

1           **Discovery and characterization of actionable tumor antigens**

2

3                                   Grégory Ehx<sup>1,2</sup> and Claude Perreault<sup>1,2\*</sup>

4

5

6       <sup>1</sup> Institute for Research in Immunology and Cancer (IRIC), Université de Montréal,  
7 Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3T 1J4

8       <sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3T 1J4

9

10

11

12       \*Correspondence: [claudio.perreault@umontreal.ca](mailto:claudio.perreault@umontreal.ca)

13

14

15 **Abstract**

16 The nature of tumor antigens detectable by T cells remains unclear. In several patients  
17 with melanoma, T cells were shown to react against major histocompatibility complex  
18 (MHC)-associated peptides (MAPs) derived from exonic mutations. However, a recent  
19 multi-omic study revealed that in hepatocellular carcinomas, mutated exonic MAPs were  
20 exceedingly rare. This study questions the accuracy of methods currently used for antigen  
21 identification and demonstrates the importance of broadening the scope of tumor antigen  
22 discovery efforts.

23

24

25 **Keywords:** Antigen processing, Bioinformatics, Cancer immunology, Genomics, Major  
26 histocompatibility complex, Mass spectrometry, Transcriptomics, Tumor antigens.

27

28

## 29 **Melanoma immunotherapy: from solid breakthroughs to data misinterpretation**

30 Melanoma immunotherapy has fueled the current enthusiasm for cancer immunotherapy  
31 by leading to two seminal breakthroughs. Indeed, melanoma regression can now be  
32 achieved via injection of in vitro expanded tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes or immune  
33 checkpoint therapy targeting regulatory pathways in T cells. What is the nature of  
34 melanoma antigens capable of triggering therapeutic T-cell responses? A series of  
35 seminal observations suddenly brought exon-derived mutated MAPs (mMAPs) into the  
36 limelight. Exonic mMAPs can be detected by mass spectrometry (MS) on melanoma  
37 cells, these MAPs can elicit potent T-cell responses, and favorable response to immune  
38 checkpoint blockade correlates with the mutational load (1). The frenzy over discovery of  
39 exonic mMAPs led to a large acceptance of a speculative concept and the introduction a  
40 semantic bias. The unproven concept is that the repertoire of exonic mMAPs can be  
41 predicted (without MS validation) by combining exome sequencing and MHC binding  
42 prediction algorithms. The semantic reductionist bias was introduced when exonic  
43 mMAPs were named tumor-specific antigens (TSAs) (AKA neoantigens) and these terms  
44 were used synonymously. Formally, the term TSA must encompass not only exonic  
45 mMAPs but rather all MAPs present only on cancer cells, irrespective of their genomic  
46 origin (exonic or not) and mutational status. This is not a trivial issue because exons  
47 represent only 2% of the genome, while 75% of the genome can be transcribed and  
48 potentially translated.

49

## 50 **The MAP repertoire of cancer cells: insights from MS analyses of primary tumors**

51 In this issue of *Genome Medicine*, Löffler and colleagues report on a seminal study of 16  
52 primary human hepatocellular carcinomas where they performed exome and  
53 transcriptome sequencing, high-throughput shotgun MS analyses of the proteome and  
54 MAP repertoire complemented with highly-sensitive targeted MS analyses of selected  
55 MAPs (2). The results were striking. Based on exome and transcriptome sequencing,  
56 MHC binding algorithms predicted that individual tumors would present an average of  
57 118 exonic mMAPs. Remarkably, none of these exonic mMAPs were detected by MS  
58 analyses. Two tentative conclusions can be drawn from these comprehensive analyses.  
59 First, consistent with recent reports (3), they cast serious doubts on the validity of  
60 predictions based solely on next-generation sequencing and MHC binding algorithms.  
61 This is because current algorithms fail to take into account the numerous translational and  
62 posttranslational events that regulate MAP biogenesis (4). Second, exonic mMAPs are so  
63 rare in non-melanoma tumors that, for most patients, they do not represent realistic  
64 therapeutic targets. Over the last few months, similar findings were reported in a large  
65 cohort of chronic myelogenous leukemia patients (5) and a few other non-melanoma  
66 tumors (6).

