Baseline toxicity data of different insecticides against the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and control failure likelihood estimation in Burkina Faso

B.R. Ahissou^{1,2,3}, W.M. Sawadogo^{1,2}, A.H. Bokonon-Ganta³, I. Somda², M-P. Kestemont⁴ & F.J. Verheggen¹*

1 Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, TERRA, University of Liege, Gembloux, Belgium

2 Institut du Développement Rural, Université Nazi Boni, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso

3 Laboratoire d'Entomologie Agricole, Faculté des Sciences Agronomiques, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Abomey-Calavi, Benin

4 Louvain School of Management, Catholic University of Louvain, Leuven, Belgium

ABSTRACT

The fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda) is a worldwide maize pest originating from the American continent. It invaded Africa during 2016, causing important economic damages, forcing African countries to take urgent actions to tackle this new invasive pest. In Burkina Faso, several chemical insecticides were promoted, but farmers have quickly and repeatedly reported control failures. In this work, we collected seven fall armyworm populations in as many maize producing areas of Burkina Faso. Following the approved IRAC leaf bioassay protocole, we evaluated the susceptibility of third instar larvae to seven commercially available insecticide formulations, including various modes of action: methomyl and chlorpyriphos-ethyl (acetylcholinesterase inhibitors), deltamethrin and lambda-cyhalothrin (sodium channel modulators), emamectin benzoate and abamectin (chloride channel activators) and Bacillus *thuringiensis* (a microbial disruptor of insect midgut membranes). Lethal concentrations (LC₅₀), resistance ratios (RR50) and relative toxicity were calculated for each population and active ingredient. LC₅₀ values for all S. frugiperda populations were, in order of importance: emamectin benzoate (0.33-0.38 µg/l), methomyl (18-73 mg/l), abamectin (58-430 mg/l), chlorpyrifos-ethyl (199-377 mg/l), deltamethrin (70-541 mg/l) and lambda-cyhalothrin (268-895 mg/l). LC₅₀ of the *B. thuringiensis* formulation ranged from 430 to 614 MIU/l. Lambdacyhalothrin was the least efficient of the tested chemical pesticides, and emamectin benzoate the most efficient (relative toxicity \times 2,712,969). Methomyl (\times 49), abamectin (\times 5), deltamethrin (\times 13), chlorpyriphos-ethyl (\times 4) were also more toxic than lambda-cyhalothrin.

Based on these results, we conclude that emamectin benzoate, methomyl and chlorpyriphosethyl insecticides are the most efficient for the control of the fall armyworm in Burkina Faso. We discuss the importance to implement a national-level resistance survey for this major pest, which would allow rapid and efficient adaptation of the control strategy.

Key words: chlorpyriphos-ethyl, emamectin benzoate, fall armyworm, methomyl, pyrethroids, resistance, Burkina Faso

INTRODUCTION

The fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a major insect pest of various crops, but mainly maize. Native to America, *S. frugiperda* was first reported on the African continent in January 2016 (Goergen *et al.* 2016). From West Africa, it rapidly spread across the continent (Prasanna *et al.* 2018) and currently continues spreading to Asia (Maino *et al.* 2021; Sharanabasappa *et al.* 2018). Besides its main host plant, it is reported to develop on rice, sorghum, sugarcane, cabbage, beet, groundnut, soybean, onion, cotton, millet, tomato, and potato (Goergen *et al.* 2016), threatening nutritional security of millions of agricultural households in Africa (Prasanna *et al.* 2018). For instance, the estimated national mean loss of maize in Ghana was 22–67%, in Zambia 25–50% (Day *et al.* 2017), in Ethiopia and Kenya 32–47% (Kumela *et al.* 2019).

Due to the widespread and sometimes indiscriminate use of insecticides in the Americas, *S. frugiperda* populations rapidly developed resistance to organochlorines, organophosphates, carbamates and pyrethroids (Diez-Rodriguez & Omoto, 2001; Young & McMillian, 1979; Yu, 1991, 1992; Yu *et al.* 2003). Resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* maize have also been reported in Brazil, Puerto Rico and the U.S.A. (Flagel *et al.* 2018). As a result, farmers have increased frequencies and doses of insecticide applications, leading to field control failures in Brazil, Puerto Rico and Mexico (Carvalho *et al.* 2013; Gutiérrez-Moreno *et al.* 2019; León-García *et al.* 2012).

In Africa, barely any alternatives to insecticides are being used. Most African countries had no insecticide formulations specifically recommended or registered for the protection of maize against this new pest (Sisay *et al.* 2019). Farmers were advised to apply formulations despite their questionable and unproven efficacy (Harrison *et al.* 2019; Sisay *et al.* 2019), including emamectin benzoate, imidacloprid, lindane, chlorpyriphos-ethyl, acetamiprid, cypermethrin, lambda-cyhalothrin (Kuate *et al.* 2019). In Burkina Faso for instance, more than

12,000 l of synthetic insecticides were sprayed on 14 000 ha of *S. frugiperda* infested fields, during the 2018–2019 crop season (MAAH 2018). However, many farmers complain about the ineffectiveness of some of these products for controlling *S. frugiperda* (Sisay *et al.* 2019).

In this context, we decided to conduct an acute toxicity assay on *S. frugiperda*, using insects collected in all maize production areas of Burkina Faso, and using most of the available active substances. With this information, we hope to provide the authorities with valuable information to communicate to farmers, and the scientific community with the resistance status of this pest in a newly invaded area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insects

Spodoptera frugiperda larvae were collected from maize fieds located in two provinces of the country: Houet (collected in October 2019) and Kadiogo (collected in December 2019) (Fig. 1). Between 100 and 200 larvae were collected from each location: Samendeni, Tolotama, Toussiana, Sambla Toukoro (Houet), Nongana, Nakamtenga and Pabré (Kadiogo). They are referred hereafter to as Sam, Tol, Tou, STo, Non, Nak and Pab populations, respectively. Maize, tomato, cabbage and other vegetable crops are grown year-around in the province of Kadiogo. Maize, sorghum and other cereals are typically grown in the province of Houet.

