Analyses of glass tesserae from Kilise Tepe: New insights into an early Byzantine production technology
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There is substantial archaeological evidence to suggest that glass mosaics were ubiquitous throughout late antique and Byzantine Asia Minor. However, issues about the manufacture of Byzantine glass tesserae, the diffusion of their technology and the economic implications have been little discussed. This paper presents the results of the analytical and technological investigation of 28 glass fragments from Kilise Tepe (Cilicia, Turkey), including 22 tesserae, 3 gilded plaques and 1 fragment of a window, a vessel and an ingot. The samples were analysed by EPMA, LA-ICP-MS and SEM-EDS. Two different base glasses from different primary production sites were used in the production of the glass: Foy-2 probably from Egypt, and Levantine 1 produced in Syro-Palestine. Variations in the chemical fingerprint and morphology of crystalline particles reveal differences in the colouring and opacifying techniques that may point to multiple secondary production sites. Whereas the red samples show signs of in situ crystallization of metallic copper, ready-made lead stannate was added as yellow pigment for the colouring of the green and yellow tesserae. In addition, calcium phosphate particles, likely deriving from bone-ash, were found in one turquoise specimen. When compared with other late antique sites, our results testify to changes in the Roman centralized production tradition and a diversification of supply and secondary manufacturing practices of mosaic tesserae.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Recent scientific studies have highlighted technological changes in the use of fluxing agents and sand sources, offering an opportunity to trace the chronological and geographical developments in the manufacture of raw glass (e.g. Bayley et al., 2015; Schibille et al., 2016a; Degryse, 2014). Much less is known about the practices of secondary workshops, how and where, for example, the raw glass was opacified, coloured and transformed into mosaic tesserae. Therefore, it is still unknown whether the secondary production of tesserae was a centralized affair, where one workshop produced tesserae of varying colours, or whether multiple workshops specialised in one colour at the time (Schibille et al., 2012). The systematic study of the opacifying and colouring agents in conjunction with the primary glass production groups can provide valuable insights into the diffusion of specific techniques and by extension into the organization of production and supply at consumer sites. A comparative analysis of glass tesserae, whether loose or still in situ, can identify potential markers for craft practices and trading networks and help to refine the chronology of production technologies and materials (James, 2006; James et al., 2013; Neri, 2016).

Wall and vault mosaics that consist predominantly of glass tesserae were evidently a common form of architectural decoration and more widespread during the late antique and Byzantine periods than has previously been recognized (e.g. James et al., 2013, and the database http://www.sussex.ac.uk/byzantine/mosaic/). In Asia Minor in particular, glass mosaics have been found in a variety of archaeological contexts and states of preservation, including mosaic decorations still in situ as well as fragments and loose tesserae from archaeological excavations (Fig. 1). However, only few of these mosaics and their materials have been analysed. Some studies of loose tesserae from sites in Asia Minor have been published and include wall mosaics from Hagia Sophia (Moropoulou et al., 2016) and Hagios Polyeuktos at Constantinople (Schibille and McKenzie, 2014), Sagalassos (Schibille et al., 2012) and Amorium (Wypyski, 2005), and the wall mosaics from geographically related regions such as Cyprus (Bonnerot et al., 2016) and Huarte in northern Syria (Lahanier, 1987). Glass tesserae from some floor mosaics were studied from Tyana (Lachin et al., 2009; Silvestri et al., 2016) and Antioch (Wypyski and Becker, 2004). Most of these studies discuss the
data of only a single context without providing an integrated view by comparing the data to other assemblages.

The primary aim of the present study is to characterize the chemical composition and microstructure of the glass mosaic tesserae from Kilise Tepe (Cilicia) in order to determine the provenance of the base glass and their opacifying and colouring techniques. By comparing the Kilise Tepe data to those of other published glass tesserae from late antique contexts, we explore the chronological and geographical spread of these techniques. This in turn enables the identification of commercial and technological networks that underlie the production and supply of glass tesserae in early Byzantine Asia Minor.

