

Ultimate periodicity problem for linear numeration systems

Adeline Massuir Joint work with Émilie Charlier, Michel Rigo and Eric Rowland

Discrete Mathematics Seminar

March 24th 2021

A (positional) numeration system is a sequence $U = (U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers s. t.

• U is increasing,

•
$$U_0 = 1$$
,

•
$$C_U = \sup_{i \ge 0} \left\lceil \frac{U_{i+1}}{U_i} \right\rceil$$
 is finite.

The alphabet of the numeration is the set $\Sigma_U = [[0, C_U - 1]]$. The greedy *U*-representation of a positive integer *n* is the unique word rep_U(*n*) = $w_{\ell-1} \cdots w_0$ over Σ_U s. t.

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} w_i U_i, \ w_{\ell-1} \neq 0 \text{ and } \forall j \in \llbracket 0, \ell \rrbracket, \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} w_i U_i < U_j.$$

We set $\operatorname{rep}_U(0) = \varepsilon$. The language $\operatorname{rep}_U(\mathbb{N})$ is the *numeration language*. A set X is *U*-recognizable if $\operatorname{rep}_U(X)$ is regular. The alphabet of the numeration is the set $\Sigma_U = [[0, C_U - 1]]$. The greedy *U*-representation of a positive integer *n* is the unique word rep_U(*n*) = $w_{\ell-1} \cdots w_0$ over Σ_U s. t.

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} w_i U_i, \ w_{\ell-1} \neq 0 \text{ and } \forall j \in \llbracket 0, \ell \rrbracket, \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} w_i U_i < U_j.$$

We set $\operatorname{rep}_U(0) = \varepsilon$. The language $\operatorname{rep}_U(\mathbb{N})$ is the *numeration language*. A set X is *U*-recognizable if $\operatorname{rep}_U(X)$ is regular.

The U-numerical valuation $\operatorname{val}_U : \mathbb{Z}^* \to \mathbb{N}$ maps a word $w_{\ell-1} \cdots w_0$ to the number $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} w_i U_i$. If $\operatorname{val}_U(w) = n$, then w is a U-representation of n.

Integer base-b: 1, b, b^2 , b^3 , \cdots

•
$$U_i = b^i \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

•
$$\Sigma_b = \llbracket 0, b - 1 \rrbracket$$

•
$$\operatorname{rep}_b(\mathbb{N}) = \{\varepsilon\} \cup (\Sigma_b \setminus \{0\})\Sigma_b^*$$

Integer base-b: 1, b, b^2 , b^3 , \cdots

•
$$U_i = b^i \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

•
$$\Sigma_b = \llbracket 0, b - 1 \rrbracket$$

•
$$\operatorname{rep}_b(\mathbb{N}) = \{\varepsilon\} \cup (\Sigma_b \setminus \{0\})\Sigma_b^*$$

Fibonacci numeration system : $1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \cdots$

•
$$F_0 = 1, F_1 = 2$$
 and $F_{i+2} = F_{i+1} + F_i \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$

•
$$\Sigma_F = \llbracket 0, 1 \rrbracket$$

•
$$\operatorname{rep}_F(\mathbb{N}) = 1\{0,01\}^* \cup \{\varepsilon\}$$

 $rep_F(11) = (10100)_F$ and

$$val_{F}(1001) = 6 = val_{F}(111)$$

Advantages of regular numeration languages

- We are able to check with an automaton whether a representation is greedy,
- the numeration system is linear,
- ultimately periodic sets are recognizable.

Advantages of regular numeration languages

- We are able to check with an automaton whether a representation is greedy,
- the numeration system is linear,
- ultimately periodic sets are recognizable.

Integer base $b: U_{i+1} = bU_i$

Fibonacci numeration system : $F_{i+2} = F_{i+1} + F_i$

Advantages of regular numeration languages

- We are able to check with an automaton whether a representation is greedy,
- the numeration system is linear,
- ultimately periodic sets are recognizable.

Proposition

Let m, r be non-negative integers and let $U = (U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a linear numeration system. The language

$$\mathsf{val}_U^{-1}(m\,\mathbb{N}\,{+}\,r) = \{w\in\Sigma_U^*:\mathsf{val}_U(w)\in m\,\mathbb{N}\,{+}\,r\}$$

is accepted by a DFA that can be effectively constructed. In particular, if $\mathbb N$ is U-recognizable, then any ultimately periodic set is U-recognizable.