Larvae were placed in plastic boxes with fresh maize leaves and shipped to the laboratory at the Training and Research Centre of the University Nazi Boni (UNB) in Bobo Dioulasso, Burkina Faso (Fig. 1). They were confirmed to be fall armyworm after morphological examination of the larvae and subsequent observation of the forewings of adult moths after emergence. Larvae were reared on maize leaves in the laboratory at 25 ± 2 °C, $60 \pm 15\%$ relative humidity, and under a 12:12 photoperiod. Insect development was checked every other day and fresh leaves were replaced after 24 h until pupation. Pupae were collected daily and placed in a cage ($60 \times 40 \times 40$ cm). The bottom of the cage was covered with white paper for female egg-laying. They were fed with a sugar water solution (100 g/l) throughout their life. The white paper was removed after oviposition, and cut to individualise each egg mass in separate boxes. Eggs were maintained under the same environmental conditions. Populations were reared in the laboratory and the progeny from the F1 generation was used for all bioassays.



Fig. 1. Sampling locations of *S. frugiperda* populations. Left: Insects were collected from the two provinces of Houet and Kadiogo. Top right: collection sites in Kadiogo. Bottom right: collection sites in Houet.

Insecticides

According to the global list of pesticides authorized by the Sahelian Pesticides Committee (CSP) of November 2019, there is no insecticide registered for control of *S. frugiperda* in maize (CSP 2019). All insecticides used in this study are registered and officially intended for controlling lepidopteran larvae and other insect pests in vegetable crops. We selected the most widely used active substances: methomyl (250 g a.i./kg, Savahaler, Savana, France), chlorpyriphos-ethyl (480 g a.i./l, Pyrical 480EC, Arysta Lifescience, France), deltamethrin (25 g a.i./l, Tamega, Savana, France), lambda-cyhalothrin (25 g a.i./l, Sunhalothrin 2,5% EC, Wynca Sunshine, Mali), emamectin benzoate (19 g a.i./l, Emacot 019EC, Savana, France), abamectin (18 g a.i./l, Acarius, Savana, France) and *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* (16 000 IU/mg, Bio K 16, Savana, France). The seven active ingredients tested, their IRAC group and modes of action are listed in Table 1.

Insecticide assay

Insecticide assays were conducted with F1 third-instars by following the IRAC standard leaf bioassay protocol (http://www.irac-online.org/). Maize leaves were washed with tap water and dried before being immersed for 10 sec in the insecticide solution. They were then allowed to dry for 1 h. Each insecticide solution was freshly prepared with distilled water and Triton X-100 (0.2 g/l). A distilled water solution containing Triton X-100 (0.2 g/l) was used as control. The leaves were placed in individual Petri dishes (9 cm in diameter) containing blotting paper. A minimum of five different concentrations of the tested insecticide solution were included in the assay. A total of 40 larvae were observed per tested concentration. They were placed individually in a Petri dish, and maintained at 25 ± 2 °C, $60 \pm 15\%$ relative humidity, and 12:12 photoperiod. Morbidity was assessed after 48 h of exposure to insecticides (72 h in the case of *B. thuringiensis*, because mortality was only observed on the third day after inoculation). Individuals were considered dead if they failed to move when touched with a small brush, or when they showed severe intoxication symptoms such as severe growth inhibition, halted molting, and feeding cessation.

| | IRAC | | Label | | |
|----------------------|-------|--|-------------------|--|--|
| Active ingredient | group | Mode of action | concentration | | |
| | | | (mg a.i./l) | | |
| Methomyl | 1A | Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitors | 937.50 | | |
| Chlorpyriphos-ethyl | 1B | Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitors | 1 600.00 | | |
| Deltamethrin | 3A | Sodium channel modulators | 37.50 | | |
| Lambda-cyhalothrin | 3A | Sodium channel modulators | 83.33 | | |
| Emamectin benzoate | 6 | Chloride channel activators | 31.66 | | |
| Abamectin | 6 | Chloride channel activators | 63.00 | | |
| Bacillus | 11A | Microbial disruptors of insect midgut | $8 \times 10^7 *$ | | |
| thuringiensis | | membranes | | | |
| *Label rate expresse | d in | IU/l; IRAC: Insecticide Resistance | Action Committee | | |

Table 1. Insecticides used against fall armyworm populations

*Label rate expressed in IU/l; IRAC: Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (http://www.irac-online.org/).

Statistical analysis

The Abbott (1925) formula was used to correct the mortality. Concentration-mortality were subjected to probit analysis (Finney 1971) using SPSS software, to calculate values of slope, lethal concentration (LC₅₀), and fiducial limits (95%) for each population. Populations responses were considered equal when the confidence limits overlapped (Robertson & Preisler 1992). Resistance ratios (RR50) were determined by dividing the LC₅₀ value of a given population by the LC₅₀ of the most susceptible population. Control failure likelihood (CFL) was calculated by multiplying the achieved mortality percentage (to the label concentration) by 100, dividing the product by the minimum required efficacy (%) and subtracting the result from 100 (Guedes 2017). If the achieved mortality was higher than the required efficacy of the commercial formulation, CFL values < 0% suggest a negligible risk of control failure. The required efficacy was set at 80%, because it is the minimum efficacy threshold required to allow registration of a synthetic insecticide (Silva *et al.* 2011). The same reasoning was used for the biopesticide tested in this study, but with consideration of a minimum efficacy thresholds of 70% (Guedes 2017).

 $CFL = 100 - \frac{\text{Achieved mortality (\%)} \times 100}{\text{Required efficacy (\%)}}$

RESULTS

 LC_{50} values are presented in Table 2, along with resistance ratios, for all active substances and *S. frugiperda* populations.