1.1. Archaeological context

Kilise Tepe is located on a terrace above the Göksu river that links central Anatolia to the Mediterranean at Seleucia, the metropolis of Isauria. Occupation of the site is attested from the Early Bronze Age through to the 13th century CE (Postgate and Thomas, 2007). Excavations carried out during the 1990s and reinitiated in 2007 have unearthed a Byzantine church and a rural settlement, with vernacular structures (Postgate and Thomas, 2007; Jackson, 2013, 2015). Judging from the ceramic finds, Kilise Tepe appears to have been well connected and part of a larger exchange network during the Roman and late antique period, even though it remained a relatively small settlement. In addition to the local production of water jars, imported wares such as late Roman amphorae from the coastal zone of Cilicia and western Asia Minor have been recovered as well as some examples of red slip ware from Africa Proconsularis (Jackson, 2015). What is more, the 1990s excavations of the Byzantine church and its immediate surroundings yielded almost a thousand loose glass tesserae, reflecting Kilise Tepe's prosperity at the time (Jackson, 2007, 2013). The tesserae were retrieved mostly from inside the early Byzantine church, specifically in the apse, the templon area, the north aisle as well as in the narthex.

the second half of the fourth century based on the range of lamps recovered. The earliest well-dated lamp from the church is a prunted conical beaker that has been attributed to the fourth century (O’Hea, 2016: 283–284). Additions or major replacements seem to have occurred in the late fifth century, judging from goblet lamps and hollow-stemmed hanging lamps in metal polykandela. The circumstantial evidence provides a tentative dating for the use of the early Byzantine church and its mosaics between the late fourth and early seventh century CE.

The glass tesserae are generally of small dimensions (0.7–1 cm) and the colours include gold, blue, turquoise, green, red and black. The abundance of gold leaf tesserae (45%) strongly suggests their use for extensive gold backgrounds. The prevalence of greens, turquoise and blues is most likely indicative of the depiction of vegetable motifs and water elements in analogy to other late antique and early medieval mosaic decorations. In addition to the tesserae, 13 fragments of rectangular greenish glass slabs with gold foil under a protective thin layer of colourless glass were found immediately south of the church as well as scattered across the site. None was complete, but corners survive (Fig. 2a); the largest were > 4 cm in side length and at least 0.4 cm thick. All had thickened and semi-folded edges. A grey mortar or grout was evenly applied to the back of each, which might simply be the remains from forming the slabs in molds and which may have served to accentuate the gilded effect rather than indicating pre-use, as has been observed elsewhere (e.g. Neri, 2016). As such, these gilded plaques were probably the semi-finished products for the manufacture of some of the gold leaf tesserae often found in association with the gilded plaques. This interpretation is further corroborated by the fact that both, the gold leaf tesserae and the gilded plaques, show the same rounded and thick edges. The coloured tesserae were, instead, manufactured from bun-shaped ingots or glass-cakes (Fig. 2b). The fact that gilded plaques and fragments of coloured ingots were found provides evidence that at least some of the tesserae were cut on site.

1.2. Materials

The 22 tesserae and three gilded plaques as well as the ingot, vessel and window glass fragments under investigation in this study were unearthed during the 2007 campaign from outside the church and cover the full range of colours (Table 1, Fig. 3). Most of the gold leaf tesserae preserve only the support and, in some cases, fragments of the gold leaf. The base of the gold leaf tesserae tends to be slightly amber or greenish in colour. The blue tesserae are typically translucent. The lighter shades of blue exhibit a more heterogeneous structure with many bubbles (Fig. 4a) compared to the darker cobalt blue samples (Fig. 4b). The majority of the green and turquoise tesserae are more or less opaque. The green samples have particles of yellow pigment.
(Fig. 4c) or clay inclusions dispersed in the glass matrix (Fig. 4d). The red tesserae are either relatively homogeneous (Fig. 4e) or they show layerings of green transparent and red glass (Fig. 4f).

2. Methods

The 28 samples were analysed by electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) and laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) to establish their major, minor and trace element patterns. The coloured and opaque tesserae were additionally examined by scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (SEM-EDS). Small glass fragments were mounted in epoxy resin and polished with a series of diamond pastes down to 0.25 μm. Polished blocks were carbon-coated using a Quorum K975X high vacuum thermal evaporator coater.

2.1. EPMA

The polished and carbon coated blocks were analysed at the Institute of Archaeology, UCL, using a JEOL JXA 8100 microprobe with three wavelength dispersive X-ray spectrometers (see e.g. Freestone et al., 2015). The operating conditions were set at 15 kV accelerating voltage with a beam current of 50 nA, the beam diameter set to 0.2 μm, working distance of 11 mm and a magnification of 800×, resulting in a raster area of approximately 150 by 110 μm. Three areas were thus analysed on each sample. Counting times were 30s on peak and 10s on background. To monitor the precision and accuracy of the analyses, Corning Museum ancient glass standards A and B (Brill, 1999) were measured at the beginning and end of each run. The results compare well with the given values and accuracy is within <5% for most major and minor elements except for titanium, iron, copper and manganese (Table S1).

