Activation Treatments and SiO₂/Pd Modification of Sol–Gel TiO₂ Photocatalysts for Enhanced Photoactivity under UV Radiation
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Abstract: The objective of this work is to improve the efficiency of TiO₂ photocatalysts by activation treatments and by modification with palladium nanoparticles and doping with SiO₂. The influence of the additive loading was explored, and two activation treatments were performed: UV exposition and H₂ reduction. TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd photocatalysts were synthesized by an original cogelation method: a modified silicon alkoxide, i.e., [3-(2-aminoethyl)aminopropyl]trimethoxysilane (EDAS), was used to complex the palladium ions, thanks to the ethylenediamine group, while the alkoxide groups reacted with TiO₂ precursors. Pure TiO₂ was also synthesized by the sol–gel process for comparison. X-ray diffraction evidenced that the crystallographic structure of TiO₂ was anatase and that Pd was present, either in its oxidized form after calcination, or in its reduced form after reduction. The specific surface area of the samples varied from 5 to 145 m² g⁻¹. Transmission electron microscopy allowed us to observe the homogeneous dispersion and nanometric size of Pd particles in the reduced samples. The width of the band gap for pure TiO₂ sample, measured by UV/Visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy at approximately 3.2 eV, corresponded to that of anatase. The band gap for the TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd composite samples could not be calculated, due to their high absorption in visible range. The photocatalytic activity of the various catalysts was evaluated by the degradation of a methylene blue solution under UV radiation. The results showed that the photocatalytic activity of the catalysts was inversely proportional to the content of silica present in the matrix. A small amount of silica improved the photocatalytic activity, as compared to the pure TiO₂ sample. By contrast, a high amount of silica delayed the crystallization of TiO₂ in its anatase form. The activation treatment under UV had little influence on photocatalytic efficiency. The introduction of Pd species increased the photocatalytic activity of the samples because it allowed for a decrease in the rate of electron–hole recombinations in TiO₂. The reduction treatment improved the activity of photocatalysts, whatever the palladium content, thanks to the reduction of Ti⁴⁺ into Ti³⁺, and the formation of defects in the crystallographic structure of anatase.
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1. Introduction

For decades, different processes have been developed to reduce the current pollution in water, air and soil [1,2]. Among these various methods, photocatalysis had emerged as a potential technique...
to degrade organic pollutants [3,4]. Its principle lies on the use of a semiconductor as photocatalyst, which is activated by light illumination. Its activation produces a series of redox reactions leading to radicals’ formation. These radicals can attack organic pollutants and degrade them in CO₂ and H₂O if the degradation is complete [3,4].

The most used photocatalyst is TiO₂ [5–7]. This material is activated under UV light due to its band gap around 3.2 eV for the anatase phase [4]. TiO₂ presents the advantage of being easily available, inexpensive and non-toxic. The use of TiO₂ as photocatalyst has two main limitations [4]: (i) the fast charge recombination and (ii) the large band gap value. Indeed, if the recombination of the photo-generated species (electrons, e⁻, and holes, h⁺) is fast, the production of radicals is low and the degradation is less effective. Furthermore, if the band gap is large, the energy required for the electron transfer is high and only UV radiation can be used. This study focused on the improvement of the charge recombination phenomenon.