Problem

Given a linear numeration system U and a deterministic finite automaton \mathscr{A} whose accepted language is contained in the numeration language rep_U(\mathbb{N}), decide whether the subset X of \mathbb{N} that is recognized by \mathscr{A} is ultimately periodic, i.e. whether or not X is a finite union of arithmetic progressions (along a finite set).

Integer base

- J. Honkala
- A. Lacroix, N. Rampersad, M. Rigo, E. Vandomme
- B. Boigelot, I. Mainz, V. Marsault, M. Rigo, J. Sakarovitch

Integer base

- J. Honkala
- A. Lacroix, N. Rampersad, M. Rigo, E. Vandomme
- B. Boigelot, I. Mainz, V. Marsault, M. Rigo, J. Sakarovitch

Pisot numeration systems

- First-order logic $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, \mathit{V_U} \rangle$
- X a U-recognizable set, φ a formula describing it

$$(\exists N)(\exists p)(\forall n \geq N)(\varphi(n) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(n+p))$$

• J. Leroux, A. Muchnik

When addition is not recognizable

- J. Bell, É. Charlier, A. Fraenkel, M. Rigo
 - \mathbb{N} is *U*-recognizable,

•
$$\lim_{i\to+\infty} (U_{i+1} - U_i) = +\infty$$
,

•
$$\lim_{m\to+\infty} N_U(m) = +\infty.$$

$$U_{i+3} = 3U_{i+2} + 2U_{i+1} + 3U_i$$

(H1) \mathbb{N} is *U*-recognizable,

(H2)
$$\limsup_{i\to+\infty} (U_{i+1} - U_i) = +\infty$$
,

(H3)
$$\exists N \geq 0, \forall i \geq 0, U_{i+1} - U_i \leq U_{i+2} - U_{i+1}.$$

Lemma

Let U be a numeration system satisfying (H1), (H2) and (H3). There exists a constant Z such that if w is a greedy U-representation, then for all $z \ge Z$, $10^z w$ is also a greedy U-representation.

Lemma

Let U be a numeration system satisfying (H1), (H2) and (H3). There exists a constant Z such that if w is a greedy U-representation, then for all $z \ge Z$, $10^z w$ is also a greedy U-representation.

$$1, 2, 4, 5, 16, 17, 64, 65, \cdots$$
 $U_{i+4} = 5U_{i+2} - 4U_i$

$${
m val}_U(1001) = 6$$
 $1(00)^t 1001$ $\cdots, 65, 64, 17, 16, 5, 4, 2, 1$

Consider the numeration system $U_{i+4} = 2U_{i+3} + 2U_{i+2} + 2U_i$ with initial conditions $U_0 = 1$, $U_1 = 3$, $U_2 = 9$, $U_3 = 25$. The largest root is $\beta \approx 2.804$ and it has also a root $\gamma \approx -1.134$.

Example 2

Consider the numeration system $U_{i+3} = 12U_{i+2} + 6U_{i+1} + 12U_i$ with initial conditions $U_0 = 1$, $U_1 = 13$, $U_2 = 163$.

- Input : DFA \mathscr{A}
- Upper bound on the admissible preperiods and periods
- For each pair (N, p) of possible preperiods and periods, there are at most $2^{N}2^{p}$ corresponding ultimately periodic sets X
- Equality test : \mathscr{A}_X and \mathscr{A}

Proposition

Let U be a numeration system satisfying (H1), let X be an ultimately periodic set of non-negative integers and let \mathscr{A}_X be a DFA with $\#Q_X$ states accepting rep_U(X). Then the preperiod α_X of X is bounded by a computable constant J depending only on the number of states of \mathscr{A}_X and the period π_X of X. Let $U = (U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying (H1), (H2) and (H3).

$$U_{i+k} = a_{k-1}U_{i+k-1} + \cdots + a_0U_i$$

Suppose that the minimal automaton \mathscr{A}_X of $\operatorname{rep}_U(X)$ is given. Let π_X be a potential period for X and consider its prime decomposition.

- Factors that do not divide a_0 ,
- factors that divide a_0 but not all the a_j ,
- factors that divide all the a_i .

Proposition

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ an ultimately periodic *U*-recognizable set and let q be a divisor of π_X such that $(q, a_0) = 1$. Then the minimal automaton of rep_{*U*}(X) has at least q states.