2.2. LA-ICP-MS

The polished cross-sections were additionally examined by LA-ICP-MS at the Centre Ernest-Babelon of the IRAMAT (Orléans) (Gratuze, 2016; Schibille et al., 2016b). The 193 nm laser was operated at an energy of 5 mJ, a pulse frequency of 10 Hz and a spot size diameter of 100 μm. The procedure consisted of 20 s pre-ablation time, followed by 50 s of analytical time. Fifty-eight elements were determined by spot-analysis and the spectra were converted by means of an average response factor Kᵢ into fully quantitative data as previously described (Gratuze, 2014). Reference materials Corning A and NIST 612 were repeatedly measured throughout the analytical run, and accuracy was within <5% for most major and minor elements and within 5–10% for minor and trace elements (Table S2).

Comparison of the EPMA and LA-ICP-MS results in relation to the recommended values for Corning A shows very good agreement for most

Fig. 4. Details of the surfaces of different tesserae under the optical microscope. (a) Bluish turquoise tessera KT NS 018; (b) cobalt blue tesserae KT NS 006; (c) green tessera KT NS 025; (d) blue green tessera KT NS 017; (e) homogeneous red tesserae KT NS 019; (f) stratified red ingot fragment KT NS 007. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
major and minor oxides with the given values as well as between the two analytical methods (Fig. 5). Overall, the LA-ICP-MS data corresponds closer to the recommended values than the EPMA results with the exception of lime, soda, lead and chlorine. However, the accuracy of the LA-ICP-MS calcium measurements increases significantly (better than 3%) when considering the NIST 612 standard that has higher calcium levels (Table S2). As regards the EPMA results, particularly the titanium, iron and manganese levels are somewhat problematic (approximately 10% deviation), and the LA-ICP-MS data was thus preferred.

2.3. SEM-EDS

The coloured tesserae were examined by SEM-EDS to investigate crystalline phases present in the glass matrix. The available equipment used at IRAMAT-CEB was a FEI Philips XL40 ESEM with an Oxford Instrument EDX system for microanalysis (Link Pentafet Si(Li) detector). Imaging and analyses were done at 20 kV acceleration voltage, a beam diameter of 1 μm and at working distance of 10 mm. Semi-quantitative determination of the opacifiers and pigments was performed by X-ray microanalysis for 300 s time. The quantification was done using INCA software.

3. Results

3.1. Base glass characteristics

All the analysed samples can be classified as soda-lime-silica glass with low potassium and magnesium oxide contents (<1.5%), indicative of the use of mineral natron as fluxing agent typical of Roman and early medieval glassmaking prior to the ninth century CE (Table 1). Two different primary glass production groups can be identified based on the mineral components associated with the silica source such as...
aluminium, calcium, titanium and zirconium (Fig. 6). The largest compositional group (n = 19) is defined by elevated levels of magnesium, titanium and zirconium (Fig. 6b, c) and corresponds to the primary production group Foy-2, originally defined as série 2.1 by Foy et al. (2003; see also the definition in Schibille et al., 2016 a & b). This type of glass has variously been referred to as weak HIMT (Rosenow and Rehren, 2014), HIMT 2 (Conte and Chinni, 2014; Foster and Jackson, 2009), HLIMT (Ceglia et al., 2015) or CaO-rich HIMT (Gioizzo et al., 2016). This group has on average also higher strontium to calcium ratios, which are strongly correlated with manganese, providing evidence for the use of a source of manganese rich in strontium (Fig. 6d). The production and circulation of Foy-2 (série 2.1) is attested in the sixth and seventh centuries (Bonnerot et al., 2016; Ceglia et al., 2015; Cholakova et al., 2016; Conte and Chinni, 2014; Schibille et al., 2016a & 2016b), but manufacturing may have already begun in the fifth century (Foy et al., 2003). Judging from the compositional characteristics, the primary production location of this glass group is assumed to be in Egypt (Foy et al., 2003; Schibille et al., 2016a). The Foy-2 group encompasses all the red, yellow, black, blue and gold leaf tesserae as well as the gilded plaques and the vessel fragment (Table 1). The group of transparent gold glasses and vessel samples can be divided into two sub-groups, one that comprises tesserae KT NS 004 and KT NS 009 and the three gilded plaques with somewhat higher aluminium, calcium, magnesium, potassium, titanium, manganese and iron concentrations, the other group includes the remaining gold leaf tesserae and vessel fragment KT NS 008 (Table 1).

