BRIP1, a Gene Potentially Implicated in Familial Colorectal Cancer Type X
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ABSTRACT

Familial colorectal cancer Type X (FCCTX) comprises a heterogeneous group of families with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer and other related tumors, but with mismatch repair-proficient, microsatellite-stable (MSS) tumors. Unfortunately, the genetic basis underlying their cancer predisposition remains unknown. Although pathogenic germline variants in BRIP1 increase the risk of developing hereditary ovarian cancer, the involvement of BRIP1 in hereditary colorectal cancer is still not well known. In order to identify new BRIP1 variants associated with inherited colorectal cancer, affected and nonaffected individuals from 18 FCCTX or high-risk MSS colorectal cancer families were evaluated by whole-exome sequencing, and another 62 colorectal cancer patients from FCCTX or high-risk MSS colorectal cancer families were screened by a next-generation sequencing (NGS) multigene panel. The families were recruited at the Genetic Counseling Unit of Hospital Clínico San Carlos of Madrid. A total of three different BRIP1 mutations in three unrelated families were identified. Among them, there were two frameshift variants [c.1702_1703del, p.(Asn568TrpfsTer9) and c.903del, p.(Leu301PhefsTer2)] that result in the truncation of the protein and are thus classified as pathogenic (class 5). The remaining was a missense variant [c.2220G>T, p.(Gln740His)] considered a variant of uncertain significance (class 3). The segregation and loss-of-heterozygosity studies provide evidence linking the two BRIP1 frameshift variants to colorectal cancer risk, with suggestive but not definitive evidence that the third variant may be benign. The results here presented suggest that germline BRIP1 pathogenic variants could be associated with hereditary colorectal cancer predisposition.

Prevention Relevance: We suggest that BRIP1 pathogenic germline variants may have a causal role in CRC as moderate cancer susceptibility alleles and be associated with hereditary CRC predisposition. A better understanding of hereditary CRC may provide important clues to disease predisposition and could contribute to molecular diagnostics, improved risk stratification, and targeted therapeutic strategies.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer is the third most common cancer and the second leading cancer-related cause of death in the world (1, 2). It is estimated that familial risk is involved in up to 30% of all colorectal cancer cases (3, 4), although not more than 5% to 6% are caused by known germline mutations in cancer-predisposing genes (5). The most common form of inherited colorectal cancer is hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC), a familial syndrome characterized by an increased incidence of colorectal cancer and other tumors (6) that is defined by the Amsterdam I and II clinical criteria (7, 8). However, only half of these families are explained by germline inactivating mutations in the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. These cases, known as Lynch syndrome, present tumors that lack the corresponding MMR proteins and fail to repair DNA through this pathway, which leads to microsatellite instability (MSI) and the accumulation of somatic mutations (9). Although HNPCC and Lynch syndrome have been used as synonyms in the past, nowadays HNPCC is defined by the clinical criteria, whereas Lynch syndrome refers to those families with germline MMR defects (10). On the other hand, the Bethesda guidelines were designed to select those high-risk colorectal cancer families that should undergo MMR testing (11).

The other half of HNPCC families are MMR proficient and present microsatellite-stable (MSS) tumors. These cases have been grouped under the term familial colorectal cancer type X (FCCTX), and the genetic basis underlying their cancer predisposition remains unknown (12). Several studies have
reported that FCCTX tumors show different molecular and clinical features than both Lynch syndrome and sporadic colorectal cancer, as well as the deregulation of different canonical pathways, such as the Wnt, EGFR, and p53 pathways (13–15). Nonetheless, FCCTX is a heterogeneous group of families, and we are still far from fully understanding the different events and genetic alterations involved in their tumor progression and heredity. Although previous studies had identified a few genes involved in the cancer susceptibility of these families (16, 17), it has not been until the arrival of next-generation sequencing (NGS) that a larger amount of cancer-predisposing genes are being discovered (18–23). According to published results, it seems that FCCTX does not form a single entity, because multiple different genes are involved in their cancer heritability. However, altogether these still explain the inheritance in only a small portion of the families. Thus, the identification of new high-risk genes that contribute to the increased cancer susceptibility of FCCTX families is still a challenge and a priority.

