inadequate levels (<0.66 g/kg body weight per day) in the USA [98]. To increase the anabolic response to protein in older people, it has been suggested that a higher protein intake of 1.0-1.2 g/kg body weight per day is taken post-exercise [99]. A recent meta-analysis to explore whether the use of nutritional supplementations improves physical performance in older people showed nutritional supplementation can improve a number of physical performance outcomes, particularly when they include multi-nutrients and in people already affected by specific medical conditions, or by frailty/sarcopenia [100].

#### Therapeutic targets

As pharmacotherapy for osteoporosis is well established, the majority of medications currently used in the management of osteosarcopenia are focussed on targeting bone separately from muscle, and include bisphosphonates, denosumab and teriparatide therapy. As osteoporosis and sarcopenia are associated, several new therapies are currently being developed to target bone and muscle in tandem. For example, selective androgen receptor modulators, such as andarine, have an anabolic effect on muscle and bone, with few of the androgenic side effects associated with testosterone therapy [17]. Another potential therapeutic target is irisin, a hormone-like myokine produced in abundance by skeletal muscle cells in response to exercise. Following its release into the circulation, irisin acts upon white adipocvtes, inducing the browning response and subsequentlv activating non-shivering thermogenesis [101]. Promisingly, recent studies have also suggested a role for irisin on the musculoskeletal system, with positive effects on cortical mineral density and geometry in mice with upregulation of the irisin precursor (FNDC5) in skeletal muscle fibres [102]. Myostatin is a myokine associated with impaired muscle and bone mass with ageing and the myostatin inhibitor 'follistatin' has been shown to induce significant improvement in diabetic bone regeneration in mice [103]. As detailed previously, osteosarcopenia may, at least in part, be a lipotoxic disease and in vitro studies have demonstrated that inhibiting fatty acid synthetase using cerulenin, which prevents adipose cells from releasing fatty acids, rescues osteoblasts from fat-induced toxicity and cell death [104]. Furthermore, treatment in vivo with cerulenin has been shown to protect osteoblasts from lipotoxicity, while rescuing oophorectomized mice from their osteoporotic phenotype [105]. Other potential therapeutic targets that are currently being explored include anti-sclerostin antibodies, cathepsin K inhibitors and GH secretagogues.

#### Conclusion

The coexistence of osteoporosis and sarcopenia is an increasingly recognized condition that is associated with significant morbidity, mortality and societal cost. As the population ages, its prevalence is set to increase dramatically over the coming decades, with an estimated 2 billion individuals over 60 years of age affected by the year 2050 [9]. Identifying those individuals at risk of developing coexisting osteoporosis and sarcopenia, may enable clinicians to intervene and ameliorate the consequences of osteosarcopenia.

Funding: No specific funding was received from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-

profit sectors to carry out the work described in this manuscript.

*Disclosure statement*: M.A.C. has received support for attending conferences from UCB, Pfizer and Eli Lilly. O.B. reports research grant or lecture fees from Amgen, Biophytis, IBSA, MEDA, Servier, SMB TRB Chemedica and UCB. E.M.D. has received fees from Pfizer and UCB. C.C. has received lecture fees and honoraria from Amgen, Danone, Eli Lilly, GSK, Kyowa Kirin, Medtronic, Merck, Nestlé, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Servier, Shire, Takeda and UCB. The other author has declared no conflicts of interest.

# Data availability statement

Data are available upon reasonable request by any qualified researchers who engage in rigorous, independent scientific research, and will be provided following review and approval of a research proposal and Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP) and execution of a Data Sharing Agreement (DSA). All data relevant to the study are included in the article.

## References

- 1 Edwards MH, Dennison EM, Aihie Sayer A, Fielding R, Cooper C. Osteoporosis and sarcopenia in older age. Bone 2015;80:126–30.
- 2 Curtis E, Litwic A, Cooper C, Dennison E. Determinants of muscle and bone aging. J Cell Physiol 2015;230: 2618–25.
- 3 Hernlund E, Svedbom A, Ivergård M et al. Osteoporosis in the European Union: medical management, epidemiology and economic burden. A report prepared in collaboration with the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industry Associations (EFPIA). Arch Osteoporos 2013;8:136.
- 4 Consensus development conference: diagnosis, prophylaxis, and treatment of osteoporosis. Am J Med 1993;94:646–50.
- 5 Liu J, Curtis EM, Cooper C, Harvey NC. State of the art in osteoporosis risk assessment and treatment. J Endocrinol Invest 2019;42:1149–64.
- 6 Janssen I, Shepard DS, Katzmarzyk PT, Roubenoff R. The healthcare costs of sarcopenia in the United States. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004;52:80–5.
- 7 Bruyère O, Beaudart C, Ethgen O, Reginster JY, Locquet M. The health economics burden of sarcopenia: a systematic review. Maturitas 2019;119: 61–9.
- 8 Hirschfeld HP, Kinsella R, Duque G. Osteosarcopenia: where bone, muscle, and fat collide. Osteoporosis Int 2017;28:2781–90.
- 9 Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM et al. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. Age Ageing 2010;39: 412–23.

