ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • OPEN ACCESS

Detecting a forced signal in satellite-era sea-level change

To cite this article before publication: Kristin Richter et al 2020 Environ. Res. Lett. in press https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab986e

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2020 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY 3.0 licence, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY 3.0 licence immediately.

Everyone is permitted to use all or part of the original content in this article, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence https://creativecommons.org/licences/by/3.0

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected and is not published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY licence, unless that is specifically stated in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

2 3	1	Detecting a forced signal in satellite-era sea-level change			
4	2				
5	3	Kristin Richter (1)*, Benoit Meyssignac (2), Aimée B.A. Slangen (3), Angélique Melet (4), John A.			
6	4	Church (5), Xavier Fettweis (6), Ben Marzeion (7), Cécile Agosta (6), Stefan R.M. Ligtenberg (8),			
7	5	Giorgio Spada (9), Matthew D. Palmer (10), Christopher D. Roberts (11), Nicolas Champollion (12,			
8	6	13)			
9 10	7				
10	8	(1) Institute for Atmospheric and Cryospheric Sciences, Universität Innsbruck, Austria			
12	9	*now at: NORCE Norwegian Research Centre, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen,			
13 14	10	Norway			
15	11	(2) LEGOS, Université de Toulouse, CNES, CNRS, IRD, UPS, Toulouse, France			
16	12	(3) Department of Estuarine and Delta Systems, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research,			
17	13	Yerseke, Netherlands			
18	14	(4) Mercator Ocean, Ramonville St Agne, France			
19	15	(5) Climate Change Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052,			
20	16	Australia			
21 22	17	(6) Department of Geography, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium			
23	18	(7) Institute of Geography, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany			
24	19	(8) Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht,			
25	20	Netherlands			
26	21	(9) University of Urbino, Urbino, Italy			
27	22	(10) Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom			
28	23	(11) European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, United Kingdom			
29 30	24	(12) Institut des Géosciences de l'Environnement, CNRS, IRD, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble,			
31	25	France			
32	26	(13) Bern International Space Science Institute, Hallerstrasse 6, 3012 Bern, Switzerland			
33	27				
34	28				
35	29	Abstract			
36 37	30				

In this study, we compare the spatial patterns of simulated geocentric sea-level change to
observations from satellite altimetry over the period 1993-2015 to assess whether a forced signal is
detectable. This is challenging, as on these time scales internal variability plays an important role
and may dominate the observed spatial patterns of regional sea-level change.

Model simulations of regional sea-level change associated with sterodynamic sea level, atmospheric loading, glacier mass change, and ice-sheet surface mass balance changes are combined with observations of groundwater depletion, reservoir storage, and dynamic ice-sheet mass changes. The resulting total geocentric regional sea-level change is then compared to independent measurements from satellite altimeter observations. The detectability of the climate-forced signal is assessed by comparing the model ensemble mean of the "historical" simulations with the characteristics of sea-level variability in pre-industrial control simulations. To further minimize the impact of internal variability, zonal averages were produced. We find that, in all ocean basins, zonally averaged simulated sea-level changes are consistent with observations within sampling uncertainties

associated with simulated internal variability of the sterodynamic component. Furthermore, the simulated zonally averaged sea-level change cannot be explained by internal variability alone - thus we conclude that the observations include a forced contribution that is detectable at basin scales.

1. Introduction

During the altimetry period (1993 - 2018) global mean sea level (GMSL) has been rising at a rate of about 3 mm/yr (WCRP Sea Level Budget Group, 2018). This is about double the rate of 20th century GMSL rise, depending on the tide-gauge based reconstructions used (Church and White 2011, Hay et al. 2015, Jevrejeva et al. 2014, Ray and Douglas 2011, Dangendorf et al. 2017, Dangendorf et al. 2019, Oppenheimer et al. 2019). The observed GMSL rise inferred from satellite altimetry (Dieng et al. 2017, Legeais et al. 2018) agrees with the sum of the observed contributions (WCRP Sea Level Budget Group, 2018), as well as with the sum of simulated contributions from CMIP5 climate models (Slangen et al. 2017).

Over the altimetry period, GMSL rise is about 40% due to thermal expansion (i.e. "global-mean thermosteric sea-level rise") and 60% due to mass contributions (changes in land ice and terrestrial water storage, i.e. "barystatic sea-level rise") (WCRP group, 2018; Gregory et al., 2019). The spatial pattern of change, however, is mainly related to ocean dynamic sea-level change, which dominates over the barystatic fingerprints (e.g. Spada and Galassi, 2016) that result from changes in Earth gravity, rotation and viscoelastic solid-Earth deformation (GRD, Gregory et al. 2019) due to shrinking land ice from glaciers and ice sheets as well as changes in terrestrial landwater storage.

Several studies have shown that the total GMSL change (Slangen et al. 2016, Dangendorf et al. 2015) as well as the component contributions (Marcos and Amores 2014, Slangen et al. 2014, Marzeion et al. 2014) are partly driven by external climate forcings (e.g. increasing greenhouse gas concentrations). These studies usually consider time periods of at least 40 years or more. Detecting a forced trend in sea level becomes more challenging on smaller space- and shorter time-scales as regional modes of internal variability have a larger influence on sea level at these scales. Here, we refer to *internal variability* as sea-level changes originating from inherent climate variability, particularly in the coupled ocean-atmosphere system. This includes climate modes such as El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), Pacific Decadal Oscillation and North Atlantic Oscillation (e.g. Roberts et al. 2016). Conversely, a forced signal is related to external drivers, both natural (e.g. volcanic eruptions) as well as anthropogenic (e.g. greenhouse gas emissions).

