



# Focused Cardiac Ultrasound to Detect Pre-capillary Pulmonary Hypertension

#### Aurélie Lyssens\*, Marine Lekane, Kris Gommeren and Anne-Christine Merveille

Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

**Background:** Early recognition of pre-capillary (PC) pulmonary hypertension (PH) benefits dogs, allowing earlier treatment and improving prognosis. The value of focused cardiac ultrasound (FCU) to diagnose PH and assess its severity has not been investigated yet.

**Hypothesis:** A subjective 10-point FCU pulmonary hypertension score (PHS) allows diagnosis and assessment of severity of PCPH.

Animals: This study involved fifty client-owned dogs.

## **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Tanmoy Rana, West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Sciences, India

#### Reviewed by:

Abrar UI Haq, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India Elizabeth Anne Rozanski, Tufts University. United States

> \*Correspondence: Aurélie Lyssens aurelie.lyssens@uliege.be

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

Received: 06 December 2021 Accepted: 17 January 2022 Published: 01 March 2022

#### Citation:

Lyssens A, Lekane M, Gommeren K and Merveille A-C (2022) Focused Cardiac Ultrasound to Detect Pre-capillary Pulmonary Hypertension. Front. Vet. Sci. 9:830275. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.830275 **Methods:** Dogs, recruited between September 2017 and February 2020, were classified into four categories (no, mild, moderate, and severe PH; C1 to C4, respectively). C1 and C2, and C3 and C4 were regrouped as group 1 and group 2, respectively. A blinded general practitioner assessed four FCU cineloops. Five echocardiographic parameters were subjectively scored, resulting in a total score of 0–10. Non-parametric tests compared global scores between categories and groups. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve determined the cutoff value to differentiate group 1 and group 2. A gray zone approach allowed diagnosing or excluding moderate to severe PH with 90% certitude.

**Results:** Global scores were significantly higher for C4 than for C1, C2, and C3. Global scores of G2 were significantly higher than G1. The ROC curve indicated a cutoff value of 5, discriminating group 1 from group 2 with a sensitivity of 77% and a specificity of 100%. A score of  $\geq$ 5/10 allowed diagnosing moderate to severe PH with  $\geq$ 90% certainty while a score of  $\leq$ 2/10 excluded PH with  $\geq$ 90% certainty.

**Conclusions and Clinical Significance:** Moderate to severe PCPH can be accurately detected by non-cardiologists using a 10-point FCU PHS score.

Keywords: tricuspid regurgitation pressure gradient, respiratory, echocardiography, scoring, emergency

# INTRODUCTION

Pre-capillary (PC) pulmonary hypertension (PH) is defined as increased pressure within the pulmonary arterial vasculature and complicates a wide range of respiratory and systemic diseases in dogs (1, 2). In veterinary medicine, PH is defined as absent (<30 mmHg), mild (30–50 mmHg), moderate (50–75 mmHg), or severe (>75 mmHg) based on estimated systolic pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) derived from tricuspid regurgitation velocity using Doppler echocardiography

(1, 3–5). Moderate to severe PCPH is typically associated with clinical signs and negatively impacts survival. Management of PH in dogs focuses on treating the underlying cause and on medical treatment, such as phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors to ameliorate clinical signs and delay disease progression (2, 3, 6, 7).

Prompt recognition of PCPH might benefit affected dogs, particularly in moderately and severely affected patients, resulting in earlier initiation of therapy and possibly improving quality of life (6, 8).

Unfortunately, diagnosis of PH remains challenging in veterinary practice. Thoracic radiographs, available to most practitioners, lack sensitivity to detect even severe PH (3, 9, 10). The gold standard to diagnose PH is invasive pressure measurement using right heart catheterization, which is rarely available and may be associated with significant risk and hence is seldom performed in markedly affected veterinary patients (1, 6, 11-13). Doppler echocardiography is the standard non-invasive method to diagnose PCPH in veterinary patients (1, 3, 10). Pulmonary arterial pressure can be estimated and morphological changes may be assessed, allowing classification of disease severity (2, 3, 5). In dogs with PH, right ventricular (RV) size remodeling and/or systolic dysfunction, systolic flattening of the interventricular septum (IVS), main and right pulmonary artery (PA) enlargement, alterations in the pulmonary artery flow profile, increased right atrial (RA) size, and distension of caudal vena cava (CVC) have been described using Doppler echocardiography (1, 5, 14, 15). Doppler echocardiography requires advanced equipment and profound skills. Focused cardiac ultrasound (FCU), as an alternative, is an easy, fast, and time- and cost-effective method, often used in emergency settings, to subjectively assess chamber size and cardiac function (16-20).