For the acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, the LC_{50} values ranged from 18 to 73 mg/l for methomyl, and from 199 to 377 mg/l for chlorpyrifos-ethyl. Two populations (STo and Non) were slightly less susceptible to methomyl, since they had higher LC_{50} values, leading to resistance ratios (RR50) of 1.79 and 4 fold. For chlorpyrifos-ethyl, 6 out of 7 populations of *S. frugiperda* had similar susceptibility, with RR50 between 1.34 to 1.89 fold. The fall armyworm collection from Non was less susceptible to chlorpyrifos-ethyl.

For sodium channel modulators, the LC_{50} values ranged from 70 to 541 mg/l for deltamethrin, and from 268 and 895 mg/l for lambda-cyhalothrin. Three populations (STo, Tol, Tou) were less susceptible than the others to deltamethrin, with RR50 between 5 to 7 fold. Similar susceptibility to lambda-cyhalothrin was observed among the tested populations.

| Fit of probit line | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------|-----|------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Insecticides | Location | n ^a | Slope \pm SE ^b | X^2 | ddl | Р | LC ₅₀ (95% FL) mg/1° | RR50 ^d | |
| Methomyl | Sam | 200 | 3.27 ± 0.41 | 3.66 | 3 | 0.30 | 25.91 (21.80-30.45) | 1 | |
| | Tol | 200 | 3.55 ± 0.45 | 3.46 | 3 | 0.33 | 25.23 (21.49–29.45) | 1 | |
| | Tou | 200 | 3.05 ± 0.38 | 0.71 | 3 | 0.87 | 26.16 (21.81-30.96) | 1 | |
| | STo | 200 | 3.04 ± 0.36 | 3.26 | 3 | 0.35 | 32.69 (27.51–38.69) | 1.79 | |
| | Non | 240 | 1.45 ± 0.18 | 2.78 | 4 | 0.60 | 73.34 (54.56–97.45) | 4.01 | |
| | Nak | 200 | 2.23 ± 0.35 | 5.32 | 3 | 0.15 | 31.87 (21.73–41.09) | 1 | |
| | Pab | 200 | 2.22 ± 0.30 | 2.83 | 3 | 0.42 | 18.27 (13.35–23.19) | 1 | |
| Chlorpyriphos | Sam | 280 | 8.86 ± 1.21 | 4.34 | 5 | 0.50 | 267.64 (252.92–283.42) | 1.34 | |
| -ethyl | Tol | 200 | 5.64 ± 0.81 | 3.74 | 3 | 0.29 | 280.39 (255.63–316.57) | 1.41 | |
| | Tou | 200 | 6.85 ± 0.93 | 1.13 | 3 | 0.77 | 333.04 (306.40–365.98) | 1.67 | |
| | STo | 200 | 5.78 ± 0.70 | 0.73 | 3 | 0.87 | 294.62 (263.97-333.61) | 1.48 | |
| | Non | 200 | 5.46 ± 0.59 | 4.69 | 3 | 0.20 | 199.23 (179.44–220.40) | 1 | |
| | Nak | 200 | 4.41 ± 0.57 | 0.76 | 3 | 0.86 | 324.04 (286.02-371.84) | 1.63 | |
| | Pab | 240 | 3.47 ± 0.41 | 7.63 | 4 | 0.11 | 377.32 (329.57–435.42) | 1.89 | |
| Deltamethrin | Sam | 200 | 3.59 ± 0.45 | 3.99 | 3 | 0.26 | 69.95 (60.86-80.12) | 1 | |
| | Tol | 200 | 4.22 ± 0.58 | 7.28 | 3 | 0.06 | 385.88 (336.76-443.24) | 5.52 | |
| | Tou | 200 | 4.22 ± 0.58 | 7.28 | 3 | 0.06 | 358.88 (336.76-443.24) | 5.13 | |
| | STo | 240 | 11.00 ± 1.15 | 7.38 | 4 | 0.12 | 540.65 (516.43-567.42) | 7.73 | |
| | Non | 240 | 2.57 ± 0.29 | 4.89 | 4 | 0.30 | 118.02 (99.67–139.86) | 1 | |
| | Nak | 240 | 3.65 ± 0.41 | 3.39 | 4 | 0.50 | 90.86 (79.25–103.80) | 1 | |
| | Pab | 200 | 3.26 ± 0.47 | 5.26 | 3 | 0.15 | 184.39 (160.09–218.49) | 2.64 | |
| Lambda– | Sam | 240 | 2.14 ± 0.34 | 9.05 | 4 | 0.06 | 268.35 (223.32-329.25) | 1 | |
| cyhalothrin | Tol | 200 | 8.55 ± 1.08 | 2.79 | 3 | 0.43 | 674.10 (637.42–713.87) | 2.51 | |
| | Tou | 200 | 6.61 ± 1.04 | 6.98 | 3 | 0.07 | 387.69 (355.63-414.55) | 1.44 | |
| | STo | 240 | 2.58 ± 0.38 | 8.70 | 4 | 0.07 | 513.72 (439.01-611.66) | 1.91 | |
| | Non | 240 | 5.23 ± 0.60 | 7.78 | 4 | 0.10 | 895.28 (814.41-977.15) | 3.34 | |
| | Nak | 240 | 4.55 ± 0.47 | 3.85 | 4 | 0.43 | 536.40 (479.66-596.44) | 2.00 | |
| | Pab | 200 | 3.31 ± 0.51 | 5.01 | 3 | 0.17 | 486.86 (419.32-555.71) | 1.81 | |
| Emamectin | Sam | 360 | 2.83 ± 0.32 | 1.53 | 7 | 0.98 | 0.00036 (0.00029-0.00043) | 1 | |
| benzoate | Tol | 240 | 2.93 ± 0.33 | 1.29 | 4 | 0.86 | 0.00037 (0.00031-0.00044) | 1 | |
| | Tou | 240 | 3.00 ± 0.35 | 0.75 | 4 | 0.95 | 0.00033 (0.00028-0.00039) | 1 | |
| | STo | 200 | 2.89 ± 0.34 | 1.14 | 3 | 0.77 | 0.00035 (0.00029-0.00042) | 1 | |
| | Non | 240 | 3.67 ± 0.43 | 8.34 | 4 | 0.08 | 0.00033 (0.00028-0.00038) | 1 | |
| | Nak | 240 | 3.65 ± 0.43 | 8.92 | 4 | 0.06 | 0.00033 (0.00029-0.00038) | 1 | |
| | Pab | 240 | 4.26 ± 0.53 | 8.01 | 4 | 0.09 | 0.00038 (0.00033-0.00043) | 1 | |
| Abamectin | Sam | 200 | 3.12 ± 0.52 | 6.02 | 3 | 0.11 | 58.49 (49.39-67.13) | 1 | |
| | Tol | 200 | 3.75 ± 0.45 | 7.13 | 3 | 0.07 | 69.91 (61.66–79.73) | 1 | |
| | Tou | 200 | 4.81 ± 0.56 | 4.79 | 3 | 0.19 | 67.41 (60.59–74.95) | 1 | |
| | STo | 200 | 2.93 ± 0.51 | 7.11 | 3 | 0.07 | 62.34 (52.64–72.16) | 1 | |
| | Non | 320 | 4.79 ± 0.51 | 10.56 | 6 | 0.10 | 429.88 (391.02-475.24) | 7.35 | |
| | Nak | 240 | 4.19 ± 0.49 | 1.67 | 4 | 0.80 | 245.83 (220.71-277.07) | 4.20 | |
| | Pab | 280 | 4.38 ± 0.45 | 3.68 | 5 | 0.60 | 302.43 (272.70-338.09) | 5.17 | |
| Bacillus | Sam | 240 | 3.97 ± 0.47 | 3.61 | 4 | 0.46 | 430283534 (379409870-488993314) | 1 | |
| thuringiensis | Tol | 240 | 3.34 ± 0.40 | 1.39 | 4 | 0.85 | 424782228 (369261848-492489955) | 1 | |
| - | STo | 240 | 4.55 ± 0.51 | 5.05 | 4 | 0.28 | 399646077 (355362294-448381180) | 1 | |
| | Non | 240 | 4.01 ± 0.48 | 2.23 | 4 | 0.69 | 443448757 (391262200-503492947) | 1 | |
| | Nak | 280 | 3.75 ± 0.47 | 1.94 | 5 | 0.86 | 614514737 (544525234–698128872) | 1.54 | |