In a previous collaborative study between our hospital (Hospital Clínico San Carlos of Madrid) and the Epicon consortium at Hospital Clinic of Barcelona, new genes that confer high risk of developing cancer were described (19). Among them, we identified one family carrying a variant in BRIP1 (BRCA1 Interacting Protein C-terminal helicase 1; ref. 24). BRIP1, also known as FANCJ/BACH1, is one of the genes linked to Fanconi anemia (FA), a genetically heterogeneous disease to which pathogenic variants in at least 22 genes have been currently associated. Eight FA complementation group proteins and three non-FA proteins form the FA core complex that is required for the monoubiquitination of the FANCD2–FANCJ dimer upon DNA damage (25, 26). BRIP1, BRCA2, and PALB2 act in parallel or downstream of FANC2D, working collectively in a common pathway that has been termed the FA–BRCA pathway, and BRIP1’s helicase domains suggest its direct involvement at DNA-repair sites (27).

Mutations in BRIP1 are observed in patients who belong to the FA-J complementation group, which is why BRIP1 is also known as FANCJ (28–30). Pathogenic BRIP1 germline mutations are also known to confer about a 10% cumulative risk of developing ovarian cancer (31, 32), whereas the role of BRIP1 in breast cancer remains controversial (33, 34). On the other hand, the association between BRIP1 mutations and colorectal cancer was reported for the first time in our previous collaborative study (19, 24), but more recently other studies have confirmed this association (35–37).

Our group performed NGS analysis of individuals from FCCTX or other high-risk MSS colorectal cancer families without mutations in genes previously associated with colorectal cancer. Due to recent publications about BRIP1 and colorectal cancer, together with the well-known association between BRIP1 and hereditary ovarian cancer (31, 38), the aim of this work was to evaluate the role of BRIP1 variants in inherited colorectal cancer. Here we describe three germline BRIP1 variants detected in three unrelated families.

## Materials and Methods

### Study population

Affected and nonaffected individuals from 18 FCCTX or high-risk MSS colorectal cancer families were studied by whole-exome sequencing, and another 62 colorectal cancer patients from either FCCTX or high-risk MSS families were screened by an NGS multigene panel. The families were collected at the Genetic Counseling Unit of Hospital Clínico San Carlos of Madrid. All the families fulfilled either the Amsterdam I/II criteria or the Bethesda guidelines for HNPCC (7, 8, 11). In addition, all the colorectal cancer tumors from these families were MSS and presented normal expression of the MMR proteins. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Hospital Clínico San Carlos, and a written informed consent was obtained from each participant. Personal and family histories were obtained from the proband and participating relatives, and cancer diagnoses were confirmed by medical and pathology records.

### DNA extraction

Germline DNA was extracted from peripheral blood using the MagNA Pure Cepaq extractor system (Roche Diagnostics), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Tumor DNA was extracted from 7-µm-thick formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue sections using the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to its protocol. A hematoxylin/eosin-stained section of each block allowed the assessment of tumor cell area and content by two experienced pathologists. Finally, DNA quantity and quality were evaluated using a NanoDrop (ND1000) spectrophotometer, Qubit V3.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and agarose gel electrophoresis (concentration between 50 and 200 ng/µL and purity OD260/280 = 1.8–2.0).

### Whole-exome sequencing

The whole-exome sequencing library was prepared according to Agilent’s SureSelect protocol for Illumina paired-end sequencing (SureSelectXT Human All Exon V3, 51Mb, Agilent Technologies). The final library size and concentration were determined on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), respectively. Finally, the library was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform with paired-end reads of 250 bp, following the manufacturer’s protocol. Images generated by the HiSeq 2000 were processed using the manufacturer’s software to generate FASTQ sequence files. Reads were aligned against the human reference genome version GRCh37/hg19 using the BWA software, creating the BAM files. Low quality reads, PCR duplicates, and other sequences that could introduce major biases were removed using Picard-tools and SAMtools. Variant calling was performed using a combination of two different algorithms (VarScan and GATK) and the identified variants were annotated and named using the HGMD and Ensembl databases.

### TruSight cancer panel sequencing

Sixty-two colorectal cancer patients were tested with the TruSight Cancer Panel, which targets 94 genes known to play...
a role in cancer predisposition. The integrated sample preparation was done following the Nextera enrichment protocol from Illumina and as little as 50 ng of DNA for the library. Sequencing was performed on a MiSeq platform (Illumina), and data were analyzed by the MiSeq Reporter Software.

**Variant filtering**

The variants identified by NGS were subsequently filtered to select those variants that were (1) shared by the affected members sequenced from each family (when applicable); (2) carried in heterozygosis; (3) coding, nonsynonymous (missense, stop gain, stop loss, inframe, frameshift, and splicing) and located in autosomes; (4) rare (minor allele frequency, MAF, in the general population ≤ 0.01); (5) predicted to be damaging (for missense and inframe variants) or to affect the splicing (for splice region variants) by *in silico* tools; and (6) not carried by elderly healthy relatives sequenced (when applicable).