The remaining nine tesserae from Kilise Tepe show a typical Levantine I glass composition (Table 1), with high alumina and lime concentrations (Fig. 6a). Compared to the Foy-2 group, Levantine I glass has lower soda and magnesia contents and lower heavy element contaminations (Fe, Ti, Zr, V, Hf) (Table 1, Fig. 7). Levantine I glass was relatively widespread in the Mediterranean. It was produced in Syro-Palestine, for instance, in the furnaces of Jalame in the fourth century (Brill, 1988; Freestone et al., 2000) and in Apollonia in the sixth century (Tal et al., 2004; Freestone et al., 2008). With the exception of one sample (KT NS 020) no significant amounts of manganese (typically < 300 ppm) was detected that would indicate its deliberate addition as colourant or decolourant (Fig. 6d). The low levels of manganese in the Levantine I tesserae from Kilise Tepe point to a sixth century date or later. Earlier Levantine glasses regularly contain elevated concentrations of manganese, such as the fourth-century assemblage at Jalame (Brill, 1988) or fifth-century Aquileia (Gallo et al., 2014), whereas the sixth- to seventh-century glasses from the primary production sites in Syria-Palestine (Freestone et al., 2000, 2008; Tal et al., 2004) do not typically contain added manganese. Interestingly, all the green and turquoise tesserae are of a Levantine I composition, plus the bluish aqua window glass fragment. A similar colour-specific trend was observed in relation to the turquoise and green tesserae from Hagios Polyeuktos in Constantinople (Schibille and McKenzie, 2014).

When comparing the rare earth element (REE) patterns, Foy-2 glasses tend to have on average higher values, especially for the heavy elements associated with iron and titanium such as vanadium and niobium, respectively (Fig. 7). However, even the lanthanides are slightly elevated in the Foy-2 samples compared to the Levantine I group. Taken together, this proves the use of different silica sources for the two glass groups and by extension, different geological and geographical origins.

3.2. Colour and opacity

Except for the seven gold leaf tesserae that exhibit only natural hues due to the iron oxide contained in the raw materials, all other tesserae are intensely coloured. The colour in glass can derive from the addition of transition metal ions dissolved in the glass, from metal nanoparticles in the glass (Cu), or from the dispersion of crystalline pigments in the matrix (Biron and Chopinet, 2013). In the Kilise Tepe samples, transition metal ions are used for blue (CoO) and turquoise (CuO) tesserae, metal
particles in the black (Fe), green and red samples (Cu), while pigments of yellow stannate (Pb₂SnO₄) were detected in the yellow and green, as well as unexpectedly also in the red tesserae.

3.2.1. Cobalt and copper oxides as colourants

The dark blue tesserae contain cobalt as colourant that is associated with slightly elevated copper and iron concentrations. These elements were probably introduced unintentionally with the cobalt ore as shown in sample KT NS 028, where the undissolved sub-rectangular grains contain cobalt, iron and copper (Fe₂O₃ 82%, CoO 16%, CuO 0.9%) (Fig. 8). The association with iron is consistent with the known Roman-type colourant of blue glass (Gratuze et al., 1992).

The different shades of green and turquoise tesserae have significant quantities of copper in the oxidized form (Table 1). Copper oxide brings about a light blue or turquoise colour. In the two turquoise tesserae the copper oxide is completely dissolved in the glass matrix, resulting in a translucent rather than an opaque quality. The opacification of sample KT NS 002 is caused by calcium phosphate particles (discussed below).

3.2.2. Metallic inclusions: Copper and iron

Some authors have hypothesised that by-products of metalworking were used for the colouration of glass (Mass et al., 1998; Freestone et al., 2003). The detection of metallurgical by-products in Roman glass is relatively rare (Wypyski and Becker, 2004), but it has been frequently attested in the late antique and Byzantine periods (Maltoni and Silvestri, 2016; Neri, 2016; Wypyski, 2005).

The Kilise Tepe tesserae provide clear evidence for this practice. Two green tesserae (KT NS 020 and 015) exhibit a combination of the yellow pigment lead stannate, and undissolved metallic particles in the glass matrix (Fig. 9a). Interestingly, these inclusions (50 μm–200 μm) correspond to a leaded copper alloy (Cu-Pb-Zn, 78.3%, 20.3%, 1.4%, respectively) that was used in late antique and Byzantine metallic objects (Ponting, 1999; Ashkenazi et al., 2015). The red tesserae are likewise

Fig. 11. SEM BSE images of tesserae KT NS 002. (a) Partially dissolved particle of calcium phosphate inclusion (lighter grey) surrounded by black gas bubbles; (b) gas bubbles in areas of higher phosphorus concentrations caused by the partially dissolved calcium phosphate particle.

