Structural & Stochastic Dynamics **Urban & Environmental Engineering**

Influence of a small flexibility of connections on the elastic structural response of frames

> <u>Margaux Geuzaine</u> Vincent Denoël



3rd December 2020, Liège, SSD Team Meeting







Refining rigid joint classification criteria requires a simplified analysis method !



simple analytical solutions are available



?

The small flexibility of almost rigid joints can be treated as initial imperfections are













The small flexibility of almost rigid joints can be treated as initial imperfections are



and corresponds to a small perturbation:

if $\alpha = 0$, the original joints are perfectly rigid

If $\alpha \to 0$, the solution tends to this limit case

leading order



the equivalent loads



cancel out if $\alpha = 0$

are proportional to α



A brief overview of the complete analysis method

1. Cubic interpolation functions

$$\mathbf{h} = \frac{1}{\Delta_{\alpha}} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\alpha} & -6\alpha_1 \left(1 + 2\alpha_2\right) & -3\left(1 + 2\alpha_2\right) & 2\left(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\right) \\ 0 & L\left(1 + 4\alpha_2\right) & -2L\left(1 + 3\alpha_2\right) & L\left(1 + 2\alpha_2\right) \\ 0 & 6\alpha_1 \left(1 + 2\alpha_2\right) & 3\left(1 + 2\alpha_2\right) & -2\left(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\right) \\ 0 & -2L\alpha_1 & -L & L\left(1 + 2\alpha_1\right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{x}{L} \\ \frac{x^2}{L^2} \\ \frac{x^3}{L^3} \end{pmatrix}$$

2. Elementary stiffness matrices

$$\mathbf{K}^{(e)} = \int_{0}^{L} EI \mathbf{h}''(x) \mathbf{h}''^{T}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{\Delta_{e}}$$

3. Elementary load vectors

$$\mathbf{p}^{(e)} = \int_{0}^{L} q(x) \mathbf{h}(x) \mathrm{d}x$$

6. Displacements and rotations

K u = p



4. Global stiffness matrix

$$\mathbf{K} = \sum_{e=1}^{n_e} \mathbf{L}^{(e)^T} \mathbf{K}^{(e)} \mathbf{L}^{(e)}$$

7. Internal forces Use perturbation methods to simplify the problem A. Introduce $\alpha_i = \varepsilon a_i$ with $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $a_i \sim 1$ $\mathbf{f}_{int}^{(e)} = \mathbf{K}^{(e)} \mathbf{u}^{(e)}$ B. Expand in series for $\varepsilon \to 0$ and truncate C. Introduce an Ansatz for the solution



 $\frac{x}{L_{2}}$; $\Delta_{\alpha} = 12\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2} + 4\alpha_{1} + 4\alpha_{2} + 1$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -12\left(1+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}\right) & 6\left(1+2\alpha_{1}\right)L \\ -6\left(1+2\alpha_{2}\right)L & 2L^{2} \\ 12\left(1+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}\right) & -6\left(1+2\alpha_{1}\right)L \\ -6\left(1+2\alpha_{1}\right)L & 4\left(1+3\alpha_{1}\right)L^{2} \end{array} \right)$$

5. Global load vector

$$\mathbf{p} = \sum_{e=1}^{n_e} \mathbf{L}^{(e)^T} \mathbf{p}^{(e)}$$





- A. Introduce $\alpha_i = \varepsilon a_i$ with $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $a_i \sim 1$
- B. Expand in series for $\varepsilon \to 0$ and truncate at first order
- C. Introduce an Ansatz for the solution $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_1$
- 2. Elementary stiffness matrices

 $\mathbf{K}^{(e)} = \mathbf{K}_{0}^{(e)} + \varepsilon \mathbf{K}_{1}^{(e)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$

$$\mathbf{K}_{0}^{(e)} = \frac{EI}{L^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 6L & -12 \\ 6L & 4L^{2} & -6L \\ -12 & -6L & 12 \\ 6L & 2L^{2} & -6L \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\varepsilon \mathbf{K}_{1}^{(e)} = -\frac{EI}{L^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 36(\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}) & 1\\ 12L(2\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}) & 4\\ -36(\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}) & -\\ 12L(\alpha_{1} + 2\alpha_{2}) & - \end{pmatrix}$$