Regarding the improvement of the recombination time, the major modification of TiO₂ materials is the addition of metallic nanoparticles such as Ag [8–11], Au [12], Pt [12,13] or Pd [8,14]. In this case, the metallic nanoparticle plays the role of electron trap, allowing us to increase the recombination time [9]. Combination with other semiconductors has also been investigated to increase the recombination time [4]. In this case, synergetic effects can be observed leading to a better charge separation or an increased photostability. Different combinations of semiconductors were tested, such as TiO₂/ZnO [15], TiO₂/Cds [4] or TiO₂/ZrO₂ [16]. The introduction of SiO₂ in the TiO₂ matrix can also enhance the photoactivity due to surface acidity modification, the introduction of defects or increased surface area [9,17]. In order to optimize the modification efficiency, the additive needs to be highly dispersed in the TiO₂ matrix. Previous works have reported that a high dispersion of metallic nanoparticles into a SiO₂ and TiO₂ matrix can be achieved through the use of a complexing agent, e.g., ethylenediamine [9,18,19]. Indeed, by using modified silicon alkoxide, small amounts of Ag, Cu or Ni nanoparticles are dispersed in either TiO₂ or SiO₂. The use of this silicon alkoxide introduces a SiO₂ doping in the TiO₂ matrix, and this doping is referenced as effective to increase the photoactivity [9,17,20].

In this study, TiO₂ photocatalyst was modified with Pd species, in order to increase the photocatalytic property under UV light for the degradation of organic dye. To finely disperse these Pd species, a modified silicon alkoxide (3-(2-aminoethyl) aminopropyl]trimethoxysilane, EDAS) was caged with Ti alkoxide. Indeed, this silicon alkoxide possesses an ethylenediamine function able to complex metallic ions; SiO₂ was also introduced into the materials. Different amounts were added to study the influence of SiO₂ addition on TiO₂ properties. This original preparation method allows the modification in one step. Four different samples were prepared with four Pd loadings; one pure TiO₂ and one TiO₂/SiO₂ were also prepared for comparison. To further increase the photoefficiency, two activation treatments were applied to the sample: UV activation and H₂ reduction. The physicochemical properties of the resulting materials were determined thanks to nitrogen adsorption–desorption, X-ray diffraction, inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy, diffuse reflectance and transmission electron microscopy. The photocatalytic activity was followed on the degradation of methylene blue (MB), under UV-A illumination, during 6 h of reaction.

2. Results and Discussion

Six samples were prepared: one pure titania (named TiO₂), one titania doped with silica (named TiO₂/SiO₂) and four titania samples doped with silica and modified with Pd named TiO₂/SiO₂/PdX, where X corresponds to the theoretical wt.% of Pd and can vary between 1, 5, 12 and 22 wt.% of Pd. Table 1 summarizes the amounts of reactants for each sample. The weight amount of SiO₂ is in the same range as Pd. The UV-treated samples are denoted with “- UV”, while those reduced under H₂ are denoted with “-H₂”.

2.1. Colors and Compositions of Photocatalytic Samples

The pure and TiO₂/SiO₂ samples had a white color, characteristic of TiO₂ and SiO₂ materials. The Pd-modified TiO₂/SiO₂ samples were brown after calcination and darker when the amount of Pd
increased. The brown color is characteristic of PdO material. The samples, after UV activation, kept their initial coloration, while after H₂ reduction, the Pd-modified ones became black, which is characteristic of metallic palladium. The pictures of the samples are shown in Supplementary Materials Figure S1.

The actual loading (measured by ICP) is given in Table 1 and was close to the theoretical one, calculated on the basis of the engaged amounts. In each composite sample, the amount of SiO₂ is similar to the amount of Pd, as expected.

Table 1. Synthesis operating variables and additive loadings determined by ICP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>nSolvent (mmol)</th>
<th>nPd (mmol)</th>
<th>nEDAS (mmol)</th>
<th>nTIPT (mmol)</th>
<th>Water (mmol)</th>
<th>Gelification time (min)</th>
<th>Theoretical loading SiO₂/Pd (wt.%)</th>
<th>Actual loading SiO₂/Pd (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure TiO₂</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>0.98/1</td>
<td>4.9/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd1</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>4.9/5</td>
<td>4.7/4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd5</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11.76/12</td>
<td>12.9/12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd12</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>21.56/22</td>
<td>24.6/21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd22</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>34.90</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>12.9/12.2</td>
<td>12.9/12.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nSolvent = amount of 2-methoxyethanol; nPd = amount of palladium acetylacetate; nEDAS = amount of EDAS; nTIPT = amount of TIPT; nWater = amount of water.