Fig. 12. Distribution of glass groups and opacifiers used for mosaic tesserae. Sixth-century mosaic assemblages (Amorium, Antioch, Constantinople, Cyprus, Hierapolis, Kilise Tepe and Tyana), and earlier fourth- to fifth-century material (Hierapolis, Huarte and Sagalassos). Data from Bonnerot et al., 2016; Lahanier, 1987; Neri et al., forthcoming; Schibille and McKenzie, 2014; Schibille et al., 2012; Silvestri et al., 2016; Wypyski, 2005; Wypyski and Becker, 2004.
### Table 1
LA-ICP-MS data of the Kilise Tepe samples. Major and minor oxides [wt%], including chlorine, and trace elements [ppm]. The crystalline phases have been stoichiometrically calculated based on semi-quantitative analyses by SEM-EDS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Opacity</th>
<th>Crystalline phases</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 001</td>
<td>J15/008</td>
<td>86,303</td>
<td>Light green</td>
<td>Opale</td>
<td>Lead stannate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 005</td>
<td>I14/252</td>
<td>75,316</td>
<td>Blue green</td>
<td>Opale</td>
<td>Lead stannate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 015</td>
<td>M16/105</td>
<td>95,120</td>
<td>Light green</td>
<td>Opale</td>
<td>Lead stannate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 017</td>
<td>M16/074</td>
<td>95,104</td>
<td>Blue green</td>
<td>Opale</td>
<td>Lead stannate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 020</td>
<td>L16/006</td>
<td>93,201</td>
<td>Dark green</td>
<td>Opale</td>
<td>Metallic inclusions: Cu-Sn-Pb-Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 025</td>
<td>J15/014</td>
<td>86,307</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Opale</td>
<td>Lead stannate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 002</td>
<td>J15/008</td>
<td>86,303</td>
<td>Light turquoise</td>
<td>Opale</td>
<td>Metallic inclusions: Cu-Sn-Pb-Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 018</td>
<td>L18/160</td>
<td>93,102</td>
<td>Bluish turquoise</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
<td>Calcium phosphate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 021</td>
<td>M17/131</td>
<td>95,030</td>
<td>Window pane</td>
<td>Bluish aqua</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 003</td>
<td>L18/006</td>
<td>73,000</td>
<td>Tessera</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Opale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 007</td>
<td>M18/326</td>
<td>78,801</td>
<td>Ingot</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Opale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 019</td>
<td>L16/036</td>
<td>93,212</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Opale</td>
<td>Lead stannate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 006</td>
<td>L16/006</td>
<td>93,201</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 012</td>
<td>K15/118</td>
<td>95,402</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 028</td>
<td>K15/028</td>
<td>86,414</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 014</td>
<td>L16/011</td>
<td>93,200</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Opale</td>
<td>Lead stannate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 016</td>
<td>L16/027</td>
<td>93,211</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 017</td>
<td>L17/039</td>
<td>93,303</td>
<td>Gold tessera</td>
<td>Amber tinge</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 011</td>
<td>L17/006</td>
<td>93,302</td>
<td>Gold tessera</td>
<td>Amber tinge</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 013</td>
<td>L17/013</td>
<td>93,302</td>
<td>Gold tessera</td>
<td>Amber tinge</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 026</td>
<td>J15/012</td>
<td>86,306</td>
<td>Gold tessera</td>
<td>Amber tinge</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 027</td>
<td>J15/14</td>
<td>86,307</td>
<td>Gold tessera</td>
<td>Amber tinge</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 008</td>
<td>M17/131</td>
<td>95,005</td>
<td>Bowl base</td>
<td>Amber tinge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 004</td>
<td>K15/023</td>
<td>86,409</td>
<td>Gold tessera</td>
<td>Greenish tinge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 009</td>
<td>M16/111</td>
<td>95,124</td>
<td>Gold tessera</td>
<td>Greenish tinge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 022</td>
<td>K15/111</td>
<td>95,400</td>
<td>Gold plaque</td>
<td>Greenish tinge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 023</td>
<td>L18/164</td>
<td>93,102</td>
<td>Gold plaque</td>
<td>Greenish tinge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 024</td>
<td>L18/160</td>
<td>93,102</td>
<td>Gold plaque</td>
<td>Greenish tinge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Li</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Rb</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Sb</th>
<th>Cs</th>
<th>Ba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 001</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>13.18</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>20.36</td>
<td>32.24</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>21.08</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>32.80</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>39.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>99.84</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 005</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>43.18</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>22.92</td>
<td>29.79</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>17.06</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>35.07</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>20.22</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 015</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>20.82</td>
<td>31.80</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>23.03</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>31.02</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>50.27</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>107.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 017</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>23.25</td>
<td>29.59</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>18.46</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>34.30</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>22.58</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 020</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>23.86</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>46.04</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>23.83</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>219.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 024</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>29.75</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>18.49</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>35.08</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>20.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 002</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>26.03</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>17.09</td>
<td>26.48</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>50.50</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>18.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 018</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>15.71</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>17.16</td>
<td>31.75</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>15.95</td>
<td>10.93</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>39.75</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>12.86</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT NS 021</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>18.46</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>41.44</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>16.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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coloured by a copper compound. Judging from the associated elements and comparative materials, copper is present presumably in the form of nanoparticles of metallic copper (Fig. 9b). In the ingot fragment (KT NS 007) and one of the tesserae (KT NS 019), copper is linked to increased tin and zinc contents suggesting the use of a metallurgical by-product as shown in previous studies (Barber et al., 2009; Freestone, 1987; Freestone et al., 2003; Nakai et al., 1999; Santagostino Barbone et al., 2008).

