

**The Authors Reply:** Results proposed by Stehlé *et al.* regarding our article on the different methods to correct for the early-compartments for the determination of GFR by plasma clearances<sup>1</sup> is of interest because data comparing plasma versus urinary clearances are relatively rare.<sup>2</sup> In our article, we fairly stated that we had no urinary clearances, and thus our analysis was just a comparison of current equations to correct for the early-compartment.<sup>1</sup> Stehlé *et al.* perfectly confirmed what we showed: a high concordance of the results given by the Bröchner-Mortensen, Fleming, Jodal-Bröchner-Mortensen, and Ng, whereas the Chantler correction gave different results. However, we are more careful in our interpretation of the results by Stehlé *et al.*, who suggest to consider the Chantler method in low glomerular filtration rate (GFR) ranges. First, their sample in this GFR range is relatively low. Second, as acknowledged by the authors, the difference between urinary and plasma clearances in low GFR is due to the timing of the last sample, which is (like in our own study) probably too early (270 minutes). The role of the equation to correct for the early-compartment has no role in this discrepancy. Several data suggested that concordance between plasma and urinary clearances are higher in low GFR when the last sample is later (6 to 24 hours).<sup>3,4</sup> We have no proof that the Chantler correction would be “better” in terms of GFR if plasma clearance would be obtained with an adequate late sampling. Last, in our opinion, it remains difficult to recommend a method that is (maybe) “better” in

low GFR range, but that is “worse” in high GFR ranges.

1. Delanaye P, Dubourg L, Flamant M, et al. Comparison of early-compartment correction equations for GFR measurements. *Kidney Int Rep.* 2020;5:1079–1081.
2. Delanaye P. How measuring glomerular filtration rate? Comparison of reference methods. In: Manisha S, ed. *Basic Nephrology and Acute Kidney Injury*. London, UK: IntechOpen Ltd.; 2012:1–40.
3. Ebert N, Loesment A, Martus P, et al. Iohexol plasma clearance measurement in older adults with chronic kidney disease—sampling time matters. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2015;30:1307–1314.
4. Stolz A, Hoizey G, Toupance O, et al. Evaluation of sample bias for measuring plasma iohexol clearance in kidney transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2010;89:440–445.

Pierre Delanaye<sup>1,2</sup> and Hans Pottel<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Transplantation, University of Liège (ULg CHU), Liège, Belgium; <sup>2</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Apheresis, Hôpital Universitaire Caremeau, Nîmes, France; and <sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health and Primary Care, KU Leuven Campus Kulak Kortrijk, Kortrijk, Belgium

**Correspondence:** Pierre Delanaye, Service de Dialyse, CHU Sart Tilman, 4000 Liège, Belgium. E-mail: [pierre\\_delanaye@yahoo.fr](mailto:pierre_delanaye@yahoo.fr)

**Received 17 July 2020; accepted 21 July 2020; Published online 25 July 2020**

*Kidney Int Rep* (2020) ■, 1; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2020.07.022>

© 2020 International Society of Nephrology. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).