Take the sequence $U_0 = 1, U_1 = 4, U_2 = 8$ and $U_{i+2} = U_{i+1} + U_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

 $1, 4, 8, 12, 20, 32, 52, \cdots$

The sequence $(U_i \mod 2^{\mu})_{i\geq 0}$ has a zero period for $\mu = 1, 2$ because of the particular initial conditions. But the sequence $(U_i \mod 8)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is given by $1(404)^{\omega}$.

Theorem

Let p be a prime. The sequence $(U_i \mod p^{\mu})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a zero period for all $\mu \geq 1$ if and only if all the coefficients a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1} of the linear relation are divisible by p.

Proposition

Let p be a prime not dividing all the coefficients of the recurrence relation and let $\lambda \geq 1$ be the least integer such that $(U_i \mod p^{\lambda})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a period containing a non-zero element. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is an ultimately periodic U-recognizable set with period $\pi_X = p^{\mu} \cdot r$ where $\mu \geq \lambda$ and r is not divisible by p, then the minimal automaton of rep_U(X) has at least $p^{\mu-\lambda+1}$ states.

Theorem

Let U be a numeration system satisfying (H1), (H2) and (H3) and such that the gcd of the coefficients of the recurrence relation of U is 1. Given a DFA \mathscr{A} accepting a language contained in the numeration language rep_U(\mathbb{N}), it is decidable whether this DFA recognizes an ultimately periodic set.

$$U_{i+5} = 6U_{i+4} + 3U_{i+3} - U_{i+2} + 6U_{i+1} + 3U_i, \forall i \ge 0$$

- $N_U(3^i) \not\rightarrow +\infty$
- $\beta = 3 + 2\sqrt{3}$, three roots of modulus 1
- Initial conditions : $U_0 = 1, U_1 = 7, U_2 = 45, U_3 = 291, U_5 = 1881$
- Numeration language : set of words over $\{0, \ldots, 6\}$ avoiding 63, 64, 65, 66
- For all $i \ge 0, U_{i+1} U_i \ge 5U_i$

Prime factors that divide all the coefficients

$$\pi_{x}=m_{X}\cdot p_{1}^{\mu_{1}}\cdots p_{t}^{\mu_{t}}$$

Prime factors that divide all the coefficients

$$\pi_{X}=m_{X}\cdot p_{1}^{\mu_{1}}\cdots p_{t}^{\mu_{t}}$$

Let $j \in \llbracket 1, t \rrbracket, \mu \ge 1$. The sequence $(U_i \mod p_j^{\mu})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a zero period. We let $f_{p_j}(\mu)$ be the integer such that

$$U_{\mathsf{f}_{p_j}(\mu)-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_j^{\mu}} \text{ and } U_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p_j^{\mu}} orall i \geq \mathsf{f}_{p_j}(\mu).$$

Example :

$$\overline{U_{i+4}} = 2U_{i+3} + 2U_{i+2} + 2U_i, \ U_0 = 1, U_1 = 3, U_2 = 9, U_3 = 25$$

•
$$(U_i \mod 2)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = 1, 1, 1, 1, 0^{\omega}$$
 hence $f_2(1) = 4$

•
$$(U_i \mod 4)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = 1, 3, 1, 3, 2, 0, 2, 2, 0^{\omega}$$
 hence $f_2(2) = 8$

•
$$f_2(3) = 12, f_2(4) = 16$$

We set

$$F_X = \max_{1 \le j \le t} \mathsf{f}_{p_j}(\mu_j).$$

We set

$$F_X = \max_{1 \le j \le t} \mathsf{f}_{p_j}(\mu_j).$$

Example :

$$\overline{U_{i+3}} = 12U_{i+2} + 6U_{i+1} + 12U_i, U_0 = 1, U_1 = 13, U_2 = 163$$

• $f_2(1) = 3, f_2(2) = 5, f_2(3) = 7$
• $f_3(1) = 3, f_3(2) = 6, f_3(3) = 9$
• $\pi_X = 72 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2, F_X = \max(f_2(3), f_3(2)) = 7$

 $(U_i \mod 72)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = 1, 13, 19, 30, 54, 48, 36, 0^{4}$

Proposition

Let m, r be non-negative integers and let $U = (U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a linear numeration system. The language

$$\operatorname{val}_U^{-1}(m \mathbb{N} + r) = \{ w \in \Sigma_U^* : \operatorname{val}_U(w) \in m \mathbb{N} + r \}$$

is accepted by a DFA that can be effectively constructed. In particular, if \mathbb{N} is *U*-recognizable, then any ultimately periodic set is *U*-recognizable.

