

Robustness under missing data

BAUM Carole Department of Mathematics, University of Liège, Belgium

Joint work with VAN MESSEM Arnout

carole.baum@uliege.be

Many **imputation techniques** have been introduced to handle missing data. However, a large scale comparison of these techniques seems to be missing and it is relatively unknown how the different imputation techniques perform when outliers are present in the data. Therefore, our goals are to:

1 compare their performance under different settings;

2 investigate their robustness when outliers are added.

Experimental setup

Data creation

- According to a Gaussian distribution
- Independent or dependent variables

Simulation procedure

- **1** Generate a complete data set \rightarrow data1
- 2 Ampute the complete data set by deleting a selection of values
- **3** Impute the missing values to obtain a full data set \rightarrow data2

• In multiple dimensions (2/3/20)

Introducing missing values

Missing values are created using multivariate amputation [1].



Introducing outliers

Outliers are generated by modifying either the Square Prediction Error (SPE), the Hotteling's T^2 statistic, or both [2].

> Type 1 Type 2

Type 3

4 Introduce outliers in data1 and ampute this data set

5 Impute the missing values to obtain a full data set \rightarrow data3

6 Two comparisons: $data1 \leftrightarrow data2$ and $data1 \leftrightarrow data3$

Simulation 1: Without outliers

Results



Conclusions in 2D

• Decrease in central tendency

• Decrease in dispersion

• Increase in correlation for linear methods (LR, RLR)

Conclusions in 20D

• Smallest RMSE: LR, RLR, RF

• Fastest methods: Mean, EM

• Slowest method: RLR

 \star Similar results hold for other dimensions and all 3 missingness patterns



- Mean
- Expectation maximisation (EM)
- Linear regression (LR)
- Evaluation

Simulation 1: Without outliers

- Computational time
- Root mean squared error

★ Independent variables: mean method also has small RMSE

Simulation 2: With outliers

Results



Gaussian dependent variables, 20D, MNAR

Conclusions

- Most robust methods: RLR, RF and KNN
- Robustness does not change when the % of missing values/outliers varies

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{(i,j) \in I} (x_{i,j} - \hat{x}_{i,j})^2}$$

• Random forest (RF)

• K nearest neighbours (KNN)

I is the set of indices of the missing values, n = #I is the number of missing values, $x_{i,j}$ is the original value in the complete data set at position (i, j) and $\hat{x}_{i,j}$ is the imputed value at position (i, j)

• Impact on statistics in 2/3D (mean, median, standard deviation, IQR, correlation)

Simulation 2: With outliers

• Difference in RMSE between the imputation with and without outliers

\star Similar results hold for other dimensions and all 3 missingness patterns

Ongoing work

- Investigate the evolution of the mean vector and the covariance matrix after imputation with outliers (in 20D)
- Create linear or PCA models on both imputed data sets, i.e., with or without outliers, and compare the coefficients
- Compare imputations with and without outliers through binary classification

References:

[1] Schouten, Rianne Margaretha and Lugtig, Peter and Vink, Gerko (2018). Generating missing values for simulation purposes: a multivariate amputation procedure. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 88(15):2909-2930. [2] González-Cebrián, Alba and Arteaga, Francisco and Folch-Fortuny, Abel and Ferrer, Alberto (2021). How to simulate outliers with the desired properties. Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems, 212:104301