4. Global stiffness matrix

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{K}_1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^2\right)$$

$$\longrightarrow \text{ small be}$$

Use perturbation methods to simplify the problem



$2L\left(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\right)$	$-36(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)$	12L (
$L^2 \left(4\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \right)$	$-12L\left(2\alpha_1+\alpha_2\right)$	$8L^2$
$12L\left(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\right)$	$36(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	-12L
$3L^2\left(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\right)$	$-12L\left(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2\right)$	$4L^{2}$ (

ess matrix of the structure with rigid joints

oss of stiffness due to the joint flexibilities



$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \\ (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \\ (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) \\ (\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2) \end{pmatrix} \text{ proportional to the flexibilities}$$







- A. Introduce $\alpha_i = \varepsilon a_i$ with $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $a_i \sim 1$
- B. Expand in series for $\varepsilon \to 0$ and truncate at first order
- C. Introduce an Ansatz for the solution $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_1$
- 3. Elementary load vectors



5. Global load vector



Use perturbation methods to simplify the problem

loading of the structure with rigid joints

small perturbation due to the flexibilities









Use perturbation methods to simplify the problem A. Introduce $\alpha_i = \varepsilon a_i$ with $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $a_i \sim 1$ B. Expand in series for $\varepsilon \to 0$ and truncate at first order C. Introduce an Ansatz for the solution $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_1$

- 6. Displacements and rotations

$$(\mathbf{K}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{K}_1) (\mathbf{u}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_1) = (\mathbf{p}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{p}_1)$$

Leading order problem $\mathbf{K}_0 \mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{p}_0$

 $\mathbf{K}_0 \Delta \mathbf{u} = \Delta \mathbf{p} + \hat{\mathbf{p}}$ First order correction with $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = -\varepsilon \mathbf{K}_1 \mathbf{u}_0$

analyze the structure as if the joints were fully rigid

analyze the structure with rigid joints under an equivalent loading which depends on the leading order solution and concerns elements with semi-rigid joints only







Use perturbation methods to simplify the problem A. Introduce $\alpha_i = \varepsilon a_i$ with $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $a_i \sim 1$

- B. Expand in series for $\varepsilon \to 0$ and truncate at first order
- C. Introduce an Ansatz for the solution $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_1$

7. Internal forces





First order correction $\Delta \mathbf{f}^{(e)} = \mathbf{K}_{0}^{(e)} \Delta \mathbf{u}^{(e)}$



$$\mathbf{K}_0^{(e)}\mathbf{u}_0^{(e)}$$



a correction appears to ensure the continuity of moments



The simplified analysis method in a nutshell A. Analyze the structure with rigid joints under the original loading $\mathbf{K}_0 \mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{p}_0$

 $\mathbf{u}_{0}^{(e)} = (v, \phi_{1}, v + \psi L, \phi_{2})^{\mathrm{T}}$



$\mathbf{K}_0 \Delta \mathbf{u} = \Delta \mathbf{p} + \hat{\mathbf{p}}$

D. Add the displacements $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \Delta \mathbf{u}$

B. Use rotations and chord drifts to compute the equivalent loading

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(e)} = -\varepsilon \mathbf{K}_1^{(e)} \mathbf{u}_0^{(e)}$$

= 0 if both α_i = 0 !

C. Analyze the structure with rigid joints under the equivalent loading

E. Correct the internal forces $\mathbf{f}_{int}^{(e)} = \mathbf{f}_0^{(e)} + \Delta \mathbf{f}^{(e)} - \left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(e)} + \Delta \mathbf{p}\right)$

$\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(e)} = (F, M_1, -F, M_2)^T$



10

Example 1: one span, one floor, with a concentrated horizontal load





Example 1: one span, one floor, with a concentrated horizontal load A. Analyze the structure with rigid joints under the original loading





Example 1: one span, one floor, with a concentrated horizontal load B. Use rotations and chord drifts to compute the equivalent loading



$$= 4\alpha \frac{EI}{4\alpha} (5\phi_1 + 4\phi_2 - 9\psi) \qquad 3PL\alpha$$
$$= 4\alpha \frac{EI}{L} (4\phi_1 + 5\phi_2 - 9\psi)$$
$$= 36\alpha \frac{EI}{L^2} (\phi_1 + \phi_2 - 2\psi)$$





Example 1: one span, one floor, with a concentrated horizontal load C. Analyze the structure with rigid joints under the equivalent loading