- 10 Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J *et al.* Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Age Ageing 2019;48:16–31.
- 11 Tanimoto Y, Watanabe M, Sun W et al. Sarcopenia and falls in community-dwelling elderly subjects in Japan: defining sarcopenia according to criteria of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2014;59:295–9.
- 12 Yamada M, Nishiguchi S, Fukutani N et al. Prevalence of sarcopenia in community-dwelling Japanese older adults. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2013;14:911–5.
- 13 Woo N, Kim SH. Sarcopenia influences fall-related injuries in community-dwelling older adults. Geriatr Nurs 2014;35:279–82.
- 14 Clynes MA, Edwards MH, Buehring B *et al.* Definitions of sarcopenia: associations with previous falls and fracture in a population sample. Calcif Tissue Int 2015;97:445–52.
- 15 Huo YR, Suriyaarachchi P, Gomez F et al. Phenotype of osteosarcopenia in older individuals with a history of falling. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2015;16:290–5.
- 16 Paintin J, Cooper C, Dennison E. Osteosarcopenia. Br J Hosp Med 2018;79:253–8.
- 17 Girgis CM, Mokbel N, Digirolamo DJ. Therapies for musculoskeletal disease: can we treat two birds with one stone? Curr Osteoporosis Rep 2014;12:142–53.
- 18 Frost HM. On our age-related bone loss: insights from a new paradigm. J Bone Miner Res 1997;12:1539–46.
- 19 van Staa TP, Dennison EM, Leufkens HG, Cooper C. Epidemiology of fractures in England and Wales. Bone 2001;29:517–22.
- 20 Felsenberg D, Silman AJ, Lunt M et al. Incidence of vertebral fracture in Europe: results from the European Prospective Osteoporosis Study (EPOS). J Bone Miner Res 2002;17:716–24.
- 21 Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Landi F, Schneider SM *et al.* Prevalence of and interventions for sarcopenia in ageing adults: a systematic review. Report of the International Sarcopenia Initiative (EWGSOP and IWGS). Age Ageing 2014;43:748–59.
- 22 Di Monaco M, Vallero F, Di Monaco R, Tappero R. Prevalence of sarcopenia and its association with osteoporosis in 313 older women following a hip fracture. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2011;52:71–4.
- 23 Wang YJ, Wang Y, Zhan JK et al. Sarco-osteoporosis: prevalence and association with frailty in chinese communitydwelling older adults. Int J Endocrinol 2015;2015:1–8.
- 24 Locquet M, Beaudart C, Bruyère O et al. Bone health assessment in older people with or without muscle health impairment. Osteoporosis Int 2018;29:1057–67.
- 25 Chrischilles EA, Butler CD, Davis CS, Wallace RB. A model of lifetime osteoporosis impact. Arch Intern Med 1991;151:2026–32.
- 26 Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C *et al.* A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. CMAJ 2005;173:489–95.
- 27 Yoo JI, Kim H, Ha YC, Kwon HB, Koo KH. Osteosarcopenia in patients with hip fracture is related with high mortality. J Korean Med Sci 2018;33:e27.