2.2. Crystallinity

The XRD patterns of all calcined samples are presented in Figure 1a. For pure TiO₂ sample, the characteristic peaks of TiO₂ anatase were detected (JCPDS 21-1272: 25.3°, 37.9°, 48.0°, 54.6°, 62.8° and 68.8°). When additive was added, the intensity of anatase peaks decreased and even disappeared for TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd22 sample. For the samples modified with Pd, additional peaks appeared at 34°, 42°, 54.9° and 60.3° (JCPDS 75-584), which corresponded to palladium oxide. The intensity of these peaks increased with the amount of Pd (Figure 1a).

Figure 1. (a) XRD patterns of calcined samples: (×) pure TiO₂, (●) TiO₂/SiO₂, (▲) TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd1, (●) TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd5, (▲) TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd12, and (●) TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd22; and (b) XRD patterns of reduced

After UV activation, no modification of the crystallinity was observed (XRD patterns identical to Figure 1a, not shown). Contrarily, after H₂ reduction (Figure 1b), the peaks of metallic palladium (JCPDS 87-0638: 39.8°, 46.2° and 67.6°) were observed replacing those of palladium oxide, as expected.

Concerning the crystallite size evaluated by using the Scherrer equation, the TiO₂ crystallites (dxrdt) decreased with the additive content: from 34 nm for pure TiO₂ to 7 nm for TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₁₂ calcined sample (Table 2). For the PdO crystallites (dxrdpa, for the calcined samples), they increased with the amount of Pd: from 8 nm for TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₅ to 25 nm for TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₂₂ sample. The metallic Pd nanoparticles (dxrdpa for the reduced samples) had a slightly smaller size than PdO crystallites (Table 2).

The decrease of the TiO₂ crystallinity can be explained by the introduction of SiO₂ in the TiO₂ matrix. Indeed, silica was introduced by the EDAS, which was used to disperse Pd in the TiO₂ matrix. The introduction of silica delayed the crystallization of TiO₂ [9,21,22], leading to amorphous TiO₂ for the sample with the highest content of SiO₂ (TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₂₂ sample).

There was no modification of the crystallite sizes, both for TiO₂ and PdO, for all UV-activated samples (Table 2). For the H₂ reduced samples, as explained above, the PdO species are convert in Pd species and the evolution of TiO₂ crystallite follows the evolution of the non-reduced samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>dxrdt</th>
<th>dxrdpa</th>
<th>dxrdpa</th>
<th>s_{\text{BET}}</th>
<th>V_{\text{DR}}</th>
<th>V_{\text{p}}</th>
<th>d_{\text{TEMPO}}</th>
<th>d_{\text{TEMPO}}</th>
<th>E_g (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure TiO₂</td>
<td>± 1</td>
<td>± 1</td>
<td>± 1</td>
<td>± 0.01</td>
<td>± 5</td>
<td>± 5</td>
<td>± 4</td>
<td>± 4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure TiO₂ - H₂</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure TiO₂ - UV</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂ - H₂</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂ - UV</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₁</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₁ - H₂</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₁ - UV</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₅</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₅ - H₂</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₅ - UV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₁₂</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd₁₂ - H₂</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalysts</td>
<td>2020, 10, 1184</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- UV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- H$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- a, not measured; b, not present; c, not detectable; d, unmeasurable; \( \text{d}_{\text{XRDTi}} \), mean diameter of TiO$_2$ crystallites measured by the Scherrer method; \( \text{d}_{\text{XRDPdO}} \), mean diameter of PdO crystallites measured by the Scherrer method; \( \text{S}_{\text{BET}} \), specific surface area determined by the BET method; \( \text{V}_{\text{DR}} \), specific micropore volume determined by Dubinin–Raduskevitch theory; \( V_l \), specific liquid volume adsorbed at the saturation pressure of nitrogen; \( \text{d}_{\text{TEMTi}} \), mean diameter of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles measured by TEM; \( \text{d}_{\text{TEMPdO}} \), mean diameter of PdO nanoparticles measured by TEM; \( \text{d}_{\text{TEMPd}} \), mean diameter of Pd nanoparticles measured by TEM; \( E_g \), optical band-gap values calculated by using the transformed Kubelka–Munk function.

