The XMM-LSS cluster sample and its cosmological applications. Prospects for the XMM next decade
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The well defined selection function of the XMM-LSS survey enables a simultaneous modelling of the observed cluster number counts and of the evolution of the L-T relation. We present results pertaining to the first 5 deg² for a well controlled sample comprising 30 objects: they are compatible with the WMAP3 parameter set along with cluster self-similar evolution. Extending such a survey to 200 deg² would (1) allow discriminating between the major scenarios of the cluster L-T evolution and (2) provide a unique self-sufficient determination of σₘ and Γ with an accuracy of ~ 5% and 10% respectively, when adding mass information from weak lensing and S-Z observations.

1 Introduction

It has been recognised for a long time that clusters of galaxies, as the most massive entities of the universe, can be used as cosmological probes. They provide key information on the normalisation of the power spectrum and are potentially suitable for studying the properties of dark energy. They represent important and independent constraints in addition to those from the CMB and supernovae because they involve very different physics. It is also critical to ensure consistency between the cosmological constrains from the early and local universe.

Main statistical tools for cluster studies are the cluster number counts (dn/dz) and the cluster two-point correlation function (ξ). This requires that, whatever the detection wavelength, the samples must be in some sense, complete and uncontaminated and thus, requires well understood detection and selection procedures. Cluster physics evolution is a key ingredient in interpreting the observed cluster density as function of redshift. It is usually modelled in the form of scaling laws relating observable quantities such as flux, richness, luminosity or temperature to cluster masses. The cluster scaling laws are, however, still poorly known beyond the local universe.

With its mosaic of overlapping XMM pointings (10⁴ s), the XMM Large-Scale Structure survey (XMM-LSS, Pierre et al 2004) has been designed to detect a significant fraction of the cluster population out to z = 1, over an area of several tens of deg², so as to constitute a sample suitable for cosmological studies. We present below the procedures developed to detect the clusters and to further analyse their number counts along with their temperature and luminosity distribution in a self-consistent approach. In light of the results obtained so far, we discuss the cosmological impact of a future 200 deg² XMM wide survey.

2 Detecting and selecting clusters in the XMM-LSS survey

In the redshift range of interest, although the cluster apparent sizes (20″ < Rc < 100″) are significantly larger than the XMM PSF and source confusion can be considered as negligible, cluster detection is a very specific task since our objects are weak sources (count-rate from 0.3 to 3 counts/min). We developed a two-step procedure combining wavelet multi-resolution analysis and maximum likelihood fits both using Poisson statistics. The pipeline was extensively tested using simulations which allowed us to define a sub-region in the extent vs extent likelihood parameter space, where the contamination level by point-sources is lower than 1%. This constitutes the class one (C1) cluster sample. Strictly speaking, this selection is not flux limited, but allows the construction of well controlled and uncontaminated cluster samples significantly larger than a simple flux limit would allow (Pacaud et al 2006). Our C1 sample shows a density of ~ 6 clusters per deg².

3 Current cluster results

The XMM-LSS currently covers 10 deg². It is located in the W1 area of the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey (CFHTLS) and associated with a number of surveys in the radio, infrared and UV domains (Fig. 1). In these pro-
Fig. 1  Layout of the 98 XMM-LSS pointings including the Subaru Deep Survey. The colour scale indicates the effective exposures, from 80 ks (SDS01) to ∼ 0. The green rectangle delineates data obtained prior to the AO5. In addition to the coverage by the SWIRE and CFHT Legacy Surveys, observations from the VLA, Integral, UKIDSS and Galex are available in the field. Full coverage by SCUBA2 and Herschel is planned.

Proceedings, we summarise the results from the first 5 deg² which are presented in detail by Pacaud et al 2007 (P07).

3.1 Modelling the cluster number counts

Some 30 C1 clusters are found in the first 5 deg². They all have been spectroscopically confirmed. Their redshift distribution is displayed on Fig. 2. P07 performed an ab initio modelling of the observed number counts as follows: assume a (1) cosmological framework (ΛCDM) and a power spectrum along with a transfer function; (2) a mass function, (3) a halo model, (4) various scaling evolutionary relations for cluster physics. Then, for each redshift and mass range, the predicted luminosities are transformed into XMM count-rates using a dedicated plasma code. The C1 selection criteria are finally applied, resulting in a simulated observed redshift distribution (Fig. 2). The effect of the degeneracy between cluster scaling law evolution and cosmology is clearly illustrated on the figure; however, our results, despite the still small size of the sample, favour the WMAP3 parameter sets along with cluster self-similar evolution.

3.2 Evolution of the L-T relation

Each C1 cluster undergoes dedicated spatial and spectral fits in order to derive reliable luminosity and temperature estimates. Willis et al (2005) have shown that using a well adapted binning procedure, it is statistically possible to obtain a 20% temperature accuracy with only 200 photons for groups up to 2 keV. It turns out that for all C1 clusters, we obtain temperature measurements with satisfactory accuracy. The average temperature of the C1 clusters as a function of redshift is displayed on Fig. 2. Because of the very tight relation between X-ray temperature and luminosity, the mean temperature of the detected clusters appears to increases with redshift (Malmquist bias). This redshift - temperature distribution shows that the XMM-LSS survey unveils for the first time the population of low-mass groups (T= 2 keV) around z = 0.3, which constitute the building blocks of the present day clusters.