We let γ_m denote the maximum of the number of states of these DFAs for $r \in [[0, m-1]]$.

Theorem

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an ultimately periodic *U*-recognizable set with period $\pi_x = m_X \cdot p_1^{\mu_1} \cdots p_t^{\mu_t}$. Assume that $F_X - 1 - \left| \operatorname{rep}_U \left(\frac{\pi_X}{m_X} - 1 \right) \right| \ge Z$. Then there is a positive constant *C* such that the minimal automaton of $0^* \operatorname{rep}_U(X)$ has at least $\frac{C}{\gamma_{m_X}} \log_2 \left(\left| \operatorname{rep}_U \left(\frac{\pi_X}{m_X} - 1 \right) \right| + 1 \right)$ states.

The gcd of the coefficients of the recurrence greater than 1

$$\pi_X = m_X \cdot p_1^{\mu_1} \cdots p_t^{\mu_t}, \ t \geq 1$$

$$n_X = F_X - 1 - \left| \operatorname{rep}_U \left(\frac{\pi_X}{m_X} - 1 \right) \right| \ge Z$$

Theorem

Let U be a numeration system satisfying (H1), (H2) and (H3), and such that the gcd of the coefficients of the recurrence relation of U is larger than 1. Assume there is a computable positive integer D such that for all ultimately periodic sets X of period $\pi_X = m_X \cdot p_1^{\mu_1} \cdots p_t^{\mu_t}$ with $t \ge 1$, if $\max\{\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_t\} \ge D$, then $n_X \ge Z$. Then, given a DFA \mathscr{A} accepting a language contained in the numeration language rep $_U(\mathbb{N})$, it is decidable whether this DFA recognizes an ultimately periodic set.

Behaviour of n_X

$$n_X = F_X - 1 - \left| \operatorname{rep}_U \left(\frac{\pi_X}{m_X} - 1 \right) \right|$$

Behaviour of n_X

$$n_X = F_X - 1 - \left| \operatorname{rep}_U \left(\frac{\pi_X}{m_X} - 1 \right) \right|$$

$$F_X = \max_{1 \le j \le t} \mathsf{f}_{p_j}(\mu_j)$$

$$\mathsf{f}_{p_j}(\mu) = M \Leftrightarrow (\nu_{p_j}(U_{M-1}) < \mu \land \forall i \ge M, \nu_{p_j}(U_i) \ge \mu)$$

Lemma

Let $j \in [\![1,t]\!]$. Assume that there are $\alpha, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a non-decreasing function g such that

$$\nu_{p_j}(U_i) < \lfloor \alpha i \rfloor + g(i)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and there exists M such that $g(i) < \epsilon i$ for all i > M. Then for large enough μ ,

$$f_{p_j}(\mu) > \frac{\mu}{\alpha + \epsilon}$$

Lemma

Let $j \in [\![1,t]\!]$. Assume that there are $\alpha, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a non-decreasing function g such that

$$\nu_{p_j}(U_i) < \lfloor \alpha i \rfloor + g(i)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and there exists M such that $g(i) < \epsilon i$ for all i > M. Then for large enough μ ,

$$f_{p_j}(\mu) > rac{\mu}{lpha + \epsilon}.$$

$$F_{X} = \max_{1 \le j \le t} \mathsf{f}_{p_{j}}(\mu_{j}) > \max_{1 \le j \le t} \left(\frac{\mu_{j}}{\alpha_{j} + \epsilon_{j}}\right) \ge \frac{\max_{1 \le j \le t} \mu_{j}}{\max_{1 \le j \le t} (\alpha_{j} + \epsilon_{j})}$$

$$n_X = F_X - 1 - \left| \operatorname{rep}_U \left(\frac{\pi_X}{m_X} - 1 \right) \right|$$

$$\left|\operatorname{rep}_U\left(rac{\pi_X}{m_X}-1
ight)\right|$$

<u>Soittola</u> : $\exists u \geq 1, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_{u-1} \geq 1$, non-zero polynomials P_0, \dots, P_{u-1} s. t. for $r \in [0, u-1]$ and large enough i,

$$U_{ui+r} = P_r(i)\beta_r^i + Q_r(i)$$

where $\frac{Q_r(i)}{\beta_r^i} \to +\infty$ when $i \to +\infty$.