### 2.3. Morphology

The nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms are presented in Figure 2a for all calcined samples. Pure TiO$_2$ and all Pd-modified TiO$_2$ samples were non-porous solids with a very low surface area (\( \text{S}_{\text{BET}} < 50 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1} \), Table 2). Only TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$ sample was a mesoporous solid (type IV isotherm [23]) with a hysteresis between 0.4 and 0.6 in relative pressure. Indeed, the introduction of sol–gel silica increases in the TiO$_2$ leads to more porous solid [22]. The Pd modification seemed to have low influence on the texture of the samples.

After H$_2$ reduction (Figure 2b), the \( \text{S}_{\text{BET}} \) increased for all samples (Table 2). Indeed, some remaining organic contaminants could be removed by this thermal treatment, leading to an increase of the microporosity (\( \text{V}_{\text{DR}} \) increased in the majority of the reduced samples, Table 2).

The UV activation had no influence on the textural properties (nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms identical to Figure 2a, not shown).

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms of (a) calcined samples and (b) reduced samples.
The samples were observed by TEM, as shown in Figure 3, for four representative samples. The estimation of the different sizes of particles (TiO$_2$, PdO and Pd) are given in Table 2. The TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (d$_{TEM}$) were observed in the size range of 10–18 nm for all samples doped with SiO$_2$ (Figure 3a–d). For TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22 sample, no TiO$_2$ crystallite was observed in the matrix, confirming the amorphous TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$ materials. For pure TiO$_2$, the size was around 25 nm. This result was coherent with the XRD patterns (Figure 1) where crystallization delays were obtained with SiO$_2$ doping [9,21]. For the Pd-modified ones, darker nanoparticles highly dispersed in the TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$ matrix were observed which corresponded to the PdO (calcined samples) or Pd (reduced samples) nanoparticles (Figure 3b–d). The Pd species were highly dispersed in the oxide matrix, thanks to the use of EDAS [24,25]. When the amount of Pd became too high, the size of the nanoparticles increased (d$_{TEMPdO}$ and d$_{TEMPd}$, Table 2). Indeed, the palladium species were closer to each other, leading to sintering when the samples were heated. The size of PdO and metallic Pd was quite similar for all samples, before and after H$_2$ reduction (Table 2). The UV activation treatment had no influence on the size of the materials.

The XRD crystallite sizes and the nanoparticle sizes estimated with TEM, for the Pd and PdO species, were in the same range. The small differences result from the fact that the crystallites sizes determined by XRD correspond to the average diameter in volume of crystallites. Therefore, XRD gives more statistical significance to large particles, compared to TEM.

![Figure 3. TEM micrographs of calcined and reduced samples: (a) TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$, (b) TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd5 - H$_2$, (c) TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd12-H$_2$ and (d) TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22.](image)

2.4. Optical Properties

The diffuse reflectance UV/Visible spectra of the calcined samples are presented in Figure 4. The pure TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$ samples had the characteristic spectrum of titania materials with absorption in UV range from around 400 nm. The Pd-modified samples had a larger band of absorption in the UV/Visible region due to the presence of the PdO nanoparticles. As the reduced samples were black, they were not analyzed with this technique. The band gap can be calculated only for the pure TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$ samples, and is given in Table 2. For both samples, values around 3.2 eV were found in agreement with titania band gap [26].
Figure 4. Normalized diffuse reflectance UV/Visible spectra of all calcined samples: (×) pure TiO$_2$, (●) TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$, (□) TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd1, (♦) TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd5, (▲) TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd12 and (♣) TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22.