-P

Example 1: one span, one floor, with a concentrated horizontal load D. Add the displacements



Example 1: one span, one floor, with a concentrated horizontal load E. Correct the internal forces

Example 1: one span, one floor, with a concentrated horizontal load

Example 2: one span, one floor, with distributed vertical load

P

 $\frac{PL^2}{EI}$

-4.3%

-14.5%

$6P\alpha$

$6P\alpha$

$3PL\alpha$

$3PL\alpha$

-1.6% -0.4%

kL/EI

Example 2: one span, one floor, with distributed vertical load A. Analyze the <u>structure with rigid joints</u> under the **original loading**

- -

19

Example 2: one span, one floor, with distributed vertical load B. Use rotations and chord drifts to compute the equivalent loading

Example 2: one span, one floor, with distributed vertical load C. Analyze the structure with rigid joints under the equivalent loading

Example 2: one span, one floor, with distributed vertical load D. Add the displacements

Example 2: one span, one floor, with distributed vertical load E. Correct the internal forces

2	3

Example 2: one span, one floor, with distributed vertical load

Example 3: portal frame with beam stiffer than columns

2L

Example 3: portal frame with beam stiffer than columns A. Analyze the structure with rigid joints under the original loading

Example 3: portal frame with beam stiffer than columns B. Use rotations and chord drifts to compute the equivalent loading

-0.041

5.097

 $M \times \frac{EI}{kL}PL$

-0.041

0.4P0.00145

 $\psi \neq 0$ $\Delta \phi_{0,\overline{B}} 0.3258 \frac{EI}{kL} \frac{PL^3}{EI}$ $\psi_{
m BC}$ ψ_{AB} $\phi_{0,\mathrm{C}}$ $\delta_0 = 0.1216 \frac{PL^3}{EI}$ THI

-0.5339P-0.3771P

> -0.0500-0.0506-0.4P-0.00145

N N $\checkmark \quad \times \frac{EI}{kL}P$

Example 3: portal frame with beam stiffer than columns C. Analyze the structure with rigid joints under the equivalent loading

Example 3: portal frame with beam stiffer than columns D. Add the displacements E. Correct the internal forces

2L

Example 4: three spans, three floors

$k_2 = k_1$ $k_2 = 2k_1$ $k_2 = 4k_1$

Example 4: three spans, three floors A. Analyze the structure with rigid joints under the original loading

Example 4: three spans, three floors B. Use rotations and chord drifts to compute the equivalent loading

Example 4: three spans, three floors C. Analyze the structure with rigid joints under the equivalent loading

Example 4: three spans, three floors D. Add the displacements E. Correct the internal forces

P	P	k ₁	k ₁ k ₂	k ₂ k ₂	k2k2	k_2k_2	k_2
P	<i>P</i>	k_1	k ₁ k ₂	$k_2 k_2$	k2k2	$k_2 k_2$	
P	P	k_1	k ₁ k ₂	$k_2 k_2$	k2k2	$k_2 k_2$	
	7	EI	EI T	T	7	T	
		1	.5L 1	$.5L \ 1.6$	5L 1	.5L1.5	5L

Example 4: three spans, three floors

Semi-rigid joints, actual loading

Semi-rigid joints : slightly off from asymmetric Rigid joints: exactly slightly asymmetric

1.25 N -

Rigid joints, actual loading

Rigid joints, actual loading+correction

Example 4: three spans, three floors

Rigid joints, actual loading+correction

Conclusions...

The analysis of a frame with very stiff semi-rigid joints provides

as

the analysis of a frame with rigid joints under the same loading + an equivalent loading that takes the semi-rigidity into account.

The equivalent loading depends on the nodal rotations and drifts observed in the frame with rigid joints, under the original loading.

...and perspectives !

By simplifying the **stability analysis** of frames with very stiff semi-rigid joints,

we are heading towards a very general method for the classification of joints !

+ Analysis of frames with very soft joints ? + M-N interaction in very stiff joints ? +...?

the same asymptotic results (displacements, internal forces,...)

I hank you ! Questions ? Comments ?

<u>Margaux Geuzaine</u> Vincent Denoël

3rd December 2020, Liège, SSD Team Meeting

Structural & Stochastic Dynamics **Urban & Environmental Engineering**