In 2019, a study of Vientos-Plotts et al. on point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) through the subxiphoid view demonstrated that CVC distention (>1 cm), gallbladder wall edema, and ascites were poorly sensitive parameters to detect moderate to severe PH in dogs (21). To the authors' knowledge, information is lacking regarding the sensitivity and specificity of other FCU right-sided echocardiographic parameters to assess for the presence and severity of pre-capillary PH.

We hypothesized that a 10-point FCU pulmonary hypertension score (PHS) differentiates dogs with various degrees of PCPH and accurately identifies patients with moderate to severe PCPH.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Animals

Medical records from the small animal veterinary teaching hospital of the University of Liège were reviewed for canine

patients diagnosed with PCPH between September 2017 and February 2020. Dogs were included if four cineloops were recorded and if the final echocardiographic diagnosis was either "normal cardiac examination" or "PH of precapillary origin." Dogs with concomitant left- or other right-sided cardiac diseases were excluded, with the exception of dogs with mild mitral valve disease having an end-systolic left atrium to aorta ratio (LA/Ao) below 1.5. Based on the authors' assumption, an LA/Ao below 1.5 is unlikely to be responsible for post-capillary PH.

The diagnosis of PCPH was made by a board-certified cardiologist based on the criteria defined below. Included dogs were subdivided into four groups according to Doppler echocardiographic evidence of PH: category 1 (C1; normal cardiac examination), category 2 (C2; mild PH), category 3 (C3; moderate PH), and category 4 (C4; severe PH). C1 and C2 were subsequently further grouped as group 1 (G1; normal or mild PH), while C3 and C4 were grouped as group 2 (G2; moderate to severe PH).

## Echocardiography

Transthoracic 2D echocardiography, m-mode echocardiography, and conventional Doppler echocardiography were performed by one board-certified veterinary cardiologist using two ultrasound units (a: GE Vivid I from September 2017 to July 2018 and b: GE vivid E95 from August 2018 to February 2020) equipped with 2.2–3.5 and 5.5–7.5 and 1.4–4.6 and 2.4–8.0 MHz phased-array transducers, respectively. Dogs were placed in right and left lateral recumbency, and a simultaneous one-lead echocardiogram was recorded. Standard right parasternal (long and short axis) and left apical parasternal views were performed. Cineloops were recorded with a duration of 3–5 cardiac cycles.

PCPH was diagnosed and categorized based on the presence of an elevated tricuspid regurgitation pressure gradient (TRPG: >30 mmHg for C2, >50 mmHg for C3, and >80 mmHg for C4) or an elevated pulmonic regurgitation pressure gradient (PRPG: >19.5 mmHg for C2, >25 mmHg for C3, and >35 mmHg for C4) and the presence of indirect evidence of PH. Indirect evidence of PH included RV hypertrophy, RV enlargement, interventricular septal flattening, an enlarged main pulmonary artery, an enlarged right pulmonary artery on right parasternal four-chamber view, asymmetric and/or notched pulmonary flow, right atrial enlargement, a distended caudal vena cava, and presence of right-sided congestive heart failure signs (pericardial, pleural, or abdominal effusion).

# **Focused Cardiac Ultrasound**

Four bi-dimensional cineloops recorded by the cardiologist during the right-parasternal echocardiographic exam were selected: the longitudinal four-chamber view, short-axis transventricular view, short-axis transaortic view, and subxiphoid view focused on the caudal vena cava.