2.5. Photocatalytic Activity

The evolution of the MB concentration during the photocatalytic experiments is given in Figure 5 for all samples. For each sample, curves obtained with samples treated by both UV and H$_2$ are also represented. No photolysis of MB was observed under illumination without catalyst (blank experiment). Thanks to the dark experiments, the adsorption of MB on the samples without illumination was deduced from the observed decrease of MB concentration, under illumination, to measure only the MB degradation.
Figure 5. Methylene blue (MB) concentration evolution ([MB]/[MB]₀ ratio) over time (6 h) for all sample series under UV-A illumination: (a) pure TiO₂, (b) TiO₂/SiO₂, (c) TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd1, (d) TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd5, (e) TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd12 and (f) TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd22. The contribution of MB adsorption was subtracted for all samples.

For samples without palladium (pure TiO₂ and TiO₂/SiO₂), the H₂ reduction treatment did not seem to have much influence on the percentage of MB degradation, while the activation treatment under UV seemed to improve it slightly for the pure TiO₂ sample, and even more for TiO₂/SiO₂ sample. For samples with low palladium content (TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd1 and TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd5 samples), the opposite phenomenon was observed: Activation under UV seemed to decrease the photoactivity, while H₂ reduction greatly improved it for the TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd1 sample and slightly more for the TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd5 and TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd12 samples. The TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd22 sample had a low photocatalytic activity, regardless of the treatment, due to its amorphous nature (Figure 1). The MB conversion for all the samples is given in Table 3, together with the percentage of MB adsorption.

Table 3. Photocatalytic experiments for MB degradation after 6 h, under UV-A illumination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>MB adsorption after 6 h (Blank test - %) ± 5</th>
<th>MB conversion after 6 h (Blank deduced - %) ± 5</th>
<th>Kinetic constant k (h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure TiO₂</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure TiO₂ - H₂</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure TiO₂ - UV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂ - H₂</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂ - UV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd1 - H₂</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd1 - UV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd5 - H₂</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd5 - UV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd12 - H₂</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd12 - UV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd22 - H₂</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/SiO₂/Pd22 - UV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pure TiO₂ samples had very low MB adsorption by opposition to TiO₂/SiO₂ samples. This difference could come from the textural properties of the TiO₂/SiO₂ samples, which presented higher S_BET values, as compared to pure TiO₂ samples (< 5 vs. 100–145 m² g⁻¹, Table 2). The Pd-modified samples presented S_BET values between pure TiO₂ and TiO₂/SiO₂ samples (~ 40 m² g⁻¹, Table 2), so their MB adsorption behavior was intermediate with a small adsorption (~ 10%), except for the
TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd12 series, which adsorbed around 20%. The MB adsorption was therefore directly linked to the surface properties of the samples [27].

On the one hand, the photoactivity of TiO$_2$ was increased by the introduction of a small amount of silica into its network [9,28]. The TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd1 sample degraded 60% of MB against 35% for the pure TiO$_2$ sample (Table 3). On the other hand, when the quantity of silica introduced became too large, the crystallization of TiO$_2$ in its anatase form was delayed [21]. Thus, in the samples containing a large amount of palladium (TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd5, TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd12 and TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22), and therefore a large amount of silica, photocatalytic efficiency decreased: We obtained 60% MB degradation for the TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd1 sample against 15% MB degradation for the TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22 sample (Table 3). In all cases, except for the TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22 sample, which contains only amorphous TiO$_2$, the H$_2$ reduction treatment had the effect of increasing the photocatalytic activity. Indeed, H$_2$ reduction allowed us to decrease the rate of recombination of the “electron–hole” pairs present in TiO$_2$ via two distinct phenomena: (i) The first was the possible creation of oxygen vacancies on the surface of TiO$_2$ by reduction of Ti$^{4+}$ ions to Ti$^{3+}$ [29,30], and (ii) the second was the reduction of PdO particles to metallic palladium acting as an electron trap and thus limiting the recombination’s of the “electron–hole” pairs [31,32]. Activation processing under UV, on the other hand, has little influence on the photocatalytic activity of calcined samples.

