Antiviral effects of selected IMPDH and DHODH inhibitors against foot and mouth disease virus
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ABSTRACT

Foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) is an important pathogen that affects livestock breeding and causes huge economic losses worldwide. Currently, the development of antiviral agents to combat FMDV infection at the early stages is being explored. As viral replication critically depends on the host for nucleoside supply, host enzymes involved in nucleotides biosynthesis may represent potential targets for the development of antiviral agents. In the present study, the effects of IMP dehydrogenase (AVN-944 and mycophenolate mofetil) and dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (teriflunomide) inhibitors were evaluated both in vitro and in vivo. The results revealed that these compounds were effective in suppressing FMDV (O/My98/By/2010 and A/GD/MM/2013) infection. With regard to the antiviral mechanism, time-of-addition experiments revealed that these compounds were effective when added at the early stages of viral lifecycle (0–8 h post infection). However, exogenous guanosine/uridine eliminated the antiviral activity of these compounds. Importantly, treatment AVN-944 and teriflunomide significantly improved the survival of mice that were subcutaneously treated with FMDV. Together, the results of the present study indicate the broad-spectrum activities of anti-FMDV agents targeting IMP dehydrogenase or dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, which could be useful in developing strategies to prevent FMD.

1. Introduction

Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is one of the highly contagious diseases of domestic and wild cloven-hoofed animals, such as cattle, swine, sheep, goats, and deer. The causative agent, FMD virus (FMDV), rapidly replicates in the host and spreads to susceptible animals in contact [1]. The common symptoms of FMD include fever, lethargy, and appearance of vesicular lesions in tongue, feet, snout, and teats, resulting in high morbidity and low mortality in adult animals, and high mortality in young animals because the disease can affect the heart [2]. The FMDV is the type species of the genus Aphthovirus belonging to the Picornaviridae family, and contains a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA genome of approximately 8500 bases surrounded by an icosahedral capsid with 60 copies each of four structural proteins, VP1–4. The virus is antigenically highly variable and consists of seven serotypes, including O, A, C, Asia 1, South African Territories (SAT) 1, SAT 2, and SAT 3, with multiple subtypes in each serotype [3]. Serotype O is the most common worldwide and has been responsible for much of the reported economically devastating disease occurrence [4,5]. The currently available measures for controlling and preventing FMD mainly comprise vaccination. However, vaccines are ineffective in controlling complicated outbreaks or genetic variants of the virus. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop specific antiviral strategies against FMDV for providing early protection from the disease, as well as determine alternative methods of applying antiviral agents to reduce the spread of FMDV in outbreak situations [6].

Cellular nucleotides, composed of purines and pyrimidines, are important constituents of RNA and DNA, and viruses heavily rely on specific host factors, such as nucleosides, to complete their lifecycles. Therefore, disruption of enzymes involved in nucleotides biosynthesis in hosts may represent a potential antiviral strategy. Inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH), a key enzyme in the de novo synthesis of purines, is widely conserved in living organisms [7]. This enzyme catalyzes a rate-limiting step essential for the de novo synthesis of guanosine monophosphate (GMP) and ultimately the nucleotide guanosine triphosphate (GTP) [8]. Two isoforms of IMPDH, type I and...
II with 84% amino acids identity, have been identified in humans. As inhibition of IMPDH can deplete guanine nucleotide pools, followed by a decrease in DNA and RNA synthesis, this enzyme could be a good candidate for use as an antiviral agent. IMPDH has been employed as a target in immunosuppressive therapy, as an antiangiogenic agent, and as an antiviral drug [9,10]. Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH) is sequentially the fourth and rate-limiting enzyme in the de novo biosynthesis pathway of pyrimidines. Located in the inner membrane of mitochondria, DHODH can convert dihydroorotate to orotate, which is subsequently utilized by the multifunctional uridine monophosphate (UMP) synthase to produce UMP. The inhibition of pyrimidines biosynthesis has also been explored as a strategy in rheumatology and oncology for treating various infectious diseases, cancers, and immunological disorders [11,12].