**In silico studies**

The MAF of each variant was checked in three different databases (1000 Genomes Project, EVS, and gnomAD). On the other hand, for every missense variant, the PolyPhen, SIFT, and MutationTaster online tools were used to predict the impact of each amino acid substitution on the protein. Splice region variants were also analyzed by the Human Splicing Finder in order to predict splicing alterations.

**Variant validation, segregation, and loss-of-heterozygosity studies**

*BRIP1* variants were validated, and their segregation was studied by PCR followed by Sanger sequencing of the corresponding region of the gene (exons 7, 12, and 15; transcript ENST00000259008.6) using specific primers designed with Primer3 (Supplementary Table S1). The segregation study was carried out in germline DNA from the available members of each family. However, although no germline DNA was available from the deceased member II:1 of family CC41 (Fig. 1), we were able to study the segregation in this member using tumor DNA. The loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH) was assessed in tumor DNA by either Sanger sequencing or the study of microsatellites. For this purpose, germline and tumor DNA were compared, allowing the discrimination of the wild-type and mutant alleles. Sanger sequencing LOH was considered when the intensity of any of the alleles was reduced relative to the other allele. For microsatellite LOH, peak heights were measured and quantified as described below.

### LOH quantification

The height in pixels of microsatellite peaks from the LOH study was measured with ImageJ. The unaltered LOH peak in each microsatellite was used as internal reference for comparison between normal and tumor samples. As an example, 167 pixels (normal) and 163 pixels (tumor) were used as internal reference in rs72225869. To calculate the ratio of LOH, observed heights for the decreased peaks were divided by their respective references (rs72225869: normal 125/167 = 0.749, tumor 97/163 = 0.595; rs3034431: normal 175/128 = 1.367, tumor 151/135 = 1.119). Then, these normalized ratios were compared to obtain a final LOH ratio.

**Web resources**

gnomAD, https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org
PolyPhen, http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2
SIFT, https://sift.biosta-star.edu.sg
MutationTaster, http://www.mutationtaster.org
Human Splicing Finder (HSF), http://www.umd.be/HSF3

**Results**

With the aim of finding germline colorectal cancer predisposition variants that would explain the increased cancer susceptibility in a cohort of colorectal cancer patients from FCCTX or high-risk MSS colorectal cancer families, the whole exome was studied by NGS in between 1 and 3 members of 18 families. In addition, an NGS panel of 94 cancer-related genes was used to study 62 patients from different families. After rigorous filtering, the NGS data showed three candidate variants in *BRIP1*: a frameshift variant and a missense variant identified by the whole-exome study and another frameshift variant detected by the multigene panel. Among the different candidate genes identified, *BRIP1* was selected based on its recent association with colorectal cancer (35) and its involvement in familial ovarian cancer (31, 38). The present report focuses on these three germline *BRIP1* variants identified in three unrelated families.

The families where the *BRIP1* variants were detected were H463, CC350, and CC41, which fulfilled either the Amsterdam I (CC350) or Bethesda criteria (H463 and CC41; Fig. 1). In addition, they all presented MSS tumors with normal expression of all MMR proteins, and did not carry any germline mutation in *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, or *PMS2* (Table 1). The three *BRIP1* variants were validated by Sanger sequencing, and the segregation studies confirmed that the variants were present in all the affected members studied in each of the families (Fig. 1). Preliminary results for family H463 had been previously published (24).

**Family H463: *BRIP1* c.1702_1703del, p.(Asn568TrpfsTer9)**

The *BRIP1* variant identified by whole-exome sequencing in family H463 was a frameshift mutation known as NM_032043.2 c.1702_1703del, p.(Asn568TrpfsTer9), rs1057519365 (Table 1). This mutation consisted of a deletion of two adenines at positions 1702 and 1703 of the cDNA, located in exon 12 (Supplementary Fig. S1), and was found in family member II:6 (Fig. 1). This family was included in a Spanish collaborative study published in 2016 (24). Unfortunately, the segregation study could not be carried out due to the lack of availability of germline or tumor samples from any other members of the family. The LOH analysis showed that the tumor of member II:6 presented a...
reduction of the wild-type allele compared with the mutant allele for both microsatellites studied. The LOH ratio observed was 20.5% for rs72225869 and 18.1% for rs3034431 (Fig. 2). This deletion of two nucleotides in \( \text{BRIP1} \) causes a shift of the reading frame of the codons, with the consequent change of an asparagine for a tryptophan at codon 568, followed by a premature stop codon at position 9 of the new reading frame and the subsequent loss of normal protein function. On the other hand, this frame-shift variant is located between the two helicase domains of the protein (Fig. 3), and its allele frequency in the general population is 1/251,398 according to gnomAD. Furthermore, based on current information, the variant is considered as either pathogenic or likely pathogenic in ClinVar (6 submissions, May 2020).

