

# The contribution of drifting snow to cloud properties and the atmospheric radiative budget over Antarctica

Stefan Hofer<sup>1</sup>, Charles Amory<sup>2,3</sup>, Christoph Kittel<sup>2</sup>, Tim Carlsen<sup>1</sup>, Louis Le Toumelin<sup>4</sup> and Trude Storelvmo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Climatology, SPHERES Research Unit, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Institut des Géosciences de l'Environnement, Grenoble, France

<sup>4</sup>Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, CNRM, Centre d'Etudes de la Neige, Grenoble, France

## Key Points:

- Accounting for drifting snow over Antarctica leads to a radiative forcing of  $+2.7 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  over the grounded ice sheet
- Accounting for drifting snow increases the cloud cover over Antarctica by 18.6%
- Drifting snow is an important - yet in climate models and observations often neglected - component of the Antarctic surface energy budget

---

Corresponding author: Stefan Hofer, [stefan.hofer@geo.uio.no](mailto:stefan.hofer@geo.uio.no)

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1029/2021GL094967](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL094967).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

## Abstract

The Antarctic Ice Sheet experiences perpetual katabatic winds, transporting snow and moisture from the interior towards the periphery. However, the impacts of Antarctic moisture and drifting snow on cloud structure and surface energy fluxes have not been widely investigated. Here, we use a regional climate model with a newly-developed drifting snow scheme to show that accounting for drifting snow notably alters the spatial distribution, vertical structure and radiative effect of clouds over Antarctica. Overall, we find that accounting for drifting snow leads to a greater cloud cover providing an increase of  $+2.74 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  in the surface radiative energy budget. Additionally, a comparison with 20 weather stations reveals a  $2.17 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  improvement in representing the radiative energy fluxes. Our results highlight the need to study the impact of drifting snow processes on the future evolution of clouds, the surface energy budget and the vertical atmospheric structure over Antarctica.

## Plain Language Summary

Antarctica is the continent with the strongest winds on Earth. These winds pick up a lot of snow on their way from the interior towards the ocean, forming drifting snow clouds. Drifting snow clouds can extend over 1000 km horizontally and multiple 100 m vertically. Like a normal cloud, they can reflect incoming sunlight like a mirror and trap heat like a blanket. However, most of our climate models don't yet incorporate these drifting snow clouds and therefore might be missing an important part of the Antarctic climate system. In this study we show that when we account for drifting snow clouds the Antarctic surface receives notably more thermal radiation. Additionally, we also show that we significantly improve our model when we include drifting snow by comparing our outputs to weather station observations over Antarctica. Therefore, we conclude that accurate Antarctic climate projections need to account for drifting snow.

## 1 Introduction

Due to strong surface radiative cooling in the interior Antarctic plateau, strong and perpetual katabatic winds emerge (Parish & Bromwich, 2007), redistributing snow mass from the interior of Antarctica towards the edges and ice shelves (Lenaerts & van den Broeke, 2012), where the roughly 4 km high plateau slopes steeply towards sea level. These perpetual katabatic winds pick up snow from the ground once they reach a threshold wind speed and create a drifting-snow cloud (Schmidt, 1980; Amory et al., 2017). These drifting-snow clouds can extend over several 100 m in the vertical direction (Mann et al., 2000; Gossart et al., 2017; Mahesh et al., 2003), and multiple 100 km in the horizontal (Palm et al., 2018; Mahesh et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2021).

Clouds are known to notably affect the present and future climates of polar ice sheets (Gilbert et al., 2020; Gorodetskaya et al., 2015; Lachlan-Cope, 2010; Hofer et al., 2017, 2019; Hahn et al., 2020). They have the ability to amend incoming and outgoing shortwave and longwave fluxes, depending on the cloud phase, height and particle size distribution, directly impacting the surface energy budget (Gilbert et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2016; Tan & Storelvmo, 2019). Optically-thick drifting-snow clouds, while not accounted for in most global and regional climate models, can change the atmospheric radiation budget (Le Toumelin et al., 2020), most notably because drifting-snow layers act as a cloud themselves, increasing the atmospheric longwave emissivity and decreasing the shortwave transparency of the atmosphere (Yang et al., 2014; Yamanouchi & Kawaguchi, 1984; Le Toumelin et al., 2020; Lawson et al., 2006). Further, drifting-snow sublimation acts as a moisture source and a heat sink and therefore changes the temperature and humidity distribution in the near-surface atmosphere (Amory & Kittel, 2019). Additionally, drifting-snow particles can also act as ice nucleating particles for cloud formation (Geerts et al., 2015), which impact the longevity, structure, cloud-phase distribution and precipitation formation within pre-existing clouds. While the near-surface air temperatures in the interior of Antarctica are often below  $-37^\circ\text{C}$ , where homogenous cloud droplet freezing glaciates all clouds, mixed-phase clouds can still exist above the boundary layer in the

69 Antarctic interior (Lawson & Gettelman, 2014), which are susceptible to changes in avail-  
70 able ice nuclei. However, so far very little is known about how clouds are influenced by  
71 drifting-snow processes in climate models, and how accounting for drifting snow over the  
72 current climate influences key polar cloud-, and therefore climate processes.

73 Here, we use two regional climate model simulations spanning the period of 2000-  
74 2019, one with a dynamic representation of drifting snow and one without, to assess the  
75 impact of accounting for drifting snow on the representation of Antarctic clouds and  
76 surface radiative fluxes. We compare our two simulations during the 2000-2019 period  
77 to concurrently available satellite products of cloud cover and the ERA5 reanalysis, to  
78 show whether accounting for drifting snow only amends or also improves the comparison  
79 of modelled to observed Antarctic clouds. Our results deliver a clear indication that ac-  
80 counting for drifting snow over polar ice sheets changes the 3D-structure of clouds and  
81 ultimately their contribution to the surface energy budget. Due to their similarity in radia-  
82 tive effects and also particle size (Lawson et al., 2006), we think that thick drifting-snow  
83 layers should be referred to as drifting-snow clouds and be included in satellite products  
84 used for model cloud cover evaluation. In conclusion, not accounting for drifting snow in  
85 future projections of the Antarctic climate might notably bias the drawn conclusions.

## 86 2 Materials and Methods

### 87 2.1 MAR

88 We use simulations performed with MAR (Fettweis et al., 2013; Hofer et al., 2020),  
89 a hydrostatic, polar-oriented, regional climate model extensively evaluated over Antarctica  
90 (Agosta et al., 2019; Mottram et al., 2020; Kittel et al., 2021). The microphysical scheme  
91 of MAR solves conservation equations for five atmospheric water species including spe-  
92 cific humidity, cloud droplets, rain drops, cloud ice crystals, and snow particles (Gallée &  
93 Schayes, 1994). Radiative transfer in the atmosphere is adapted from Morcrette (2002).  
94 Energy and mass transfer between the atmosphere and the snow/ice surface are achieved  
95 through the coupling of MAR with the one-dimensional surface scheme SISVAT (Soil Ice  
96 Snow Vegetation Atmosphere Transfer) (De Ridder & Gallée, 1998; Gallée & Duynderke,  
97 1997; Gallée et al., 2001), which includes a detailed representation of snow/firn/ice proper-  
98 ties inspired from an early version of the CROCUS snow model (Brun et al., 1992).