$$n_X = F_X - 1 - \left| \operatorname{rep}_U \left(\frac{\pi_X}{m_X} - 1 \right) \right|$$

$$\left|\operatorname{rep}_U\left(rac{\pi_X}{m_X}-1
ight)\right|$$

<u>Soittola</u> : $\exists u \geq 1, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_{u-1} \geq 1$, non-zero polynomials P_0, \dots, P_{u-1} s. t. for $r \in [0, u-1]$ and large enough *i*,

$$U_{ui+r} = P_r(i)\beta_r^i + Q_r(i)$$

where $\frac{Q_r(i)}{\beta_r^i} \to +\infty$ when $i \to +\infty$.

 $\beta_0 = \cdots = \beta_{u-1} = \beta$ and $\deg(P_0) = \cdots = \deg(P_{u-1}) = d$ $U_{ui+r} \sim c_r i^d \beta^i$

Lemma

If $\beta > 1$, there is a non-negative constant K such that

```
|\operatorname{rep}_U(n)| < u \log_\beta(n) + K
```

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $\beta>$ 1, then

$$\begin{split} \left| \operatorname{rep}_{U} \left(\frac{\pi_{X}}{m_{X}} - 1 \right) \right| &\leq u \log_{\beta} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t} p_{j}^{\mu_{j}} \right) + K \\ &\leq u \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq t} \mu_{j} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{t} \log_{\beta}(p_{j}) + K \end{split}$$

$$n_X = F_X - 1 - \left| \operatorname{rep}_U \left(\frac{\pi_X}{m_X} - 1 \right) \right|$$

•
$$F_X \geq \frac{\max_{1 \leq j \leq t} \mu_j}{\max_{1 \leq j \leq t} (\alpha_j + \epsilon_j)}$$

•
$$\left|\operatorname{rep}_{U}\left(\frac{\pi_{X}}{m_{X}}-1\right)\right| \leq u\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq t}\mu_{j}\right)\sum_{j=1}^{t}\log_{\beta}(p_{j})+K$$

$$n_X \ge \max_{1 \le j \le t} \mu_j \left(rac{1}{\displaystyle\max_{1 \le j \le t} (lpha_j + \epsilon_j)} - u \sum_{j=1}^t \log_eta(p_j)
ight) - K - 1$$

$$\nu_{p_j}(U_i) < \lfloor \alpha i \rfloor + g(i)$$

Consider the numeration system $U_{i+4} = 2U_{i+3} + 2U_{i+2} + 2U_i$ with initial conditions $U_0 = 1$, $U_1 = 3$, $U_2 = 9$, $U_3 = 25$.

For $41 \leq i \leq 60$, $\nu_2(U_i)$ is

10, 10, 10, 11, 13, 11, 11, 12, 12, 12, 12, 13, 14, 13, 13, 14, 14, 14, 14, 15.

Conjecture : $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{4}$

$$4 = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} > \log_{2.804}(2) \approx 0.672$$

Consider the numeration system $U_{i+3} = 12U_{i+2} + 6U_{i+1} + 12U_i$ with initial conditions $U_0 = 1$, $U_1 = 13$, $U_2 = 163$.

For $41 \le i \le 60$, $\nu_2(U_i)$ is

24, 20, 21, 21, 24, 22, 23, 23, 27, 24, 25, 25, 28, 26, 27, 27, 33, 28, 29, 29

and $\nu_3(U_i)$ is

13, 14, 14, 14, 15, 15, 15, 16, 17, 16, 17, 17, 17, 18, 18, 18, 19, 20, 19, 20.

Conjecture : $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{3}$

$$2 = \frac{1}{\max\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}} > \log_{12.554}(2) + \log_{12.554}(3) \approx 0.708$$

$$\nu_{p_j}(U_i) < \lfloor \alpha i \rfloor + g(i)$$

Consider the numeration system $U_{i+3} = 12U_{i+2} + 6U_{i+1} + 12U_i$ with initial conditions $U_0 = 1$, $U_1 = 13$, $U_2 = 163$.

$$\nu_2(U_i)$$
 and $\nu_3(U_i)$

Theorem

For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\nu_3(U_i) = \left\lfloor \frac{i}{3} \right\rfloor + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \not\equiv 4 \pmod{9} \\ 1 & \text{if } i \equiv 4 \pmod{9}. \end{cases}$$

 $T_i = U_i/3^{rac{i-2}{3}}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ Modulo $9\mathbb{Z}[3^{1/3}]$,

and thus the sequence $(\nu_3(T_i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of 3-adic valuations is

$$\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, 0, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \cdots$$

with period 9.