The production of red tesserae is technically demanding, and requires a strongly reducing atmosphere and/or the addition of reducing agents. Partial re-oxidation or incomplete reduction of the copper can render red glass a darker red (Freestone et al., 2003). The iron present in high quantities (2.5–3.8%) suggests its intentional use, maybe to help reduce the cuprous ions to metallic copper. In fact, an inclusion of iron oxide (with traces of manganese and titanium) was detected in the ingot (Fig. 9d). Tin can have similar reducing effects (Freestone et al., 2003). One of the tesserae (KT NS 003) as well as the ingot (KT NS 007) exhibit opaque dark red streaks alternated with transparent green ones (Fig. 4f). The chemical composition of the red and transparent green streaks is similar, because in the latter, the pigment has simply dissolved and the copper ion determines the dark green colour (Wyppycki and Becker, 2004; Neri et al., 2013).

The black tessera is translucent, it is black with a shade of green rather than purple or blue, which is probably produced by a combination of redox processes, thus caus- ing opacification with calcium phosphate can generally be attributed to the fifth century or later and thus strongly suggest that the tesserae were newly produced for the decoration campaign of the early Byzantine church at Kilise Tepe.

The presence of undissolved colourants, like yellow pigments and metallic inclusions, proves that the glasses were coloured with additives and not through a simple mixture of coloured glass (Freestone, 1993; Gratuz e et al., 1992). For example, the light turquoise tessera bears witness to a particular opacification technique using calcium phosphate. The green and yellow tesserae were coloured by adding lead stannate under oxidizing conditions, whereas the black and the red tesserae were coloured by metallic inclusions in a reducing atmosphere. The wide range of different colouration and opacification techniques possibly reflect the output of different secondary workshops, making a local production of the different tesserae most unlikely.

The colouring and opacifying technologies in the Kilise Tepe assemblage do not necessarily correspond to differences in the base glass composition. On the contrary, while the green tesserae are made from Levantine I glass, the base glass of the yellow tessera is of the Foy-2 type despite using the same or at least similar yellow lead stannate compound as colouring and opacifying agent. Generally, however, tesserae of the same colour were produced from the same primary glass at Kilise Tepe. This may imply secondary workshops that specialised in the production of a single colour and that received supplies from one primary production centre alone. Similar observations have been made in connection with the late antique mosaics from Sagalassos and Padua (Schibille et al., 2012; Silvestri et al., 2014) and as regards specific colourants such as red (Freestone et al., 2003; Barber et al., 2009), flesh tones (Verità and Santopadre, 2010), yellow (Verità et al., 2013), and blue (Gratuz e et al., 1992), as well as gold leaf tesserae (Verità and Santopadre, 2013; Neri et al., 2016).

The gold leaf tesserae from Kilise Tepe are somewhat exceptional in that they can be divided into two compositional sub-groups of the Foy-2 type (Table 1). One group comprises most of the gold leaf tesserae as well as the vessel fragment (samples KT NS 010, 011, 013, 026, 027 and 008), demonstrating that the glass used for tesserae production was the same as the glass employed for other artefacts. The other group consists in only two gold leaf tesserae and the three gilded plaques (samples KT NS 004, 009, 022, 023 and 024). The two groups differ in terms of their magnesium, aluminium, calcium, titanium and iron concentrations. These differences imply that workshops specialising in the production of gold leaf tesserae acquired their raw material from more than one primary producer. It has previously been demonstrated that the same secondary workshops (above all in the Levantine area) used different raw glasses for the production of gold leaf tesserae with different optical properties (Neri et al., 2016). The samples of the two different groups from Kilise Tepe indeed express slightly until the fourth century CE (Turner and Rooksby, 1959; Lahlil et al., 2008; Lahlil et al., 2009; Silvestri et al., 2012). It is a peculiar technique, widespread above all in the Levantine area (Syria, Jordan, Cyprus, southern and central Turkey: Lahani er, 1987; Marii, 2013; Lachin et al., 2009; Wypyski, 2005; Bonnerot et al., 2016) and in some rare cases in northern Italy (Ravenna, Milan, Padua: Verità, 2010; Neri, 2016; Silvestri et al., 2012, 2016), where it was probably imported from the Levant by the Adriatic route.