67

68         How can we reconcile the rarity of exonic mMAPs with compelling evidence that  
69 many non-melanoma tumors display immunogenic MAPs? Arguably, the most  
70 parsimonious explanation is that the MAP repertoire of cancer cells contains a substantial  
71 amount of dark matter (antigens not detected with current approaches). In line with this, a  
72 recent study found that most TSAs present in human acute lymphoblastic leukemias and  
73 lung cancers derived from unmutated non-exonic sequences located in introns, intergenic

74 regions and other noncanonical reading frames (6). These aberrantly expressed TSAs  
75 (aeTSAs) were coded by RNAs that are not expressed in adult somatic cells, including  
76 medullary thymic cells (mTECs). mTECs deserve special attention here in view of their  
77 key role in establishing central tolerance and their ability to promiscuously express more  
78 transcripts than other types of somatic cells (7). The presence of aeTSAs on cancer cells  
79 results from epigenetic changes causing expression of genomic sequences normally  
80 repressed in somatic cells (e.g., endogenous retroelements).

81

## 82 **Proposed guidelines for global analyses of the tumor antigen landscape**

83 The study by Löffler and colleagues confirms that MS is the best and most robust method  
84 for high-throughput analyses of the MAP repertoire of tumor cells (2). Notably, the  
85 breadth and sensitivity of MS analyses can be adjusted according to user preferences and  
86 sample size (8). We therefore suggest that MS analyses should be included at the  
87 discovery and/or validation stage in tumor antigen discovery pipelines. Furthermore, we  
88 strongly encourage the sharing of MS datasets via the SystemMHC Atlas (9). In the short  
89 term, sharing of immunopeptidomic data will accelerate further analyses of tumor  
90 antigens' features: sharing among different tumors, abundance in tumor cells (at the RNA  
91 and peptide level), immunogenicity, etc. In the long term, it will then be possible to use  
92 large tumor antigen datasets as learning material for artificial neural networks. Until large  
93 tumor antigen datasets are available, it will remain impossible to use the most powerful  
94 bioinformatic techniques, such as deep neural networks, for development of predictive  
95 tools.

96           Rapid progress in the field and lack of a standardized nomenclature commonly  
97 lead to some confusion in the classification of tumor antigens. We therefore offer a  
98 simple classification of tumor antigens based on three criteria: tissue expression profile,  
99 genomic origin and mutational status (Table 1). Tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) are  
100 MAPs that show superior abundance on tumor cells but are nonetheless present on  
101 normal cells and therefore may induce central immune tolerance (10). TSAs are  
102 segregated into two main groups: mTSAs and aeTSAs (6). mTSAs derive from mutated  
103 DNA sequences that can be either exonic or non-exonic. aeTSAs result from aberrant  
104 expression of transcripts that are not expressed in any normal somatic cell, including  
105 mTECs. Finally, a peculiar antigen family, cancer-germline antigens (CGAs), is astride  
106 the TAA and aeTSA categories. CGAs are coded by canonical exons normally  
107 expressed only by germinal cells, and their aberrant expression in cancer cells is mostly  
108 driven by epigenetic alterations. However, some CGAs are expressed by adult mTECs  
109 (7). We propose to classify CGAs expressed in mTECs as TAAs and those not  
110 expressed by mTECs as genuine aeTSAs. One advantage of this simple classification is  
111 that antigen classes are linked to functional features. Thus, in contrast to TAAs, mTSAs  
112 and aeTSAs should not induce central immune tolerance and are expected to display  
113 superior immunogenicity. Also, TAAs and aeTSAs may present two advantages over  
114 mTSAs: they are more numerous and evidences suggest that some are shared by many  
115 tumors (6, 10-12). In principle, aeTSAs may offer the best of both worlds but much  
116 more work is needed in order to cogently evaluate the value of various classes of tumor  
117 antigens. A lot of immunopeptidomic dark matter has yet to be explored before  
118 conclusions can be reached.

119

120

121 **Table 1.** Classes of Tumor Antigens

	<b>TAA</b> s	<b>mTSA</b> s	<b>aeTSA</b> s
<b>Genomic Origin</b>	Exonic +++++	Exonic++ Non-exonic ++	Exonic + (some CGAs) Non-exonic +++++
<b>Expression in mTECs</b>	Yes	No	No
<b>Mutation</b>	No	Yes	No
<b>Shared</b>	Often	No/rarely	Often
<b>Presence due to</b>	Overexpression due to genetic and epigenetic changes	Somatic mutations	Epigenetics Aberrant splicing