Table 2. Acute toxicity of some insecticides formulations against different populations

 of the fall armyworm from Burkina Faso

^an = number of larvae tested; ^bSE = standard error; ^cLC₅₀ expressed in IU/l for *B. thuringiensis*; ^dRR50 = resistance ratio 50, LC₅₀ value of a given population by the LC₅₀ of the more susceptible population. For chloride channel activators, very low LC₅₀ values were obtained for emamectin benzoate, ranging from 0.33 to 0.38 μ g/l. We found no difference among the tested populations (the fiducial limits 95% overlap). Abamectin LC₅₀ values ranged from 58 to 430 mg/l, with the Houet populations less susceptible than the Kadiogo population (*F* = 34.26; ddl = 6; *P* < 0.00001).

| | Houet | | | Kadiogo | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Insecticides | Sam | Tol | Tou | STo | Non | Nak | Pab | Mean |
| Methomyl | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 |
| Chlorpyriphos-ethyl | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -22.5 | -24.64 |
| Deltamethrin | 77.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 90.0 | 98.75 | 80.54 |
| Lambda-cyhalothrin | 81.25 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.96 |
| Emamectin benzoate | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 | -25.0 |
| Abamectin | 33.75 | 46.25 | 45.0 | 37.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.07 |
| Bacillus thuringiensis | 100.0 | 100.0 | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | 100.0 |

 Table 3. Control failure likehood (%) of populations of the fall armyworm using insecticides in Burkina Faso

Among the seven insecticides tested, *B. thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* (BIO K 16) was the only biopesticide (a microbial disruptors of insect midgut membranes). Larval mortality was recorded on the third day after inoculation. The LC₅₀ ranged from values were closed for all tested populations, ranging from 399 and 614 MIU/l. The overlapping fiducial limits at 95% indicate that the susceptibility levels of the Sam, Tol, STo and Non populations were not statistically different, while Nak population showed level of resistance to *B. thuringiensis* (1.54 fold) in comparison to the other populations.

Control failure likelihood (CFL) was assessed by considering a minimum efficacy threshold of 80% for synthetic insecticides and 70% for the biopesticide (Table 3). Three active ingredients have negligible risks of control failure (i.e. their CFL values are below 0%): methomyl, chlorpyriphos-ethyl and emamectin benzoate. This risk is higher for the other compounds, with CFL values ranging from 77 to 100% for pyrethroids and reaching 100% for *B. thuringiensis*, for all populations. This probability for abamectin was moderate for Sam, Tol and Tou populations (33.75 to 46.25) and very high for Non, Nak and Pab populations (100%).

To calculate the relative toxicities among the tested chemical insecticides, lambdacyhalothrin was set at a value of 1, since it was the least efficient. On the basis of LC₅₀ values, the relative toxicity was the highest for emamectin benzoate (relative toxicity: \times 2,712,969). Methomyl (\times 49), abamectin (\times 15), deltamethrin (\times 13), chlorpyriphos-ethyl (\times 4) were also more toxic than lambda-cyhalothrin.