- 28 Sambrook PN, Kelly PJ, Morrison NA, Eisman JA. Genetics of osteoporosis. Br J Rheumatol 1994;33: 1007–11.
- 29 Tylavsky FA, Bortz AD, Hancock RL, Anderson JJ. Familial resemblance of radial bone mass between premenopausal mothers and their college-age daughters. Calcif Tissue Int 1989;45:265–72.
- 30 Tikkanen E, Gustafsson S, Amar D *et al.* Biological insights into muscular strength: genetic findings in the UK Biobank. Sci Rep 2018;8:6451.
- 31 Rolland Y, Czerwinski S, Abellan Van Kan G et al. Sarcopenia: its assessment, etiology, pathogenesis, consequences and future perspectives. J Nutr Health Aging 2008;12:433–50.
- 32 Leslie WD, Bernstein CN, Leboff MS. AGA technical review on osteoporosis in hepatic disorders. Gastroenterology 2003;125:941–66.
- 33 Gonzalez-Reimers E, Alvisa-Negrin J, Santolaria-Fernandez F *et al.* Vitamin D and nutritional status are related to bone fractures in alcoholics. Alcohol Alcohol 2011;46:148–55.
- 34 Malik P, Gasser RW, Kemmler G et al. Low bone mineral density and impaired bone metabolism in young alcoholic patients without liver cirrhosis: a crosssectional study. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2009;33:375–81.
- 35 Kanis JA, Johansson H, Johnell O *et al.* Alcohol intake as a risk factor for fracture. Osteoporosis Int 2005;16: 737–42.
- 36 Renoud A, Ecochard R, Marchand F, Chapurlat R, Szulc P. Predictive parameters of accelerated muscle loss in men-MINOS study. Am J Med 2014;127:554–61.
- 37 Law MR, Hackshaw AK. A meta-analysis of cigarette smoking, bone mineral density and risk of hip fracture: recognition of a major effect. BMJ 1997;315:841–6.
- 38 Kanis JA, Johnell O, Oden A, Johansson H, McCloskey E. FRAX and the assessment of fracture probability in men and women from the UK. Osteoporosis Int 2008; 19:385–97.
- 39 Steffl M, Bohannon RW, Petr M, Kohlikova E, Holmerova I. Relation between cigarette smoking and sarcopenia: meta-analysis. Physiol Res 2015;64: 419–26.
- 40 Chiolero A, Faeh D, Paccaud F, Cornuz J. Consequences of smoking for body weight, body fat distribution, and insulin resistance. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87:801–9.
- 41 Heydari G, Hosseini M, Yousefifard M *et al.* Smoking and physical activity in healthy adults: a cross-sectional study in Tehran. Tanaffos 2015;14:238–45.
- 42 Howe TE, Shea B, Dawson LJ *et al.* Exercise for preventing and treating osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011;6:CD000333.
- 43 Kortebein P, Ferrando A, Lombeida J, Wolfe R, Evans WJ. Effect of 10 days of bed rest on skeletal muscle in healthy older adults. JAMA 2007;297:1769–74.
- 44 Binder EF, Yarasheski KE, Steger-May K *et al.* Effects of progressive resistance training on body composition in frail older adults: results of a randomized, controlled

trial. J Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci 2005;60: 1425–31.

- 45 Kemmler W, von Stengel S, Engelke K *et al.* Exercise, body composition, and functional ability: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Prev Med 2010;38: 279–87.
- 46 Tang BM, Eslick GD, Nowson C, Smith C, Bensoussan A. Use of calcium or calcium in combination with vitamin D supplementation to prevent fractures and bone loss in people aged 50 years and older: a meta-analysis. Lancet 2007;370: 657–66.
- 47 Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Baron JA *et al.* Calcium intake and hip fracture risk in men and women: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies and randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr 2007; 86:1780–90.
- 48 Bolland MJ, Leung W, Tai V et al. Calcium intake and risk of fracture: systematic review. BMJ 2015;351: h4580.
- 49 Thabit H, Barry M, Sreenan S, Smith D. Proximal myopathy in lacto-vegetarian Asian patients responding to Vitamin D and calcium supplement therapy - two case reports and review of the literature. J Med Case Rep 2011;5:178.
- 50 Hirata D, Nagashima T, Saito S et al. Elevated muscle enzymes in a patient with severe hypocalcemia mimicking polymyositis. Mod Rheumatol 2002;12: 186–9.
- 51 Beaudart C, Buckinx F, Rabenda V et al. The effects of vitamin D on skeletal muscle strength, muscle mass, and muscle power: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014;99:4336–45.
- 52 Boland R. Role of vitamin D in skeletal muscle function. Endocrine Rev 1986;7:434–48.
- 53 Sørensen OH, Lund B, Saltin B et al. Myopathy in bone loss of ageing: improvement by treatment with 1 alphahydroxycholecalciferol and calcium. Clin Sci 1979;56: 157–61.
- 54 Skaria J, Katiyar BC, Srivastava TP, Dube B. Myopathy and neuropathy associated with osteomalacia. Acta Neurol Scand 2009;51:37–58.
- 55 Shams-White MM, Chung M, Du M, Fu Z et al. Dietary protein and bone health: a systematic review and metaanalysis from the National Osteoporosis Foundation. Am J Clin Nutr 2017;105:1528–43.
- 56 Zhang X, Shu XO, Li H *et al.* Prospective cohort study of soy food consumption and risk of bone fracture among postmenopausal women. Arch Intern Med 2005; 165:1890–5.
- 57 Rooyackers OE, Adey DB, Ades PA, Nair KS. Effect of age on in vivo rates of mitochondrial protein synthesis in human skeletal muscle. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996;93:15364–9.
- 58 McGregor RA, Cameron-Smith D, Poppitt SD. It is not just muscle mass: a review of muscle quality, composition and metabolism during ageing as determinants of muscle function and mobility in later life. Longev Healthspan 2014;3:9.

