# ESTIMATION OF VOLUME FRACTION AND GRAVIMETRIC MOISTURE OF WINTER WHEAT BASED ON MICROWAVE ATTENUATION: A FIELD SCALE STUDY

Thomas Meyer<sup>1</sup> Thomas Jagdhuber<sup>2</sup>, María Piles<sup>3</sup>, Anke Fluhrer<sup>2</sup>, François Jonard<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agrosphere (IBG-3), Institute of Bio- and Geosciences, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH,

52428 Jülich, Germany; t.meyer@fz-juelich.de, f.jonard@fz-juelich.de

<sup>2</sup> German Aerospace Center, Microwaves and Radar Institute, Muenchnerstr. 20, 82234 Wessling; {anke.fluhrer, thomas.jagdhuber}@dlr.de,

<sup>3</sup> University of Valencia, Image Processing Lab, Parc científic, 46980 Paterna, Valencia; maria.piles@uv.es

<sup>4</sup> Earth and Life Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

# ABSTRACT

A considerable amount of water can be stored in vegetation, especially in regions experiencing large quantities of precipitation (mid-latitudes). In this context, an accurate estimate of the actual water status of the vegetation could lead to an improved understanding of the effect of plant water on the water budget. In this study, we developed and validated a novel approach to retrieve the vegetation volume fraction ( $\delta$ ) (i.e., volume percentage of solid plant material of a canopy in air) and the gravimetric vegetation water content  $(m_{\sigma})$  (i.e., amount of water per wet biomass) for winter wheat. The estimation was based on the attenuation of L-band microwave measurements through vegetation (vegetation optical depth,  $(\tau)$ -parameter). Ground-based Lband microwave measurements over an entire growing cycle together with in situ measured vegetation characteristics have been used for this purpose. Retrieved  $\delta$ - and  $m_g$ -values revealed to be comparable to literature and in situ measurements (i.e.,  $\delta$  was within the range between 0 and 0.01 and the retrieved  $m_g$  had a mean value of 0.58 (0.55 (in situ) and 0.54 (literature))). Finally, we also tested the sensitivity of the  $\delta$ - and  $m_g$ -retrievals to their input-values to investigate their possible mutual dependencies. The analysis showed that already small changes in the input- $m_g$  or  $-\delta$ result in relatively large changes in the retrieved  $\delta$  or  $m_{g}$ .

*Index Terms*— gravimetric vegetation water content, vegetation volume fraction, vegetation optical depth, winter wheat, SMOS, SMAP, L-band

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

In the context of climate change, drastically affecting weather conditions, it is key to closely monitor the vegetation conditions of agricultural fields to secure yield. In this regard, L-band (i.e., 1.4 GHz) passive microwave satellites such as SMOS and SMAP are a promising option to remotely acquire information on vegetation properties (e.g., vegetation moisture) at the global scale with a high temporal and moderate (in terms of kilometers) spatial resolution. Different vegetation water content products can be defined. The vegetation water content (VWC), which is

the amount of water per unit area [kg m<sup>-2</sup>] and the gravimetric vegetation water content  $(m_g)$ , which is the amount of water per wet biomass [kg kg<sup>-1</sup>]. The retrieval of VWC has been frequently investigated at the global scale mainly using optical sensors (e.g., [1]). However, VWC is more linked to the biomass (and chlorophyll content) of a plant as it is the amount of water per area and does not reflect directly the available water per plant biomass. The  $m_g$  is expected to be more closely related to the actual water status of the vegetation and is well-suited to indicate the plant available water.

Furthermore, a proper characterization of the canopy volume and its structure is a pre-requisite information to estimate the plant properties of a canopy, but such information is generally unknown and therefore fixed as a constant in actual retrieval algorithms (e.g., using the  $\tau$ - $\omega$  model for soil moisture estimation [2]). The vegetation volume fraction ( $\delta$ ) can be used to characterize the canopy filling and is defined as the volume percentage of solid plant material of a canopy in air. In addition, as the thermal emission of vegetation at L-band is sensitive to  $\delta$ , it is a key driver for water absorption within the canopy and thus may lead to more accurate estimates of the attenuation of microwave emissions by the vegetation layer [3, 4].