DISCUSSION

Our study was performed in a context of absence of registered insecticides against the fall armyworm in West Africa. We decided to perform this study with as many active molecules as possible, to be representative of what farmers are likely to apply in their fields. We selected old and newer insecticides belonging to four modes of action: AChE inhibitors (methomyl, chlorpyriphos-ethyl), sodium channel modulators (deltamethrin, lambda-cyhalothrin), chloride channel activators (emamectin benzoate, abamectin), and microbial disruptors of insect midgut membranes (*B. thuringiensis*). Although some slight differences were observed among the tested populations, they were all in the same range of susceptibility. This could probably be explained by a limited number of introductory pathways of this pest to Burkina Faso (Early *et al.* 2018; Otim *et al.* 2018), the relatively short period of establishment in the country (Tizie & N'Guessan 2017) and the similarity among the areas the populations were sampled in terms of control methods (MAAH 2018).

Emamectin benzoate was, by far, the most efficient insecticide to control *S. frugiperda*. The fall armyworms were also relatively susceptible to the older insecticides methomyl (carbamate) and chlorpyriphos-ethyl (organophosphaste), confirming previous reports from other countries (Gutiérrez-Moreno *et al.* 2019; Ríos-Díez & Saldamando-Benjumea 2011). Based on our results, low risk of control failure are expected for these three insecticides. *Spodoptera frugiperda* were less susceptible to deltamethrin and lambda-cyhalothrin, despite the high doses included in our assays. Previous evidences of high resistance levels of deltamethrin and lambda-cyhalothrin were reported in Mexico, Brazil and Colombia (Carvalho *et al.* 2013; León-García *et al.* 2012; Ríos-Díez & Saldamando-Benjumea 2011). In this context, failure to control fall armyworm would be very likely, as the doses required are higher than those authorised by the manufacturers, and therefore would be of serious health and environmental risk. In Benin, Adeye *et al.* (2018) reported the ineffectiveness of the binary Lambdace 25 EC (lambda-cyhalothrin 15 g/l and acetamiprid 10 g/l) on fall armyworm. In the

insecticides were only calculated by comparing LC_{50} values and fiducial limits at 95% to identify a significantly more susceptible population. No difference of susceptibility level to emamectin benzoate was recorded in all seven tested populations. In addition, no spatial dependence to insecticides was observed for the majority of the products tested, with exception of abamectin.

Contrasted susceptibility levels to different active substances may be observed within a pest population as the result of several factors, alone or in combination: 1) differences in crop management approaches among the regions, 2) climatic conditions (seasonal or tropical), 3) the mode of action of the insecticide, 4) the *S. frugiperda* original strain (maize or rice strains) and 5) the methods used to assess susceptibility and development of resistance to the chemicals (Ríos-Díez & Saldamando-Benjumea 2011). Several previous studies clearly demonstrated differences of susceptibility to various insecticides among field strains of *S. frugiperda* in Mexico and Puerto Rico (Gutiérrez-Moreno *et al.* 2019), Brazil (Campos *et al.* 2011; Carvalho *et al.* 2013), Colombia (Ríos-Díez & Saldamando-Benjumea 2011), Venezuela (Morillo & Notz 2001), USA (Yu 1991, 1992).

In general, the resistance ratios were higher for pyrethroids than organophosphates and carbamates (Carvalho et al. 2013; León-García et al. 2012; Morillo & Notz 2001). Resistance ratio (RR50) of the lambda-cyhalothrin selected strain in Venezuela varied from 19.4-41.9 fold between P0 and F9 generation, whereas in a methomyl selected strain the RR50 ranged from 3.1–22.1 fold in P0 to F9 (Morillo & Notz 2001). Subsequent experiments with a population of S. frugiperda from Mexico also showed high resistance ratios: 1002.2 fold, 204.5 fold and 183.0 fold for deltamethrin, lambda-cyhalothrin and methomyl, respectively (León-García et al. 2012). In another study, the resistance to lambda-cyhalothrin increased 10-fold in 6.5 generations compared to 11.5 generations for methomyl, which has a similar mode of action to diazinon (i.e. AChE inhibitors). Heritability of resistance was therefore higher for lambdacyhalothrin, making methomyl a better option for control of fall armyworm from Colombia (Ríos-Díez & Saldamando-Benjumea 2011), similarly to our results. Genetic bases of pesticide resistance are essential to better understand the evolution of resistance and to refine resistance management strategies (Mckenzie 2000). The heritability of S. frugiperda resistance to lambdacyhalothrin has been identified as autosomal and recessive, which tends to delay inheritance (Diez-Rodriguez & Omoto 2001). Previous evidences of the inefficiency of some pyrethroid insecticides were reported around the globe, for example in Brazil and Puerto Rico (Carvalho et al. 2013; Gutiérrez-Moreno et al. 2019).

The present study also shows that fall armyworm populations in Burkina Faso are highly susceptible to AChE inhibitors (methomyl, chlorpyrifos-ethyl). These old molecules showed better efficacy and lower probability of treatment failure compared to abamectin, one of the newest molecules available on the market in Burkina Faso. This is contradictory with the results recently obtained by Gutiérrez-Moreno et al. (2019), which reported that AChE inhibitors, displayed lower potencies against fall armyworm populations from Puerto Rico and Mexico than newer molecules. For abamectin, we showed a variation in susceptibility of fall armyworm populations depending on the cropping system of the sampled area. Fall armyworm populations collected from the province of Kadiogo (with vegetable crops associated with maize) were less susceptible than those collected from the province of Houet (mainly producing cereals). This difference in susceptibility may be related to the frequency of insecticide applications, higher in vegetable crops than in cereals; maize was not treated with insecticides before the arrival of the fall armyworm in 2016 (Caniço et al. 2020). Now, abamectin is becoming increasingly important in tomato production to control major pests such as mites and leafminer Tuta absoluta Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Burkina Faso (Sawadogo et al. 2020; Son et al. 2017, 2018).

