

PhD Project :

ROYAL OBSERVATORY OF BELGIUM

Long-term evolution of large-scale magnetic structures on USET images

**PhD student :** Grégory VANDEN BROECK

**ROB** Supervisors

<u>ULiège Supervisor</u>

Frédéric CLETTE Sabrina BECHET Gregor RAUW

LIÈGE université

# 1. Summary



### **PHOTOSPHERE**



### **CHROMOSPHERE**

- -Sunspot groups and plages Chromospheric network
- Insight in sub-photospheric processes
- Understanding of global solar irradiance evolution
- Deciphering similar mechanisms in other magnetically active stars

http://sidc.oma.be/uset/searchForm.php



### USET « Uccle Solar Equatorial Table »



http://www.sidc.be/uset/usetpres.php



### USET « Uccle Solar Equatorial Table »



### 1) Chromosphere





 $\rightarrow$  Long-term brightness variation

 $\rightarrow$  Contribution of the magnetic structures



### 1) Chromosphere



Global intensities



 $\rightarrow$  Long-term brightness variation

 $\rightarrow$  Contribution of the magnetic structures

2. Objectives

### 1) Chromosphere





https://www.nasa.gov/imagefeature/goddard/2019/hubble-spots-flock-of-cosmic-ducks



 $\rightarrow$  Contribution of the magnetic structures

### 2) Photosphere



# **Sunspot proper motion**

Suivi d'un groupe pendant deux jours (28.03.2014 -> 29.03.2014). Source images : HMI (satellite SDO)

http://sidc.oma.be/uset/searchForm.php

1) Construction of synoptic maps – Solar projection















1) Construction of synoptic maps – Solar projection







### 1) Construction of synoptic maps – Image assembling





-45° 0° 45° Heliographic Longitude [deg]







11



### 1) Construction of synoptic maps – Image assembling













### 1) Construction of synoptic maps – Image assembling



1) Construction of synoptic maps - Normalization



### **Assumption**



 $\frac{\text{Intensity of right edge of slice 1}}{\text{Intensity of left edge of slice 2}} = \text{Factor of normalization}$ 

### 1) Construction of synoptic maps - Normalization



### **Assumption**



### 1) Construction of synoptic maps - Normalization

### **Drawbacks**

- Differential rotation → Assumption not completely correct
- Centre-to-limb variation in intensity
- More precise to use the whole image to normalize



 $\star \star \star \star \star$ 

 $\star$   $\star$   $\star$   $\star$ 

### 1) Construction of synoptic maps - Normalization

### **Drawbacks**

- Differential rotation → Assumption not completely correct
- Centre-to-limb variation in intensity
- More precise to use the whole image to normalize





### 1) Construction of synoptic maps - Normalization

### **Drawbacks**

- Differential rotation → Assumption not completely correct
- Centre-to-limb variation in intensity
- More precise to use the whole image to normalize



 $\star \star \star \star \star$ 

 $\star$   $\star$   $\star$   $\star$ 

### 1) Construction of synoptic maps - Normalization

### **Drawbacks**

- Differential rotation → Assumption not completely correct
- Centre-to-limb variation in intensity
- More precise to use the whole image to normalize



 $\star \star \star \star \star$ 

 $\star \star \star \star$ 



2) Chromospheric structures segmentation





### Our plan :

Study the long-term brightness variation of the chromosphere in the Ca II K line

### Our goal :

Reach a better understanding of the different contributions of those structures









2) Chromospheric structures segmentation – CLV correction





2) Chromospheric structures segmentation – CLV correction



### Problem :

Limb correction complexity

### Solution :

Considering pixels within 0.98*R* 

2) Chromospheric structures segmentation – Thresholding



<u>Threshold</u>  $\rightarrow$  Considering the intensities of pixels within 0.98R

### Mean



### **90th percentile**



SOLAR X [arcsec]

### 95th percentile



### 98th percentile



2) Chromospheric structures segmentation – Thresholding



<u>Threshold</u>  $\rightarrow$  Considering the intensities of pixels within 0.98R

### Mean



### 90th percentile



SOLAR X [arcsec]

### 95th percentile



### 98th percentile



Too many pixels kept

2) Chromospheric structures segmentation – Thresholding



<u>Threshold</u>  $\rightarrow$  Considering the intensities of pixels within 0.98R



### 98th percentile



Some pixels forgotten

Too many pixels kept

2) Chromospheric structures segmentation – Thresholding



<u>Threshold</u>  $\rightarrow$  Considering the intensities of pixels within 0.98R

### Mean



### 90th percentile



### 95th percentile



**Threshold chosen** 

### 98th percentile



27



2) Chromospheric structures segmentation – « Single » pixels

### 95th percentile





2) Chromospheric structures segmentation – « Single » pixels

### 95th percentile



## Solution :

Using the size of supergranulation



2) Chromospheric structures segmentation – « Single » pixels

### 95th percentile 500" **Not plages** 0" SOLAR\_Y [arcsec] -500 -1000" -500" 500" 1000" SOLAR X [arcsec]

### Solution :

Using the size of supergranulation  $R_{sg}$  = Supergranular cell radius





2) Chromospheric structures segmentation – « Single » pixels

### 95th percentile



31

b

1000"





### Synoptic maps and the Sun seen as a star Chromospheric structures segmentation

# 4. Next steps



### Synoptic maps and the Sun seen as a star Chromospheric structures segmentation

- Inclination effect on the observed Ca II K emission at a given time
- Study the brightness variation as seen from any viewing angle during a solar cycle

# 4. Next steps





### Synoptic maps and the Sun seen as a star Chromospheric structures segmentation

- Inclination effect on the observed Ca II K emission at a given time
- Study the brightness variation as seen from any viewing angle during a solar cycle
- Contribution of chromospheric structures to the long-term brightness variation
- Run algorithm for images during a solar cycle
- Plot the intensity variation of structures as a function of time + comparison with solar irradiance variation
- Study the specific contribution of plages

# 5. Other activities



• FRIA Grants  $\rightarrow$  Final grading : A-

 $\rightarrow$  Application not funded due to the strong competition in the field

# 5. Other activities



- FRIA Grants  $\rightarrow$  Final grading : A-
  - $\rightarrow$  Application not funded due to the strong competition in the field
- Formations: tutorials, trainings, internal seminars (mine in September)

# 5. Other activities



- FRIA Grants  $\rightarrow$  Final grading : A-
  - $\rightarrow$  Application not funded due to the strong competition in the field
- Formations: tutorials, trainings, internal seminars (mine in September)
- USET observations



# Thank you for your attention !