99 In this study, we used the latest model version of MAR (v3.11), which includes a re-  
100 cently updated drifting-snow scheme fully described and evaluated in Amory et al. (2021).  
101 Erosion of snow in the model occurs when the wind shear stress exerted at the surface  
102 exceeds a threshold value that depends only upon surface snow density ( $\rho_s$ ) when  $\rho_s <$   
103  $450 \text{ kg/m}^3$ .

104 Once removed from the surface, eroded particles are mixed with the pre-existing  
105 windborne snow mass and their interactions with the atmosphere are computed by the  
106 microphysical and the radiative transfer schemes. In particular, the latent heat uptake  
107 and moisture release due to sublimation of suspended snow particles is accounted for in  
108 the energy and mass budget of each atmospheric level in which sublimation occurs, and  
109 suspended snow particles are included in the computation of cloud radiative properties  
110 (Gallée & Gorodetskaya, 2010).

111 In both simulations, in which drifting snow was respectively switched on and off,  
112 we prescribed lateral, top-of-atmosphere and sea surface conditions from 6-hourly ERA5  
113 reanalysis (Hersbach et al., 2020). We ran MAR at a spatial resolution of  $35 \text{ km} \times 35 \text{ km}$   
114 and used 24 vertical levels to describe the atmosphere, with a higher vertical resolution in  
115 the low troposphere and a lowest level situated at 2 m above ground level.

116 For the comparison with in situ radiative observations, model results for surface  
117 radiative fluxes are extracted from the 4 closest grid cells to the observation location  
118 following the same method described in Mottram et al. (2020) for the comparison with  
119 weather observations.

## 2.2 CloudSat-CALIPSO cloud fraction

For the comparison of the cloud cover simulated by MAR with satellite observations, we use the combined CloudSat spaceborne radar and CALIPSO spaceborne lidar cloud fraction dataset (Kay & Gettelman, 2009). It is based on the R04 versions of the CloudSat standard products 2B-GEOPROF (Marchand et al., 2008) and 2B-GEOPROF-LIDAR (Mace et al., 2009) and provides the cloud fraction globally (82S-82N) on a  $2 \times 2$  horizontal grid with a 480 m vertical resolution. The great advantage of using this active remote sensing dataset is its independence from the surface albedo over the bright Antarctic (Kay et al., 2016). Here, we use the total mean cloud fraction between July 2006 and February 2011.

CloudSat/CALIPSO data was checked for cloud detection on a profile-by-profile basis. A positive cloud ID (meaning: cloud in this profile) requires a cloud thickness of 960 m (480 m for low clouds below 2.75 km). CloudSat data below 720m a.s.l. are excluded due to surface clutter. Each individual profile is flagged this way as cloud/no-cloud, and the total cloud fraction is calculated as the number of cloudy profiles divided by the total number of profiles within the  $2 \times 2$  grid cell.

Note here, that it ignores cloud cover below 720 m, the part of the atmosphere where drifting-snow clouds are most frequently observed.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Influence of drifting snow on the vertical atmospheric structure

Explicitly modelling drifting snow in MAR leads to a notable change in the atmospheric structure of the lowermost 100s of meters above ground (Fig.1 A-C). Over the flat interior of the Antarctic Ice Sheet, the first few 100 m show a strong decrease in atmospheric temperature, with a mean 0-500 m difference of  $-0.66 \pm 0.40^\circ\text{C}$  in elevations greater than 2000 m above mean sea level (Fig.1 A, note: throughout the manuscript uncertainties are given as the mean spatial variability as  $\pm 1$  standard deviation). Conversely, over the lower grounded ice and the low-lying ice shelves surrounding the Antarctic Ice Sheet (<100 m above sea level), this decrease in temperature in the drifting snow simulations is less notable. The mean 0-500 m above surface difference lies at  $-0.23 \pm 0.15^\circ\text{C}$ . The contrasting picture between the flat interior and the steeper and lower margins of Antarctica is likely caused by a contrast in atmospheric turbulence: 1) Due to the shallow surface slopes over the interior plateau and the corresponding stable boundary layer and less pronounced effect of turbulent mixing, the sublimational cooling is not mixed as efficiently as over the steeper margins. Therefore, we see a stronger boundary layer cooling in the interior when accounting for drifting snow sublimation, despite lower total erosion of snow by the wind than over steeper terrain. Sublimation cools the atmosphere because the change of water phase from solid to gaseous requires energy from the surrounding air to break up the bonds between the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules, leading to a drop in temperature. 2) Due to adiabatic warming and strong turbulent mixing in areas where the gravitational pull accelerates the katabatic winds down steep terrain, the height of the boundary layer increases and the particles are entrained into higher elevations. Therefore, the sublimational cooling is less concentrated over the margins of Antarctica and the ice shelves, despite a greater sublimation potential due to higher temperatures and increased erosion fluxes over the steeper margins.

In the boundary layer, accounting for drifting snow also increases cloud occurrence over the Antarctic continent (Fig.1B). Our results show that the strongest increase in 2000-2019 average cloud cover over the interior plateau strongly overlap with the changes in temperature seen in Figure 1A. In elevations above 2000 m above mean sea level the lowermost 500 m of the atmosphere show an increase of  $+18.4 \pm 11.8\%$  in cloud cover. Again, over lower elevations (<100 m) the signal is less pronounced, with an increase in cloud cover of  $+12.5 \pm 8.4\%$ .

**Figure 1. Difference in temperature and cloud properties between MAR with and without drifting snow during 2000-2019.** A) Cross-section of temperature differences between MAR with drifting snow turned on, and MAR without drifting snow (positive means MAR with drifting snow is warmer), along the path shown in the inset at the top right of the panel. B) Same as panel A), but showing the difference in cloud cover (in %) between the two simulations. C) Same as panel A) and B), but for the difference in the cloud radiative effect ( $Wm^{-2}$ ).