Emamectin benzoate was found to be highly effective against all sampled fall armyworm populations. Emamectin benzoate came out to be an effective insecticide for most armyworm species: it exhibited low or very low levels of resistance in *S. litura* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) (Karuppaiah & Srivastava 2013; Motaphale *et al.* 2018; Sharma & Pathania 2014), and *S. exigua* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) (Saeed *et al.* 2012; Zhang *et al.* 2014). In a recent study, emamectin benzoate also proved to be very effective against the fall armyworm, with a low level of resistance, comparable to abamectin (Gutiérrez-Moreno *et al.* 2019). As observed in our assays, previous works reported that abamectin was less toxic for *S. litura* than emamectin benzoate (Ahmad & Mehmood 2015; Thodsare & Srivastava 2014). In the case of *S. exigua*, genetic studies have shown that inheritance of emamectin benzoate was autosomal, incompletely dominant, and polygenic (Che *et al.* 2015). Consequently, continued and intensive application of this compound has contributed to rapid evolution of high resistance in field populations from China (Che *et al.* 2013; Su & Sun 2014). Due to their similar mode of action, *S. exigua* selected with emamectin benzoate had a high level cross-resistance to abamectin (Che *et al.* 2015).

Biological control should become a more important part of fall armyworm management in Africa (Kenis *et al.* 2019). Biopesticides are emerging, with *B. thuringiensis* among the most promising solutions (Deravel *et al.* 2014). However, the number of resistant species has been increasing worldwide (Tabashnik *et al.* 2013). In the present study, some *S. frugiperda* populations were less susceptible than others to the biopesticide *B. thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki*. The exposure duration of 3 days may have limited the expression of the full potential of this relatively slow-acting insecticide. Several studies have shown that the susceptibility to synthetic insecticides depends on the level of resistance to *B. thuringiensis*. For example, strains of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) and *H. punctigera* (Wallengren) (all Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) resistant to Cry2Ab showed small increases in susceptibility to AChE inhibitors such as methomyl and chlorpyrifos (Bird & Downes 2014). Higher susceptibility to insecticides derived from the bacterium abamectin and spinosad was reported with a laboratory selected strain of *H. armigera* that was resistant to Cry1Ac (Xiao *et al.* 2016). This may also be the case for the fall armyworm for which improved susceptibility to *B. thuringiensis*.

Finally, the implementation of an efficient control strategy against this pest can only be achieved through a continuous survey of its susceptibility to insecticides, to be deployed in all maize-producing regions of the country. Such a monitoring is a fundamental element of any resistance prevention program: detecting susceptibility evolution in the laboratory allows the adaptation of the control methods before the problem becomes too serious. The establishment of a network of laboratories for insecticide susceptibility monitoring of *S. frugiperda* is essential for designing regional integrated management programmes, and to preserve the efficacy of the available active ingredients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the Academy of Research and Higher Education-Commission Development Cooperation (ARES-CDD) as part of the PRD AGRO-ECO project.

REFERENCES

- ABBOTT, S.W. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **18**: 265–267.
- ADEYE, A.T., SIKIROU, R., BOUKARI, S., ABOUDOU, M., AMAGNIDE, G.Y.G.A., IDRISSOU, B.S., IDRISSOU-TOURE, M. & ZOCLI, B. 2018. Protection de la culture de maïs contre *Spodoptera frugiperda* avec les insecticides plantneem, lambdace 25 EC et viper 46 EC et reduction de pertes de rendement au Benin. *Journal de La Recherche*

Scientifique de l'Université de Lomé 20(2): 53–65.

- AHMAD, M. & MEHMOOD, R. 2015. Monitoring of resistance to new chemistry insecticides in Spodoptera litura (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Pakistan. Journal of Economic Entomology 108(3): 1279–1288. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tov085
- BIRD, L.J. & DOWNES, S.J. 2014. Toxicity and cross-resistance of insecticides to Cry2Abresistant and Cry2Ab-susceptible *Helicoverpa armigera* and *Helicoverpa punctigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* **107**(5): 1923–1930. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1603/EC14230
- CAMPOS, M.R., PICANÇO, M.C., MARTINS, J.C., TOMAZ, A.C. & GUEDES, R.N.C.
 2011. Insecticide selectivity and behavioral response of the earwig *Doru luteipes*. *Crop Protection* **30**: 1535–1540. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2011.08.013
- CANIÇO, A., MEXIA, A. & SANTOS, L. 2020. First report of native parasitoids of fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* Smith (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Mozambique. *Insects* 11(615): 1–12. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11090615
- CARVALHO, R.A., OMOTO, C., FIELD, L.M., WILLIAMSON, M.S. & BASS, C. 2013. Investigating the molecular mechanisms of organophosphate and pyrethroid resistance in the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *PLoS ONE* **8**(4): e62268. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062268
- CHE, W., HUANG, J., GUAN, F., WU, Y. & YANG, Y. 2015. Cross-resistance and inheritance of resistance to emamectin benzoate in *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera : Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 108(4): 2015–2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tov168
- CHE, W., SHI, T., WU, Y. & YANG, Y. 2013. Insecticide resistance status of field populations of *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from China. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **106**(4): 1855–1862. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1603/EC13128
- CSP. 2019. Liste des Pesticides Autorisés par la 45ème Session Ordinaire du Comité Sahélien des Pesticides. Comité Permanent Inter-Etats de Lutte contre la Sècheresse dans le Sahel (CILSS). Comité Sahélien des Pesticides (CSP). Online at: http://www.insah.org/doc/pdf/liste_pesticides_autotises_par_45eSession_CSP_Nov-2019.pdf (accessed 2 July 2020)
- DAY, R.K., ABRAHAMS, P., BATEMAN, M.L., BEALE, T., CLOTTEY, V., COCK, M.,
 COLMENAREZ, Y., CORNIANI, N., EARLY, R., GODWIN, J., GOMEZ, J.,
 MORENO, P.G., MURPHY, S.T., OPPONG-MENSAH, B., PHIRI, N., PRATT, C.,
 SILVESTRI, S. & WITT, A. 2017. Fall armyworm: Impacts and implications for Africa.

