Applicability of field olfactometry to improve the plume method: potentialities and limitations

Magnun Vieira^{1, 2*}, Jean-Michel Guillot¹, Paulo Belli Filho², Anne-Claude Romain³, Gilles Adam³, Julien Delva⁴, Maud Baron⁵, Toon Van Elst⁵

¹Laboratory of Industrial Environmental Engineering, École des Mines d'Alès, Alès, France
 ²Department of Sanitary and Environmental Engineering, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil
 ³Department of Environmental Sciences and Management, University of Liège, Arlon, Belgium
 ⁴Odometric SA, 577 Route de Longwy, 6700 Arlon, Belgium
 ⁵Olfascan NV, Industrieweg 114H, 9032 Ghent, Belgium

*Corresponding author, e-mail <u>magnun.vieira@ufsc.br</u>

SUMMARY

Sniffing team campaigns were conducted in Flanders (northern Belgium) to evaluate the applicability of field olfactometry to improve the plume method. Field measurements were carried out around five different industrial sites, under neutral or slightly unstable weather conditions. Preliminary results show some limitations and possible applications of in-field odour-measuring devices to enhance the method by supplementing bare nose sniff testing observations.

KEYWORDS: field olfactometer; odour impact; plume measurement.

INTRODUCTION

Odour sampling and dispersion modelling is a widely used approach for odour impact assessment and regulation worldwide. However, this approach may not be appropriate and cost-effective in certain situations, especially when diffuse and discontinuous odour sources are involved. In such cases, field inspection is an interesting and promising approach that is one-step closer to standardization in Europe. The new European standard EN-16841 will describe two methods to determine odour in ambient air by using field inspection: the grid method (Part 1) and the plume method (Part 2) (Guillot et al., 2012). The dynamic plume method, described in the EN 16841-2, is partially based on a methodology applied and developed by Ghent University since 1988. Thus, it brings together more than 20 years' experience with the method in Belgium (Moortgat et al., 1992; Van Langenhove and Van Broeck, 2001; Nicolas et al., 2006; Van Elst and Delva, 2015). This approach is the basis of the Flemish odour policy. The method has broad applicability in Brazil, due to the characteristics of the country (continental size and reduced number of olfactometry laboratories) and its low cost compared to other methods. This study aims to evaluate the applicability of field olfactometry to improve the plume method by supplementing bare nose sniff testing observations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sniffing team campaigns were conducted in Flanders according to the methodology described by Bilsen *et al.* (2008). Field measurements were carried out by two qualified panel members, around five different industrial sites, under neutral or slightly unstable weather conditions. The duration of the sniffing field inspection varied from 35 to 55 min. Two commercially available field olfactometers – the Nasal Ranger[®] (St. Croix Sensory, Inc., USA) and the Scentroid SM110 (IDES Canada Inc., Canada) – were assessed for their application in

supplementing bare nose sniff testing observations. At each point of observation where odour was perceived by the straightforward sniff test (YES/NO), odour strength was additionally assessed with the field olfactometers.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The response time showed to be a critical aspect in the field olfactometry, especially at plume boundaries and far away from the odour sources (intermittent odour). Moreover, panelist fatigue (Nasal Ranger[®]) and high consumption of odour-free diluting gas (Scentroid SM110), make difficult to use such in-field odour-measuring devices to determine different odour intensity levels within the odour plume. Nevertheless, near the odour sources (relatively constant odour and steady conditions), measurements could be easily performed and odour strength assessed with the field olfactometers. An overview of the results is shown in **Table 1**.

 Table 1. Synthesis of the results of the field measurements with and without field olfactometer.

Application to	Nose alone	Nasal Ranger [®]	Scentroid SM110
Determine odour strength			
• for plotting isopleths of odour concentration within the odour plume	+	_	-
• at specific locations near the odour sources	+	+++	+++
Help in the validation of dispersion modelling results	+	++	+++
Determine plume boundaries and maximum distance of odour perception	+++	—	_

Based on the sniffing team measurements, field olfactometry seems not suitable, in a general manner, for plotting isopleths of ground-level odour concentration within the odour plume. However, it may provide useful information to validate dispersion modelling results (assisting in the back-calculation of odour emission rates from sniffing measurements) by quantifying odour strength at specific locations near the odour sources. Furthermore, direct assessment of odours in ambient air with the nose alone remains a practical and effective approach to determine plume boundaries and maximum distance of odour perception.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank CAPES and CNPq (Brazil) for doctoral grant to M. Vieira.

REFERENCES

Bilsen I., De Fré R. and Bosmans S. (2008). Code van Goede Praktijk: Bepalen van de geurverspreiding door middel van snuffelploegmetingen (Code of Good Practice: Determination of the odour dispersion by means of sniffing team measurements), VITO, Mol, Belgium.

Guillot J.-M., Bilsen I., Both R., Hangartner M., Kost W. J., Kunz W., Nicolas J., Oxbol A., Secanella J., Van Belois H., Van Elst T., Van Harreveld T. and Milan B. (2012), The future European standard to determine odour in ambient air by using field inspection. *Water Sci. and Technol.*, 66(8), 1691–1698.

Moortgat M., Schamp N. and Van Langenhove H. (1992). Assessment of odour nuisance problems in Flanders: a practical approach. A.J. Dragt and J. van Ham (eds.), Biotechniques for Air Pollution Abatement and Odour Control Policies, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Studies in Environmental Science 51, 447–452.

Nicolas J., Craffe F. and Romain A.-C. (2006), Estimation of odor emission rate from landfill areas using the sniffing team method. *Waste Manag.*, 26(11), 1259–1269.

Van Elst T. and Delva J. (2015), The European Standard prEN 16841-2: a review of 20 years experience with the method in Belgium. In: Proc. 6th IWA Conf. on Odours & Air Emissions, Paris, 16-18 November 2015.

Van Langenhove H. and Van Broeck G. (2001), Applicability of sniffing team observations: experience of field measurements. *Water Sci. and Technol.*, 44(9), 65–70.