The large contribution of internal variability in sterodynamic sea-level change (changes in ocean density and circulation) complicates the detection of a forced regional sea-level signal on decadal

time scales. Richter et al. (2017) showed that extensive spatial averaging is necessary to detect a forced signal in sterodynamic sea-level change for period lengths similar to the altimetric record (i.e. 25 years). To assess whether a forced signal can be detected regionally in total sea level over this short period of time, we compare the simulated total sea-level trend patterns with the observed trends from altimetric observations over the period 1993-2015 while also taking simulated internal variability into account.

The dataset used in our analysis originates from two recent studies that compared global and regional sea-level change as observed and derived from tide gauges with model-simulated changes over the 20th century (Slangen et al. 2017, Meyssignac et al. 2017a). Meyssignac et al. (2017a) showed that observed (coastal) multidecadal variability is well reproduced by the model ensemble and mainly originates from the sterodynamic sea-level contribution. We will therefore focus on the sterodynamic contribution when quantifying internal variability.

2. Data and Methods

The data sets used in this study are described in detail by Slangen et al. (2017) and Meyssignac et al. (2017a). This section summarizes the most important methodological details. Regional sea-level change was estimated using output from 12 climate models (Supplementary Material, Table 1) contributing to phase 5 of the Climate Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5, Taylor et al. 2012) over the period 1900-2015. The output of the climate model simulations was used to calculate the components of sea-level changes associated with sterodynamic sea level, atmospheric loading, glacier mass changes, and ice-sheet surface mass balance contributions. The contribution from groundwater depletion, reservoir storage, and dynamic ice-sheet mass changes was estimated from observations as they are not simulated by climate models.

Annual mean values were computed from the monthly mean output from CMIP5 historical simulations (1993-2005 here) and the Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 scenarios (RCP8.5, 2006-2015). The choice of CMIP5 scenario and models was based on maximum data availability to ensure a consistent model dataset. We note that RCPs remain similar during the first part of the 21st century, and our results are not sensitive to the choice of RCP.

Ocean dynamic sea level was taken directly from the CMIP5 models. As most models employ the Boussinesq approximation and conserve volume rather than mass, the global mean was removed from those fields. Global-mean thermosteric sea-level change was then obtained from integrating the three-dimensional temperature field using the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) 1980 international equation of state (IES80). Combining

2 112 dynamical sea level with the global thermosteric change gives the total sterodynamic sea-level
3 113 change.
5 114 Changes in strugger having and surface processing distribution moult in maximal according to the second surface processing distribution.

114 Changes in atmospheric mass and surface pressure distribution result in regional sea-level changes.

Those changes have been computed using CMIP5 data, following Stammer and Hüttemann (2008).
Though small over most of the ocean area, they can make a significant contribution regionally.

Glacier mass change and the SMB contribution from the ice sheets are derived from model output as follows. The glacier model by Marzeion et al. (2012) is forced with CMIP5 temperature and precipitation to model the SMB of the worlds glaciers while taking changes in hypsometry into account. Greenland SMB is computed by forcing the regional climate model MAR with CMIP5 temperature and precipitation from the extended historical CMIP5 simulations (Fettweis et al. 2013, Meyssignac et al. 2017b). The Antarctic SMB is computed as the average of two estimates: the first method approximates the SMB from the CMIP5 change in precipitation minus evaporation in each model over the Antarctic ice sheet, scaled to fit the best estimate of the Antarctic SMB for the period 1985–2010 from the regional climate model RACMO2.1 forced by ERA-Interim reanalysis data (Lenaerts et al. 2012). The second method assumes a linear relationship between the SMB change and CMIP5 Antarctic surface temperature of 6% K-1, consistent with observations of the last deglaciation based on ice-core data (Frieler et al., 2015). Both methods yield similar results over the 20th century.

For the contribution from ice-sheet mass changes due to dynamic ice flow, we use the estimate by Shepherd et al. (2012) from 1992 to 2011. The ice dynamical time series are then extended to 2015 using the assumption that the West Antarctic discharge was slightly above the 2008–2012 average (Sutterley et al. 2014) according to a constant mass loss rate, the East Antarctic and Antarctic Peninsula discharge followed the 2001–2010 average, and the Greenland ice sheet discharge was 40 134 constant at the 2010 value (Enderlin et al. 2014).

As with the dynamical ice-sheet mass change, the sea-level contribution from groundwater depletion and reservoir storage is not represented in the climate models used in this study and is therefore based on observations. The groundwater contribution was taken from Döll et al. (2014), while the contribution from artificial reservoirs was taken from Chao et al. (2008). The latter data was available until 2008. The average rate of the last 5 years of available data was used thereafter to extend the data to 2015.

The regional sea-level changes associated with the transfer of mass between ocean and land described above (i.e. changes in land ice and landwater storage) were computed using the average of two different sea-level equation solvers. One is based on an updated version of SELEN (Spada et al. 2012) and the other one on Schotman et al. (2008). Both include the effects of Earth rotation. In

contrast to Slangen et al. (2017) and Meyssignac et al. (2017a), geocentric instead of relative GRD fingerprints were computed in order to compare modelled sea level with altimetric (geocentric) sea level.

Lastly, we account for the ongoing response of the sea surface to the last deglaciation (glacial isostatic adjustment, GIA). As before, we are interested in geocentric instead of relative sea-level changes. Therefore, we only include the present-day rate of sea-surface variation (e.g. Tamisiea 2011, Spada 2017) as provided by Peltier (2004). The model uses the ICE-5G ice chronology and includes the feedback on sea level caused by Earth rotation. Note that the GIA-related geocentric sea-level trend patterns are very different from their relative sea-level counterpart (compare e.g. Figures 2a and 2c in Spada 2017) with opposite sign in regions close to the former ice sheets.