In the present study, we evaluated the effects of two IMPDH inhibitors (AVN-944 and mycophenolate mofetil) and one DHODH inhibitor (teriflunomide) on FMDV replication in vitro, and then identified their preliminary modes of action. Besides, the antiviral activities of these compounds against FMDV infection in vivo were also described.

2. Methods

2.1. Experimental materials

IBRS-2 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin at a temperature of 37 °C with 5% CO2. The virus strains of serotype O (O/MYA98/By/2010) and A (A/GD/MM/2013) FMDV used in the present study were derived from Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agriculture Sciences. AVN-944, mycophenolate mofetil (MF), and teriflunomide (Fig. 1), guanosine and uridine were all products of MCE. Rabbit hyperimmune serum raised against O/MYA98/By/2010 were prepared in our laboratory. PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit containing gDNA Eraser and SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ were purchased from TaKaRa (Dalian, China). All the other agents used in this report were commercially available.

2.2. Ethics statements

All animal experiments were performed in a Biosafety level-3 laboratory and approved according to the requirements of the Animal Ethics Committee of Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science (No. LRRIAE2018-007).

2.3. Cell viability assay

The potential cytotoxicity of compounds against IBRS-2 cells was determined by MTS assay (Abcam, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, the IBRS-2 cells were cultured in 96-well plate at a density of 3 × 10^4 cells/well, and various concentrations of AVN-944, mycophenolate mofetil, and teriflunomide (100–500 μM) were respectively added. After incubation for 72 h at 37 °C in 5% CO2 atmosphere, 20 μL of MTS were added to each well for another 4 h at 37 °C. Then, the absorbance of each well at 490 nm was determined by using ELISA reader.

2.4. Antiviral activity assay

The antiviral activity of the compounds was determined based on cytopathic effect (CPE) reduction by MTS assay. One day prior to infection, 3 × 10^4 IBRS-2 cells/well were seeded onto a 96-well culture plate. The next day, the medium was removed and cells were washed with PBS. Subsequently, 100 TCID50 of FMDV (O/MY98/By/2010 and A/GD/MM/2013) were added to the cells for 1 h, respectively. Afterwards, 0.1 mL of medium supplemented with FBS containing appropriated concentration of the test compounds were added to the cells to generate appropriate CPE for 48 h after infection. Four wells were used as viral controls (virus-infected cells that were not treated with the compounds), while another four wells were employed as cell controls (non-infected cells that were not treated with the compounds). The absorbance of each well was determined by a plate reader at 490 nm. The results were quantified as a percentage of the controls, and the 100% effective concentration (EC100) values were graphically obtained using GraphPad software. The percent protection (expressed in %) achieved by the test compounds in FMDV-infected cells was calculated as follows: (ODt)(FMDV) − (ODt)(FMDV) + (ODc)(mock) − (ODc)(FMDV) × 100, where (ODt)(FMDV) is the optical density of FMDV-infected cells treated with a given test compound, (ODc)(FMDV) is the optical density of the control untreated FMDV-infected cells, and (ODc)(mock) is the optical density of the control untreated mock-infected cells. The antiviral activity was presented as % of control. DMSO was used as a negative control. The cell culture supernatants were collected at 48 h post-infection for the detection of FMDV 2B mRNA by Q-PCR. For nucleosides supplementation, IBRS-2 cells (3 × 10^5 cells/well) were plated in 12-well plates and treated as described earlier [13]. The samples were collected at 48 h post-infection.

2.5. Time-of-Addition assay

The time-of-addition effect was examined as previously described [14]. In brief, 2 × 10^5 IBRS-2 cells/well were seeded onto 12-well culture plates and incubated for 24 h. Then, the confluent monolayer was infected with FMDV for 1 h and treated with the test compounds (added into the wells) either concurrently during FMDV infection (0 h) or at intervals of 2, 4, 8, and 16 post-infection. After incubation at 37 °C for 48 h, samples were collected and the reduction in viral 2B mRNA levels and VP1 protein was determined by Q-PCR and Western blot analysis, respectively.

