

Supplementary materials

<i>Table 1. Spearman correlation between relative eosinophil count and cancer characteristics.</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>Table 2. Treatment details in the groups of patients REC-low and REC-high.</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>Table 3. TTF and BCSS according to REC, RLC and ELP at baseline.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Table 4. Association of relapse with REC, RLC and ELP at baseline.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Table 5. Multivariate analysis for relapse.</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Table 6. TTF and BCSS according to REC, RLC and ELP after surgery.....</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Table 7. RLC in the group of 741 patients without relapse.....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Table 8. RLC in the group of 189 patients with relapse.....</i>	<i>9</i>

Table 1. Spearman correlation between relative eosinophil count and cancer characteristics.

	Spearman correlation coefficient	P value
Age	0.145	< 0.0001
ER%	0.053	0.116
PgR%	0.050	0.135
Ki67	-0.050	0.134
Size mm	-0.036	0.273

ER: Estrogen Receptor; PgR: Progesteron Receptor.

Table 2. Treatment details in the groups of patients REC-low and REC-high.

	REC < 1,5% (N 393)		REC ≥ 1,5% (N 537)	
	N of patients	%	N of patients	%
Neoadjuvant treatment				
Yes	146	37.2%	161	30%
No	247	62.8%	376	70%
Type of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (N 307)				
EC --> Ptx/Txt	89	61%	81	50.3%
EC --> CBDCA-Ptx	6	4.1%	5	3.1%
FEC	2	1.4%	5	3.1%
FEC --> Ptx/Txt	37	25.3%	56	34.8%
THC	1	0.7%	-	-
Hormonotherapy	1	0.7%	3	1.9%
Other	9	6.2%	11	6.8%
Unknown	1	0.7%	-	-
Adjuvant chemotherapy				
Yes	117	29.8%	154	28.7%
No	274	69.7%	382	71.1%
Unknown	2	0.5%	1	0.2%
Type of adjuvant chemotherapy (N 271)				
EC --> Ptx/Txt	15	12.8%	22	14.3%
EC --> CBDCA-Ptx	1	0.9%	-	-
FEC	46	39.3%	51	33.1%
FEC --> Ptx/Txt	38	32.5%	61	39.6%
THC/TC	-	-	2	1.3%
Capecitabine	7	6%	1	0.6%
Endoxan	2	1.7%	-	-
Other	6	5.1%	14	9.1%
Unknown	2	1.7%	3	1.9%
Trastuzumab				
Yes	70	1.8%	86	16%
No	322	81.9%	451	84%
Unknown	1	0.3%	-	-
Radiotherapy				
Yes	309	78.6%	427	79.5%
No	82	20.9%	107	19.9%
Unknown	2	0.5%	3	0.6%
Hormonotherapy				
Yes	265	67.4%	411	76.5%
No	125	31.8%	122	22.7%
Unknown	3	0.8%	4	0.7%
Type of hormonotherapy (N 676)				
SERM	106	40%	155	37.7%
AI	127	47.9%	215	52.3%
SERM + AI	30	11.3%	35	8.5%
Unknown	2	0.8%	6	1.5%

REC: Relative Eosinophil Count; N: Number; EC: Epirubicin-Cyclophosphamide; Ptx: Paclitaxel; Txt: Docetaxel; CBDCA: Carboplatin; FEC: 5-Fluorouracil- Epirubicin-Cyclophosphamide; THC: Docetaxel-Carboplatin-Herceptin; TC: Docetaxel-Carboplatin; SERM: Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators; AI: Aromatase Inhibitor.

Table 3. TTF and BCSS according to REC, RLC and ELP at baseline.

		REC		RLC		ELP	
		< 1.5%	≥ 1.5%	< 17.5%	≥ 17.5%	< 36.5	≥ 36.5
TTF	5y-TTF	74%	84%	51%	75%	74%	84%
	10y-TTF	67%	76%	51%	71%	67%	86%
	P value (Log-Rank test)	0.001		< 0.0001		0.003	
	HR (95% CI)	0.610 (0.458-0.812)		0.421 (0.262-0.677)		0.646 (0.484-0.861)	
BCSS	5y-BCSS	86%	90%	74%	89%	86%	90%
	10y-BCSS	79%	87%	62%	85%	79%	87%
	P value (Log-Rank test)	0.021		< 0.0001		0.003	
	HR (95% CI)	0.632 (0.433-0.923)		0.350 (0.200-0.614)		0.572 (0.392-0.834)	

TTF: Time to Treatment Failure; BCSS: Breast Cancer Specific Survival; REC: Relative Eosinophil Count; RLC: Relative Lymphocyte Count; ELP: Eosinophil-Lymphocyte Product; y: years; HR: Hazard Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval.

Table 4. Association of relapse with REC, RLC and ELP at baseline.

	Relapse N of patients (%)	No relapse N of patients (%)	p value (Chi-Square test)
REC < 1,5%	97 (24.7%)	296 (75.3%)	0.005
REC ≥ 1,5%	92 (17.1%)	445 (82.9%)	
RLC < 17.5%	19 (35.8%)	34 (64.2%)	0.004
RLC ≥ 17.5%	170 (19.4%)	707 (80.6%)	
ELP < 36,5	85 (24.8%)	258 (75.2%)	0.01
ELP ≥ 36.5	104 (17.7%)	483 (82.3%)	

N: Number; REC: Relative Eosinophil Count; RLC: Relative Lymphocyte Count; ELP: Eosinophil-Lymphocyte Product.

Table 5. Multivariate analysis for relapse.