Observed sea-level anomalies were obtained from the European Space Agency (ESA) sea level climate change initiative (http://www.esa-sealevel-cci.org). The data set covers the period 1993-2015 and merges all the available altimeter measurements together on a regular grid with a $\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}$ spatial resolution (Quartly et al. 2017, Legeais et al. 2018). Model simulations as well as observations have been re-gridded to a regular $1x1^{\circ}$ grid using bilinear interpolation.

Internal variability is expected to govern spatial patterns of sea-level change at decadal time periods through ocean dynamic processes. Internal variability in free running coupled climate models is not constrained to be in phase among models or with observations. Thus, by taking the multi-model ensemble mean over 12 climate models, we are able to reduce the internal variability and better isolate the forced signal common to all models. Additionally, the magnitude and spatial pattern of internal variability in sterodynamic sea-level change is quantified for each model by computing linear trends over running 20-year periods for each grid box using the last 500 years (if available) of the fixed-forcing pre-industrial control simulation of each model. We characterise the trends that could be induced by internal variability using the 5th and 95th percentile of the resulting trend distribution and compare these percentiles to observed and ensemble-mean trends in total sea level over the period 1993-2015. In this way, we assess whether the observed trends lie within the range of simulated sterodynamic sea-level trends expected from internal variability (Richter and Marzeion 2015).

52 175 3. Results

In this section, we compare observed and simulated regional trends in total sea-level change, and subsequently present the individual simulated contributions and their characteristics.



Figure 1: a) Observed and b) simulated total sea-level trend over the period 1993-2015. c) Residual (observed minus simulated) sea-level trend. Hatched areas denote regions where the residual sea-level trend is outside the simulated internal variability in sterodynamic sea level.

The observed trend in GMSL over 1993-2015 is 2.91 ± 0.34 mm/yr while the multi-model mean trend is 2.70 ± 0.43 mm/yr (Figure 1). The spatial variability in the observed trend pattern is much higher than in the multi-model mean (spatial standard deviation of 1.90 vs 0.58 mm/yr; Table 1) because the internal variability is strongly reduced due to the averaging over 12 models. The spatial standard deviation of the total trend pattern based on the individual models is closer to the observed value (Table 1, last column) but still underestimates the spatial variability (though within uncertainties). Common to the observed and simulated trend pattern is a larger than average rise in the mid-latitude of the western south Atlantic Ocean, east of Australia in the southern Pacific Ocean and southwest of Greenland. The spatial pattern of the residual sea-level change (observed minus model-simulated, Figure 1c) is dominated by the observed pattern, with similar spatial variability (Table 1). Over about three quarters (73%) of the world's oceans, the residual sea-level trends are within the range of internal variability in sterodynamic height, with notable exceptions in the eastern and western tropical Pacific Ocean, the southern Indian Ocean, as well as in regions of strong western boundary currents like the Kuroshio and Gulf Stream current.





Figure 2: Contributions to total sea-level trend over the period 1993-2015. Contributions derived from process-based models (ensemble mean) are shown in bold. Note the different colour scales. (ASMB/GSMB - Antarctic/Greenland surface mass balance)

The sterodynamic contribution represents the largest global contribution and dominates the spatial variability (Figure 2 and Table 1) away from the sources of changing land ice in the polar regions. The ensemble mean (Figure 2a) shows distinct spatial features, e.g. a large positive sea-level trend anomaly in a zonal band between 30 – 60°S and a smaller than average trend south of 60°S. A larger than global-average sea-level rise is also simulated in the northern North Atlantic region. Similar to the total trend patterns, the spatial variability of the sterodynamic ensemble mean (Figure 2a) is less than half the spatial variability of the observed sea-level trend pattern (Table 1). A strong reduction is to be expected as the internal variability partly averages out in the ensemble mean. The spatial variability of individual models (fourth column in Table 1) is closer to the observed variability but still underestimates it. This may be because climate models do not represent mesoscale eddies which tend to enhance sterodynamic sea-level variability (e.g. Penduff et al. 2010).

Table 1: Global mean sea-level trends for contributions and total sea level, spatial standard deviation of regional trend pattern for ensemble mean and mean over standard deviation of regional trend pattern from individual models (for simulated contributions). The uncertainty (in brackets) represents the standard deviation of the multi-model mean for the modelled estimates and

4	Contribution	Clobal maan (mm/ur) of	Standard deviation of	Moon over standard
5	Contribution	ensemble mean trend	(ensemble mean)	deviation of regional
6 7		ensemble-mean trend	regional trand pattern	trand pattern for
/ 0			(mana kur)	individual condula
0 0			(mm/yr)	
10				(mm/yr)
11	Sterodynamic	1.48 (0.37)	0.68	1.58 (0.44)
12 13	Glaciers	0.93 (0.23)	0.11	0.11 (0.03)
14	GSMB	0.069 (0.05)	0.03	0.03 (0.02)
15 16	ASMB	-0.25 (0.08)	0.02	0.02 (0.01)
17	Ice dynamics	0.69	0.08	- () Y
18 19	Groundwater	0.25	0.03	
20	Reservoir storage	-0.21	0.01	
21	CIA	0.27	0.22	
23	GIA	-0.27	0.22	
24	Inverted barometer	-0.005 (0.01)	0.18	0.39 (0.14)
25				
26				
27	Total simulated	2.70 (0.43)	0.58	1.53 (0.44)
28 29	Total observed	2.91	1.90	-
30 31	Residual	0.22	1.90	-

is taken from WRCP 2018 for the observations. GSMB/ASMB Greenland/Antarctic surface mass balance, GIA glacial isostatic adjustment