172 Generally, there are three overlapping mechanisms that can explain the greater cloud  
 173 amount over Antarctica, when accounting for drifting snow. 1) Thick drifting-snow layers  
 174 themselves act as a cloud, due to their ability to interact with incoming solar radiation  
 175 (i.e. a cloud optical depth  $> 0$ ) and their influence on the atmospheric longwave emissivity  
 176 (i.e. they increase the atmospheric longwave emissivity  $\epsilon$ ). 2) The sublimation of airborne  
 177 snow particles leads to a cooling of the surrounding air, while increasing the specific hu-  
 178 midity, both bringing the environment closer to saturation (Amory & Kittel, 2019). 3)  
 179 Drifting snow particles can act as additional nuclei on which water vapor can sublimate  
 180 or help with ice growth through the Wegener-Bergeron-Findeisen process in mixed-phase  
 181 clouds above the boundary layer. Ice crystal number concentration can furthermore poten-  
 182 tially multiply through secondary ice processes (Sotiropoulou et al., 2020). It is likely that  
 183 in most cases these three processes can act simultaneously.

184 Accounting for drifting snow also alters the cloud radiative effect, defined here as  
 185 the difference between the net radiative fluxes in all-sky conditions and under clear-sky  
 186 conditions ( $CRE = N_{all-sky} - N_{clear-sky}$ , where  $N$  is the net radiation at the surface, Fig.1  
 187 C). Again, we see the most notable changes in the boundary layer over the interior plateau  
 188 of Antarctica. In areas above 2000m above mean sea level, the CRE increases by  $+1.0$   
 189  $\pm 0.5 Wm^{-2}$  in the lowermost 500 m of the atmosphere. Conversely, the changes in the  
 190 cloud radiative effect are virtually negligible over the margins and ice shelves with  $+0.1 \pm$   
 191  $0.3 Wm^{-2}$ .

192 While we see the strongest effects again in the boundary layer of the interior  
 193 plateau, especially over the steeper margins, the CRE is altered up to elevations of roughly  
 194 5000m above ground. This vertical influence on the CRE might be due to the fact that  
 195 drifting-snow particles can be mixed to layers above the boundary layer in zones with  
 196 stronger adiabatic mixing and turbulence, i.e. over the steeper slopes where the katabatic  
 197 winds are the strongest. Subsequently, these additional solid particles (i.e. snow and ice  
 198 crystals) can influence the macrophysical cloud properties in our model (ice water path,  
 199 liquid water path and cloud optical depth), and therefore the cloud radiative effect. Addi-  
 200 tionally, because of changes in the vertical temperature distribution and humidity due to  
 201 drifting-snow sublimation, also the emissivity and temperature of the layers that emit the  
 202 longwave radiation can be altered between the two simulations.

### 203 3.2 Influence of drifting snow on cloud properties

204 To explore how the macrophysical cloud properties in MAR with drifting snow differ  
 205 from the control simulation without drifting snow, we show the spatial difference in cloud  
 206 cover, cloud optical depth, liquid- and ice water path in Fig.2 A-D.

207 Overall, our results show a clear signal of increased cloud cover over most of  
 208 Antarctica. Over the grounded ice sheet the increase in cloud cover is most notable with  
 209  $+18.6\%$ , but it also increases strongly over the low-lying ice shelves ( $+14.5\%$ ). Over most  
 210 of Antarctica our results indicate no changes in mean annual cloud optical depth (Fig.2B),  
 211 however over Antarctica most of the year solar radiation is absent. Interestingly, around  
 212 the Antarctic peninsula we see areas with a slightly more notable COD increase of up to  
 213  $+0.03$ , which is of the same order of magnitude as the mean cloud optical depth over all  
 214 the ice shelves.

215 Conversely, over the drier and colder interior of Antarctica, we see virtually no  
 216 changes in liquid water path despite a significant increase in cloud cover (Fig.2A-C). How-

**Figure 2. Difference in cloud properties between MAR with and without drifting snow.** A) Difference in cloud cover (%) between the two MAR simulations. Red colors indicate a greater cloud cover percentage in MAR with active drifting snow parameterisation. B) Same as A) but for the difference in cloud optical depth (COD, unitless) between the two MAR simulations. C) Same as A) but for the difference in liquid water path (LWP,  $\text{g/m}^2$ ). D) Same as A) but for the difference in ice water path (IWP,  $\text{g/m}^2$ ).

**Figure 3. Comparison between Cloudsat-Calipso cloud cover, MAR and ERA5.**

A) Comparison between MAR without drifting snow and Cloudsat-calipso cloud cover over 07/2006-02/2011. B) Same as A) but for the comparison with MAR including drifting snow. C) Comparison between ERA5 cloud cover and the Cloudsat-Calipso cloud cover.

217 ever, our results suggest a widespread increase in cloud ice water path (Fig.2D), with a  
 218 mean increase over the grounded AIS of  $+5.9 \text{ g/m}^2$  and even more over the ice shelves  
 219 with an increase of  $+9.1 \text{ g/m}^2$  in MAR with drifting snow. These changes in cloud ice  
 220 water path correspond to a  $+10.3\%$  increase over the grounded ice and a  $10.2\%$  increase  
 221 over the ice shelves. Note however, that the MAR cloud microphysics scheme currently  
 222 does not account for secondary ice production, where one single ice crystal can turn into  
 223 multiple ice crystals via collision breakup, drop shattering and rime splintering (Gallée  
 224 & Schayes, 1994; Storelvmo & Tan, 2015; Sotiropoulou et al., 2020; Field et al., 2017).  
 225 However, especially rime splintering and drop shattering need liquid to be present and are  
 226 most efficient in temperatures above what we observe over Antarctica (Sotiropoulou et al.,  
 227 2020). Therefore, we do not think that the missing drop shattering and rime splintering  
 228 processes are a major source of uncertainty in our simulations, however, collision breakup  
 229 in drifting-snow clouds could be an important missing multiplier of ice crystal number  
 230 concentration in our simulations.

### 231 3.3 Comparison of cloud cover to satellite observations

232 We compare MAR and ERA5 to the Cloudsat-Calipso active satellite cloud cover  
 233 product (Kay & Gettelman, 2009; Marchand et al., 2008; Mace et al., 2009) (Fig.3 A-C).  
 234 Over the periods where Cloudsat-Calipso data is available (07/2006-02/2011), we find that  
 235 MAR without active drifting snow overestimates cloud cover by  $7.9 \pm 9.2\%$  (Fig.3 A). The  
 236 slight overestimation seems to be enhanced over East Antarctica. Furthermore, MAR with  
 237 active drifting snow increases the overestimation of cloud cover to  $25.4 \pm 12.4\%$  (Fig.3 B).  
 238 Otherwise, MAR with drifting snow shows a spatially homogenous bias with little spatial  
 239 variability. For a better understanding where MAR cloud cover biases rank compared to  
 240 the widely used state-of-the-art reanalysis product ERA5 (Hersbach et al., 2020), we also  
 241 compare ERA5 to the Cloudsat-Calipso cloud cover product. ERA5 shows a slightly larger  
 242 overestimation of cloud cover ( $9.8 \pm 14.5\%$ ) than MAR without drifting snow, but  $15.6\%$   
 243 less than MAR with drifting snow.