**Family CC350: \( \text{BRIP1} \) c.2220G>T, p.(Gln740His)**

The \( \text{BRIP1} \) variant identified by whole-exome sequencing in family CC350 was a missense variant known as NM_032043.2 c.2220G>T, p.(Gln740His), rs45589637 (Table 1). This single-nucleotide variant consisted in the substitution of a guanine for a thymine at position 2220 of the cDNA, located in exon 15 (Supplementary Fig. S2). The segregation study in the available family members showed that this variant segregates with colorectal cancer in the proband (II:2, diagnosed of colorectal cancer at 61) and his son (III:2, diagnosed of a rectal neoplasm with liver metastases at 47; Fig. 1). However, it was not carried by a healthy relative, nor by another two family members diagnosed with polyps (III:1 and III:4, with tubular adenomas of between 4 and 6 mm that presented mild or moderate dysplasia). It is worth noting that there was no evidence of a precursor adenoma in the pathologic specimens of the \( \text{BRIP1} \) carriers who developed cancer. The LOH analysis in the tumor of member III:2 showed that the difference between the wild-type and mutant alleles was minimal, and thus this study was considered as no evidence...
Table 1. Clinical and molecular features of the families and description of the three BRIP1 variants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>Clinical criteria</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Dx age</th>
<th>MSI</th>
<th>IHC</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>RefSeq</th>
<th>HGVS variant nomenclature</th>
<th>RefSNPs</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>LOH</th>
<th>MAF gnomAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H463a</td>
<td>II:6</td>
<td>Beth CRC</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>BRIP1</td>
<td>NM_032043.2</td>
<td>c.1702_1703del p. (Asn568TrpfsTer9)</td>
<td>rs1057519365</td>
<td>Mut+</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC350</td>
<td>II:2</td>
<td>AMS-I CRC</td>
<td>&lt;61</td>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>BRIP1</td>
<td>NM_032043.2</td>
<td>c.2220G&gt;T p.(Gln740His)</td>
<td>rs45589637</td>
<td>Mut+</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.00054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II:1</td>
<td>Polyps</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II:2</td>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mut+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III:1</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III:2</td>
<td>Polyps</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mut+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III:3</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III:4</td>
<td>Polyps</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC41</td>
<td>II:1</td>
<td>Beth CRC</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>BRIP1</td>
<td>NM_032043.2</td>
<td>c.903del p.(Leu301PhefsTer2)</td>
<td>rs876659490</td>
<td>Mut+</td>
<td>Inc</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II:2</td>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mut+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV:5</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV:6</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV:8</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mut+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AMS-I, Amsterdam I; Beth, Bethesda; CRC, colorectal cancer; Dx, diagnosis; HGVS, Human Genome Variation Society; ID, identification number; IHC, immunohistochemistry; Inc, inconclusive; LOH, loss of heterozygosity; MAF, minor allele frequency; MMR, mismatch repair; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; Mut+, mutation carrier; NA, not applicable; NGS, Next-Generation Sequencing; NCBI reference sequence; RefSeq, RefSNP, dbSNP reference SNP number; WT, wild-type.

*aThe mutation in this family has been previously published by us [EJHG Open (2016) 24:1501–1505].
of LOH (Fig. 2). This variant is located in the Helicase C-terminal (HELICc) domain (Fig. 3) and has an allele frequency in the general population of 0.00041 according to gnomAD. In silico studies considered this variant as “Detrerious” by SIFT, “Possibly Damaging” by PolyPhen-2, and “Disease Causing” by MutationTaster. However, this single-nucleotide variant is classified as either a variant of uncertain significance (VUS; 10 submissions) or likely benign (5 submissions) by ClinVar (May 2020).