Glacier mass change and ice sheet dynamics constitute the second and third largest contributions to GMSL rise for the period 1993-2015. However, they contribute little to the spatial variations, 38 218 except close to the changing ice masses in the polar regions. In contrast, GIA and changes in 40 219 atmospheric mass loading contribute little to GMSL change but have a slightly larger effect on regional sea-level trends. The latter shows a distinct sea-level rise in the Southern Ocean along the coast of Antarctica. The regional variability of the ice sheet SMB and landwater contributions (reservoir storage and groundwater extraction) are an order of magnitude smaller than the sterodynamic contributions. Their global contributions are, however, not negligible.



Figure 3: Stacked contributions to linear trends in zonally-averaged sea level over the period 1993-2015 for a) all longitudes, b) the Atlantic Ocean, c) the Pacific Ocean and d) the Indian Ocean. *Contributions* shown are sterodynamic, glaciers, inverted barometer effect (IBE),Antarctic/Greenland surface mass balance (ASMB/GSMB), ice dynamics, reservoir storage, groundwater and glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA).

Except for the polar regions, most of the simulated variability in zonally averaged sea level originates in the sterodynamic contribution while the other components contribute fairly evenly to the trend across latitudes (Figure 3). In particular, the GRD fingerprints tend to represent broad spatial scales away from the source regions of ice melt. The signature of the western boundary currents is still present in the Atlantic Ocean just north of 40°N and at 40°S. In the Pacific Ocean, the variability is strongly reduced through the zonal averaging with a minimum just south of 20°N. The GRD effects lead to a reduced sea-level contribution of land ice in the polar regions: the relative importance of glacier mass change decreases significantly from the equator towards the Arctic (Antarctic peripheral glaciers are not considered), while the dynamic ice loss primarily from the Antarctic ice sheet leads to reduced trends in the Southern Ocean. GIA (geocentric part only) decreases sea level particularly in the North Atlantic as well as in the southern Indian Ocean.



Figure 4: Linear trends of observed and simulated total sea level and their residual (observed minus simulated). The red shading represents the standard deviation around the ensemble mean. The grey shading represents the ensemble mean size of internal variability in the sterodynamic contribution as derived from control simulations.

Compared to the observed trends there is relatively little latitudinal variation in the simulated trends of zonally averaged sea-level change (bold black versus red line in Figure 4). For the global ocean, simulated and observed zonally-averaged sea-level trends agree well, and are both outside of what would be expected from the 90% confidence interval of the simulated internal variability in sterodynamic sea level (grey envelope), except in the polar regions. The same holds for individual ocean basins (Figure 4b-d) at most latitudes, confirming the presence of a forced signal in zonally averaged total sea-level change, globally as well as basin-wide.

Residual (the difference between the observed and modelled, thin lines in Figure 4) trends are mostly within the range of internal variability. Simulated and observed sea-level trends agree best in the South Atlantic Ocean. The largest disagreement is found in a narrow band in the North Pacific Ocean associated with the Kuroshio extension and in a broad stretch in the Southern Indian Ocean where simulated and observed zonal trends disagree by up to 3 mm/yr and the difference cannot be attributed to internal variability in sterodynamic sea level.



Figure 5: As for Figure 4 but with GMSL trend removed.

To test whether the forced signal originates from the GMSL rise, we removed the latter from the zonally averaged trends (Figure 5). Except for localized regions in the subtropical North Pacific and southern Indian Ocean, observed as well as simulated zonal trend anomalies with respect to GMSL mostly lie within the range of internal variability. That is, the bulk of the forced signal is from the GMSL rise and regional variations in the forced signal are not detectable above the natural variability over the period 1993-2015. The spread around the ensemble mean is within the range of internal variability over the preindustrial period with an exception in the mid-latitude South Atlantic Ocean.

The analysis presented in this study has been carried out over the altimetry period 1993-2015. Recent literature has shown that the altimetry observations are subject to a bias/instrument drift in the early period during the TOPEX A mission (Watson et al. 2015, Dieng et al. 2017, Beckley et al. 2017). We therefore repeated the analysis for the shorter period 1998-2015 thus excluding the TOPEX A data (Supplementary Material, Figures 1-4). Over this shorter period of time, the discrepancy between the ensemble mean and the observations is larger as internal variability is even stronger in the observations. However, the general result is essentially the same: simulated as well as observed trends are larger than trends potentially generated from ocean internal variability.

4. Discussion

We found that the simulated spatial variability of regional sea-level trends over the period 1993-2015 is dominated by the sterodynamic contribution. Over 73% of the ocean area (unhatched area in

Figure 1c), the residual sea-level trends are within the range of estimated internal variability. This corresponds to 84% of the data (which is on a $1x1^{\circ}$ grid, such that the area represented by one grid point depends on the latitude), thus the disagreement constitutes only slightly more than would be expected from a 90% confidence interval (internal variability is defined as 5th to 95th percentile range). However, the individual models agree on the location of the disagreement (Supplementary Material Figure 5) between residual sea-level trends (Figure 1c) and internal variability. This leads to the conclusion that the disagreement is not due to pure chance but to either the inability of the models to simulate internal variability properly in some locations (mostly the tropical Pacific) or to some missing external forcing (see below).