2.6. Indirect immunofluorescence assay

The IBRS-2 cells infected with FMDV in a 12-well plate were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 (in PBS), blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 0.5 h, and then incubated with primary antibody (rabbit hyperimmune serum) for 1 h, followed by appropriate peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) for 1 h. The cell nuclei were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, washed repeatedly with PBS, and fluorescence was observed under a fluorescence microscope (Nikon ECLIPSE TS100 fluorescence microscope, Nikon, Japan).
2.7. Q-PCR assay

For relative quantification of viral mRNA synthesis, the total RNA from the harvested cells was isolated using TRIZol reagent (Invitrogen). The RNA was eluted in 50 μL of RNase-free water and treated with PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit containing gDNA Eraser (Takara, Dalian, China) to eliminate DNase I, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Subsequently, the total RNA was reverse transcribed with random hexamer primers using Agilent Technologies Stratagene Mx3005P instrument (Agilent, USA) and SYBR Premix Ex TaqTM (Tli RNaseH Plus) (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) with a reaction volume of 25 μL. The relative expression of the FMDV 2B (forward, 5′-TTGGTACCGGGTTGGCCTC-3′; reverse, 5′-GGTTTGGCAGTACCTTT-3′) was determined by normalizing against the porcine β-actin gene (forward, 5′-GACCCACCTCAACTGATCA-3′; reverse, 5′-GGTTTGGCAGTACCTTT-3′).

2.8. Western blot analysis

The cell lysates were separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore, USA). The membrane was blocked with 5% non-fat milk powder in TBST buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20) and then probed with anti-FMDV VP1 antibody as described previously [13], or with anti-β-actin antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The bound antibody was detected using HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies and visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare).

2.9. Invivo Experiments

In vivo experiments were performed with specific pathogen-free 3–4 day-old BALB/c suckling mice (Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, China). The 50% lethal dose (LD_{50}) of FMDV was estimated by Reed-Muench method. The BALB/c mice were infected with 100 μL of 100 LD_{50} of FMDV O/MY98/BY/2010. Subsequently, 60 μg of AVN944, mycophenolate mofetil, and teriflunomide were assayed by qPCR and immunoassay.

3. Results

3.1. Cytotoxic effects of the compounds on IBRS-2 cells

The test compounds were examined for cytotoxicity before assessing their antiviral activities. As shown in Fig. 2A, D, and G, the compounds were differentially cytotoxic. While mycophenolate mofetil, at the examined concentrations, did not produce a cytotoxic effect on IBRS-2 cells, AVN944 (< 100 μM), and teriflunomide (< 400 μM) failed to distinctly affect cell viability following treatment for 72 h. However, a higher concentration of AVN944 and teriflunomide exhibited significant dose-dependent cytotoxic effects on IBRS-2 cells, when compared with the DMSO-treated control. The 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC_{50}) of AVN944 and teriflunomide on IBRS-2 cells were 88.18 μM and 542.7 μM, respectively.

3.2. Antiviral activity of the compounds against FMDV invivo

Based on the results of cytoxicity of the test compounds, a nontoxic concentration was employed to explore the antiviral activity of these compounds against FMDV. The cells were infected with 100 TCID_{50} of FMDV and incubated with different concentrations of the test compounds for 48 h. The results revealed that viral replication was inhibited by the test compounds in a dose-dependent manner. The EC_{50} of AVN944, mycophenolate mofetil, and teriflunomide were 12.02 μM, 8.142 μM, and 294.1 μM against FMDV O/MY98/BY/2010, respectively, and the selectivity indices (SI) were 7.33, 12.28, and 1.84, respectively. Besides, the test compounds also showed significant in vitro antiviral activities against another strain of FMDV (A/GD/MM/2013). The EC_{50} values of AVN944, mycophenolate mofetil, and teriflunomide against FMDV A/GD/MM/2013 in IBRS-2 cells were 3.953 μM, 6.500 μM, and 104.9 μM, yielding SI values of 22.30, 15.38, and 5.17, respectively (Fig. 2B, E, and H). Interestingly, the SI values of the test compounds against FMDV A/GD/MM/2013 were higher than those against FMDV O/MY98/BY/2010. The antiviral activities of these compounds were also analyzed by qPCR and immunofluorescence assay (IFA). As shown in Fig. 2C, F, and I, treatment with various concentrations of the test compounds for 48 h inhibited viral replication in a dose-dependent manner, as quantified by the relative levels of the FMDV 2B gene expression. Consistently, the number of FMDV-positive cell foci presented a corresponding decrease in a dose-dependent manner, as indicated by indirect IFA (Fig. 3).