244 Note however, that even though the global gridded CloudSat-CALIPSO cloud cover  
 245 product here is one of the most advanced cloud products available for comparison with  
 246 climate models, it does not include information about cloud cover below 720 m above the  
 247 surface (Kay & Gettelman, 2009). Therefore, because drifting-snow clouds are mostly less  
 248 than 500 m in vertical extent (Palm et al., 2018), it is hard to assess with the currently  
 249 available products whether accounting for drifting snow in MAR improves or degrades  
 250 the performance with respect to cloud cover. Further, below 2.75 km Cloudsat-CALIPSO  
 251 data requires a minimum cloud thickness of 480 m in vertical extent, notably limiting the  
 252 usefulness of active satellite data for comparison with regional climate models that include  
 253 drifting snow. Conversely, biases in cloud cover between satellite observations and our  
 254 regional climate model could also be caused by different definitions of what constitutes  
 255 a cloud. However, we conclude that even if we would include a satellite simulator in our  
 256 model (such as COSP), we would not be able to compare our model output to observa-

**Figure 4. Difference in radiative components at the surface and snow particle ratio between MAR with and without drifting snow.** A) Difference in incoming shortwave radiation (SWD) at the surface in  $Wm^{-2}$ . Red color indicates a greater downwelling shortwave flux in MAR with active drifting snow parameterisation. B) Same A) but for the downwelling longwave flux at the surface. C) Same as A) and B), but for the difference in the net radiation at the surface ( $R = SWD * (1 - \alpha) + LWD - LWU$ ). D) Same as above but for the difference in snow particle content (g/kg), a measure of airborne drifting snow particles. Dots show the locations of the weather stations in our statistical comparison in Fig.5

257 tions in a meaningful way, because data below 720 m is excluded in the observations due  
258 to surface clutter, the height in which drifting snow clouds most frequently occur.

259 Additionally, while there is only limited observational evidence for the size distribu-  
260 tion of drifting snow particles, a case study over the South Pole station found that drifting  
261 snow particles are mostly between 30  $\mu m$  and 100  $\mu m$  in size (Lawson et al., 2006), a  
262 range also observed for typical cloud ice crystals. This similarity likely indicates that drift-  
263 ing snow clouds have similar optical and radiative properties to "conventional" clouds, and  
264 therefore information about drifting-snow clouds should be added to satellite cloud cover  
265 products over Antarctica.

### 266 3.4 Influence of drifting snow on the Antarctic surface energy 267 budget

268 Changes in cloud macrophysical properties (cloud cover, ice and liquid water path)  
269 due to drifting snow go hand-in-hand with changes in the surface energy budget. In the  
270 shortwave part of the spectrum, our simulation with drifting snow shows less incoming  
271 solar radiation over Antarctica (Fig.4A), mostly due to an increase in cloud cover, and  
272 a slight increase in solid particle content as highlighted by IWP changes (Fig.2A,D). On  
273 average, over the grounded Antarctica Ice Sheet the SWD decrease is  $-0.49 Wm^{-2}$  and over  
274 the ice shelves it is  $-0.20 Wm^{-2}$ . The second driver of the surface energy budget, down-  
275 welling longwave radiation, shows the opposite effect: LWD increases over all the grounded  
276 Antarctic Ice Sheet ( $+1.65 Wm^{-2}$ ) and over the ice shelves ( $+0.99 Wm^{-2}$ ) when drifting  
277 snow is active.

278 When looking at the net radiative effect of drifting snow (Fig.4C), we see that in-  
279 cluding drifting snow leads to a net radiative warming of  $+2.74 Wm^{-2}$  over the grounded  
280 Antarctic Ice Sheet and  $+1.43 Wm^{-2}$  over the ice shelves. Here, the radiative warming  
281 effect is mostly caused by an increase in LWD, most notably over the steep margins, and  
282 by a decrease in outgoing longwave radiation due to sublimation of drifting-snow particles  
283 cooling the near surface atmosphere. When looking at the climatological difference in air-  
284 borne snow particles caused by drifting snow (Fig.4D) we see that the snow particles ratio  
285 is mostly enhanced over the steeper surface slopes of Antarctica, where the gravitational  
286 pull accelerates the katabatic winds. These constitute also the areas where the longwave  
287 warming is most enhanced in our simulation with drifting snow.

288 Our results further highlight the efficiency at which drifting snow enhances the at-  
289 mospheric longwave emissivity. Overall, downwelling longwave radiation at the surface  
290 is a combination of atmospheric temperature and emissivity ( $LWD = \epsilon \cdot T^4$ ). The fact  
291 that we see a notable increase in longwave radiation at the surface despite an atmospheric  
292 cooling strengthens the conclusion that drifting snow is a notable - and often neglected -  
293 component of the Antarctic radiation budget.

294 We find only limited evidence for a notable contribution of net shortwave radiation  
295 through changes in the surface albedo when accounting for drifting snow (not shown).  
296 Over the steeper terrain we see an increase in cloud cover, together with the strongest  
297 increase in cloud ice water path due to greater wind speeds and snow erosion, causing an  
298 enhanced atmospheric longwave emissivity (Fig.2D).

**Figure 5. Statistical comparison of MAR to 20 in-situ weather stations over Antarctica. First row:** change in the mean bias ( $\text{Wm}^{-2}$ ) when comparing MAR with drifting snow to 20 in-situ observations over the entire Antarctic Ice Sheet in contrast to MAR without drifting snow. From left to right the numbers indicate the changes for incoming longwave (LWD), incoming shortwave (SWD), outgoing longwave (LWU) and outgoing (reflected) shortwave radiation (SWU). Negative numbers indicate a better comparison to the observations when drifting snow is activate in MAR. **Second row:** same as first row but for the percentage reduction/increase in the absolute value of the mean bias when comparing to MAR without drifting snow. **Third row:** same as first row but the change in the root-mean-square-error (RMSE).

299 For future sea level rise projections, the most important result is that drifting snow  
300 can induce a radiative warming over Antarctica (Fig.4C). However, drifting snow is cur-  
301 rently not implemented in many state-of-the-art climate models, and drifting-snow mod-  
302 elling approaches do not systematically account for explicit vertical advection of drifting-  
303 snow particles in the atmosphere, nor for their thermodynamic and radiative interactions  
304 with the atmosphere (Lenaerts et al., 2012). Therefore, drifting snow represents a source  
305 of uncertainty for future projections of the Antarctic surface energy budget response to  
306 a warming climate, especially given that surface melt has been identified as an increasing  
307 surface ablation component over the ice shelves in Antarctic climate projections (Kittel et  
308 al., 2021).