**Family CC41: BRIP1 c.903del, p.(Leu301PhefsTer2)**

The BRIP1 variant detected by the multigene panel (39) in family CC41 was a frameshift mutation known as NM_032043.2 c.903del, p.(Leu301PhefsTer2), rs876659490 (Table 1). This mutation consisted on the deletion of a guanine at position 903 of the cDNA, with a consequent shift of the reading frame of the codons (Supplementary Fig. S3). The segregation study in the available family members showed that this variant segregates with colorectal cancer in the proband (III:2) and his father (II:1), both affected with colorectal cancer at ages 63 and 52, respectively. The variant was also carried by his 57-year-old healthy daughter (IV:8), whereas another two healthy sons of ages 47 (IV:5) and 49 (IV:6) were noncarriers (Fig. 1). The LOH analysis of this mutation revealed that the tumor from member II:1 showed some decrease of the mutant allele and increase of the wild-type...
allele (Fig. 2). This variant is located in the Helicase DEAD-like (DEDXc) domain (Fig. 3) and is a novel variant with no frequency data in the general population. Furthermore, it is a frameshift variant whose consequence is a nonfunctional truncated protein. The variant has been reported in ClinVar as pathogenic (1 submission, May 2020) and the segregation in the family supports its pathogenicity.

Discussion

FCCTX encompasses a group of families that fulfill the Amsterdam criteria for HNPCC but with no defects in the MMR genes and a still unknown genetic basis. The identification of pathogenic mutations in new genes involved in the cancer inheritance of these families can provide valuable information to be used during patient screening and genetic counseling. In addition, a better understanding of hereditary colorectal cancer may provide important clues to disease predisposition that could contribute to molecular diagnostics, improved risk stratification, and targeted therapeutic strategies. Given a recently reported association between BRIP1 and colorectal cancer (35) and its well-known effect on ovarian cancer risk (31, 38), the aim of the present study was to evaluate the role of BRIP1 variants and their association with inherited colorectal cancer.

Here, we present data from three unrelated families where we detected three different BRIP1 variants. Two of the variants cause a translational shift of the reading frame, resulting in the premature truncation of the protein, the loss of at least one of the two helicase domains and the BRCA1-binding region (40), and the expected loss of function of the protein. Therefore, they are both classified as pathogenic and have been indeed reported as pathogenic in ClinVar. The segregation study of variant BRIP1 c.903del, p.(Leu301PhefsTer2) in family CC41 is consistent with its pathogenicity. The LOH study of BRIP1 c.1702_1703del, p.(Asn568TrpfsTer9) in family H463 suggests the loss of the wild-type allele, which could be a possible second hit pointing to its potential implication in cancer development. However, the LOH study in family CC41 is not informative. Altogether, these results are consistent with the pathogenicity of both frameshift variants and support the involvement of BRIP1 in the colorectal cancer inheritance of the corresponding families.

On the other hand, the missense variant carried by family CC350 is predicted to be deleterious by in silico tools and has been reported in individuals affected with ovarian cancer (31), peritoneal cancer (41), breast cancer (30, 33, 42), and in individuals undergoing testing for Lynch syndrome (43). However, its ClinVar entry classifies it as either benign or of uncertain significance. This variant seems to segregate with colorectal cancer in family CC350. It is worth noting that CC350 family member III:2 has a bilinear family history of cancer, given that in the maternal side his mother was diagnosed of breast cancer at the age of 69 and colorectal cancer at 88, and two of his uncles were diagnosed of throat cancer at 52. However, given that his father and paternal grandfather were diagnosed of colorectal cancer at 61 and 75, respectively, it is more likely that the colorectal cancer heritability comes by this side. The LOH study showed no evidence of LOH. Taking all this into account, we cannot confirm the pathogenicity of this variant until functional studies have been performed, because the available evidence is currently insufficient to determine the role of this variant in the disease. For that reason, it still remains as a VUS and patient recommendations should be based on the individual’s personal and family history instead of on the variant. Longer follow-up of noncarrier members is also needed to see if it is associated with colorectal cancer.

BRIP1 encodes a member of the RecQ DEAH helicase family that interacts with BRCA1 and plays an important role in BRCA1’s normal double-strand break repair function. Biallelic
In conclusion, we suggest that BRIP1 pathogenic germline variants may have a causal role in colorectal cancer as moderate-penetrance cancer susceptibility alleles and be associated with hereditary colorectal cancer predisposition. To further prove this, BRIP1 should be included in clinical panels for the evaluation of germline mutations in hereditary cancer susceptibility genes, and larger studies should be done to provide more evidence of this association.
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