The optimal sample is TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd1, which combines the advantages of both additives (SiO$_2$ and Pd) with a weight loading percentage of 1% for each. Indeed, the introduction of SiO$_2$ allows us to increase the specific surface area, as compared to pure TiO$_2$ (Table 2), while the crystallinity of anatase is maintained (Figure 1). Moreover, Pd introduction allows an absorption in the visible range (Figure 4).

Assuming that the photocatalyst is homogeneously dispersed in a perfectly agitated medium, the derivative of the material balance in a semi-continuous reactor can be expressed as follows [33]:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -r_V \frac{m_{\text{cata},0}}{V_0}$$  (1)

where C is the concentration of methylene blue in the solution (kmol/m$^3$), t is the time (h), $r_V$ is the specific rate of the degradation of methylene blue (kmol/kg$_{\text{catalyst}}$h), $m_{\text{cata},0}$ is the initial catalyst mass homogeneously dispersed in the solution (kg of catalyst) and $V_0$ is the initial solution volume (m$^3$).

In general, the degradation curves of methylene blue in photocatalysis had an exponential appearance. The degradation kinetics of methylene blue could then be modeled by assuming that the reaction was of order 1 under the operating conditions used. The kinetic equation could thus be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -k \times C \times \frac{m_{\text{cata},0}}{V_0}$$  (2)

where k is the kinetic constant (m$^3$/kg$_{\text{catalyst}}$h).

As part of this work, the photocatalytic activity of the samples was evaluated in media containing 50 mg of catalysts dispersed in 50 mL of MB solution at 20 μmol/L concentration. The $m_{\text{cata},0}/V_0$ ratio was thus equal to 1. The kinetic equation could then be developed as follows:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -k \times C$$  (3)

$$\frac{dC}{C} = -k \times dt$$  (4)

$$\ln \left( \frac{C}{C_0} \right) = -k \times t$$  (5)

$$C = C_0 \times e^{-k \times t}$$  (6)

where $C_0$ is the initial MB concentration in the solution (kmol/m$^3$).

Figure 6 compares the theoretical kinetic model to the experimental values for the TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd1 sample. The two curves superimposed perfectly, justifying the hypothesis of an order 1 kinetics of degradation.
Equation (6) is commonly found in the literature [34,35] for photocatalytic process. Knowing the evolution over time of the concentration of methylene blue in the solutions, the kinetic constant, $k$, could be calculated for all samples. The values obtained are listed in Table 3.

The evolution of the kinetic constants values corresponds to the observations made previously. Indeed, the kinetic constant, $k$, was increased by the introduction of a small quantity of silica into the TiO$_2$ network. The TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd1 sample had a kinetic constant equal to 0.147 h$^{-1}$ versus 0.069 h$^{-1}$ for the pure TiO$_2$ sample (Table 3). On the other hand, when the quantity of silica introduced became too large, the crystallization of TiO$_2$ in its anatase form was delayed [9,21]. Thus, in samples containing a large amount of palladium (TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd5, TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd12 and TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22 samples), and therefore a large quantity of silica, the kinetic constant decreased, i.e., 0.147 h$^{-1}$ for the TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd1 sample, as compared to 0.030 h$^{-1}$ for the TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22 sample. In all cases (except for the sample TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd22, which contained only amorphous TiO$_2$), the H$_2$ reduction treatment had the consequence of increasing the kinetic constant of the samples. Activation processing under UV had little influence on the kinetic constant of the calcined samples.