### 309 3.5 Comparison with in-situ weather station data

310 When comparing MAR to 20 in-situ weather station observations across the Antarc-  
311 tic Ice Sheet, the mean bias is notably reduced in our simulation with active drifting snow  
312 (Fig. 5, the mean bias for individual stations can be found in Supplementary Fig. 1, the  
313 location of the stations in Fig.4D and Fig. S3). The reduction of the mean bias in absolute  
314 terms is greatest in the longwave part of the spectrum with  $-1.1 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  in the downwelling  
315 longwave radiation (LWD) and  $-1.6 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  in the outgoing longwave radiation (LWU, Fig.  
316 5 first row). Additionally, MAR with drifting snow has no notable impact on the outgoing  
317 shortwave radiation (SWU), where the mean bias is almost constant at  $+0.07 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ , while  
318 it is slightly increased in the downwelling shortwave component (SWD) at  $+0.46 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ .  
319 Overall, accounting for drifting snow in MAR over Antarctica leads to a  $2.17 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  better  
320 representation of the radiative fluxes when compared to observations ( $-1.6 - 1.1 + 0.07$   
321  $+ 0.46 = -2.17 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ ). The greatest improvement in the mean bias is related to the two  
322 longwave components of the surface energy budget when explicitly modelling drifting snow  
323 over Antarctica.

324 We also compared our MAR model results to observations only during drifting snow  
325 days at the location of a given in-situ weather station (Fig. S2). We find that during drift-  
326 ing snow days that the reduction in the longwave biases is even more pronounced, leading  
327 to a three times higher LWD bias reduction of  $-3.3 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ , equivalent to a 50% reduction  
328 in the mean bias. Furthermore, using the same MAR model setup and observations it has  
329 been shown that during drifting snow events differences in LWD can reach up to  $60 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ ,  
330 far outside the uncertainty of in-situ observations (Le Toumelin et al., 2020).

331 Comparing the change in the mean biases when accounting for drifting snow in  
332 MAR to the initial absolute mean biases of the control simulation without drifting snow  
333 we see a slightly different weighting (Fig. 5, second row). Our model results with drifting  
334 snow show a -49.0% decrease of the mean bias in LWU, followed by a -10.0% decrease in  
335 the LWD mean bias. Slightly less pronounced are the changes in SWU at +0.55% and  
336 a slight increase of 4.9% in the SWD component (Fig. 5, second row). Conversely, the  
337 largest improvement in the root-mean-square-error (RMSE) occurs in LWD ( $-0.44 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ ,  
338 Fig. 5, third row) and LWU ( $-0.35 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ ). Additionally, accounting for drifting snow leads  
339 to a minor increase in the RMSE in SWU of  $+0.084 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  and a slightly higher RMSE  
340 in the SWD component of  $+0.22 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ . Overall, we again see the most notable improve-

341 ment when using the active drifting snow scheme in MAR is in the incoming and outgoing  
342 longwave radiation.

## 343 Discussion

344 Actively modelling drifting snow in a state-of-the-art polar regional climate model  
345 (MAR) sheds light on the complex interactions between drifting-snow particles, clouds  
346 and subsequently the Antarctic surface energy budget. Our simulation with drifting snow  
347 clearly differ from our control simulation in 3 different ways: 1) Drifting-snow particles  
348 change the micro- and macrophysical properties of clouds by acting as a radiatively ac-  
349 tive cloud themselves, enhancing the moisture availability due to sublimation, and also  
350 potentially as cloud nuclei enhancing the Wegener-Bergeron-Findeisen process. 2) Drifting-  
351 snow particles change the structure of the near-surface atmosphere, mainly by inducing  
352 sublimation cooling and by providing a notable source of moisture. 3) Drifting snow alters  
353 the cloud radiative effect and increases cloud cover across Antarctica, enhancing the  
354 atmospheric longwave emissivity ( $\epsilon$ ) and reducing the shortwave transmissivity of the at-  
355 mosphere. Overall, modelling drifting snow over the Antarctic Ice Sheet notably changes  
356 the cloud structure and therefore the surface energy budget.

357 Our results also answer the question whether accounting for drifting snow leads  
358 to a net positive or negative radiative effect over Antarctica. We find that drifting snow  
359 leads to a net radiation increase at the surface of  $+2.74 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  over the grounded parts  
360 of the Antarctic Ice Sheet, which could ultimately contribute to global sea level rise (Fig.  
361 4). Note however, that a regional analysis of MAR in coastal Adelie Land suggests that  
362 sublimation cooling might partly offset some of the radiative warming at the surface  
363 (Le Toumelin et al., 2020).

364 Additionally, accounting for airborne snow particles also leads to a more accurate  
365 representation of the surface radiative energy budget when compared to 20 in-situ weather  
366 station observations. Overall, MAR with active drifting snow has a  $2.17 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  lower bias  
367 in radiative fluxes compared to the base version of MAR (Fig. 5). Most improved is the  
368 representation of the longwave components, almost halving the bias in outgoing longwave  
369 radiation ( $-49\%$ ,  $-1.6 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ ), but also notably reducing the bias in downwelling longwave  
370 radiation ( $-10.0\%$ ,  $-1.1 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ ) when compared to observations (Fig. 5).

371 Our results indicate that accounting for drifting snow is an important mechanism  
372 when modelling the current and future state of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. The additional ra-  
373 diation at the surface of  $+2.74 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  due to drifting snow in MAR is of similar or greater  
374 magnitude than the roughly  $+2.0 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  that the Earth receives due to anthropogenic  
375 greenhouse gas emissions. Conversely, most of this radiative warming in our simulations  
376 occurs in the very cold interior plateau of Antarctica, where the surface temperatures are  
377 far below the melting point and the surface almost never melts. However, our results also  
378 show that essential cloud parameters are also altered over the margins and ice shelves, po-  
379 tentially indicating that future sea level rise projections need to take into account drifting  
380 snow as a key mechanism for accurate future Antarctic climate projections.

### 381 Acknowledgments

382 This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the  
383 European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No.  
384 758005).

### 385 Author contributions

386 S.H., C.A., C.K., T.S., L.L, and T.C designed the study. C.A. and C.K. developed  
387 the model configuration and performed the simulations. S.H., C.K and C.A. analyzed the  
388 data, T.C provided the satellite data. S.H. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed  
389 the final version of manuscript.

390 **Competing interests**

391 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

392 **Open Research**

393 **Data and code owned by the authors:** all the code used for the anal-  
 394 ysis in this study is archived on Zenodo under the DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.5596516  
 395 (www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5596516). All the 2000-2019 averages from our MAR simula-  
 396 tions with and without drifting snow are available via <https://zenodo.org/record/5037197>  
 397 under the DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.5037197.