The objective of this study is to develop, apply and validate an attenuation-based approach (i.e., based on the attenuation of L-band microwaves through vegetation) to retrieve either  $\delta$  or  $m_g$  of winter wheat in a high-resolution field scale study with tower-based L-band microwave observations and in situ measurements acquired over an entire growing cycle.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Passive microwave measurements over a winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) field were carried out using the ELBARA-II radiometer during summer 2017 at the Selhausen field laboratory (Germany) [2]. The experiment was conducted for a period of about 4 months from tillering of the winter wheat on April  $10^{th}$  (DOY 100) to the late senescence stage on August  $14^{th}$  (DOY 226). The microwave measurements were performed twice a week over a metal-gridded plot (i.e., soil covered by a metal-grid reflector to block the soil surface emission, but with

vegetation growing through the grid) for different incidence angles (40 to 60° in increments of 5°) during the morning (from 8 to 12 a.m.). In addition, weekly in situ measurements of vegetation properties were performed, specifically: leaf area index (LAI), total of above ground biomass (TOB), vegetation water content (VWC), growing stages (BBCH), and vegetation height (*d*). In the current study, we only used the polarization (*p*) dependent vegetation optical depth VOD ( $\tau$ )-parameter, which was retrieved from the 40° incidence angle brightness temperature measurements using the  $\tau$ - $\omega$  model [2]. Finally to be able to compare our estimated m<sub>g</sub> with a reference data set, the in situ measured *M*<sub>g</sub> in the following. A reference data set for the retrieved  $\delta$ -values was not available.

Our approach (which was firstly tested in a different configuration at the global scale using SMAP data [5]) is based on the attenuation expression of Schmugge and Jackson [4] who found that for a wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) [m] at frequencies between 0.2 and 20 GHz the nadir VOD ( $\tau$ ) can be related to the imaginary part of the complex dielectric constant of the canopy ( $\varepsilon_{can}$ ) by:

$$\tau = 4\pi \left(\frac{d}{\lambda}\right) \cdot Im[\sqrt{\varepsilon_{can}}],\tag{1}$$

where the square root of  $\varepsilon_{can}$  is applied, since it is directly related to the refractive index of the canopy, and the imaginary part (Im) (also called loss factor) refers to the loss of the electromagnetic energy when the waves propagate through the vegetation layer with thickness d [m]. Thus,  $\tau$ describes the surface emission attenuation when it passes through the vegetation canopy. For the description of  $\varepsilon_{can}$ , different two-phase dielectric mixing models can be used, as proposed by de Loor [6]. Thus, the vegetation layer can be described as a two-phase mixture with air as the host material, with a permittivity equal to one, and the vegetation as inclusions with a specific shape (i.e., spheres (s), needles (n), discs (di)), orientation (i.e., random (r) or vertical (v)), complex vegetation dielectric constant ( $\varepsilon_{veg}$ ), and volume fraction ( $\delta$ ). For the winter wheat field, the vertical needles mixing model is expected to be most representative. It should perform best to retrieve  $\delta$  and  $m_g$ , as the winter wheat canopy is mainly vertically oriented (distinct stalk component) and therefore anisotropic attenuation effects might be significant. The equations used to calculate  $\varepsilon_{can}$  for the different types of inclusion can be found in Ulaby et al. [7].

Figure 1 shows the retrieval scheme of  $\delta$  (a) and  $m_g$  (b) in a conceptual way. For the estimation of  $\delta$ , in situ measured d and  $\varepsilon_{can}$  (which is retrieved from  $\varepsilon_{veg}$  converted from in situ measured  $m_g$  using the model of [8]) are the input variables for the  $\tau$ -model of [4]. In contrast, for the estimation of  $m_g$ , in situ measured d and a constant value of  $\delta$  (a range of constant  $\delta$  between 0 and 0.01 was tested) are the input variables. For both retrievals, the modeled  $\tau$ -values are then compared with the radiometer-derived  $\tau$  by minimizing the

