

January 31st 2020

Water Temperature

INVESTIGATING STREAM WATER TEMPERATURE IN **SOUTHERN BELGIUM: ENVIRONMENTAL DRIVERS AND E** POTENTIAL IMPACT ON A THERMAL SENSITIVE SPECIES (SALMO TRUTTA)



Introduction

- Context
 - Stream water temperature (WT) is a key ecological factor :
 - WT is influenced by : climate change, atmospheric conditions, topography, stream bed characteristics...
 - WT influence : oxygen solubility, organic matter, decomposition rates, aquatic species (metabolism, survival, growth)...
- Due to its importance, it is essential to record continuous WT
- In Wallonia, +/- 140 stations record WT continuously (10 min.)
 - How can this network improve our knowledge?

Study area

- Wallonia (Southern Belgium): +/- 16.000 km²
- 140 stations (10bs./10min), 2012-2018

Continuous monitoring network

Brown trout

💿 👩

Continuous

monitoring

۲

Climate change

۲

Environment

Riparian

vegetation

ۍ 🧶

River

management

Infrared

thermal

images

ູ 🔘

Keep it

cool...

Rivers

Is the aquatic ecosystem in danger?

Objectives

- Study WT evolution between 2012 and 2018 with a continuous monitoring network
- Understand the potentially damaging effect of WT on the aquatic ecosystem with the example of the brown trout (Salmo trutta fario L.)
- Study the influence of environmental parameters on WT

- Evolution of WT between 2012-2018
 - Typical yearly sinusoidal WT evolution
 - Coldest/warmest winter : 2013/2016
 - Daily amplitude > 5°C (summer); $\approx 2,5^{\circ}C$ (winter)









 $< 25^{\circ}C > (\times \times)$

Lethal temperature

<u>Specificity</u>: Thermal sensitive and umbrella fish species

Thermal requirements : 4°C > (••) <19°C > (••)

Discussion

- Importance of continuous monitoring to :
 - Characterize the variability of the thermal regime

Thermal preferendum

- Identify extreme thermal episodes occurring very locally and that might be limited in time
- Model WT changes in future climate scenarios





- Influence of environmental parameters on WT
- GAM models were adjusted on our daily WT to extract "extreme" days (i.e. days with above normal WT) \rightarrow 96 dates between 2012 and 2018
- Environmental variables were calculated for 94

Slope Elevation Sinuosity Landcover

- WT exceeded the brown trout thermal preferendum (19°C) all years during the study period and even reached the lethal temperature (25°C) in 2015, 2017 and 2018
- Thermal excesses have been recorded :
 - Spatially : in different stations (mostly in the west of Wallonia)
 - Temporally : in summer period (mainly between May to September)
- The identification in time and space of the thermal excesses of the brown trout allow to :
 - Identify and localize priority areas that require the intervention of a manager ("thermal risk areas")
 - Highlight the critical periods to adapt river management practices to mitigate thermal warming
- The study provides a better understanding of the relationship between WT and the environment. Out of 9 groups of variables, shade, water level and water flow have the stronger impact on WT Based on the results, we can provide solutions to

- watersheds
- Environmental time dependent variables* were also calculated for all the "extreme" days selected
- Statistical analyses : Linear Mixed-Effects Regression between WT and environmental variables



determine how river managers can put measures in place to mitigate river thermal overheating Thanks to the radiation retained by its foliage and through the shade it provides, riparian vegetation must be managed to limit extreme thermal events that are expected to be more frequent

Perspectives

- Use infrared thermal images acquired from drones to have spatially continuous mapping of stream water temperature (longitudinal profile)
- Develop decision making tools for river managers to mitigate summer warmings (e.g.: riparian forest restoration)

⁽ⁱ⁾Georges B. et al., 2019. Can water level stations be used for thermal assessment in aquatic ecosystem?. River Research and Applications. ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾Georges B. *et al.*, 2019. Suivi de la température des cours d'eau wallons: potentiels et contraintes du réseau Aqualim. Forêt. Nature, (153), 42-53. ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ ©Figures : Forêt.Nature, foretnature.be⁽ⁱⁱ⁾

Blandine Georges¹, Hervé Piégay², Philippe Lejeune¹, Yves Brostaux¹, Adrien Michez¹ Contact : blandine.georges@uliege.be

⁽¹⁾Faculty of Gembloux Agro-bio Tech (ULiège) (TERRA research and teaching center), Passage des Déportés 2, 5030 Gembloux, Belgium ⁽²⁾University of Lyon, UMR 5600 CNRS EVS, Site ENS de Lyon, 15 Parvis René Descartes, F- 69342 Lyon, France