Observed regional trends are strongly governed by internal variability over such a short time period. In this study, only internal variability originating from sterodynamic sea level is taken into consideration. Note that on the relatively short time scale considered here (decadal to just multi-decadal), internal variability generated in the ocean and the climate system is expected to be the dominant contribution to the spatial variability of sea-level change (Little et al. 2015).

Regional sea-level residuals are unlikely to be explained by changes in the mass transfer between land and ocean because of the large spatial scales of the associated GRD fingerprints (Spada and Galassi 2016). In the regions of the western boundary currents, mesoscale-eddy activity can give rise to regional sea-level trends of several mm/yr (Sérazin et al 2016) on multi-decadal time scales. This variability comes in addition to the internal variability simulated by climate models, as mesoscale eddies are not resolved by the current CMIP5 climate models (for the representation of internal variability in individual models see e.g. Landerer et al. 2014, and Palmer et al. 2018).

The two distinct regions that show residuals that cannot be accounted for by simulated internal 40 294 variability in sterodynamic sea level are the western tropical Pacific Ocean and the southern Indian Ocean. Typically, ENSO dynamics cause a see-saw trend pattern in the tropical Pacific with large sea-level rise in the western part and simultaneous sea-level drop in the eastern part and vice versa. However, the large observed sea-level rise in the western tropical Pacific Ocean cannot be accounted for by the combination of a forced signal (Figure 1b) and internal variability in dynamic sea level. Decadal variability in the tropical Pacific Ocean is not reproduced by the models adequately (Bilbao et al. 2015) possibly as a result of inaccurate representation of realistic wind forcing.

The misrepresentation of variability in the Pacific region might also inhibit the correct simulation of the large sea-level rise in the southern Indian Ocean. Indian Ocean sea level is subject to strong decadal variability that is governed by changes in wind stress and by changes in the Indonesian Throughflow (Han et al. 2014), and therefore tightly related to changes in the tropical Pacific

2 306 Ocean. Heat transport from the Pacific to the Indian Ocean started to increase abruptly in the late
3 307 1990s and is also linked to anomalous wind patterns during that period (Lee et al. 2015).

Zonal averaging of regional sea level reduces the signature of internal variability associated with largely zonal oscillations (such as ENSO) and uncovers a distinct forced signal in model simulations as well as in observations. Particularly, in the tropical Pacific Ocean, the observed regional trends of opposite directions cancel each other, and simulated and observed trends in zonally averaged sea level are in good agreement.

As in regional sea-level trends, there is a notable exception in the southern Indian Ocean in zonally averaged sea level. Regional sea-level trends are underestimated by climate models over the altimetry period, and the discrepancy cannot be explained by simulated internal variability in sterodynamic sea level. It is also unlikely that internal variability in the remaining contributors (land ice, land water and atmospheric pressure) has the potential to explain the large observed sea-level rise in that region.

Little is known about the internal variability of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheet. For glaciers, Richter et al. (2017) showed, using a slightly different subset of CMIP5 models, that the (modelled) contribution of glacier mass change to internal relative sea-level variability is small on the time scales considered here. Averaged over all latitudes, the glacier contribution to relative sea-level variability did not add more than 5% to the 20-yr linear trends originating from internal variability in the sterodynamic contribution for zonal averages. In narrow latitudinal bands in the northern North Atlantic, the contribution increases to 10% (supplementary Figure 6, which is for relative sea level and based on a slightly different subset of models used in Richter et al. 2017). To estimate the magnitude of internal variability in the mass contribution based on data used in this study, we calculated the ensemble spread of the zonally averaged mass terms around the ensemble mean over 40 328 moving 22-yr windows in the simulated data over the period 1900-2015 (supplementary figures 7-9). Particularly for glaciers, the spread depends strongly on the period in time that is considered. It is generally in the order of 0.1 mm/yr but increases towards 0.2 mm/yr towards the end of the record. It is questionable whether this spread truly represents the magnitude of internal variability as decadal trends at this point in time depend strongly on the remaining glacier mass given their relatively small total mass. This is different for the large ice sheets as changes in the SMB during 52 335 1900-2015 hardly changed their total mass. Therefore, changes in the spread are also smaller. For the Antarctic SMB contribution, the spread is at most 0.075 mm/yr at 40°S and mostly around or below 0.05 mm/yr elsewhere. The spread due to the Greenland SMB is several orders of magnitudes lower. Wouters et al. (2013) showed that the reported observed trends for the Greenland

and Antarctic ice sheets (combined SMB and dynamic changes) reported by Shepherd et al. (2012) for the period 1992-2011 are well outside the range expected from internal variability.

Recent estimates of ice-sheet mass changes show an acceleration in the contribution to sea-level change from both ice sheets (Shepherd et al. 2018, Meredith et al. 2019), and it is possible that we underestimate the ice sheet contribution to sea-level change with our dataset. This, however, does not affect our main conclusion that a forced signal in sea-level change can be detected at almost all latitudes in each major ocean basin.

5. Conclusion

We have compared total observed and simulated geocentric sea-level change over the period 1993-2015 on regional scales. We found that model-simulated and observed trends in zonally-averaged total sea level are well above what would be expected from internal variability alone. This holds for the ocean globally and for the individual ocean basins. We conclude that a forced signal can be detected at all latitudes in each major ocean basin. Further analysis shows that the forced signal 25 353 stems from the global-mean sea-level rise. That is, over the period 1993-2015 the global-mean sea-level rise, up to 90% of which has been attributed to anthropogenic emissions (Slangen et al., 2016), is detectable at each latitude in each basin. Departures from the global mean, expressed as zonalaverages, are still within simulated sterodynamic internal variability. 32 357

Acknowledgments

This paper is an outcome of work with the ISSI international team on "Contemporary regional and global sea-level rise: assessment of satellite and in-situ observations and climate models." We thank ISSI (www.issibern.ch) for facilitating the team meetings. The authors also acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme's Working Group on Coupled Modelling, which is responsible for CMIP, and thank the climate modelling groups for producing and making available their model output.