398 **Data not owned by the authors:** We retrieved the "The Climate Data Guide:  
 399 Combined CloudSat spaceborne radar and CALIPSO spaceborne lidar cloud fraction  
 400 dataset" (last modified 21 April 2014) from [https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-](https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/combined-cloudsat-spaceborne-radar-and-calipso-spaceborne-lidar-cloud-fraction-dataset)  
 401 [data/combined-cloudsat-spaceborne-radar-and-calipso-spaceborne-lidar-cloud-fraction-](https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/combined-cloudsat-spaceborne-radar-and-calipso-spaceborne-lidar-cloud-fraction-dataset)  
 402 [dataset](https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/combined-cloudsat-spaceborne-radar-and-calipso-spaceborne-lidar-cloud-fraction-dataset) and gratefully acknowledge Jennifer Kay and the National Center for Atmospheric  
 403 Research Staff (Eds). The ERA5 data is available via the COPERNICUS Climate Data  
 404 Store (<https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/#!/home>),  
 405 we use the monthly averaged "total cloud cover" variable which can be accessed via  
 406 [https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/reanalysis-era5-single-levels-monthly-](https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/reanalysis-era5-single-levels-monthly-means?tab=form)  
 407 [means?tab=form](https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/reanalysis-era5-single-levels-monthly-means?tab=form).

408 The weather station data used for comparison with MAR is a compilation of various  
 409 sources. **D17:** <https://zenodo.org/record/4139737> (DOI:  
 410 10.5281/zenodo.4139737) **Dome\_C\_II:** freely downloaded from the BSRN website:  
 411 <https://bsrn.awi.de/> and via Pangea (<https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.935421>)  
 412 (Lupi et al., 2021). **Halley:**  
 413 General meteorological variables (temperature, wind, pressure) can be downloaded via  
 414 <https://doi.org/10.5285/4E963D9B-DA5D-43D5-A605-FC44EAD63D97> (King et al., 2021).  
 415 Additional variables related to the surface energy budget (shortwave and longwave fluxes)  
 416 can be requested via: [src@bas.ac.uk](mailto:src@bas.ac.uk) **Amundsen\_Scott:** Via BSRN and  
 417 Pangea (<https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.150004>) (Dutton & Michalsky, 2015).  
 418 **Neumayer, Sorasen et Panda-1:** can be downloaded via Pangea  
 419 (<https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.919128>) (Schmithüsen, 2020). **From**  
 420 **AWS4 to AWS19:** Via Pangea (<https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.910473>)  
 421 (Jakobs et al., 2020).

422 **References**

- 423 Agosta, C., Amory, C., Kittel, C., Orsi, A., Favier, V., Gallée, H., ... Fettweis, X.  
 424 (2019). Estimation of the Antarctic surface mass balance using the regional  
 425 climate model MAR (1979-2015) and identification of dominant processes.  
 426 *Cryosphere*, 13(1), 281–296. doi: 10.5194/tc-13-281-2019
- 427 Amory, C., Gallée, H., Naaim-Bouvet, F., Favier, V., Vignon, E., Picard, G., ...  
 428 Bellot, H. (2017). Seasonal Variations in Drag Coefficient over a Sastrugi-  
 429 Covered Snowfield in Coastal East Antarctica. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*,  
 430 164(1), 107–133. doi: 10.1007/s10546-017-0242-5
- 431 Amory, C., & Kittel, C. (2019). Brief communication: Rare ambient saturation  
 432 during drifting snow occurrences at a coastal location of east antarctica. *The*  
 433 *Cryosphere*, 13(12), 3405–3412. Retrieved from [https://tc.copernicus.org/](https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/13/3405/2019/)  
 434 [articles/13/3405/2019/](https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/13/3405/2019/) doi: 10.5194/tc-13-3405-2019
- 435 Amory, C., Kittel, C., Le Toumelin, L., Agosta, C., Delhasse, A., Favier, V.,  
 436 & Fettweis, X. (2021). Performance of mar (v3.11) in simulating the  
 437 drifting-snow climate and surface mass balance of adélie land, east antar-  
 438 tica. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 14(6), 3487–3510. Retrieved

- 439 from <https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/14/3487/2021/> doi:  
440 10.5194/gmd-14-3487-2021
- 441 Brun, E., David, P., Sudul, M., & Brunot, G. (1992). A numerical model to sim-  
442 ulate snow-cover stratigraphy for operational avalanche forecasting. *Journal of*  
443 *Glaciology*, 38(128), 13–22.
- 444 De Ridder, K., & Gallée, H. (1998, nov). Land Surface-Induced Regional Climate  
445 Change in Southern Israel. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 37(11), 1470–  
446 1485. Retrieved from [http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0450\(1998\)037<1470:LSIRCC>2.0.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0450(1998)037<1470:LSIRCC>2.0.CO;2)  
447 doi: 10.1175/1520-0450(1998)037<1470:LSIRCC>2.0.CO;  
448 doi: 10.1175/1520-0450(1998)037<1470:LSIRCC>2.0.CO;  
449 2
- 450 Dutton, E. G., & Michalsky, J. (2015). *Basic measurements and other of radi-*  
451 *ation from the Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN) Station South*  
452 *Pole (SPO) in the years 1994 to 2012, reference list of 226 datasets* [data set].  
453 PANGAEA. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.150004>  
454 doi: 10.1594/PANGAEA.150004
- 455 Fettweis, X., Franco, B., Tedesco, M., Van Angelen, J. H., Lenaerts, J. T., Van  
456 Den Broeke, M. R., & Gallée, H. (2013). Estimating the Greenland ice  
457 sheet surface mass balance contribution to future sea level rise using the re-  
458 gional atmospheric climate model MAR. *Cryosphere*, 7(2), 469–489. doi:  
459 10.5194/tc-7-469-2013
- 460 Field, P. R., Lawson, R. P., Brown, P. R. A., Lloyd, G., Westbrook, C., Moisseev,  
461 D., ... Sullivan, S. (2017). Secondary ice production: Current state of  
462 the science and recommendations for the future. *Meteorological Mono-*  
463 *graphs*, 58, 7.1 - 7.20. Retrieved from [https://journals.ametsoc.org/  
464 view/journals/amsm/58/1/amsmonographs-d-16-0014.1.xml](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/amsm/58/1/amsmonographs-d-16-0014.1.xml) doi:  
465 10.1175/AMSMONOGRAPHS-D-16-0014.1
- 466 Gallée, H., & Duynkerke, P. G. (1997). Air-snow interactions and the surface energy  
467 and mass balance over the melting zone of west greenland during the green-  
468 land ice margin experiment. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*,  
469 102(D12), 13813–13824.
- 470 Gallée, H., & Gorodetskaya, I. V. (2010). Validation of a limited area model over  
471 dome c, antarctic plateau, during winter. *Climate dynamics*, 34(1), 61.
- 472 Gallée, H., Guyomarc'h, G., & Brun, E. (2001, apr). Impact Of Snow Drift  
473 On The Antarctic Ice Sheet Surface Mass Balance: Possible Sensitivity To  
474 Snow-Surface Properties. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 99(1), 1–19. Re-  
475 trieved from <http://link.springer.com/10.1023/A:1018776422809> doi:  
476 10.1023/A:1018776422809
- 477 Gallée, H., & Schayes, G. (1994). Development of a Three-Dimensional Meso-  
478  $\gamma$  Primitive Equation Model: Katabatic Winds Simulation in the Area of  
479 Terra Nova Bay, Antarctica. *Monthly Weather Review*, 122(4), 671–685. doi:  
480 10.1175/1520-0493(1994)122<671:DOATDM>2.0.CO;2
- 481 Geerts, B., Pokharel, B., & Kristovich, D. A. R. (2015). Blowing snow as a natural  
482 glaciogenic cloud seeding mechanism. *Monthly Weather Review*, 143(12), 5017  
483 - 5033. Retrieved from [https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/  
484 mwre/143/12/mwr-d-15-0241.1.xml](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/mwre/143/12/mwr-d-15-0241.1.xml) doi: 10.1175/MWR-D-15-0241.1
- 485 Gilbert, E., Orr, A., King, J. C., Renfrew, I. A., Lachlan-Cope, T., Field, P. F.,  
486 & Boutle, I. A. (2020). Summertime cloud phase strongly influences sur-  
487 face melting on the larsen c ice shelf, antarctica. *Quarterly Journal of*  
488 *the Royal Meteorological Society*, 146(729), 1575–1589. Retrieved from  
489 <https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/qj.3753>  
490 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3753>
- 491 Gorodetskaya, I. V., Kneifel, S., Maahn, M., Van Tricht, K., Thiery, W., Schween,  
492 J. H., ... Van Lipzig, N. P. M. (2015). Cloud and precipitation proper-  
493 ties from ground-based remote-sensing instruments in east antarctica. *The*