Outlooks on Pest Management 28(5): 196–201. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1564/v28_oct_02

- DERAVEL, J., KRIER, F. & JACQUES, P. 2014. Les biopesticides, compléments et alternatives aux produits phytosanitaires chimiques (synthèse bibliographique). *Biotechnologie, Agronomie, Société et Environnement* 18(2): 220–232.
- DIEZ-RODRIGUEZ, G.I. & OMOTO, C. 2001. Herança da resistência de Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) a lambda-cialotrina. Neotropical Entomology 30(2): 311–316. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/S1519-566X2001000200016
- EARLY, R., GONZALEZ-MORENO, P., MURPHY, S.T. & DAY, R.K. 2018. Forecasting the global extent of invasion of the cereal pest *Spodoptera frugiperda*, the fall armyworm. *NeoBiota* **40**: 25–50. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.40.28165
- FINNEY, D.J. 1971. Probit Analysis. 3rd edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.
- FLAGEL, L., LEE, Y.W., WANJUGI, H., SWARUP, S., BROWN, A., WANG, J., KRAFT,
 E., GREENPLATE, J., SIMMONS, J., ADAMS, N., WANG, Y., MARTINELLI, S.,
 HAAS, J.A., GOWDA, A. & HEAD, G. 2018. Mutational disruption of the ABCC2 gene in fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, confers resistance to the Cry1Fa and Cry1A.105 insecticidal proteins. *Scentific Reports* 8(7255): 1–11. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25491-9
- GOERGEN, G., KUMAR, P.L., SANKUNG, S.B., TOGOLA, A. & TAMO, M. 2016. First report of outbreaks of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), a new alien invasive pest in West and Central Africa. *PLoS ONE* 11(10): e0165632. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165632
- GUEDES, R.N.C. 2017. Insecticide resistance, control failure likelihood and the First Law of Geography. *Pest Management Science* **73**: 479–484. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4452
- GUTIERREZ-MORENO, R., MOTA-SANCHEZ, D., BLANCO, C.A., WHALON, M.E., TERAN-SANTOFIMIO, H., RODRIGUEZ-MACIEL, J.C. & DIFONZO, C. 2019. Fieldevolved resistance of the fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to synthetic insecticides in Puerto Rico and Mexico. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **112**(2): 792–802. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toy372
- HARRISON, R.D., THIERFELDER, C., BAUDRON, F., CHINWADA, P., MIDEGA, C.A.O., SCHAFFNER, U. & VAN DEN BERG, J. 2019. Agro-ecological options for fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* J.E. Smith) management: Providing low-cost, smallholder friendly solutions to an invasive pest. *Journal of Environmental Management* 243: 318–330. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.05.011

- KARUPPAIAH, V. & SRIVASTAVA, C. 2013. Relative toxicity of newer insecticide molecules against *Spodoptera litura*. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences* **21**(2): 305–308.
- KENIS, M., DU PLESSIS, H., VAN DEN BERG, J., BA, M. N., GOERGEN, G., KWADJO,
 K. E., BAOUA, I., TEFERA, T., BUDDIE, A., CAFA, G., OFFORD, L.,
 RWOMUSHANA, I., & POLASZEK, A. (2019). *Telenomus remus*, a candidate parasitoid for the biological control of *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Africa, is already present on the continent. *Insects* 10(92): 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10040092
- KUATE, A.F., HANNA, R., DOUMTSOP FOTIO, A.R.P., ABANG, A.F., NANGA, S.N., NGATAT, S., TINDO, M., MASSO, C., NDEMAH, R., SUH, C. & FIABOE, K.K.M. 2019. *Spodoptera frugiperda* Smith (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Cameroon: Case study on its distribution, damage, pesticide use, genetic differentiation and host plants. *PLoS ONE* 14(4): e0215749. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215749
- KUMELA, T., SIMIYU, J., SISAY, B., LIKHAYO, P., MENDESIL, E., GOHOLE, L. & TEFERA, T. 2019. Farmers' knowledge, perceptions, and management practices of the new invasive pest, fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) in Ethiopia and Kenya. *International Journal of Pest Management* 65(1): 1–9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/09670874.2017.1423129
- LEON-GARCIA, I., RODRIGUEZ-LEYVA, E., ORTEGA-ARENAS, L.D. & SOLIS-AGUILAR, J.F. 2012. Insecticide susceptibility of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) associated with turfgrass at Quintana Roo, México. *Agrociencia* 46(3): 279–287.
- MAAH. 2018. Lutte contre la chenille légionnaire d'automne au Burkina Faso (Campagne agricole 2018-2019). Rapport général Ministère de l'Agriculture et des Aménagements Hydrauliques, Burkina Faso. Online at: https://www.ippc.int/static/media/files/cn_publication/2019/05/15/Rapport_chenille_201 8_vf.pdf (accessed 19 June 2020)
- MAINO, J. L., SCHOUTEN, R., OVERTON, K., DAY, R. K., EKESI, S., BETT, B., BARTON, M., GREGG, P. C., UMINA, P. A., & REYNOLDS, O. L. (2021). Current Research in Insect Science Regional and seasonal activity predictions for fall armyworm in Australia. *Current Research in Insect Science* 1: 100010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cris.2021.100010
- MCKENZIE, J.A. 2000. The character or the variation: the genetic analysis of the insecticideresistance phenotype. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **90**(1): 3–7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/s00074853000002x