- 59 von Haehling S, Morley JE, Anker SD. From muscle wasting to sarcopenia and myopenia: update 2012. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2012;3:213–7.
- 60 Maggi S, Kelsey JL, Litvak J, Heyse SP. Incidence of hip fractures in the elderly: a cross-national analysis. Osteoporosis Int 1991;1:232–41.
- 61 Araujo AB, Chiu GR, Kupelian V et al. Lean mass, muscle strength, and physical function in a diverse population of men: a population-based cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2010;10:508.
- 62 Reid IR. Fat and bone. Arch Biochem Biophys 2010; 503:20–7.
- 63 Compston J. Obesity and fractures in postmenopausal women. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2015;27:414–9.
- 64 De Laet C, Kanis JA, Odén A *et al.* Body mass index as a predictor of fracture risk: a meta-analysis. Osteoporosis Int 2005;16:1330–8.
- 65 Compston JE, Watts NB, Chapurlat R *et al.* Obesity is not protective against fracture in postmenopausal women: GLOW. Am J Med 2011;124:1043–50.
- 66 Prieto-Alhambra D, Premaor MO, Fina Avilés F et al. The association between fracture and obesity is sitedependent: a population-based study in postmenopausal women. J Bone Miner Res 2012;27:294–300.
- 67 Kawao N, Kaji H. Interactions between muscle tissues and bone metabolism. J Cell Biochem 2015;116: 687–95.
- 68 Nielson CM, Srikanth P, Orwoll ES. Obesity and fracture in men and women: an epidemiologic perspective. J Bone Miner Res 2012;27:1–10.
- 69 Waters DL, Hale L, Grant AM, Herbison P, Goulding A. Osteoporosis and gait and balance disturbances in older sarcopenic obese New Zealanders. Osteoporosis Int 2010;21:351–7.
- 70 Frost HM. Bone's mechanostat: a 2003 update. Anat Rec A Discov Mol Cell Evol Biol 2003;275A:1081–101.
- 71 Kaji H. Interaction between muscle and bone. J Bone Metab 2014;21:29–40.
- 72 Tiidus PM. Benefits of estrogen replacement for skeletal muscle mass and function in post-menopausal females: evidence from human and animal studies. Eurasian J Med 2011;43:109–14.
- 73 Sullivan SD, Sarrel PM, Nelson LM. Hormone replacement therapy in young women with primary ovarian insufficiency and early menopause. Fertil Steril 2016;106:1588–99.
- 74 Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Ross R. Low relative skeletal muscle mass (sarcopenia) in older persons is associated with functional impairment and physical disability. J Am Geriatr Soc 2002;50:889–96.
- 75 Hyde Z, Flicker L, Almeida OP *et al.* Low free testosterone predicts frailty in older men: the health in men study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010;95:3165–72.
- 76 Vandenput L, Mellström D, Laughlin GA et al. Low testosterone, but not estradiol, is associated with incident falls in older men: the International MrOS Study. J Bone Miner Res 2017;32:1174–81.