objective function ( $\Phi$ ) defined as the cumulative squared error between the modelled and measured  $\tau$ . The  $\delta$ -value was chosen (based on a model selection) for the  $\tau$ -model during the  $\delta$ -retrieval and the value of  $\varepsilon_{can}$  (which was calculated from the modelled  $\varepsilon_{veg}$ ) was chosen for the  $\tau$ model during the  $m_g$ -retrieval, which led to the smallest value in the  $\Phi$ . Concerning the radiometer-derived  $\tau$ parameter,  $\tau_p$  at horizontal (p = H) or vertical (p = V) polarization was tested for the  $\delta$ - and also the  $m_g$ -retrieval. But finally only  $\tau_V$  was used for the  $\delta$ - and  $m_g$ -retrieval as  $\tau_H$ did not lead to a realistic estimation of  $\delta$  (i.e., significantly deviating temporal evolution and underestimation of  $\delta$  in comparison to the  $\delta$ -values which were estimated based on  $\tau_{\rm V}$ ) and  $m_{\rm g}$  (i.e., significantly deviating temporal evolution and underestimation of  $m_g$  in comparison to in situ measured  $m_g$ ). The fact that  $\tau_V$  performed better for the  $m_g$ -retrieval, could be due to the strong vertical structure of the wheat canopy which is better sensed with V- than with Hpolarization.



Figure 1: Conceptual schemes of the attenuation-based approach to retrieve the vegetation volume fraction ( $\delta$ ) (a) or the gravimetric vegetation water content ( $m_g$ ) (b).

#### **3. RESULTS**

#### 3.1. Vegetation volume fraction retrieval

Figure 2 shows the results of the  $\delta$ -retrieval using the radiometer-derived  $\tau_V$  as an input in the attenuationbased approach for different vegetation dielectric mixing models. Random needles ( $\delta_{rn}$ ) revealed the lowest temporal variation and the lowest values of  $\delta$ , while spheres ( $\delta_s$ ) resulted in the highest variation over time and highest values of  $\delta$ . Vertical needles ( $\delta_{vn}$ ) and random discs ( $\delta_{rdi}$ ) lied in between with a mean of 0.007 (vn) and 0.005 (rdi) and a STD of 0.005. The low values of  $\delta_{rn}$  could be explained by the fact that assuming the shape of random needles for the vegetation inclusions underestimates the volume of the wheat, as most of the stalks are strongly vertically oriented.



Figure 2: Retrieved  $\delta$ -values for different dielectric mixing models (i.e., random needles (*rn*), vertical needles (*vn*), random discs (*rdi*), and spheres (*s*)) using the radiometer-derived  $\tau_V$  as an input in the developed attenuation-based approach. The left y-axis explains the values of the *rn*-, *vn*-, and *rdi*-models and the right y-axis the values of the *s*-model.

The reason for the high values of  $\delta_s$ , which are much larger than the expected value range for  $\delta$  (0 - 0.01) [4], is probably due to the fact that  $\delta$  is affected by the neglected anisotropic interaction of the wheat vegetation with the microwaves, as assuming spherical inclusions is only valid for isotropic vegetation layers. This kind of compensation by  $\delta$ , but in a vice versa form (as the vegetation is more isotropic during the senescence), might be also present after DOY 180 for  $\delta_{vn}$  and  $\delta_{rdi}$ , where  $\delta$  increases significantly to values around 0.01 and higher as the isotropic interaction of the wheat vegetation with the microwaves is neglected. This seems to indicate the need of changing the dielectric mixing model of the canopy along the phenological stages to avoid such compensating effects on  $\delta$ .

#### 3.2. Gravimetric vegetation water content retrieval

Figure 3 depicts the results of the  $m_g$ -retrieval using the developed attenuation-based approach with different vegetation dielectric mixing models (i.e., vn and rdi) in comparison to the in situ measured  $m_g$  (*ref*). Only the radiometer-derived  $\tau_V$  was used as an input. Additionally, Table 1 shows the results of the linear regression between in situ measured and radiometer-retrieved  $m_g$  for both dielectric mixing models (vn and rdi). The agreement between the in situ-measured and radiometer-retrieved  $m_g$  is significant with a R<sup>2</sup> of 0.89 for both mixing models and RMSE-values of 0.1 and 0.12, respectively. The retrieved  $m_g$  shows a mean and STD of 0.58 and 0.20 for vn and of 0.55 and 0.18 for rdi. The mean and STD of the in situ-

measured  $m_g$  are 0.55 and 0.26, respectively. The smallest bias can be found for the *rdi* mixing model with a value of 0.009.



