

Fatigue Resistance of CAD-CAM Composites and Lithium Disilicate Glass-ceramics

Maher Eldafrawy¹, Amélie Mainjot^{1,2} and Michael Sadoun³

¹ Dental Biomaterials Research Unit (d-BRU), Institute of Dentistry, University of Liège, (ULiège), Liège, Belgium. ² Department of Fixed Prosthodontics, Institute of Dentistry, University of Liège Hospital (CHU), Liège, Belgium. ³ Majeb sprl, Liège, Belgium

Objectives

Materials fatigue resistance is sparsely studied because it is time-consuming; however, it constitutes an important property to predict prostheses clinical performance. This work aims to compare the long-term flexural fatigue resistance of commercially available CAD-CAM composite materials, an experimental Polymer-Infiltrated Ceramic Network (PICN) and a lithium disilicate glass-ceramic under cyclic mechanical loading

Methods

Five commercial CAD-CAM composites (Cerasmart, GC (CER); Brilliant, Coltene (BRL); Tetric CAD, Ivoclar Vivadent (TET); Katana Avencia, Kuraray (KAT); Grandio, Voco (GRN)), an experimental PICN (Majeb (EXP)), and a lithium disilicate glass-ceramic (IPSe.maxCAD, Ivoclar Vivadent, (EMX)), were tested for flexural fatigue resistance. Blocks were cut to produce bars of dimensions (1.6±0.1)-mm x (4.0±0.1)-mm x (17.0±0.1)-mm, which were polished with a diamond pad (10-µm) under water (n=15). EMX were then fired according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Bars (n=5/group) were tested for fatigue in a water bath at 36°C in 3-point bending at 100-, 150- and 200-MPa for 1-million cycles (1Hz) or until fracture. Means ± standard deviations of flexural fatigue results were compared by ANOVA-1, followed by Tukey's test (*p<0.05;**p<0.010;***p<0.001).

Results

At 100-MPa, TET, KAT, GRN and EXP did not fracture after 1-million cycles, and the rest of the materials fractured, but there was no significant difference among all the tested materials. At 150-MPa, only EXP did not fracture after 1-million cycles and showed significantly higher fatigue resistance than the rest of the materials. It was followed by GRN (506,960±280,249)*, TET (353,126±433,511)**, KAT (315,108±206,414)***, BRL (977,84±183,588)***, EMX (2,649±4,845)*** and CER (215±229)***. At 200-MPa, EXP showed the highest fatigue resistance significantly (323,210±460,52) cycles, followed by GRN (101,403±73,612)***, KAT (74,765±96,456)*** BRL (54,521±95,157)***, TET (26,503±15,842)***, EMX (2,893±4,569)*** and CER (1±1)***.

Conclusion

EXP showed the highest fatigue resistance significantly. Results highlight that the flexural strength of materials is not predictive of their fatigue behavior, which should be considered in clinical practice.

Keywords

CAD-CAM; prosthodontics; dental prostheses; biomaterials; flexural resistance