KR is funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FP253620). JAC's contribution was partially supported by the Australian Research Council's Discovery Project funding scheme (project DP190101173) and by the Centre for Southern Hemisphere Oceans Research, a joint research center between the **QNLM** and the CSIRO.

55 371

Data availability statement

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

1		
2	374	
3	075	
4	375	
5	376	References
6	270	
7	5//	
8	378	Ablain M., L
9	379	Cazenave, A.
10	380	Cazenave A.,
11	381	and Its Comp
12	382	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
13	202	
14	383	Beckley, B. I
15	384	"Cal-Mode" (
16	385	Time Series.
17	386	
10		

25 393

32 399

403

410

396

n M., Legeais, J.F., Prandi, P., Marcos, M., Fenoglio-Marc, L., Dieng, H.B., Benveniste, J. & nave, A. (2017). Satellite Altimetry-Based Sea Level at Global and Regional Scales. In: nave A., Champollion N., Paul F., Benveniste J. (eds) Integrative Study of the Mean Sea Level ts Components. Space Sciences Series of ISSI, vol 58. Springer, Cham

ley, B. D., Callahan, P. S., Hancock III, D. W., Mitchum, G. T., & Ray, R. D. (2017). On the Mode" Correction to TOPEX Satellite Altimetry and Its Effect on the Global Mean Sea Level Series. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122(11), 8371-8384.

Bilbao, R. A., Gregory, J. M. & Bouttes, N. (2015). Analysis of the regional pattern of sea level 18 387 19 388 change due to ocean dynamics and density change for 1993-2099 in observations and CMIP5 20 389 AOGCMs, Climate Dynamics 45(9-10): 2647–2666. 21

22 Chao, B. F., Wu, Y. & Li, Y. (2008). Impact of artificial reservoir water impoundment on global sea 23 391 24 392 level, Science 320(5873): 212-214.

26 394 Church, J. A., & White, N. J. (2011). Sea-level rise from the late 19th to the early 21st 27 395 century. Surveys in Geophysics, 32(4-5), 585-602. 28

29 Dangendorf, S., Marcos, M., Müller, A., Zorita, E., Riva, R., Berk, K., & Jensen, J. (2015). 397 30 31 398 Detecting anthropogenic footprints in sea level rise. Nature Communications, 6(1), 1-9.

33 400 Dangendorf, S., Marcos, M., Wöppelmann, G., Conrad, C. P., Frederikse, T. & Riva, R. (2017). 34 401 Reassessment of 20th century global mean sea level rise, Proceedings of the National Academy of 35 402 Sciences 114(23): 5946-5951. 36

37 38 404 Dangendorf, S., Hay, C., Calafat, F. M., Marcos, M., Piecuch, C. G., Berk, K., & Jensen, J. (2019). 39 405 Persistent acceleration in global sea-level rise since the 1960s. Nature Climate Change, 9(9), 705-40 406 710. 41 407

⁴² 408 Dieng, H., Cazenave, A., Meyssignac, B. & Ablain, M. (2017). New estimate of the current rate of 43 409 sea level rise from a sea level budget approach, Geophysical Research Letters 44(8): 3744–3751. 44

45 Döll, P., Mueller Schmied, H., Schuh, C., Portmann, F. T. & Eicker, A. (2014). Global-scale 46 411 assessment of groundwater depletion and related groundwater abstractions: Combining hydrological 47 412 48 413 modeling with information from well observations and GRACE satellites, Water Resources 49 414 Research 50(7): 5698–5720. 50 415

51 Enderlin, E. M., I. M. Howat, S. Jeong, M.-J. Noh, J. H. van Angelen, and M. R. van den Broeke, 416 52 ₅₃ 417 (2014). An improved mass budget for the Greenland ice sheet. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 866–872 ₅₄ 418

55 419 Fettweis, X., Franco, B., Tedesco, M., Van Angelen, J., Lenaerts, J., Van den Broeke, M. & Gallée, 56 420 H. (2013). Estimating Greenland ice sheet surface mass balance contribution to future sea level rise 57 421 using the regional atmospheric climate model MAR, The Cryosphere 7: 469–489. 58 422

- 59 60

Frieler K., Clark P.U., He F., Buizert C., Reese R., Ligtenberg S.R.M., van den Broeke M.R., 2 423 3 424 Winkelmann R., & Levermann A. (2015) Consistent evidence of increasing Antarctic accumulation 4 425 with warming. Nature Climate Change, 5, 348–352. 5

6 427 Gregory, Jonathan M., Stephen M. Griffies, Chris W. Hughes, Jason A. Lowe, John A. Church, 428 Ichiro Fukimori, Natalya Gomez et al. (2019). Concepts and terminology for sea level: mean, 8 429 variability and change, both local and global, Surveys in Geophysics: 1-39. 9

11 431 Han, W., Vialard, J., McPhaden, M. J., Lee, T., Masumoto, Y., Feng, M. & De Ruijter, W. P. 12 432 (2014). Indian Ocean decadal variability: A review, Bulletin of the American Meteorological 13 433 Society 95(11): 1679–1703. 14

Hay, C. C., Morrow, E., Kopp, R. E. & Mitrovica, J. X. (2015). Probabilistic reanalysis of 16 435 17 436 twentieth- century sea-level rise, Nature 517(7535): 481. 18 437

438 Jevrejeva, S., Moore, J., Grinsted, A., Matthews, A. & Spada, G. (2014). Trends and acceleration in 439 global and regional sea levels since 1807, Global and Planetary Change 113: 11–22.