- 77 Cederholm T, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Maggi S. Sarcopenia and fragility fractures. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2013;49: 111–7.
- 78 Franceschi C, Bonafè M, Valensin S et al. Inflammaging. An evolutionary perspective on immunosenescence. Ann NY Acad Sci 2006;908: 244–54.
- 79 Cannizzo ES, Clement CC, Sahu R, Follo C, Santambrogio L. Oxidative stress, inflamm-aging and immunosenescence. J Proteom 2011;74:2313–23.
- 80 Holm L, Olesen JL, Matsumoto K *et al.* Proteincontaining nutrient supplementation following strength training enhances the effect on muscle mass, strength, and bone formation in postmenopausal women. J Appl Physiol 2008;105:274–81.
- 81 Ding C, Parameswaran V, Udayan R, Burgess J, Jones G. Circulating levels of inflammatory markers predict change in bone mineral density and resorption in older adults: a longitudinal study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008;93:1952–8.
- 82 McLean RR. Proinflammatory cytokines and osteoporosis. Curr Osteoporosis Rep 2009;7:134–9.
- 83 Nakamura K, Saito T, Kobayashi R *et al.* C-reactive protein predicts incident fracture in community-dwelling elderly Japanese women: the Muramatsu study. Osteoporosis Int 2011;22:2145–50.
- 84 Cauley JA, Barbour KE, Harrison SL *et al.* Inflammatory markers and the risk of hip and vertebral fractures in men: the Osteoporotic Fractures in Men (MrOS). J Bone Miner Res 2016;31:2129–38.
- 85 Schett G, Kiechl S, Weger S et al. High-sensitivity Creactive protein and risk of nontraumatic fractures in the Bruneck study. Arch Intern Med 2006;166: 2495–501.
- 86 Pasco JA, Kotowicz MA, Henry MJ et al. Highsensitivity C-reactive protein and fracture risk in elderly women. JAMA 2006;296:1349–55.
- 87 Ishii S, Cauley JA, Greendale GA *et al.* C-reactive protein, bone strength, and nine-year fracture risk: data from the Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN). J Bone Miner Res 2013;28:1688–98.
- 88 Dahl K, Ahmed LA, Joakimsen RM *et al.* Highsensitivity C-reactive protein is an independent risk factor for non-vertebral fractures in women and men: the Tromsø Study. Bone 2015;72:65–70.
- 89 Schaap LA, Pluijm SM, Deeg DJ, Visser M. Inflammatory markers and loss of muscle mass (sarcopenia) and strength. Am J Med 2006;119: 526.e9–17.
- 90 Bowser M, Herberg S, Arounleut P et al. Effects of the activin A-myostatin-follistatin system on aging bone and muscle progenitor cells. Exp Gerontol 2013;48: 290–7.
- 91 Oliveira A, Vaz C. The role of sarcopenia in the risk of osteoporotic hip fracture. Clin Rheumatol 2015;34: 1673–80.
- 92 Rong K, Liu XY, Wu XH et al. Increasing level of leisure physical activity could reduce the risk of hip fracture in

older women: a dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Medicine 2016;95:e2984.

- 93 Zampieri S, Pietrangelo L, Loefler S *et al.* Lifelong physical exercise delays age-associated skeletal muscle decline. J Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci 2015;70:163–73.
- 94 Hinrichs T, von Bonsdorff MB, Törmäkangas T et al. Inverse effects of midlife occupational and leisure time physical activity on mobility limitation in old age–a 28year prospective follow-up study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2014;62:812–20.
- 95 Beaudart C, Dawson A, Shaw SC *et al.* Nutrition and physical activity in the prevention and treatment of sarcopenia: systematic review. Osteoporosis Int 2017; 28:1817–33.
- 96 Lai CC, Tu YK, Wang TG, Huang YT, Chien KL. Effects of resistance training, endurance training and wholebody vibration on lean body mass, muscle strength and physical performance in older people: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Age Ageing 2018;47: 367–73.
- 97 Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dietrich T, Orav EJ, Dawson-Hughes B. Positive association between 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels and bone mineral density: a population-based study of younger and older adults. Am J Med 2004;116:634–9.
- 98 Berner LA, Becker G, Wise M, Doi J. Characterization of dietary protein among older adults in the United States: amount, animal sources, and meal patterns. J Acad Nutr Diet 2013;113:809–15.

- 99 Rizzoli R, Stevenson JC, Bauer JM et al. The role of dietary protein and vitamin D in maintaining musculoskeletal health in postmenopausal women: a consensus statement from the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO). Maturitas 2014;79: 122–32.
- 100 Veronese N, Stubbs B, Punzi L *et al.* Effect of nutritional supplementations on physical performance and muscle strength parameters in older people: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ageing Res Rev 2019;51:48–54.
- 101 Colaianni G, Cinti S, Colucci S, Grano M. Irisin and musculoskeletal health. Ann NY Acad Sci 2017;1402: 5–9.
- 102 Colaianni G, Cuscito C, Mongelli T *et al.* The myokine irisin increases cortical bone mass. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2015;112:12157–62.
- 103 Wallner C, Jaurich H, Wagner JM et al. Inhibition of GDF8 (Myostatin) accelerates bone regeneration in diabetes mellitus type 2. Sci Rep 2017;7:9878.
- 104 Pizer ES, Chrest FJ, DiGiuseppe JA, Han WF. Pharmacological inhibitors of mammalian fatty acid synthase suppress DNA replication and induce apoptosis in tumor cell lines. Cancer Res 1998;58: 4611–5.
- 105 Bermeo S, Al Saedi A, Vidal C *et al.* Treatment with an inhibitor of fatty acid synthase attenuates bone loss in ovariectomized mice. Bone 2019;122: 114–22.