3.3. Effects of Guanosine/Uridine on the antiviral activity of the compounds

To further address whether guanosine/uridine could block the activity of the test compounds, the infected cells treated with or without the test compounds were supplemented with serial dilutions of guanosine/uridine. Notably, FMDV VP1 protein levels recovered following guanosine supplementation in cells treated with mycophenolate mofetil and AVN944 (Fig. 4B and C), indicating that the antiviral mechanism of these compounds involved depletion of the purine nucleotide pool. Likewise, uridine also presented similar effect on teriflunomide-mediated suppression of FMDV replication (Fig. 4D), suggesting that inhibition of DHODH is the major antiviral mechanism of teriflunomide against FMDV infection.

3.4. Time course assay

Time course assay was used to examine the stages of FMDV replication that were affected by the test compounds (Fig. 5). The test compounds were added during viral infection (0 h post-infection) or after viral infection (2–16 h post-infection). The mRNA and VP1 protein changes in the IBRS-2 cells were analyzed at 48 h post-infection. As shown in Fig. 5, the compounds could considerably reduce 2B mRNA and VP1 protein levels until 8 h post-infection; however, no significant inhibitory effect on FMDV replication was observed after 16 h of viral adsorption. Taken together, these results suggested that the tested inhibitors constrained FMDV replication in vitro at the early stage of infection.

3.5. Invivo Experiments

Based on the anti-FMDV potency of the inhibitors in vitro, their protective efficacy against lethal FMDV infection in suckling mice was
further explored. Three-day-old suckling mice were injected with FMDV O/MY98/BY/2010 strain at a dose of 100 LD50, followed by treatment with the test compounds. As shown in Fig. 6, the suckling mice in the control group began to die at 36–60 h. Among the treatment groups, the suckling mice in the AVN-944 and teriflunomide treatment groups began to die at 72 h and 60 h, respectively. The infected mice in the mycophenolate mofetil treatment group began to die at 36 h, and the death time decreased subsequently ($P = 0.02$). At 96 h after treatment, antiviral activity was determined by the reduction of the cytopathic effect in an MTS assay. Cell viability of DMSO-treated cells was set to 0% and that of uninfected cells was set 100%. (C, F, I) Total RNAs were prepared from cells in (B, E, H) and then subjected to Q-PCR for 2B region of FMDV viral RNA. β-actin mRNAs were also analyzed as a negative control. Data are expressed as mean ± SD of the percentage values obtained from three independent experiments carried out in triplicate. ***$P < 0.001$.

4. Discussion

In general, vaccination is considered as the gold standard for controlling viral diseases; however, the use of antiviral drugs for the control of highly mutagenic viruses such as FMDV is still limited. As commercially available vaccines do not provide early protection for virus-infected animals, the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) recommends the use of a combination of vaccines and antiviral drugs to effectively control viruses [15]. It is therefore very important to uncover antiviral agents for FMDV treatment. In recent years, increasing evidences have indicated that targeting DHODH and IMPDH may be a promising strategy to develop broad-spectrum antiviral drugs.