All cineloops were subsequently assessed off-line by a single, blinded, general practitioner after a 1-h theoretical session on the PH score system. PHS consisted of the evaluation of five right-sided echocardiographic parameters: assessment of right heart chamber sizes (RA and/or RV), RV hypertrophy, IVS

Abbreviations: CVC, caudal vena cava; FCU, focused cardiac ultrasound; IVS, interventricular septum; La/Ao, left atrium-to-aorta ratio; PA, pulmonary artery; PAP, pulmonary arterial pressure; PC, pre-capillary; PH, pulmonary hypertension; PHS, pulmonary hypertension score; POCUS, point-of-care ultrasound; PRPG, pulmonic regurgitation pressure gradient; RA, right atrium; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; RV, right ventricle; TRPG, tricuspid regurgitation pressure gradient.

Parameter	Score 0	Score 1	Score 2
RA/RV enlargement	No right heart enlargement	RA or RV enlargement	RA and RV enlargement
RV hypertrophy	No RV hypertrophy	RV free wall hypertrophy <i>or</i> Presence of prominent papillary muscles	RV free wall hypertrophy <i>and</i> Presence of prominent papillary muscles
IVS flattening	No IVS flattening	/	IVS flattening
PA enlargement	No PA enlargement	Pulmonary trunk enlargement or Right PA enlargement	Pulmonary trunk enlargement and Right PA enlargement
Right-sided congestive signs	Absence of effusion and a normal CVC	Presence of effusion or distended non-compliant CVC	Presence of effusion <i>and</i> distended non-compliant CVC

TABLE 1 | Pulmonary hypertension scoring system (PHS) for each evaluated right-sided echocardiographic parameter.

RA, right atrium; RV, right ventricle; IVS, interventricular septum; PA, pulmonary artery; CVC, caudal vena cava.

flattening, PA remodeling, and the presence or absence of rightsided congestive signs. A score of 0 to 2 was assigned for each, resulting in a PHS global score between 0 and 10 (**Table 1**).

### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with commercially available software (Xlstat, Addinsoft). Continuous variables were reported as median and range (minimum and maximum). The Shapiro– Wilk test was applied to assess the normal distribution of continuous variables.

Comparison between categories was performed with the Kruskal–Wallis test. When significant differences were identified, *post-hoc* pairwise comparisons were performed using Dunn's test with Bonferroni corrections. The Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used to compare G1 and G2.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was established to determine the ideal cutoff values to predict moderate to severe PCPH and to apply the gray zone approach.

Association between categories and right-sided echocardiographic parameters was assessed by a chi-square test with the *p*-value set at 0.005 to correct for multiple tests.

# RESULTS

## **Studied Population**

Thirty-five dogs with confirmed PCPH were included in the study. Five in C2, ten in C3, and twenty in C4, respectively. Fifteen dogs were included in C1 (normal cardiac exam) and acted as the control population. The C1 and C2 categories were further grouped as group G1. The 30 dogs that were classified into C3 and C4 were further grouped into group G2.

Terriers (n = 11), Chihuahuas (n = 7), French Bulldogs (n = 6), Shepherds (n = 5), and crossbreeds (n = 6) were the most represented breeds diagnosed with PH in this study, followed by Spaniels (n = 3), Pugs (n = 2), Retrievers (n = 2), Bordeaux dog (n = 1), Beauceron (n = 1), Greyhound (n = 1), Dachshund (n = 1), Shih Tzu (n = 1), Beagle (n = 1), Maltese dog (n = 1), Shar Pei (n = 1), and Poodle (n = 1).

The age, weight, clinical history, and abnormalities on physical examination of each dog are listed in **Table 2**. In group G2, the dogs commonly presented with tachypnea (n = 15) and dyspnea (n = 15). Twenty-one dogs tested positive for antibodies against

Angiostrongylus vasorum (1 in C1; 1 in C2; 3 in C3; 16 in C4). Six dogs presented with signs of right-sided congestive heart failure, all of which were classified with severe PH (C4).

According to the classification of PH in dogs by Reinero et al. (1), all dogs in category C2 had PH secondary to respiratory disease, hypoxia, or both. Diseases included idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (n = 2), bronchopneumonia (n = 1), bronchomalacia (n = 1), and tracheal collapse (n = 1). C3 included dogs with PH secondary to respiratory disease (n = 6), pulmonary thromboembolism (n = 4), or parasitic disease (n = 2). In C4, eight dogs were diagnosed with PH secondary to pulmonary to pulmonary thromboembolism, six dogs were diagnosed with idiopathic PH, five dogs had PH secondary to parasitic disease.