22 23 441 Landerer, F.W., Gleckler, P.J. & Lee, T. (2014). Evaluation of CMIP5 dynamic sea surface height 24 442 multi-model simulations against satellite observations, Climate Dynamics 43: 1271.

26 444 Lee, S.-K., Park, W., Baringer, M. O., Gordon, A. L., Huber, B. & Liu, Y. (2015). Pacific origin of 27 445 the abrupt increase in Indian Ocean heat content during the warming hiatus, Nature Geoscience 28 446 8(6): 445. 29

30 447 31 448 Legeais, J.-F., Ablain, M., Zawadzki, L., Zuo, H., Johannessen, J. A., Scharffenberg, M. G., Fenoglio- Marc, L., Fernandes, M. J., Andersen, O., Rudenko, S. et al. (2018). An improved and 32 449 33 450 homogeneous altimeter sea level record from the ESA Climate Change Initiative, Earth System 34 451 Science Data 10: 281–301. 35

36 453 Lenaerts J.T., van den Broeke M.R., van de Berg W.J., van Meijgaard E., & Munneke P.K. (2012). 37 38 454 A new, high-resolution surface mass balance map of Antarctica (1979–2010) based on regional 39 455 atmospheric climate modeling. Geophysical Research Letters, 39, L04501.

41 457 Little, C. M., Horton, R. M., Kopp, R. E., Oppenheimer, M., & Yip, S. (2015). Uncertainty in ⁴² 458 twenty-first-century CMIP5 sea level projections. Journal of Climate, 28(2), 838-852.

45 Marcos, M. and Amores, A. (2014). Quantifying anthropogenic and natural contributions to 46 461 thermosteric sea level rise, Geophysical Research Letters 41(7): 2502–2507. 47 462

49 464 Marzeion, B., Jarosch, A. H. & Hofer, M. (2012). Past and future sea-level change from the surface 50 465 mass balance of glaciers. The Cryosphere 6: 1295–1322. 51

52 Marzeion, B., Cogley, J. G., Richter, K. & Parkes, D. (2014). Attribution of global glacier mass loss 467 53 54 468 to anthropogenic and natural causes, Science 345(6199): 919–921.

56 470 Meredith, M., M. Sommerkorn, S. Cassotta, C. Derksen, A. Ekaykin, A. Hollowed, G. Kofinas, A. 57 471 Mackintosh, J. Melbourne-Thomas, M.M.C. Muelbert, G. Ottersen, H. Pritchard, & E.A.G. Schuur 58 472 (2019). Polar Regions. In: IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing 59

60

16

1

7

426

10 430

434 15

440

25 443

452

40 456

459

460

48 463

55 469

466

43

44

19

20

1 2 473 *Climate* [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. 3 474 Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (eds.)]. In press. 4 475 5 476 Meyssignac, B., Slangen, A. A., Melet, A., Church, J., Fettweis, X., Marzeion, B., Agosta, C., 6 477 Ligtenberg, S., Spada, G., Richter, K. et al. (2017a). Evaluating model simulations of twentieth-7 478 century sea-level rise. part ii: Regional sea-level changes, Journal of Climate 30(21): 8565-8593. 8 9 479 10 480 Meyssignac, B., Fettweis, X., Chevrier, R. & Spada, G. (2017b). Regional sea level changes for the 11 481 twentieth and the twenty-first centuries induced by the regional variability in Greenland Ice Sheet 12 482 surface mass loss, Journal of Climate 30(6): 2011–2028. 13 483 14 Oppenheimer, M., B.C. Glavovic, J. Hinkel, R. van de Wal, A.K. Magnan, A. Abd-Elgawad, R. 484 15 Cai, M. Cifuentes-Jara, R.M. DeConto, T. Ghosh, J. Hay, F. Isla, B. Marzeion, B. Meyssignac, and 16 485 17 486 Z. Sebesvari, (2019). Sea Level Rise and Implications for Low-Lying Islands, Coasts and 18 487 Communities. In: IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate [H.-19 488 O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. 20 489 Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (eds.)]. In press. 21 490 22 23 491 Palmer, M., Howard, T., Tinker, J., Lowe, J., Bricheno, L., Calvert, D., Edwards, T., Gregory, J., (2018). UKCP18 24 492 Harris, G., Krijnen, J. & Pickering, М., marine report. 25 493 https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/pub/data/weather/uk/ukcp18/science-reports/UKCP18-Marine-26 494 report.pdf 27 495 28 496 Peltier, W. R. (2004). Global glacial isostasy and the surface of the ice-age Earth: The ICE-5G 29 30 497 (VM2) model and GRACE, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 32, 111-149 31 498 Penduff, T., Juza, M., Brodeau, L., Smith, G. C., Barnier, B., Molines, J.-M., Treguier, A.-M., & 32 499 33 500 Madec, G., (2010). Impact of global ocean model resolution on sea-level variability with emphasis 34 501 on interannual time scales, Ocean Sci., 6, 269–284. 35 502 36 Quartly, G. D., Legeais, J.-F., Ablain, M., Zawadzki, L., Fernandes, M. J., Rudenko, S., Carrère, L., 503 37 38 504 García, P. N., Cipollini, P., Andersen, O. B., Poisson, J.-C., Mbajon Njiche, S., Cazenave, A., & 39 505 Benveniste, J. (2017). A new phase in the production of quality-controlled sea level data, Earth 40 506 Syst. Sci. Data, 9, 557-572 41 507 ⁴² 508 Ray, R. D. & Douglas, B. C. (2011). Experiments in reconstructing twentieth-century sea levels, 43 509 Progress in Oceanography 91(4): 496–515. 44 45 510 46 511 Richter, K. & Marzeion, B. (2014). Earliest local emergence of forced dynamic and steric sea-level trends in climate models, Environmental Research Letters 9(11): 114009. 47 512 48 513 49 514 Richter, K., Marzeion, B. & Riva, R. (2017). The effect of spatial averaging and glacier melt on 50 515 detecting a forced signal in regional sea level, Environmental Research Letters 12(3): 034004. 51 516 52 53 517 Roberts, C. D., Calvert, D., Dunstone, N., Hermanson, L., Palmer, M. D., & Smith, D. (2016). On 54 518 the drivers and predictability of seasonal-to-interannual variations in regional sea level. Journal of 55 519 Climate, 29(21), 7565-7585. 56 520 57 521 Schotman, H. H. A. (2008). Shallow-earth rheology from glacial isostasy and satellite gravity. 58 Ph.D. thesis, TU Delft, 200 pp. 522 59 60