- MORILLO, F. & NOTZ, A. 2001. Resistencia de *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) a lambdacihalotrina y metomil. *Entomotropica* **16**(2): 79–87.
- MOTAPHALE, A.A., BHOSLE, B.B. & BAWASKAR, D.M. 2018. Efficacy and economics of different insecticides and bio-rational against *Spodoptera litura* (Fabr.) in soybean. *Legume Research* **41**(6): 930–933. DOI: https://doi.org/10.18805/LR-3801
- OTIM, M.H., TAY, W.T., WALSH, T.K., KANYESIGYE, D., ADUMO, S., ABONGOSI, J.,
 OCHEN, S., SSERUMAGA, J., ALIBU, S., ABALO, G., ASEA, G. & AGONA, A. 2018.
 Detection of sister-species in invasive populations of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from Uganda. *PLoS ONE* 13(4): e0194571. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194571
- PRASANNA, B.M., HUESING, J.E., EDDY, R. & PESCHKE, V.M. (Eds). 2018. Fall Armyworm in Africa: A Guide for Integrated Pest Management. First Edition. CDMX: CIMMYT, Mexico.
- RIOS-DIEZ, J.D. & SALDAMANDO-BENJUMEA, C.I. 2011. Susceptibility of Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) strains from Central Colombia to two insecticides, methomyl and lambda-cyhalothrin: A study of the genetic basis of resistance. Journal of Economic Entomology 104(5): 1698–1705. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1603/ec11079
- ROBERTSON, J.L. & PREISLER, H.K. 1992. *Pesticide Bioassays with Arthropods*. CRC, Boca Raton, FL, U.S.A.
- SAEED, Q., SALEEM, M.A. & AHMAD, M. 2012. Toxicity of some commonly used synthetic insecticides against *Spodoptera exigua* (Fab.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Pakistan Journal* of Zoology 44(5): 1197–1201.
- SAWADOGO, M.W., SOMDA, I., NACRO, S., LEGREVE, A. & VERHEGGEN, F.J. 2020. Insecticide susceptibility level and control failure likelihood estimation of Sub-Saharan African populations of tomato leafminer: Evidence from Burkina Faso. *Physiological Entomology*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/phen.12332
- SHARANABASAPPA, KALLESHWARASWAMY, C.M., ASOKAN, R., SWAMY, H.M.M., MARUTHI, M.S., PAVITHRA, H.B., HEGDE, K., NAVI, S., PRABHU, S.T. & GOERGEN, G. 2018. First report of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), an alien invasive pest on maize in India. *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems* 24(1): 23–29.
- SHARMA, P.C. & PATHANIA, A. 2014. Susceptibility of tobacco caterpillar, Spodoptera litura (Fabricius) to some insecticides and biopesticides. Indian Journal of Scientific Research and Technology 2(6): 24–30.

- SILVA, G.A., PICANÇO, M.C., BACCI, L., CRESPO, A.L.B., ROSADO, J.F. & GUEDES, R.N.C. 2011. Control failure likelihood and spatial dependence of insecticide resistance in the tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta. Pest Management Science* 67: 913–920. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2131
- SISAY, B., TEFERA, T., WAKGARI, M., AYALEW, G. & MENDESIL, E. 2019. The efficacy of selected synthetic insecticides and botanicals against fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, in maize. *Insects* 10(45): 1–14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10020045
- SON, D., BONZI, S., SOMDA, I., BAWIN, T., BOUKRAA, S., VERHEGGEN, F.J., FRANCIS, F., LEGREVE, A. & SCHIFFERS, B. 2017. First Record of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick, 1917) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Burkina Faso. *African Entomology* 25(1): 259–263. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4001/003.025.0259
- SON, D., SOMDA, I., LEGREVE, A. & SCHIFFERS, B. 2018. Effect of plant diversification on pest abundance and tomato yields in two cropping systems in Burkina Faso: farmer practices and integrated pest management. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences* 12(1): 101–119. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v12i1.8
- SU, J. & SUN, X. 2014. High level of metaflumizone resistance and multiple insecticide resistance in field populations of *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Guangdong Province, China. *Crop Protection* 61: 58–63. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.03.013
- TABASHNIK, B.E., BREVAULT, T. & CARRIERE, Y. 2013. Insect resistance to Bt crops: lessons from the first billion acres. *Nature Biotechnology* **31**(6): 510–521. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2597
- THODSARE, N.H. & SRIVASTAVA, R.P. 2014. Bioefficacy of abamectin, chlorantraniprole and emamectin benzoate against tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.). *Journal of Entomological Research* **38**(4): 273–278.
- TIZIE, Y. G. & N'GUESSAN, C. 2017. Atelier de renformcement des capacités des Etats membres de la CEDEAO pour lutter contre l'invasion de Spodoptera frugiperda en Afrique de l'Ouest Abuja (Nigeria) du 5 au 10 Septembre 2017. Compte-rendu d'atelier, ANADER Agence Nationale d'Appui au Développement Rural, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. Online at: http://www.anader.ci/focus/Compte rendu de l'atelier d'Abuja POUR FOCUS.pdf (accessed 18 June 2020)
- XIAO, Y., LIU, K., ZHANG, D., GONG, L., HE, F., SOBERON, M., BRAVO, A., TABASHNIK, B.E. & WU, K. 2016. Resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* mediated by an

ABC transporter mutation increases susceptibility to toxins from other bacteria in an invasive insect. *PLoS Pathogens* **12**(2): e1005450. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005450

- YOUNG, J.R. & MCMILLIAN, W.W. 1979. Differential feeding by two strains of fall armyworm larvae on carbaryl treated surfaces. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 72: 202– 203. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/72.2.202
- YU, S.J. 1991. Insecticide resistance in the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith).
 Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology **39**(1): 84–91. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-3575(91)90216-9
- YU, S.J. 1992. Detection and biochemical characterization of insecticide resistance in fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 85(3): 675–682. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/85.3.675
- YU, S.J., NGUYEN, S.N. & ABO-ELGHAR, G.E. 2003. Biochemical characteristics of insecticide resistance in the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith). *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* 77: 1–11. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-3575(03)00079-8
- ZHANG, P., GAO, M., MU, W., ZHOU, C. & LI, X. 2014. Resistant levels of Spodoptera exigua to eight various insecticides in Shandong, China. Journal of Pesticide Science 39(1): 7–13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1584/jpestics.D13-053