No statistical analyses were performed to compare the incidence between groups and categories for the abovementioned clinical signs and final diagnosis.

Before presentation to the cardiology service, 80% of the dogs in C2 received a treatment compared to 60% in C3 and 50% in C4. The different types of treatment used in each category are listed in **Table 3**.

## PHS Score

Global PHS scores, expressed as median and range, were significantly higher for C4 (9; 7–10) than for C1 (0; 0–4) (p < 0.001), C2 (4; 1–5) (p = 0.008), and C3 (4.5; 2–8) (p = 0.023). Global PHS score for C3 was significantly higher than for C1 (p = 0.023) but not for C2 (p = 0.84) (see **Figure 1**). Global PHS scores of G2 (8; 2–10 were significantly higher than G1 (0.5; 0–5) (p < 0.001) (see **Figure 2**).

The area under the ROC curve for the 10-point score indicated an optimal cutoff value of 5, discriminating G2 from G1 with a sensitivity of 77% and a specificity of 100% (AUC: 0.944; p < 0.001) (see **Figure 3**). In **Figure 4**, a gray zone is presented. Based on the gray zone approach, a score of 5/10 or more allowed the clinician to diagnose moderate to severe PH with 100% certainty (sensitivity of 76.7% and specificity of 100%). A score of 2/10 or less excluded PH with more than 90% certainty (sensitivity of 93% and specificity of 65%).

Regarding the individual right-sided echocardiographic parameters, significant differences were found in the following right-sided echocardiographic parameters between C1, C2, TABLE 2 | Summary of age, weight, clinical history, abnormalities on physical examination, and tricuspid regurgitation pressure gradient after echocardiography.

	C1 ( <i>n</i> = 15)	C2 (n = 5)	C3 ( <i>n</i> = 10)	C4 (n = 20)
Age in years (median; range)	3.5; 0.5–13	12; 0.5–13	11.5; 6–15	10; 2–12
Weight in kg (median; range)	17.1; 5.3–49.6	20; 3.5–24.8	9,3; 3.2–20.2	9.4; 2.8–40
Clinical history (number of dogs)				
No history	9	0	0	1
Dyspnea	1	2	5	10
Tachypnea	1	2	1	1
Exercise intolerance	3	1	3	12
Cough	2	3	5	5
Syncope	2	0	0	8
Abdominal distention	0	0	0	4
Abnormalities on physical examination (number of dogs)				
No abnormalities	11	2	1	1
Heart murmur	3	0	3	9
Bradycardia	1	0	0	0
Tachycardia	0	0	1	9
Crackles	0	1	4	8
Cyanosis	0	1	2	3
Tachypnea	0	0	5	8
Ascites	0	0	0	4
Presence of right congestive heart failure (number of dogs)	0	0	0	6

TABLE 3 | Types of treatment received before realization of an echocardiography.

Treatment received before presentation	C2 (number of dogs)	C3 (number of dogs)	C4 (number of dogs)
No treatment	1	4	10
Sildenafil	2	4	4
Benazepril	1	0	
Benazepil + furosemide	1	0	1
Benazepril + pimobendan	0	1	0
Digoxin + pimobendan	0	1	0
Pimobendan + furosemide	0	0	1
Pimobendan + sildenafil	0	0	1
Pimobendan + sildenafil + furosemide	0	0	1
Sildenafil + pimobendan + benazepril + spironolactone	0	0	1
Pimobendan + sildenafil + clopidogrel + aspirin	0	0	1

C3, and C4: RV enlargement (p < 0.001), RA enlargement (p = 0.0013), RV hypertrophy (p < 0.001), prominent papillary muscles (p < 0.001), IVS flattening (p < 0.001), increased pulmonary trunk size (p < 0.001), and a subjectively large, non-collapsing CVC (p = 0.0018). Lower scores for these parameters were seen in C1 and higher scores were seen in C4.

# DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that moderate to severe PCPH can be detected with good accuracy by a non-cardiologist using a 10-point FCU PHS after 1 h of theoretical training. FCU is widely available in emergency settings and in general practice. It is fast, non-invasive, and cost-effective. It requires minimal training before use, as demonstrated in this study. Our results are promising to allow earlier detection of moderate to severe PCPH in dogs presenting an emergency with respiratory complaints, such as tachypnea, dyspnea, cough, exercise intolerance or syncope, and ascites. When a score of 5 out of 10 or more was obtained, it allowed us to state with 100% certainty that the patient had moderate to severe PCPH in this population. Using this score, treatment for PH can be started in emergency cases, while awaiting a complete echocardiography. When a score of 2 out of 10 or less is obtained, this allows the clinician to state with 90% certainty that the patient does not have moderate to severe PCPH; thus, treatment for PH should be avoided prior to further examinations.

This study showed that signs of RV enlargement, RA enlargement, RV wall hypertrophy, prominent papillary muscles,







median value.

IVS flattening, and an increased pulmonary trunk size changed significantly in cases of PCPH and thus can be used to differentiate C1, C2, C3, and C4.

Two previous studies have evaluated the utility of rightsided echocardiographic parameters in the detection and differentiation of the different degrees of PCPH in dogs (5, 21). The study from Vientos-Plotts et al. (21) used only the subxiphoid view of the caudal vena cava as its right-sided FCU parameter. Vientos-Plotts et al. (21) did not find a significant difference in subjective CVC distention, CVC diameter, presence of gallbladder wall edema, or ascites between dogs with PCPH vs. the control group, nor between dogs with different degrees of PCPH. It was concluded that the caudal vena cava *via* the subxiphoid view should not be used as a sole screening test for the detection of moderate to severe PCPH in dogs.

In our study, signs of ascites and signs of a subjectively large and not collapsing CVC were included as signs of right-sided congestive heart disease. However, the presence of gallbladder edema or exact measurements of the diameter of the CVC were not assessed. Nevertheless, significant differences between C1,



**FIGURE 3** | The area under the receiving operating characteristic (ROC) curve for the pulmonary hypertension score (PHS) indicates an optimal cutoff value of 5, discriminating G2 from G1 with a sensitivity of 77% and a specificity of 100% (AUC: 0.994; p < 0.001).





C2, C3, and C4 were present regarding the subjective assessment of the CVC (p = 0.0018). In accordance with the study of Vientos-Plotts et al. (21), there was no significant difference in the presence or absence of ascites between C1, C2, C3, and C4 (p = 0.006).

Beyond the CVC, our study included additional rightsided echocardiographic parameters that can be assessed during Doppler echocardiography while screening dogs for PCPH: RV remodeling, systolic IVS flattening, PA size, and RA enlargement. A previous study showed that enlargement of the RA, RV, and PA was common (88, 69, and 72%, respectively) in dogs with PH diagnosed by a complete echocardiography exam (5). These parameters may be clinically relevant to determine the prognosis (5). Our study, using FCU instead of complete echocardiography, confirmed the results published by Visser et al. (5). In our FCU, RA enlargement, RV enlargement, and PA enlargement could significantly (p < 0.001 for all 3 parameters) differentiate the four different categories of PH. The ability to differentiate between groups is expected to be useful for patient prognosis.

Identification of dogs with moderate to severe PCPH is of clinical significance. Dogs with moderate to severe PCPH require treatment and have a worse outcome than dogs with mild PCPH, in which treatment is not recommended (5). Earlier diagnosis in general practice or in emergency settings may allow for earlier treatment and possibly improve the outcome of the patient.

This study has several limitations. First, this study did not measure the PAP by right heart catheterization, which is the gold standard to diagnose PCPH in humans and dogs. Misclassification of normal dogs (C1) and of the degree of PH (C2, C3, and C4) was possible. Secondly, this study is a retrospective study and therefore lacked standardization of medications permitted. Forty percent of the dogs in C2, C3, and C4 were receiving a phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor, sildenafil, before recording the cineloops. Sildenafil is a highly selective phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor that causes nitric oxidemediated vasodilatation and results in direct pulmonary artery vasodilatation and a reduction in pulmonary vascular resistance (2, 6). Different studies in veterinary medicine have investigated the consequences of sildenafil in dogs with PCPH (6, 22). Besides the use of sildenafil, some dogs in C2 and C4 also received diuretics before recording of the cineloops. In C2, one dog received a combination treatment of benazepril and furosemide. In C4, three dogs received furosemide and one dog was administered sildenafil before echocardiography. The administration of diuretics may alter the size of the CVC (23). The use of sildenafil and diuretics, such as furosemide and spironolactone, before recording the cineloops might have influenced the grouping of the dogs into the different categories and assessment by the cardiologist. Furthermore, all dogs were awake and breathing spontaneously during the echocardiography and thus during the recordings of the cineloops. In human medicine, it is reported that decreased chest wall compliance and, most importantly, the level of negative intrathoracic pressure influence caudal vena cava compliance (24-26).