4. Discussion

4.1. Kilise Tepe tesserae

Although the re-use of older mosaics from the dismantling of ancient buildings is a common phenomenon during late antiquity (e.g. Freestone, 1993; Freestone et al., 1990; DeLaine, 1997; Greenhalgh, 1989; Cutler, 2002; François and Spieser, 2002; Freestone, 2015), the tesserae of Kilise Tepe have evidently been produced from new, imported raw materials, rather than being recycled. Both the raw glass types as well as the opacification with calcium phosphate can generally
different shades as the higher iron contents of the second group shifts the colour towards green. The difference is not very pronounced and cannot unequivocally be ascribed to aesthetic choices. However, the chemical data confirm our previous assumption that at least some of the gold leaf tesserae could have been cut from the larger gilded plaques found at Kilise Tepe.

In general, the main type of glass used in the production of the Kilise Tepe tesserae was the Foy-2 glass group with a likely provenance in Egypt. Levantine I was used for only a third of the analysed samples and it is associated with a specific range of colours, namely green and turquoise as well as the bluish aqua window glass fragment. Similar colour-specific trends have been noted as regards the late antique tesserae from the church of Hagios Polyuektos in Constantinople (Schibille and McKenzie, 2014). What was then designated as the low manganese group (equivalent to Levantine I), comprises likewise only different shades of green and turquoise. All other samples from Hagios Polyuektos correspond more closely to the Foy-2 type glass. These shared characteristics in terms of base glass to colour relationship further substantiate a production model whereby secondary workshops specialised in the manufacture of a limited colour range.

4.2. Mosaic tesserae from Asia Minor

The Kilise Tepe assemblage fits the overall pattern in the use of mosaic tesserae in Asia Minor and related areas that relied on imports from Egypt and the Levante (Fig. 12). Egyptian glasses (HIMT, Foy-2) were identified among the mosaic assemblages from Amorium, Antioch, Huarte, Cyprus, Hagios Polyuektos and Hierapolis (Wypyski and Becker, 2004; Lahanier, 1987; Bonnerot et al., 2016; Schibille and McKenzie, 2014). As far as can be deduced from the published SEM-EDS data, Foy-2 glass seems to have been used for most of the manganese-containing tesserae from Cyprus (Bonnerot et al., 2016). Levantine glass makes up the majority of the tesserae from Huarte, Antioch, Tyana and Hierapolis (Lahanier, 1987; Wypyski and Becker, 2004; Wypyski, 2005; Lachin et al., 2009; Neri et al., forthcoming). The tesserae from Sagalassos are of a Levantine origin, but with some high titanium samples particularly among dark blue and red tesserae from the Apollo Klarios Temple (Schibille et al., 2012).

The Kilise Tepe tesserae differ from earlier Roman tesserae both in terms of the base glass as well as the opacification technologies. Roman tesserae are usually produced from a raw glass originating on the Levantine coast and opacified by calcium antimonate (Wypyski and Becker, 2004). For example, in fourth-century Antioch, late Roman Sagalassos, sixth-century Constantinople (blue tesserae) and in the church of the theatre of Hierapolis the majority of tesserae are opacified by antimony-based compounds, according to the Roman tradition. The tesserae from all other sites in Asia Minor for which data are available (Amorium, Tyana, Hierapolis, Huarte, Constantinople and Cyprus) testify to new opacification techniques that we also detected at Kilise Tepe. Bone ash appears mostly among mosaic assemblages from the south-eastern part of Asia Minor, Cyprus and Jordan starting in the fifth century CE (Werner and Bimson, 1967; Lahanier, 1987; Newton and Davison, 1999; Marii and Rehren, 2009). This confirms what has been noted elsewhere, namely that antimony-based opacifiers, used from about 1500 BCE to the fourth century CE, were gradually replaced by alternatives during the fifth to sixth centuries CE (Turner and Roosby, 1959; Ubaldi and Verità, 2003; Tite et al., 2008; Gratze, 2012; Neri et al., 2013). Nonetheless, antimony continues to be used as an opacifier, most notably in the imperial capitals, in Constantinople until the sixth century (Schibille and McKenzie, 2014) and in Ravenna and Rome until the medieval period (Verità, in press). Generally, antimony appears to have been more widely employed in the production of tesserae in the western Mediterranean and Italy (Silvestri et al., 2011; Neri and Verità, 2013; Neri et al., 2016).