Variable	Chi Square test	Mann-Whitney U test/Kruskal Wallis test	Multivariate analysis	OR (95% CI)
Age	-	0.664		
ER (pos/neg) ER%	<0.0001* -	- <0.0001 ^{¶*}	0.843	
PgR (pos/neg) PgR%	<0.0001* -	- <0.0001 ^{¶*}	0.800	
HER2	0.176	-		
Ki67 (</≥ 20%) Ki67%	0.004* -	- <0.0001 ^{¶*}	0.053	
T stage Size mm	<0.0001* -	- <0.0001 ^{¶*}	0.434	
N stage	<0.0001 ^{¶*}	-	0.004*	2.392 (1.324-4.321)
Tumor grade	0.023 ^{¶*}	-	0.857	
Histology	0.056 [¶]	-	0.915	
Lymphovascular invasion	<0.0001 ^{¶*}	-	0.449	
Chemotherapy	0.002 ^{¶*}	-	0.689	
Anti-HER2 treatment	0.092 [¶]	-	0.232	
Radiotherapy	0.464	-		
Hormonotherapy	<0.0001 ^{¶*}	-	0.529	
BRCA mutation	0.082 [¶]	-	0.100	
PLT	-	0.234		
Relative neutrophils Absolute neutrophils	- -	0.053 0.029* [¶]	0.979	
Relative lymphocytes [§] Absolute lymphocytes RLC [§]	- - 0.004 ^{¶*}	0.093 0.616 -	0.376	
Relative monocytes Absolute monocytes	- -	0.236 0.684		
Relative eosinophils [§] Absolute eosinophils REC [§]	- - 0.005 ^{¶*}	0.007* 0.037* -	0.704	
Relative basophils Absolute basophils	- -	0.158 0.834		

[¶] Variables included in the multivariate analysis

*Statistically significant results

[§]Relative lymphocyte and relative eosinophils are considered as continuous variables, while RLC and REC are considered as dichotomic variables with 17.5% as cut-off for RLC and 1.5% for REC

OR: Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval; ER: Estrogen Receptor; PgR: Progesteron Receptor; N: Number; PLT; Platelet; RLC: Relative Lymphocyte Count; REC: Relative Eosinophil Count.

Table 6. TTF and BCSS according to REC, RLC and ELP after surgery.

		REC		RLC		ELP	
		< 1.5%	≥ 1.5%	< 17.5%	≥ 17.5%	< 36.5	≥ 36.5
TTF	5y-TTF	67%	79%	51%	75%	66%	74%
	10y-TTF	63%	75%	51%	75%	66%	70%
	Log-Rank test	0.187		0.209		0.179	
	HR (95% CI)	0.771 (0.526-1.129)		0.755 (0.487-1.172)		0.776 (0.535-1.125)	
BCSS	5y-BCSS	78%	86%	69%	86%	79%	86%
	10y-BCSS	72%	82%	51%	83%	69%	82%
	Log-Rank test	0.025		0.666		0.018	
	HR (95% CI)	0.583 (0.361-0.940)		0.874 (0.472-1.616)		0.571 (0.357-0.913)	

TTF: Time to Treatment Failure; BCSS: Breast Cancer Specific Survival; REC: Relative Eosinophil Count; RLC: Relative Lymphocyte Count; ELP: Eosinophil-Lymphocyte Product; y: years; HR: Hazard Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval.

Table 7. RLC in the group of 741 patients without relapse.

		RLC baseline	RLC post-surgery	RLC 1y follow-up	RLC 2y follow-up	RLC 5y follow-up	RLC 10y follow-up	Friedman test
N pts		741	532	600	554	408	172	
Median		29%	24.8%	27.2%	29.8%	30.5%	31%	< 0.0001
SD		7.80	7.91	7.69	8.18	8.76	8.83	
		p value						
Bonferroni-Dunn test	RLC baseline	-	< 0.0001	0.023	0.083	0.001	0.001	NA
	RLC post-surgery	< 0.0001	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	NA
	RLC 1y follow-up	0.023	< 0.0001	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	NA
	RLC 2y follow-up	0.083	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	1	0.437	NA
	RLC 5y follow-up	0.001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	1	-	1	NA
	RLC10y follow-up	0.001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.437	1	-	NA

RLC: Relative Lymphocyte Count; y: years; N: Number; SD: Standard Deviation; NA: Not Applicable.

Table 8. RLC in the group of 189 patients with relapse.

		RLC baseline	RLC post-surgery	RLC 1y follow-up	RLC 2y follow-up	RLC 5y follow-up	RLC 10y follow-up	RLC relapse	Friedman test
N pts		189	142	123	97	45	4	158	
Median		27.4%	24.5%	26.6%	28.7%	32.9%	26.6%	23.4%	< 0.0001
SD		8.74	8.76	8.30	8.87	9.84	6.11	10.45	
		p value Bonferroni-Dunn test							
Bonferro ni-Dunn test	RLC baseline	-	0.055	1	1	0.264	1	0.001	NA
	RLC post-surgery	0.055	-	1	0.001	< 0.0001	1	1	NA
	RLC 1y follow-up	1	1	-	0.551	0.063	1	0.103	NA
	RLC 2y follow-up	1	0.001	0.551	-	1	1	< 0.0001	NA
	RLC 5y follow-up	0.264	< 0.0001	0.063	1	-	1	< 0.0001	NA
	RLC 10y follow-up	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	NA
	RLC relapse	0.001	1	0.103	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	1	-	NA

RLC: Relative Lymphocyte Count; y: years; N: Number; SD: Standard Deviation; NA: Not Applicable.