

Erratum

To cite this article: (2001) Erratum, Cognitive Neuropsychiatry, 6:4, 311-311, DOI: [10.1080/13546800143000177](https://doi.org/10.1080/13546800143000177)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13546800143000177>



Published online: 09 Sep 2010.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 23



View related articles [↗](#)

Erratum

Please note that in Volume 6 Issue 3 of *Cognitive Neuropsychology* (August 2001), the article by Ronald L. Green and Michael S. Gazzaniga, "Linking neuroimaging and cognitive neuropsychiatry" (pp. 229–234), should have been headed "**Comment**".

Erratum

Please note that in Volume 6 Issue 3 of *Cognitive Neuropsychology* (August 2001) in Philippe Marczewski, Martial van der Linden, and Frank Larøi, "Further investigation of the Supervisory Attentional System in schizophrenia: Planning, inhibition, and rule abstraction" (pp. 175–192), the labels at the top of Figure 1 were printed incorrectly. The correct version of Figure 1 together with its caption are printed below.




Figure 1. The Tower of London test. Examples presented here are problems requiring five moves to reach the target configurations. A neutral problem is presented on the left. A facilitating problem is shown at the centre. In this case, the most obvious move constitutes a good start towards the solution. On the right, a misleading problem is presented. In this problem, the most obvious move that seems to be a good step to reach the solution is, in fact, inadequate as it increases the number of moves to undertake to solve the problem.