Assuming that all clusters, whatever their mass, follow the same evolutionary scaling laws, we have used our data to constrain the evolution of the L-T relation. The observed luminosity enhancement, with respect to the local expectation, is computed for the 30 clusters distributed in four redshift bins (Fig 3). The raw data suggest a rather strong evolution, best fitted with a two-parametre model. However, the inclusion of the survey selection function in the fit suggests a much more mild evolution, fully compatible with
4 AGNs in the XMM-LSS

The XMM-LSS provides a source density of \( \sim 300 \, \text{deg}^{-2} \) down to a flux limit of \( 4 \times 10^{-15} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \) in the [0.5-2] keV band (95% level completeness limit). This constitutes the largest deep AGN X-ray sample over a single field. The special relevance of the sample also comes from its unique multi-\( \lambda \) coverage.

4.1 The spatial distribution

The XMM-LSS data set allowed, for the first time, the study of the angular distribution of faint AGNs over an area of \( 5 \, \text{deg}^2 \). We found a significant clustering in the soft band and none in the hard band. A sub-sample of \( \sim 200 \) sources with hard X-ray count ratios, likely dominated by obscured AGNs, does show a positive signal allowing for a large angular correlation length at the 3\( \sigma \) level (Gandhi et al 2006).

4.2 Spectral properties

A dedicated study of some 100 AGN selected in the hard band reveals a mismatch between the classification based on the characteristics of the optical emission lines and the classification given by the X-ray spectroscopy. This led to question some aspects of the AGN unified scheme (Garcez et al 2007). The many CFHTLS and SIWRE flux data points allowed us to perform SED fits on the XMM-LSS point source population. From this, it was possible to classify the AGN (star formation, type 1 or 2 AGN, Seyfert) and to obtain photometric redshifts. Combining with the X-ray spectral data points, we demonstrate that the SED properties are continuous through the various classes (Tajer et al 2007, Polletta et al 2007).

5 Data releases

Cluster data (positions, redshifts, \( L_X \), \( T_X \), mass estimates) are published for the first 5 \( \text{deg}^2 \) along with the scientific analysis (Valtchanov et al 2004, Willis et al 2005, P07). The complete source catalogue with optical data and thumbnail images is also public (Pierre et al 2007). Data can be retrieved from the CDS or, in a more extensive form, via the consortium data bases for the cluster and source catalogues.

6 Next decade with XMM: A 200 deg2 wide survey

During the past years, we explored a number of issues regarding cluster detection and science with the XMM-LSS survey. In addition to the C1 clusters, a complementary cluster sample of about the same size has been identified in the XMM-LSS, but for which the selection criteria are less well defined (Adami et al in prep). We have also detected a number of \( z > 1 \) clusters (Andreon et al 2005, Bremer et al 2006). The determination of the luminosity, temperature and mass (hydrostatic hypothesis) of the C1 clusters

---

1 http://3sdb.in2p3.fr:8080/3sdb/
2 http://cosmos.iasf-milano.inaf.it/ lssadmin/Website/LSS/Query/
The red lines show various measured detection probability thresholds for the C1 clusters. The blue lines are the predictions for the 10µK APEX survey, currently observing the XMM-LSS field. For the regime of interest (z < 1), the X-ray observations are at least as efficient as the S-Z ones in terms of cluster detection.

Further, we have shown that, in order to increase the precision of the L-T relation evolution, it is more efficient to increase the cluster sample than the accuracy on the temperature measurements - a useful tip for a proper use of future XMM observing time. This is due to the large intrinsic dispersion of the L-T relation itself (P07).

In this way, a 200 deg$^2$ survey with 10 ks XMM pointing would allow definitively discriminating not only between cluster self-similar evolution and no evolution, but also between other theoretically justified models based on non-gravitational physics (Fig. 3). Such a 200 deg$^2$ survey would moreover overcome sample variance problems and provide more than a thousand C1 clusters as well as 100 clusters at z > 1. Having determined the cluster evolution rate, an important degeneracy would be removed in the cosmological interpretation of the cluster number counts (Fig. 3) leaving a handle on the equation of state of the Dark Energy (P07). The cluster sky distribution provides addi-

3 The mass of XLSS 29 at z = 1.05 was measured to be 1.4 $10^{14}$ M$_\odot$ with survey data (P07) and 1.8 $\pm$ 0.5 $10^{14}$ M$_\odot$ from a subsequent 80 ks XMM pointing (Maughan et al 2007).

4 such a survey would require some 24 Ms with the current observing settings, which could be decreased by about 1/3 when the foreseen mosaicking mode with a reduced pn overhead is implemented.