AVN944 is a highly selective, non-nucleoside, specific, uncompetitive IMPDH inhibitor, which was developed by Vertex (VX-944) and licensed by Avalon Pharmaceuticals (AVN944) [16]. AVN944 has been demonstrated to be well-tolerated by humans, and is currently under clinical trials on patients with hematological malignances and, in combination with gemcitabine, on patients with pancreatic cancer [17].
Mycophenolic mofetil, a prodrug of mycophenolic acid, is a non-competitive inhibitor of IMPDH, and has been approved by the FDA for immune suppression. In addition, it has been reported to inhibit H5N1 virus replication in MDCK cells and mice [18]. Teriflunomide, a principal active metabolite of leflunomide, is a novel oral immunomodulator with anti-inflammatory properties, and is used for the treatment of patients with relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis and rheumatoid arthritis [19,20]. Besides its anti-inflammatory effects, teriflunomide has also been found to exert antiviral activities against numerous viruses, including Theiler’s murine encephalomyocarditis virus [21], Epstein-Barr virus [22], Newcastle disease virus, and Ebola virus [23]. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, the present study is the first to demonstrate the antiviral activity of AVN944, mycophenolic mofetil, and teriflunomide against FMDV.

With regard to FMDV epidemic, besides type O FMDV, type A FMDV is also widely disseminated in China [24]. To explore whether the antiviral activity of the tested compounds is strain-dependent, the effect of these inhibitors on type A FMDV (A/GD/MM/2013) was evaluated. The results demonstrated that the compounds could also inhibit type A FMDV infection in IBRS-2 cells, supporting that the tested IMPDH and DHODH inhibitors exerted broad-spectrum antiviral activities. In future studies, the effect of these compounds on other FMDV genotypes or strains must be investigated. Considering their characteristics, both IMPDH and DHODH could be promising candidates for the treatment of other viral diseases, such as enterovirus-A71 (which belongs to the same family (Picornaviridae) as FMDV) and African swine fever (ASF;

Fig. 3. The viral proteins were determined by IFA. IBRS-2 cells were infected by 100 TCID_{50} FMDV O/My98/By/2010, with or without treatment by various concentrations of compounds for 12 h. The green fluorescence represents the intracellular distribution of FMDV visualized by DAPI staining. Scale bars indicate 100 μm (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).

Fig. 4. Guanosine or uridine attenuated the antiviral activity of compounds. A. Schematic overview of de novo biosynthesis of purine and pyrimidine nucleotide. (B, C) FMDV-infected IBRS-2 cells were treated with AVN-944 (50 μM) or mycophenolate mofetil (50 μM) in the presence of exogenous guanosine. (D) FMDV-infected IBRS-2 cells were treated with teriflunomide (300 μM) in the presence of exogenous uridine, as indicated. Cells were incubated for 48 h, total cell extracts were prepared from cells and subjected to Western Blot analysis with anti-VP1 antibody. β-actin was also analyzed as a loading control.
another disease listed by OIE similar to FMD). Since the first reported ASF case in China in 2018, over 100 ASF cases had been recorded in 23 provinces or regions of China [25]. ASF has caused a severe threat to the domestic pig population in China, resulting in huge economic loss. With the current lack of vaccines to control ASF, there is a need to consider alternative control strategies, including IMPDH and DHODH as potential targets for antiviral drug development. Interestingly, it has been indicated that targeting other catalytic steps leading to primary purine nucleotide synthesis may stimulate viral replication (e.g. hepatitis E virus (HEV)) [26]. For example, Wang et al. reported that inhibition of amido phosphoribosyltransferase, glycinamide ribonucleotide transformylase, 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase, and IMP cyclohydrolase promoted HEV replication [26]. In our future study, we will test the effects of inhibition of these enzymes on FMDV infection. In fact, besides the inhibition of nucleotide synthesis, disruption of protein translation may also be a potential antiviral therapeutic strategy to control infection because viral

![Fig. 5. Antiviral activity of compounds depending on the time of addition. IBRS-2 cells were infected with 100 TCID₅₀ FMDV O/MY98/BY/2010 and treated with 50 μM of AVN-944 (A, B), mycophenolate mofetil (C, D) or 300 μM teriflunomide (E, F) at the indicated times after virus infection, respectively. At 48 h post-infection, total cell extracts were prepared from cells and subjected to Q-PCR and Western Blot analysis with anti-VP1 antibody, respectively. β-actin was also analyzed as a loading control. Data are expressed as mean ± SD of the percentage values obtained from three independent experiments carried out in triplicate. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001.](image)