Figure 3:  $m_g$ -retrievals for different dielectric mixing models (i.e., vertical needles (*vn*) and random discs (*rdi*)) using  $\tau_V$  as an input in the developed attenuation-based approach. These values are compared to the in situmeasured  $m_g$  (*ref*).

The radiometer-retrieved  $m_g$  are comparable to  $m_g$ -values found for crops on global scale, where a mean and STD of 0.54 and 0.18 is found [5]. However, the radiometerretrieved  $m_g$  using the vn and rdi models is still underestimated in comparison to the in situ-measured  $m_g$ between DOY 100 and 120 and overestimated after DOY 180. This is probably due to the fact that we assume a constant  $\delta$  over the whole growing season as there was not enough information to retrieve two parameters,  $\delta$  and  $m_g$ , at the same time (i.e., not enough degrees of information (DoI)), knowing that the volume of the vegetation is actually changing along phenological phases.

Table 1. Results of the linear regression between the radiometer-retrieved and in-situ measured  $m_g$ . Bias, root mean square error (RMSE), and squared Pearson correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) are included.

Var 1	Var 2	slope	intercept	bias	RMSE	R <sup>2</sup>
retrieved	in situ	0.70	0.19	0.03	0.10	0.89
$m_{g(vn)}$	$m_g$					
retrieved	in situ	0.64	0.20	0.009	0.12	0.89
$m_{g(rdi)}$	$m_{g}$					

Concerning the slopes and intercepts of the linear regression lines, the *vn* dielectric mixing model shows the closest fit to the ideal correlation line (1:1 line) with values of 0.70 and 0.19, respectively.

# 3.3. Sensitivity analyses of retrievals regarding input variables

Here, on one hand the sensitivity of the  $\delta$ -retrieval by varying the time-variable  $m_g$  input as well as on the other hand the sensitivity of the  $m_g$ -retrieval by varying the constant  $\delta$  input is investigated. Figure 4 depicts the results of the  $\delta$ -retrieval along the growing season using the vn dielectric mixing model by adding a bias of  $\pm 5$  or 10 % to the in situ measured  $m_g$ . Biased inputs of  $m_g$  lead to a offset, but the temporal evolution of  $\delta$  is not directly affected

compared to the unbiased  $\delta$  ( $\delta_{ref}$ ). This indicates that, when the vegetation dries out (having lower  $m_g$ ) the  $\delta$  increases and when the vegetation takes up water (towards higher  $m_g$ ) the  $\delta$  decreases.

Concerning the sensitivity of the  $m_g$ -retrieval to changes on  $\delta$ , different dielectric mixing models were tested. Results (not shown) revealed that when using, e.g., the *vn* model, a high  $\delta$  (0.01) leads to an underestimation of  $m_g$  and if  $\delta$  is low (0.004)  $m_g$  is overestimated. A  $\delta$  of 0.0049 leads to the smallest value in the  $\Phi$  between the modelled and measured  $\tau_V$  and to the closest fit between the retrieved and in situ measured  $m_g$ . In general it can be stated, that already small changes in the  $m_g$ - or  $\delta$ -inputs (i.e., 0.1 for  $m_g$  and < 0.01 for  $\delta$ ) could lead to significant changes of the retrieved parameters.



Figure 4: Sensitivity study on the  $\delta$ -retrieval using the vertical needles (*vn*) dielectric mixing model and varying the  $m_g$ -input by  $\pm 5$  ( $\delta_{+ \text{ or } - 5}$ ) or 10 % ( $\delta_{+ \text{ or } - 10}$ ) in comparison to  $\delta$ -retrieval where the unbiased, time-variable, in situ measured  $m_g$  was used as input ( $\delta_{\text{ref}}$ ).