Image acquisition varied during the study with the use of two different ultrasound machines, and the quality of the images could differ between the 50 animals. Additionally, prerecorded cineloops were recorded by a board-certified veterinary cardiologist and with an echocardiograph of excellent quality.

# REFERENCES

- Reinero C, Visser LC, Kellihan HB, Masseau I, Rozanski E, Clercx C, et al. ACVIM consensus statement guidelines for the diagnosis, classification, treatment, and monitoring of pulmonary hypertension in dogs. J Vet Intern Med. (2020) 34:549–73. doi: 10.1111/jvim. 15725
- Bach JF, Rozanski EA, MacGregor J, Betkowski J, Rush J. Retrospective evaluation of sildenafil citrate as a therapy for pulmonary hypertension in dogs. J Vet Intern Med. (2006) 20:1132–335. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-1676.2006.tb 00711.x
- Kellihan HB, Stepien RL. Pulmonary hypertension in dogs: diagnosis and therapy. Vet Clin Small Anim. (2010) 40:623– 41. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2010.03.011
- Johnson L, Boon J, Orton EC. Clinical characteristics of 53 dogs with Doppler-derived evidence of pulmonary hypertension: 1992-1996. J Vet Intern Med. (1999) 13 (5):440–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-1676.1999.tb0 1461.x
- Visser LC, Wood JE, Johnson LR. Survival characteristics and prognostic importance of echocardiographic measurements of right heart size and function in dogs with pulmonary hypertension. J Vet Intern Med. (2020) 34:1379–88. doi: 10.1111/jvim.15826

Less experienced clinicians and/or a less qualitative ultrasound machine might be encountered in general practice or in an emergency context. Variation between imagers could also occur, particularly between inexperienced imagers in the emergency setting; this study did not evaluate that.

To conclude, FCU may be a useful method to detect PCPH in dogs in general practice and in emergency settings. Moderate to severe PCPH can be detected with good accuracy on pre-recorded cineloops by non-cardiologists using a 10-point CV-POCUS score. A subjective 10-point CV-POCUS PHS score was significantly different between healthy and moderate-to-severe PH. Differences were also found between severely affected and healthy animals, and between mild and moderate PH. These findings require further investigation in first-line practice and in emergency settings.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Commission d'Ethique Animale  $nr^{\circ}$  18-2082. Written informed consent for participation was not obtained from the owners because we used images, obtained during echocardiography, of dogs that needed an echocardiography.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

A-CM, KG, and AL contributed to conception and design of the study. A-CM organized the database and performed the statistical analysis. AL wrote the first draft and sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

- Kellum HB, Stepien RL. Sildenafil citrate therapy in 22 dogs with pulmonary hypertension. J Vet Intern Med. (2007) 21:1258– 64. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-1676.2007.tb01947.x
- Roels E, Merveille AC, Moyse E, Gomart S, Clercx C, Mc Entee K. Diagnostic value of the pulmonary vein-to-right pulmonary artery ratio in dogs with pulmonary hypertension of precapillary origin. *J Vet Cardiol.* (2019) 24:85– 94. doi: 10.1016/j.jvc.2019.06.001
- Jaffey JA, Wiggen K, Leach SB, Masseau I, Girens RE, Reinero CR. Pulmonary hypertension secondary to respiratory disease and/or hypoxia in dogs: clinical features, diagnostic testing and survival. *Vet J.* (2019) 251:105347. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2019.105347
- Lang IM, Plank C, Sadushi-Kolici R, Jakowitsch J, Klepteko W, Maurer G. Imaging in pulmonary hypertension. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2010) 3:1287–95. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2010.09.013
- Johnson L. Diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension. Clin Tech Small Anim Pract. (1999) 14:231–6. doi: 10.1016/S1096-2867(99)80016-4
- Poser H, Guglielmini C. Pulmonary hypertension in the dog. Acta Veterinaria-Beograd. (2016) 66:1–25. doi: 10.1515/acve-2016-0001
- Vezzosi T, Domenech O, Costa G, Marchesotti F, Venco L, Zini E, et al. Echocardiographic evaluation of the right ventricular dimension and systolic function in dogs with pulmonary hypertension. J Vet Intern Med. (2018) 32:1541–8. doi: 10.1111/jvim.15253