2	523

17 536

545

32 549

552

39 555

558

47 562

565

- 3 Sérazin, G., Meyssignac, B., Penduff, T., Terray, L., Barnier, B. & Molines, J.-M. (2016). 524 4 525 Quantifying uncertainties on regional sea level change induced by multidecadal intrinsic oceanic 5 526 variability, Geophysical Research Letters 43(15): 8151-8159. 6 527 7
- 528 Shepherd, A., and Coauthors (2012). A reconciled estimate of ice-sheet mass balance. Science, 338, 8 529 1183-118 9 10 530
- 11 531 Shepherd, A., Ivins, E., Rignot, E. et al. (2018). Mass balance of the Antarctic Ice Sheet from 1992 12 532 to 2017. Nature 558, 219-222 13
- 14 Slangen, A. B., Church, J. A., Zhang, X. & Monselesan, D. (2014). Detection and attribution of 534 15 16 535 global mean thermosteric sea level change, Geophysical Research Letters 41(16): 5951–5959.
- 18 537 Slangen, A. B., Church, J. A., Agosta, C., Fettweis, X., Marzeion, B. & Richter, K. (2016). 19 538 Anthropogenic forcing dominates global mean sea-level rise since 1970, Nature Climate Change 20 539 (6): 701–705. 21
- 22 540 23 541 Slangen, A. B., Meyssignac, B., Agosta, C., Champollion, N., Church, J. A., Fettweis, X., 24 542 Ligtenberg, S. R., Marzeion, B., Melet, A., Palmer, M. D., Richter, K., Roberts, C. D. & Spada, G. 25 543 (2017). Evaluating model simulations of twentieth-century sea level rise. part i: Global mean sea ²⁶ 544 level change, Journal of Climate 30(21): 8539–8563. 27
- 28 Spada, G., D. Melini, G. Galassi, & F. Colleoni (2012). Modeling sea-level changes and geodetic 546 29 30 547 variations by glacial isostasy: The improved SELEN code. 37 pp [Available online at 31 548 http://arxiv.org/abs/ 1212.5061.]
- 33 550 Spada, G. & Galassi, G. (2016). Spectral analysis of sea level during the altimetry era, and evidence 34 for GIA and glacial melting fingerprints, Global and Planetary Change 143: 34-49. 551 35
- 36 Spada, G. (2017). Glacial isostatic adjustment and contemporary sea level rise: An overview. In 553 37 38 554 Integrative Study of the Mean Sea Level and Its Components (pp. 155-187). Springer, Cham.
- Stammer, D. & Hüttemann, S. (2008). Response of regional sea level to atmospheric pressure 40 556 41 557 loading in a climate change scenario, Journal of Climate 21(10): 2093–2101. 42
- 43 Sutterley, T. C., I. Velicogna, E. Rignot, J. Mouginot, T. Flament, M. R. van den Broeke, J. M. van 559 44 Wessem, & C. H. Reijmer (2014). Mass loss of the Amundsen Sea Embayment of West Antarctica 560 45 46 561 from four independent techniques. Geophys. Res.Lett., 41, 8421-8428.
- 48 563 Tamisiea, M. E. (2011). Ongoing glacial isostatic contributions to observations of sea level change. 49 564 Geophysical Journal International, 186(3), 1036-1044. 50
- 51 Taylor, K., Stouffer, R. & Meehl, G. (2012). An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design, 566 52 ₅₃ 567 Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 93(4): 485–498.
- Watson, C. S., White, N. J., Church, J. A., King, M. A., Burgette, R. J., & Legresy, B. (2015). 55 569 56 570 Unabated global mean sea-level rise over the satellite altimeter era. Nature Climate Change, 5(6), 57 571 565. 58 572
- 59 60

WCRP Global Sea Level Budget Group (2018). Global sea-level budget 1993-present, Earth System. Science. Data, 10, 1551-1590, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1551-2018. Wouters, B., Bamber, J. L., Van den Broeke, M. R., Lenaerts, J. T. M., & Sasgen, I. (2013). Limits in detecting acceleration of ice sheet mass loss due to climate variability. Nature Geoscience, $\delta(8)$, 613-616.