## 4. CONCLUSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS

In this study, an attenuation-based approach (i.e., based on the attenuation of microwave radiation through vegetation) was developed and applied to retrieve volume fraction  $\delta$  and gravimetric moisture  $m_g$  of wheat vegetation at the field scale over an entire growing season. The retrieved  $\delta$ indicates that assuming only one static and isotropic (spherical) shape for the vegetation inclusions in the dielectric mixing model of the canopy may lead to an underor overestimation of the vegetation volume (by not accounting for the anisotropic vegetation structure of wheat stalks). Furthermore, also the temporal evolution of  $\delta$  could be affected (cf. Figure 2). To avoid such effects, one solution could be to vary the vegetation dielectric mixing model with changing phenological stages instead of assuming a static dielectric mixing model over the whole growing period.

The retrieved  $m_g$ -values show a good agreement with the in situ measured  $m_g$  (R<sup>2</sup> > 0.8), where the vn dielectric mixing model performed best for the stalk-dominated wheat vegetation (see Table 1). But the assumption of a constant  $\delta$ , while knowing that the volume of the vegetation is changing along phenological development, leads to an underestimation of  $m_g$  at the beginning of the growth phase and an overestimation of  $m_g$  in the senescence phase, respectively.

For mitigation of such effects on the radiometer-retrieved  $m_g$  due to erroneous assumptions on  $\delta$ , it could be retrieved on a daily basis or be adapted for dominant phenological stages. But yet, the assumption of a constant  $\delta$  seems to be valid for at least the main growth stages of winter wheat (between DOY 120 and 180). In addition, the retrieval of the two parameters,  $m_g$  and  $\delta$ , is not possible at the same time due to limited degrees of information (DoI) (i.e., having more variables to retrieve than observations available as an input). Therefore, we can only assume a constant  $\delta$  [9] along growing period or use auxiliary information to estimate it, like from the radar vegetation index (RVI) [5].

Concerning the influence of the dielectric mixing model on the  $m_g$ -retrieval, it was shown that it is appropriate to describe the vegetation over the whole growing season by the inclusion type which represents the dominant vegetation structure, i.e., vertical wheat stalks in our case, which corresponds to the vertical needles (*vn*) model. The sensitivity analyses showed that already small changes in the  $m_g$ - or  $\delta$ -inputs (i.e., 0.1 for  $m_g$  and < 0.01 for  $\delta$ ) could lead to significant changes of the retrieved parameters.

It's worth noting that all findings presented here are only valid for winter wheat vegetation. Future research should apply the proposed attenuation-based approach to other crop types and over longer observation periods.

# 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to MIT for supporting this research with the MIT-Germany Seed Fund "Global Water Cycle and Environmental Monitoring using Active and Passive Satellite-based Microwave Instruments" and with the MIT-Belgium UCL Seed Fund "Early Detection of Plant Water Stress Using Remote Sensing". This research was also funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG), grant number JO 1262/2-1. M. Piles M.P. is supported by a Ramón y Cajal contract and the project RTI2018-096765-A-100 (MCIU/AEI/FEDER, UE).

#### 6. REFERENCES

 Jackson T.J., et al., "Vegetation water content mapping using Landsat data derived normalized difference water index for corn and soybeans", Remote Sensing of Environment, 92, 475-482, 2004.

[2] Meyer T., et al., "Vegetation optical depth and soil moisture retrieved from L-band radiometry over the growth cycle of a winter wheat", Remote Sensing, 10, 1637, 2018.

[3] Wigneron J.P., "Microwave emission of vegetation: sensitivity to leaf

characteristics," IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 31, pp. 716-726, 1993.

[4] Schmugge T.J., Jackson T.J., "A dielectric model of the vegetation effects on the microwave emission from soils", IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 30, 757-760, 1992.

[5] Fink A., et al., "Estimating gravimetric moisture of vegetation using an attenuation-based multi-sensor approach", IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), 2018.

[6] De Loor G.P., "Dielectric properties of heterogeneous mixtures with a polar constituent", Applied Scientific Research, Section B, 11, 310-320, 1964.

[7] Ulaby F.T., et al., "Microwave radar and radiometric remote sensing", University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, p. 130-131, 2014.

[8] Ulaby F., El-Rayes M., "Microwave dielectric spectrum of vegetation - part II: Dual-Dispersion model", IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, GE-25, 550-557, 1987.

[9] Meyer T., et al. "Estimating gravimetric water content of a winter wheat stand from L-band vegetation optical depth", in preparation, 2019.