![Figure 6. Kinetic analysis of the MB degradation for TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd1 sample: (-) theoretical evolution and (■) experimental points.](image)

With the combination of Pd/SiO$_2$ modification and the reduction of the photocatalyst, the photoactivity for the degradation of MB can be greatly increase compared to the pure material. Moreover, the use of modified silicon alkoxide allowed a good distribution of the metal in the titania matrix. This cogelation synthesis method appears as an easy process for homogeneous doping in titania photocatalysts.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Photocatalyst Synthesis

The pure and modified TiO$_2$ samples were prepared by a sol–gel cogelation method inspired from Reference [21]. The steps were the following: Palladium acetylacetonate (Pd(acac)$_2$, Sigma Aldrich, 99%) was dissolved in 2-methoxyethanol (Sigma Aldrich, 99.8%); then 3-(2-aminoethyl)aminopropyltrimethoxysilane (EDAS, Merck, 97%) was added to the solution and stirred for 1 h, for complexation. The resulting solution became yellow. Then titanium isopropoxide (TIPT, Sigma Aldrich, 98%) was added, under stirring. In a second vial, distilled water was mixed with 2-methoxyethanol. This second solution was added, dropwise, to the first one, in 5 min, under stirring. The resulting suspension was placed in an oven, for gelation, at 80 °C, for 72 h. Then, the gel was dried under vacuum at 80 °C for 48 h, until the pressure fell at 1000 Pa. At this pressure, the
temperature was increased to 150 °C for 24 h. The dried powder was crushed with a mortar and calcined at 550 °C for 5 h.

Six samples were prepared with the following protocol: one pure TiO$_2$ (without Pd(acac) and EDAS), one TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$ (without Pd(acac)) and four TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Pd samples (1, 5, 12 and 22 theoretical wt.% of Pd). Table 1 summarizes the amounts of reactants for each sample: the weight amount of SiO$_2$ is in the same range as Pd in all cases.

3.2. Activation Treatments

In order to increase the photoactivity of the samples, they underwent two different activation treatments after calcination: UV activation and H$_2$ reduction.

Concerning the UV activation, the calcined powder was mixed in 50 mL of distilled water, in a Petri dish that was illuminated for 24 h, under stirring, at 25 °C. The lamp (Osram Sylvania, Blacklight-Bleu Lamp, F18W/BLB-T8) emitted UV-A radiation considered to be monochromatic, with a wavelength of 365 nm and an intensity of 1.2 mW/cm$^2$. After the UV treatment, the photocatalyst was filtered and dried for 24 h, at 120 °C. The UV-treated samples are denoted with “- UV”.

Concerning the H$_2$ reduction, the calcined powder was heated under H$_2$, for 5 h, at 450 °C. The reduced samples are denoted with “- H$_2$”.

3.3. Characterizations

The nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms were obtained thanks to a Fisons Sorptomatic 1900 device. The specific surface area, $S_{BET}$, the microporous volume, $V_{m}$, and the porous volume, $V_{p}$, were obtained from the isotherms, thanks to BET and Dubinin–Raduskevic theories [23].

The crystallographic phases were determined with a Siemens D5000 device, by measurements between 20° and 70°, with a step size of 0.05° and a step time of 5 s. The crystallite size was estimated thanks to the Scherrer equation [36].

The atomic composition of the samples was determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES), with an ICAP 6500 device from Thermo Scientific. Before introducing the sample in the device, it was mineralized as follows: 75 mg of sample was mixed with 1.6 g of LiBO$_2$ and 0.4 g of LiBO$_3$ in a graphitic crucible. Then it was heated to 1000 °C for 5 min. After cooling, the pearl was dissolved, under stirring, in hot HNO$_3$ 2 M.

The sample suspensions were observed with transmission electron microscopy (TEM), using a Phillips CM 100 device (accelerating voltage 200 kV). The average nanoparticle size was estimated by measuring approximatively 100 nanoparticles with the TEM software.

Optical properties of the sample materials in the range of 200–800 nm region were obtained with diffuse reflectance measurements on a Varian Cary 500 UV–Vis-NIR spectrophotometer equipped with an integrating sphere (Varian External DRA–2500), and using BaSO$_4$ as reference. The absorbance spectra were transformed by using the Kubelka–Munk function [37–39] to produce a signal, normalized for comparison between samples, allowing us to calculate the band gap $(E_g)$. The details of this treatment method are widely described elsewhere [40–42].