![Fig. 6. *in vivo* activity of compounds. Suckling mice were challenged with 100 LD₅₀ of FMDV O/MY98/BY/2010 after 2 h pre-treatment with 60 μg of AVN-944, mycophenolate mofetil and teriflunomide, respectively. The survival rate of suckling mice was monitored for 96 h post-challenge.](image)

![Fig. 7. Histological analysis of heart tissue stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) in suckling mouse. The suckling mouse was treated with the placebo or 60 μg AVN-944. The pathological changes of heart tissues at 30 hpi were observed after H & E staining. Representative H&E stained samples of heart tissue from (A, D) health, (B, E) AVN-944-treated, and (C, F) placebo-treated are shown (N = 4). A, B, C (magnification, 100×); D, E, F (magnification, 400×).](image)
replication also relies on cellular translational machinery. For instance, halofuginone, a potent prolyl tRNA synthetase inhibitor, has been demonstrated to suppress Chikungunya and Dengue viral infections [27] as well as FMDV replication (unpublished).

In the present study, to further investigate the stage of FMDV replication that is sensitive to the tested inhibitors, the IBS-2 cells were exposed to these compounds at various time points after virus entry. While the addition of these compounds to the infected cells significantly suppressed FMDV replication before 8 h post-infection, no significant inhibitory effects were noted after 16 h post-infection. The results of time-course study suggested that all the three tested compounds exhibited inhibitory effects on the early stages of FMDV replication cycle.

One of the well-recognized antiviral mechanisms of inhibitor compounds is disruption of IMPDH/DHODH to deplete the intracellular GTP or pyrimidine pools [26]. To determine whether this mechanism is involved in FMDV inhibition, guanosine/uridine was added to the infected cells treated with inhibitors. While guanosine/uridine alone had no effect, they significantly attenuated the anti-FMDV effects of these compounds, suggesting that nucleotide depletion by inhibitors is an important mechanism in controlling FMDV infection. In recent years, other novel potential mechanisms have been reported; for example, some IMPDH and DHODH inhibitors have also been noted to enhance the innate immune responses triggered by short 5′-triphosphate RNA molecules as measured by IFN-stimulated response element reporter and IFN-stimulated expression of downstream genes, such as IFN-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1 and IFN regulatory factor 1, thus further contributing to their antiviral activities [28].

However, in general, treatment with individual antiviral agents cannot achieve complete inhibition of viruses owing to limitations related to viral resistance, such as amino acid substitutions against mutagens [6]. It has been proposed that treatment with a combination of antiviral agents with different mechanisms might be more advantageous in overcoming their individual limitations. Similarly, combinations of IMPDH inhibitor and nucleoside analog have been reported to present synergistic benefits in treating several viruses [29].

Although IMPDH and DHODH inhibitors have been shown to antiviral activity against some viruses, many of them have failed to exhibit promising antiviral effects in animal models. However, in the present study, the effects of the test compounds were also observed in the animal experiment, and the suckling mice treated with these compounds exhibited higher survival rates, when compared with the control group. Interestingly, treatment with AVN944 was more effective than treatments with mycophenolate mofetil and teriflunomide. Therefore, in future studies, the antiviral efficacy of these compounds should be further investigated in relevant species such as pigs and cattle. Choi et al. reported that the combination of ribavirin and vaccine presented a synergistic effect on the early stage of FMDV replication [30]. Hence, the effects of combinations of antiviral agents and novel FMD vaccines on possible early protection against viral infection prior to the establishment of host immunity through vaccination must be explored.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated the effective antiviral activities of AVN944, mycophenolate mofetil, and teriflunomide against FMDV, and their underlying initial antiviral mechanisms. Future research should focus on assessing the activities of these compounds in natural hosts as well as their efficiencies in combination with vaccines.

Declaration of Competing Interest

None.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFD0500902 and 2016YFE0204100) and the Key R&D Program of Guangsu Province of China (Grant No. 17YF1NA070).

References