

#### **Proofs of non-stomatal limitations of potato photosynthesis during drought by LIÉGE** université using eddy covariance data Agro-Bio Tech

<u>Quentin BEAUCLAIRE</u>, Louis GOURLEZ DE LA MOTTE, Bernard HEINESCH, Bernard LONGDOZ

Biosystem Dynamics and Exchanges (BioDynE), TERRA Teaching and Research Center, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, ULiège Université Contact : q.beauclaire@uliege.be

# **Objectives**

- To infer ecosystem physiological proprieties from eddy covariance data at the canopy level.
- To evaluate wether a reduction in potato photosynthesis during drought originates from a strict stomatal control (SOL) or from non-stomatal limitations (NSOL).
- To use relative extractable water (REW) as an indicator to detect drought effects on agrosystems.

## Site : BE-Lon

- Production crop : 4 years roation typical of central Belgium including seed potatoes (2010, 2014 and 2018).
- Temperate climate (mean annual T and P: 10 °C, 800 mm)
- Soil type : loamy soil and a plowed horizon over the 30 first cm.
- Soil water content measured at five depths (5, 15, 25, 55 and 85 cm).



#### Methodology

Classic post processing treatments for EC data. Two different timescales :

Inter-day timescale :

- <u>SOL</u>: canopy sensitivity to photosynthesis G<sub>1</sub> with the Medlyn et al. model (Medlyn et al., 2011) (Eq. 1). Use of the Penman-Monteith equation (Penman, 1948) to determine G<sub>c</sub>.
- <u>NSOL</u>: apparent maximum carboxylation rate  $V_{cmax}$  (Eq. 2) (Arneth et al., 2002).
- <u>Sensitivity analysis : quantification of the bias induced by the non-inclusion of water stress influence in the parametrization of  $V_{cmax}$ </u> and  $G_1$ : calculation of ratios between GPP modelled by using unstressed  $V_{cmax}$  and  $G_1$  values, and measured GPP (GPP<sub>Vcmax</sub>\*/GPP and  $GPP_{G1}^*/GPP$ ) (Zhou et al., 2013).

Intra-day timescale :

• <u>Coupling between carbon and water fluxes</u>: rank correlation between transpiration and normalized carbon assimilation (DWCI) (Nelson et al., 2018).

Quality controls for G<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>cmax</sub> calculations : PPFD, surface wetness, phenology and statistic filters (Knauer et al., 2018).

REW was daily computed by weighting the water availability of each soil layer by its height up to the maximum root depth (Eq. 3), modelled with the Hartmann et al. (2017) model. Breakpoints were determined by using segmented linear regressions.

# Results

	<b>Pedo-climatic</b>	conditions	and	crop	dynamics
--	----------------------	------------	-----	------	----------

BE-Lon, 2010		BE-Lon, 2014			BE-Lon, 2018			
•	GPP <sub>sat</sub>	•••		GPP <sub>sat</sub>	•	1	GPP <sub>sat</sub>	







Figure 1: GPP<sub>sat</sub> (saturated photosynthesis when PPFD exceeded 1200 µmol.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and GPP daily averaged fluxes during the cultivation periods in 2010, 2014 and 2018 in the first row. In the second row, REW evolution, precipitation and irrigation data are shown. In the third row are presented the evolution of green leaf area index (LAI) measurements and root deph (Lr) modelled values. The development stages are mentionned with their BBCH code in parenthesis. « CHD » means haulm killing, and « H » harvest. DaE » means days after emergence.



Figure 2: Relationships between REW and NSOL (V<sub>cmax</sub> - for the upper part) or between REW and SOL (G<sub>1</sub> - for the down part). The solid line represents the REW breakpoint when V<sub>cmax</sub> or G<sub>1</sub> started to decrease. The dashed line corresponds to the confidence interval around the breakpoint. The R<sup>2</sup> value gives the determination coefficient of the segmented linear model.

### Discussion

- GPP anomalies recorded in 2010 (leaf development stage), 2014 and 2018 (ripening stage). Synchronized dynamics with REW and lack of precipitation (fig. 1).
- G<sub>1</sub> remained constant while V<sub>cmax</sub> decreased from a REW breakpoint (fig. 2). The slight increase in G<sub>1</sub> in 2014 might be attributed to low GPP and transpiration values.
- NSOL were the dominant mechanism of photosynthesis control when soil water availability decreased by 45 % (REW =  $0.55 \pm 0.05$ ) (fig. 3).



<u>Figure 3</u>: Relationship between the ratios  $GPP_{Vcmax}^*/GPP$ ,  $GPP_{G1}^*/GPP$  and REW in 2010, 2014 and 2018. These ratios represent the impact of NSOL (GPP<sub>Vcmax</sub>\*/GPP) and SOL (GPP<sub>G1</sub>\*/GPP) on GPP modelling during drought.

(cc)



<u>Figure 4</u>: Relationship between diurnal carbon water index (DWCI) with REW in 2010, 2014 and 2018.

- No decoupling between carbon and water fluxes at the intra-day timescale (fig. 4) : we hypothesize that NSOL had a cojoint effect on G<sub>c</sub> and GPP.
- Discrepancy between « optimal » stomatal behaviour (decrease of G<sub>1</sub>) and our results: need of a revision of the cost associated with the opening of stomata.

## Take home message

- NSOL were the dominant mechanism limiting photosynthesis during drought.
- REW =  $0.55 \pm 0.05$  could be used as a relevant threshold for crop modelling. What's next?
- Use of fluorometry and gas exchange measurements to infer g<sub>m</sub> dynamics.
- Complete overview of photosynthetic flux limitations during drought.