4.3. Workshop practices and supply

The variations in the opacification technique imply different secondary workshop traditions. Firstly, a uniform technique with antimony-based opacifiers and a typical late Roman base glass of Levantine origin, as identified in the blue, green, turquoise and yellow tesserae from Antioch, Sagalassos and Hierapolis (church of the theatre). From the sixth century on, tesserae exhibited a greater variety both in terms of the base glass as well as the opacification techniques. This change is documented, for instance, in the sixth-century mosaic tesserae from Constantinople, Cyprus, Hierapolis (St. Philip), Amorium and Kilise Tepe, providing clear evidence for a certain degree of diversification in the production of mosaic tesserae and consequently a multiplication of suppliers.

The comparison of the Kilise Tepe data with other recent analytical studies for Byzantine mosaic tesserae from the eastern Mediterranean (Moropoulou et al., 2016; Schibille and McKenzie, 2014; Schibille et al., 2012; Wypyski, 2005; Bonnerot et al., 2016; Lahanier, 1987; Lachin et al., 2009; Silvestri et al., 2016; Wypyski and Becker, 2004) highlights some geographical affinities of the opacification techniques and raw materials (Fig. 12). Blue tesserae produced with Foy-2 glass and coloured with an iron-rich cobalt source were found in Cyprus, Antioch, Sagalassos and Constantinople. Light blue or turquoise tesserae, containing copper or cobalt as colourant and calcium phosphate (Ca₃PO₄) as opacifier in a Levantine I glass matrix were identified in Huarte, Tyana, Amorium and Cyprus (Lahanier, 1987; Lachin et al., 2009; Silvestri et al., 2016; Wypyski, 2005; Bonnerot et al., 2016). Lead-tin opacification was detected in the green and yellow tesserae from Amorium, Constantinople, Cyprus and Hierapolis (Moropoulou et al., 2016; Schibille and McKenzie, 2014; Wypyski, 2005; Bonnerot et al., 2016; Neri et al., forthcoming). Interestingly, the lead-tin opacified samples can be either of a Levantine I or a Foy-2 base glass, depending on the colour. Green seems to be predominantly made of Levantine I, while the yellow samples correspond instead to the Foy-2 primary production group.

The production of red and black tesserae does not vary significantly from the Bronze age to the Byzantine period (Freestone et al., 2003; Barber et al., 2009; Wypyski and Becker, 2004). However, from the sixth century on some differences can be seen in the metallurgical by-product used (Fiori et al., 2004; Freestone et al., 2003; Gliozzo et al., 2012, Santagostino Barbone et al., 2008; Shugar, 2000; Verità et al., 2008). At Kilise Tepe and Hierapolis, iron and lead stannate was added to obtain a brown hue and/or to act as reducing agents. This has not previously been observed. Substantial amounts of either manganese, as in Constantinople and Amorium, or iron as in Kilise Tepe and Antioch were employed to produce black tesserae.

5. Conclusion

The close similarities between the tesserae from Kilise Tepe and those of other roughly contemporary sites in south-western Asia Minor, Cyprus and northern Syria appear to indicate that the different sites were part of a well-established supply network. The variability of the raw glass and secondary working techniques identified among the Kilise Tepe samples in comparison to other assemblages shed further light on the chronological developments of tesserae production. The raw glasses employed (Foy-2, Levantine I) circulated during the early Byzantine period, and most likely post-date the fifth century CE. Concurrent with a fifth–century date is also the tradition of using calcium phosphate as opacifier. This seems to confirm a late antique date for the mosaic tesserae. Technically, all the samples investigated here could have been part of the same mosaic programme, no repairs or restoration from later periods are evident. The multiplicity of production techniques marks a diversification in the supply, a phenomenon that is also observed in other eastern Mediterranean sites. Our data thus add to the mounting evidence indicating the multiplication of
secondary workshops for the production of glass tesserae during the fifth or sixth century CE and a modification of the more centralized Roman glass working tradition. This is reflected, in both, the variability of the raw glass as well as the colouring and opacifying agents found at a single site. Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2016.12.036.
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