- 494 *Cryosphere*, 9(1), 285–304. Retrieved from [https://tc.copernicus.org/](https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/9/285/2015/)  
 495 [articles/9/285/2015/](https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/9/285/2015/) doi: 10.5194/tc-9-285-2015
- 496 Gossart, A., Souverijns, N., Gorodetskaya, I. V., Lhermitte, S., Lenaerts, J. T. M.,  
 497 Schween, J. H., ... van Lipzig, N. P. M. (2017). Blowing snow detection from  
 498 ground-based ceilometers: application to East Antarctica. *The Cryosphere*, 11,  
 499 2,755–2,772. doi: 10.5194/tc-11-2755-2017
- 500 Hahn, L. C., Storelvmo, T., Hofer, S., Parfitt, R., & Ummenhofer, C. C. (2020).  
 501 Importance of orography for greenland cloud and melt response to atmospheric  
 502 blocking. *Journal of Climate*, 33(10), 4187 - 4206. Retrieved from [https://](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/33/10/jcli-d-19-0527.1.xml)  
 503 [journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/33/10/jcli-d-19-0527.1.xml](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/33/10/jcli-d-19-0527.1.xml)  
 504 doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0527.1
- 505 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater,  
 506 J., ... Thépaut, J.-N. (2020). The era5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Jour-*  
 507 *nal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 146(730), 1999-2049. Retrieved from  
 508 <https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/qj.3803> doi:  
 509 <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>
- 510 Hofer, S., Lang, C., Amory, C., Kittel, C., Delhasse, A., Tedstone, A., & Fet-  
 511 tweis, X. (2020, Dec 15). Greater greenland ice sheet contribution to  
 512 global sea level rise in cmip6. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 6289. Re-  
 513 trieved from <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20011-8> doi:  
 514 [10.1038/s41467-020-20011-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20011-8)
- 515 Hofer, S., Tedstone, A. J., Fettweis, X., & Bamber, J. L. (2017). Decreasing cloud  
 516 cover drives the recent mass loss on the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Science Ad-*  
 517 *vances*, 3(6). doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1700584
- 518 Hofer, S., Tedstone, A. J., Fettweis, X., & Bamber, J. L. (2019). Cloud microphysics  
 519 and circulation anomalies control differences in future Greenland melt. *Nature*  
 520 *Climate Change*, 9(7), 523–528. Retrieved from [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0507-8)  
 521 [s41558-019-0507-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0507-8) doi: 10.1038/s41558-019-0507-8
- 522 Jakobs, C. L., Reijmer, C. H., Smeets, C. J. P. P., Trusel, L. D., van de Berg, W. J.,  
 523 van den Broeke, M. R., & van Wessem, J. M. (2020). A benchmark dataset of  
 524 in situ antarctic surface melt rates and energy balance. *Journal of Glaciology*,  
 525 66(256), 291–302. doi: 10.1017/jog.2020.6
- 526 Kay, J. E., & Gettelman, A. (2009). Cloud influence on and response to seasonal  
 527 arctic sea ice loss. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 114(D18).  
 528 Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2009JD011773)  
 529 [10.1029/2009JD011773](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2009JD011773) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD011773>
- 530 Kay, J. E., L'Ecuyer, T., Chepfer, H., Loeb, N., Morrison, A., & Cesana, G. (2016).  
 531 Recent advances in arctic cloud and climate research. *Current Climate*  
 532 *Change Reports*, 2(4), 159–169. Retrieved from [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-016-0051-9)  
 533 [s40641-016-0051-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-016-0051-9) doi: 10.1007/s40641-016-0051-9
- 534 King, J. C., Turner, J., Colwell, S., Lu, H., Orr, A., Phillips, T., ... Marshall, G. J.  
 535 (2021). Inhomogeneity of the surface air temperature record from halley,  
 536 antarctica. *Journal of Climate*, 34(12), 4771 - 4783. Retrieved from [https://](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/34/12/jcli-d-20-0748.1.xml)  
 537 [journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/34/12/jcli-d-20-0748.1.xml](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/34/12/jcli-d-20-0748.1.xml)  
 538 doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0748.1
- 539 Kittel, C., Amory, C., Agosta, C., Jourdain, N. C., Hofer, S., Delhasse, A., ... Fet-  
 540 tweis, X. (2021). Diverging future surface mass balance between the antarctic  
 541 ice shelves and grounded ice sheet. *The Cryosphere*, 15(3), 1215–1236. Re-  
 542 trieved from <https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/15/1215/2021/> doi:  
 543 [10.5194/tc-15-1215-2021](https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/15/1215/2021/)
- 544 Lachlan-Cope, T. (2010, 8). Antarctic clouds. *Polar Research*, 29(2), 150-158. Re-  
 545 trieved from [https://polarresearch.net/index.php/polar/article/view/](https://polarresearch.net/index.php/polar/article/view/2922)  
 546 [2922](https://polarresearch.net/index.php/polar/article/view/2922) doi: 10.3402/polar.v29i2.6065
- 547 Lawson, R. P., Baker, B. A., Zmarzly, P., O'Connor, D., Mo, Q., Gayet, J.-F., &  
 548 Shcherbakov, V. (2006). Microphysical and optical properties of atmospheric

