

Corrigendum to “Implementation of geriatric assessment-based recommendations in older patients with cancer: A multicenter prospective study” [*J. Geriatr. Oncol.* 6 (2015) 401-410]

Abdelbari Baitar^{a,1}, Cindy Kenis^{b,1}, Ramona Moor^c, Lore Decoster^d, Sylvie Luce^e, Dominique Bron^f, Ruud Van Rijswijk^g, Marika Rasschaert^h, Christine Langenaekenⁱ, Guy Jerusalem^j, Jean-Pierre Lobelle^k, Johan Flamaing^{l,m}, Koen Milisen^{l,n}, Hans Wildiers^{b,o,*} †

^aDepartment of Medical Oncology, ZNA Middelheim, Antwerp, Belgium

^bDepartment of General Medical Oncology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

^cDepartment of Medical Oncology, Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, UCL, Brussels, Belgium

^dDepartment of Medical Oncology, Oncologisch Centrum, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

^eDepartment of Medical Oncology, University Hospital Erasme, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Brussels, Belgium

^fDepartment of Hematology, Institut Jules Bordet (ULB), Brussels, Belgium

^gDepartment of Medical Oncology, ZNA Stuivenberg, Antwerp, Belgium

^hDepartment of Medical Oncology, Iridium Cancer Network Antwerp, St. Augustinus, Wilrijk, Belgium

ⁱDepartment of Medical Oncology, Iridium Cancer Network Antwerp, AZ Klina, Brasschaat, Belgium

^jDepartment of Medical Oncology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Sart Tilman, Liege, Belgium

^kBeernem, Belgium

^lDepartment of Geriatric Medicine, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

^mDepartment of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

ⁿDepartment of Public Health and Primary Care, Health Services and Nursing Research, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium ^oDepartment of Oncology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

The authors regret:

A calculation error was corrected in [Table 3](#). As mentioned under the table, the percentage of patients under ‘Baseline’ was calculated from the total no. of patients with geriatric recommendations data (n = 932 pts). This was mistakenly calculated from the number of patients with available GA data (n = 979). Percentages have been recalculated. The corrected table is reproduced here ([Table 3](#)). We emphasize that these percentages are not mentioned in the text of the paper nor do they change any of the conclusions.

The authors would like to apologize for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgo.2015.07.005>.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: hans.wildiers@uzleuven.be (H. Wildiers).

¹ Equal contribution.

Table 3 - Frequency of geriatric recommendations based on GA result.

Geriatric recommendations/pt	Baseline		Chart review after one month					
	No. pts	% ^a	No. pts with data on the implementation of geriatric recommendations	No. pts with at least one performed geriatric recommendation	%	Performed geriatric recommendations/pt	No. pts	% ^b
0	222	23.8						
1	325	34.9	313	146	46.6	1	146	46.6
						0	167	53.4
2	212	22.7	208	110	52.9	2	29	13.9
						1	81	38.9
						0	98	47.1
3	118	12.7	114	75	65.8	3	9	7.9
						2	24	21.1
						1	42	36.8
						0	39	34.2
4	41	4.4	40	20	50.0	4	1	2.5
						3	3	7.5
						2	7	17.5
						1	9	22.5
						0	20	50.0
5	13	1.4	13	7	53.8	5	1	7.7
						4	2	15.4

						3	1	7.7
						2	2	15.4
						1	1	7.7
						0	6	46.2
6	1	0.1	1	1	100.0	6-2	0	0.0
						1	1	100.0
						0	0	0.0
Total	932		689	359				

No. pts: number of patients.

^a Calculated from total no. pts with geriatric recommendations data (n = 932 pts).

^b Calculated from the no. pts with data on implementation of geriatric recommendations.