- Serres FJ, Chetboul V, Tissier R, Carlos Sampedrano C, Gouni V, Nicolle AP, et al. Doppler echocardiography-derived evidence of pulmonary arterial hypertension in dogs with degenerative mitral valve disease: 86 cases (2001-2005). J Am Vet Med Assoc. (2006) 229:1772–8. doi: 10.2460/javma.229.11.1772
- Gentile-Solomon JM, Abbott JA. Conventional echocardiographic assessment of the canine right heart: reference intervals and repeatability. *J Vet Cardiol.* (2016) 18:234–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jvc.2016.05.002
- Visser LC, Im MK, Johnson LR, Stern JA. Diagnostic value of right pulmonary artery distensibility index in dogs with pulmonary hypertension: comparison with Doppler echocardiographic estimates of pulmonary arterial pressure. J Vet Intern Med. (2016) 30:543–52. doi: 10.1111/jvim.13911
- DeFrancesco TC. Management of cardiac emergencies in small animals. Vet Clin Small Anim. (2013) 43:817–42. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2013.03.012
- Tse Y, Bulmer B, Cunningham S, Bulmer B, Freeman L, Rozanski E. Evaluation of a training course in focused echocardiography for the non-cardiology house officer. J Vet Emerg Crit Care. (2012) 22:S2–10. doi: 10.1111/vec.12056
- Reissig A, Copetti R, Kroegel C. Current role of emergency ultrasound of the chest. Crit Care Med. (2011) 39:1–8. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e318206d6b8
- Moore CL, Copel JA. Point of care ultrasonography. N Eng J Med. (2011) 364:749–57. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra0909487
- Labovitz AJ, Noble VE, Beirig M, Goldstein S, Jones R, Kort S, et al. Focused cardiac ultrasound in the emergent setting: a consensus statement of the American Society of Echocardiography and American College of Emergency Physicians. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. (2010) 23:1225– 30. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2010.10.005
- Vientos-Plotts AI, Wiggen KE, Lisciandro GR, Reinero C. The utility of point-of-care ultrasound right-sided cardiac markers as a screening test for moderate to severe pulmonary hypertension in dogs. *Vet J.* (2019) 250:6– 13. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2019.05.013
- Brown AJ, Davison E, Sleeper MM. Clinical efficacy of sildenafil in treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension in dogs. *J Vet Intern Med.* (2010) 24:850– 4. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-1676.2010.0517.x

- Tchernodrinski S, Lucas B, Athavale A, Candotti C, Margeta B, Katz A. Inferior vena cava diameter change after intravenous furosemide in patients diagnosed with acute decompensated heart failure. *J Clin Ultrasound*. (2015) 43:187–93. doi: 10.1002/jcu.22173
- Byeon KB, Choi JO, Yang JH, Sung J, Park SW, Oh JK, et al. The response of the vena cava to abdominal breathing. J Altern Complement Med. (2012) 2:153–7. doi: 10.1089/acm.2010.0656
- Lansdorp B, Hofhuizen C, van Lieveren M, van Swieten H, Lemson J, van Putten MJAM, et al. Mechanical ventilation-induced intrathoracic pressure distribution and heart-lung interactions. *Crit Care Med.* (2014) 42:1983– 90. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000000345
- Exovent Development Group. Exovent: a study of a new-negative-pressure ventilator support device in healthy adults. *Anaesthesia*. (2021) 76:623– 8. doi: 10.1111/anae.15350

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Lyssens, Lekane, Gommeren and Merveille. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.