The previous theorem implies $\frac{i-2}{3} \leq \nu_3(U_i) \leq \frac{i+2}{3}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $\nu_3(U_i) < \lfloor \frac{i}{3} \rfloor + 2$.

What about $\nu_2(U_i)$?

 $T_i = U_i/2^{rac{i}{2}-1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ Modulo $2\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$,

 $\star, \star, 1, \sqrt{2}, 1, 0, 1, \sqrt{2}, 1, 0, \dots = \star, \star (1, \sqrt{2}, 1, 0)^{\omega}.$

The previous theorem implies $\frac{i-2}{3} \leq \nu_3(U_i) \leq \frac{i+2}{3}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $\nu_3(U_i) < \lfloor \frac{i}{3} \rfloor + 2$.

What about $\nu_2(U_i)$?

 $T_i = U_i/2^{rac{i}{2}-1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ Modulo $2\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$,

$$\star, \star, 1, \sqrt{2}, 1, 0, 1, \sqrt{2}, 1, 0, \dots = \star, \star (1, \sqrt{2}, 1, 0)^{\omega}.$$

Theorem

For *i* large enough such that $i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have

$$\nu_2(U_i) = \left\lfloor \frac{i-1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

p-adic analysis

p-adic valuation $\nu_p(n)$: exponent of the highest power of *p* dividing *n*

p-adic absolute value $|n|_p : p^{-\nu_p(n)}$

Non-archimedean : $|m + n|_p \le \max\{|m|_p, |n|_p\}$

 \mathbb{Q}_p : completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to the p-adic absolute value

p-adic analysis

p-adic valuation $\nu_p(n)$: exponent of the highest power of *p* dividing *n p*-adic absolute value $|n|_p : p^{-\nu_p(n)}$

Non-archimedean : $|m + n|_p \le \max\{|m|_p, |n|_p\}$

 \mathbb{Q}_p : completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to the p-adic absolute value

Every $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ can be written in the form

$$\zeta = d_{-N}p^{-N} + \dots + d_{-1}p^{-1} + d_0 + d_1p + d_2p^2 + \dots$$
$$= \sum_{i \ge -N} d_i p^i,$$

with $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d_i \in [[0, p-1]]$ for all $i \ge -N$. This representation is unique.

Back to $\overline{\nu_2(U_i)}$

 \mathbb{Q}_2

Construct a piecewise interpolation of U_i to \mathbb{Z}_2 .

$$P(x) = x^{3} - 12x^{2} - 6x - 12$$

= $(x - \beta_{1})(x^{2} + (\beta_{1} - 12)x + (\beta_{1}^{2} - 12\beta_{1} - 6))$
(\beta_{2})

$$U_{i} = c_{1}\beta_{1}^{i} + c_{2}\beta_{2}^{i} + c_{3}\beta_{3}^{i}$$

$$= \beta_{2}^{i} \left(c_{1} \left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}} \right)^{i} + c_{2} + c_{3} \left(\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{2}} \right)^{i} \right)$$

$$= \beta_{2}^{i} \left(c_{1} \left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}} \right)^{i} + f_{1}(i) \right)$$

Conjecture (*)

Theorem

Under conjecture (*), for all $i \ge 13$ such that $i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have

$$\nu_2(U_i) = \left\lfloor \frac{i-1}{2} \right\rfloor + \nu_2(i-\zeta).$$

Corollary

Conjecture (*) implies

$$u_2(U_i) \leq rac{i}{2} + rac{536}{95}\log_2(i)$$

for all $i \ge 10$.

$$U_{i+u} = bU_i$$

$$U_{i+u} = bU_i$$

Proposition

Let $b \ge 2$, $u \ge 1$, $N \ge 0$. Let U be a numeration system $U = (U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $U_{i+u} = bU_i$ for all $i \ge N$. If a set is U-recognizable then it is *b*-recognizable. Moreover, given a DFA accepting $\operatorname{rep}_U(X)$ for some set X, we can compute a DFA accepting $\operatorname{rep}_b(X)$.