3.4. Photocatalytic Activity on Methylene Blue Degradation

The photoactivity of the samples was determined by following the methylene blue (MB) degradation, under UV-A illumination. The lamp (Osram Sylvania, Blacklight-Bleu Lamp, F18W/BLB-T8) emitted UV-A radiation considered as monochromatic, with a wavelength of 365 nm and an intensity of 1.2 mW/cm$^2$ (same as for the UV activation, section 3.2). A scheme of similar installation was presented in Reference [43].

Then, 50 mL of MB solution with a concentration of 20 µmol/L was mixed with the photocatalyst (1 g/L). The suspension was illuminated for 6 h, at a constant temperature of 25 °C, under UV-A illumination. The concentration of MB was followed by recording UV/VIS spectrum between 300 and 800 nm with GENESYS 105 UV-VIS spectrophotometer from Thermo Scientific. A calibration curve was made to link the absorbance to the concentration. Aliquots were taken every 30 min during the
first 2 h of the experiment, and then each hour, until a total of 6 h. Each sample was tested 3 times to obtain mean degradation values; the variation on the sample concentration was around 5%. Blank experiment without photocatalyst was also performed to ensure that MB did not undergo photobleaching under UV-A illumination. Dark tests without illumination were performed to assess the MB adsorption on the samples.

4. Conclusions

In this study, TiO$_2$ photocatalysts were cogelled with silicon alkoxide, in order to finely disperse Pd species in TiO$_2$ matrix and dope them with SiO$_2$; these modifications were made in one step during the synthesis. This Pd modification was made in order to increase the photoefficiency on methylene blue degradation. Two activation treatments were also performed in the same goal: UV activation and H$_2$ reduction. A pure and a mixed TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$ sample were also synthesized as reference.

Results showed that the crystallographic structure of TiO$_2$ is the anatase phase and that Pd is present either in its oxidized form after the calcination stage, or in its reduced form after the reduction stage. The introduction of SiO$_2$ delayed the crystallization of TiO$_2$, leading to amorphous TiO$_2$ material when the highest Pd loading (22 wt.%) was produced. The specific surface area of the samples, determined by nitrogen adsorption–desorption, varied from 5 to 145 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, with the highest $S_{BET}$ value obtained after H$_2$ reduction treatment. Transmission electron microscopy allowed us to observe the homogeneous dispersion and nanometric size of Pd particles in the reduced samples. The size of Pd crystallites increased with the Pd amount. The band gap measured by UV/Visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy corresponded to that of the TiO$_2$ anatase, approximately 3.2 eV for the pure and SiO$_2$ doped TiO$_2$. The band gaps for Pd-modified samples were not determined due to the dark color of the samples and the high absorption in the UV/Visible range. The UV activation did not modify the physicochemical properties of the samples, and it had little effect on photocatalytic activity of calcined samples.

The photoactivity of TiO$_2$ was increased by the introduction of a small amount of silica into its network. However, when the quantity of silica introduced became too large, the crystallization of TiO$_2$ in its anatase form was delayed, and the photocatalytic activity of samples decreased. The introduction of Pd in the samples also increased the photoactivity. Moreover, the H$_2$ reduction treatment further increased the photocatalytic activity of the samples, because this treatment allowed us to decrease the rate of electron–hole recombination in TiO$_2$ via two distinct phenomena: (i) the creation of oxygen vacancies on the surface of TiO$_2$ by reduction of Ti$^{4+}$ ions to Ti$^{3+}$; (ii) the reduction of PdO particles to palladium metallic nanoparticles acting as an electron trap and thus limiting the electron–hole recombination. The optimal loading is 1 wt.% for each (SiO$_2$ and Pd) additive.
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