- ice crystals at south pole station. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 45(11), 1505 - 1524. Retrieved from <https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/apme/45/11/jam2421.1.xml> doi: 10.1175/JAM2421.1
- Lawson, R. P., & Gettelman, A. (2014). Impact of antarctic mixed-phase clouds on climate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(51), 18156–18161. Retrieved from <https://www.pnas.org/content/111/51/18156> doi: 10.1073/pnas.1418197111
- Lenaerts, J. T. M., & van den Broeke, M. R. (2012). Modeling drifting snow in antarctica with a regional climate model: 2. results. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 117(D5). Retrieved from <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2010JD015419> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015419>
- Lenaerts, J. T. M., van den Broeke, M. R., Déry, S. J., van Meijgaard, E., van de Berg, W. J., Palm, S. P., & Sanz Rodrigo, J. (2012). Modeling drifting snow in antarctica with a regional climate model: 1. methods and model evaluation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 117(D5). Retrieved from <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2011JD016145> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016145>
- Le Toumelin, L., Amory, C., Favier, V., Kittel, C., Hofer, S., Fettweis, X., ... Kayetha, V. (2020). Sensitivity of the surface energy budget to drifting snow as simulated by mar in coastal adelia land, antarctica. *The Cryosphere Discussions*, 2020, 1–27. Retrieved from <https://tc.copernicus.org/preprints/tc-2020-329/> doi: 10.5194/tc-2020-329
- Lupi, A., Lanconelli, C., & Vitale, V. (2021). *Basic and other measurements of radiation at Concordia station (2006-01 et seq.)* [data set]. PANGAEA. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.935421> doi: 10.1594/PANGAEA.935421
- Mace, G. G., Zhang, Q., Vaughan, M., Marchand, R., Stephens, G., Treppe, C., & Winker, D. (2009). A description of hydrometeor layer occurrence statistics derived from the first year of merged cloudsat and calipso data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 114(D8). Retrieved from <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009755> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009755>
- Mahesh, A., Eager, R., Campbell, J. R., & Spinhirne, J. D. (2003). Observations of blowing snow at the south pole. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 108(D22). Retrieved from <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2002JD003327> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003327>
- Mann, G. W., Anderson, P. S., & Mobbs, S. D. (2000). Profile measurements of blowing snow at halley, antarctica. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 24,491–24,508. doi: 10.1029/2000JD900247
- Marchand, R., Mace, G. G., Ackerman, T., & Stephens, G. (2008). Hydrometeor detection using cloudsat—an earth-orbiting 94-ghz cloud radar. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 25(4), 519 - 533. Retrieved from <https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atot/25/4/2007jtecha1006.1.xml> doi: 10.1175/2007JTECHA1006.1
- Morcrette, J. J. (2002). The surface downward longwave radiation in the ECMWF forecast system. *Journal of Climate*. doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015<1875:TSDLRI>2.0.CO;2
- Mottram, R., Hansen, N., Kittel, C., Wessem, M. v., Agosta, C., Amory, C., ... others (2020). What is the surface mass balance of antarctica? an intercomparison of regional climate model estimates. *The Cryosphere Discussions*, 1–42.
- Palm, S. P., Kayetha, V., & Yang, Y. (2018). Toward a satellite-derived climatology of blowing snow over antarctica. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123(18), 10,301-10,313. Retrieved from <https://>

- 604 agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JD028632 doi:  
605 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028632
- 606 Parish, T. R., & Bromwich, D. H. (2007). Reexamination of the near-surface airflow  
607 over the Antarctic continent and implications on atmospheric circulations at  
608 high southern latitudes. *Monthly Weather Review*, *135*(5), 1961–1973. doi:  
609 10.1175/MWR3374.1
- 610 Schmidt, R. A. (1980). Threshold wind-speeds and elastic impact in snow transport.  
611 *Journal of Glaciology*, *26*, 453–467. doi: 10.3189/S0022143000010972
- 612 Schmithüsen, H. (2020). Basic and other measurements of radiation at Neumayer  
613 Station (2019-07) [data set]. PANGAEA. Retrieved from [https://doi.org/  
614 10.1594/PANGAEA.919128](https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.919128) (In: Schmithüsen, H (2021): Basic and other  
615 measurements of radiation at station Neumayer (1992-04 et seq). Alfred  
616 Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bre-  
617 merhaven, PANGAEA, <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.932418>) doi:  
618 10.1594/PANGAEA.919128
- 619 Sotiropoulou, G., Sullivan, S., Savre, J., Lloyd, G., Lachlan-Cope, T., Ekman,  
620 A. M. L., & Nenes, A. (2020). The impact of secondary ice production on  
621 arctic stratocumulus. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *20*(3), 1301–1316.  
622 Retrieved from [https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/20/1301/2020/  
623 doi: 10.5194/acp-20-1301-2020](https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/20/1301/2020/)
- 624 Storelvmo, T., & Tan, I. (2015). The wegener–bergeron–findeisen process—its dis-  
625 covery and vital importance for weather and climate. *Meteor. Z.*, *24*, 455–461.
- 626 Tan, I., & Storelvmo, T. (2019). Evidence of Strong Contributions From Mixed-  
627 Phase Clouds to Arctic Climate Change. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *46*(5),  
628 2894–2902. doi: 10.1029/2018GL081871
- 629 Tan, I., Storelvmo, T., & Zelinka, M. D. (2016). Observational constraints on mixed-  
630 phase clouds imply higher climate sensitivity. *Science*, *352*(6282), 224–227.  
631 doi: 10.1126/science.aad5300
- 632 Yamanouchi, T., & Kawaguchi, S. (1984). Longwave radiation balance under  
633 a strong surface inversion in the katabatic wind zone, antarctica. *Journal  
634 of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *89*(D7), 11771–11778. Retrieved  
635 from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/  
636 JD089iD07p11771](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JD089iD07p11771) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/JD089iD07p11771>
- 637 Yang, Y., Anderson, A., Kiv, D., Germann, J., Fuchs, M., Palm, S., & Wang, T.  
638 (2021). Study of antarctic blowing snow storms using modis and caliop ob-  
639 servations with a machine learning model. *Earth and Space Science*, *8*(1),  
640 e2020EA001310. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley  
641 .com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020EA001310](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020EA001310) (e2020EA001310 2020EA001310) doi:  
642 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EA001310>
- 643 Yang, Y., Palm, S. P., Marshak, A., Wu, D. L., Yu, H., & Fu, Q. (2014). First  
644 satellite-detected perturbations of outgoing longwave radiation associated with  
645 blowing snow events over antarctica. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *41*(2),  
646 730–735. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/  
647 abs/10.1002/2013GL058932](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2013GL058932) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058932>