122

123

124 **Abbreviations**

125 aeTSA: aberrantly-expressed TSA; CGA, cancer-germline antigen; MAP: MHC-  
 126 associated peptide; MS, mass spectrometry; MHC: Major histocompatibility complex;  
 127 mTEC: medullary thymic epithelial cell; mTSA: mutated TSA; TAA: Tumor-associated  
 128 antigen; TSA: Tumor-specific antigen

129

130 **Acknowledgements**

131 The authors thank Krystel Vincent, Céline Laumont and Pierre Thibault for insightful  
 132 discussions.

133

134 **Funding**

135 GE holds a postdoctoral fellowship from the Cole Foundation. Studies on tumor antigens  
 136 in the authors' lab has been supported by grants from the Canadian Cancer Society, the  
 137 Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Cancer Research Society, the Fonds de  
 138 Recherche Québec Santé, the Oncopole and the Terry Fox Research Institute. The  
 139 funders had no role in writing the manuscript.

140

141 **Authors' contributions**

142 GE and CP wrote the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

143

144 **Competing interests**

145 CP is a named inventor on a patent application entitled “Proteogenomic-based method for  
146 identifying tumor-specific antigens” filed by Université de Montréal. GE has no  
147 competing interests.

148

149

## 150 **References**

- 151 1. Schumacher TN, Scheper W, Kvistborg P. Cancer Neoantigens. *Annu Rev*  
152 *Immunol.* 2019; doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-042617-053402
- 153 2. Löffler MW, Mohr C, Bichmann L, Freudenmann LK, Walzer M, Schroeder CM,  
154 et al. Multi-omics discovery of exome-derived neoantigens in hepatocellular carcinoma.  
155 *Genome Med.* 2019.
- 156 3. Simoni Y, Becht E, Fehlings M, Loh CY, Koo SL, Teng KWW, et al. Bystander  
157 CD8(+) T cells are abundant and phenotypically distinct in human tumour infiltrates.  
158 *Nature.* 2018;557(7706):575-9.
- 159 4. Pearson H, Daouda T, Granados DP, Durette C, Bonneil E, Courcelles M, et al.  
160 MHC class I-associated peptides derive from selective regions of the human genome. *J*  
161 *Clin Invest.* 2016;126(12):4690-701.
- 162 5. Bilich T, Nelde A, Bichmann L, Roerden M, Salih HR, Kowalewski DJ, et al. The  
163 HLA ligandome landscape of chronic myeloid leukemia delineates novel T-cell epitopes  
164 for immunotherapy. *Blood.* 2019;133(6):550-65.
- 165 6. Laumont CM, Vincent K, Hesnard L, Audemard E, Bonneil E, Laverdure JP, et  
166 al. Non-coding regions are the main source of targetable tumor-specific antigens. *Sci*  
167 *Transl Med.* 2018;10(470):aau5516.
- 168 7. Gotter J, Brors B, Hergenbahn M, Kyewski B. Medullary epithelial cells of the  
169 human thymus express a highly diverse selection of tissue-specific genes colocalized in  
170 chromosomal clusters. *J Exp Med.* 2004;199(2):155-66.
- 171 8. Caron E, Kowalewski DJ, Chiek Koh C, Sturm T, Schuster H, Aebersold R.  
172 Analysis of Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) Immunopeptidomes Using Mass  
173 Spectrometry. *Mol Cell Proteomics.* 2015;14(12):3105-17.
- 174 9. Shao W, Pedrioli PGA, Wolski W, Scurtescu C, Schmid E, Vizcaino JA, et al.  
175 The SystemMHC Atlas project. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018;46(D1):D1237-D47.
- 176 10. Schuster H, Peper JK, Bosmuller HC, Rohle K, Backert L, Bilich T, et al. The  
177 immunopeptidomic landscape of ovarian carcinomas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*  
178 2017;114(46):E9942-E51.
- 179 11. Rosenberg SA, Tong-On P, Li Y, Riley JP, El-Gamil M, Parkhurst MR, et al.  
180 Identification of BING-4 cancer antigen translated from an alternative open reading  
181 frame of a gene in the extended MHC class II region using lymphocytes from a patient  
182 with a durable complete regression following immunotherapy. *J Immunol.*  
183 2002;168(5):2402-7.
- 184 12. Probst P, Kopp J, Oxenius A, Colombo MP, Ritz D, Fugmann T, et al. Sarcoma  
185 Eradication by Doxorubicin and Targeted TNF Relies upon CD8+ T-cell Recognition of  
186 a Retroviral Antigen. *Cancer Res.* 2017;77(13):3644-54.