

#### NSABS 2020 Gembloux





Flexible habitat use of an openhabitat bird species in a farmlandwoodland landscape of southern Belgium



Robin GAILLY, Laurence COUSSEAU, Nicolas TITEUX, Jean-Yves PAQUET, Marc DUFRÊNE



## Mosaic landscape of farmland and woodland





#### Ardenne landscape : open-habitats

Farmland dominated by intensive grassland (pastures and hay meadows)



#### Ardenne landscape : open-habitats

For few decades, open-habitats are also found in woodland due to clear-cut patches in plantation forests



### Ardenne landscape : open-habitats

#### Christmas tree plantations (CTP)

- non-food perennial crop
- grassland  $\rightarrow$  CTP
- ca 3 200 ha in Wallonia



Clear-cut patches = temporary open-habitats that are colonized by some bird species usually associated to farmland (e.g. Zmihorski & al., 2016)

Christmas tree plantations enhance abundance of farmland birds in grassland with low hedge density (Gailly & al., 2017)



Fast anthropogenic modifications of the environment➢ first way for organisms to respond is behavioral flexibility

Birds rely on environmental cues for habitat selection

their choice may be maladaptive if the cues become uncoupled from the underlying habitat quality

#### Review

Trends in Ecology & Evolution September 2013, Vol. 28, No. 9

# Ecological novelty and the emergence of evolutionary traps

Bruce A. Robertson<sup>1,2</sup>, Jennifer S. Rehage<sup>3</sup>, and Andrew Sih<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Science, Mathematics, and Computing, Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, NY 12504, USA

<sup>2</sup> Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute, Migratory Bird Center, National Zoological Park, Washington, DC 20008, USA

<sup>3</sup> Earth and Environment Department, Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

### **Research question**

#### Is habitat selection adaptive ? 1. Habitat preference 2. Habitat quality -> Individual fitness



Stonechat Saxicola torquatus



Order of male settlement on their territories (Robertson & Hutto, 2006)

- 2014, 2015, 2016
- ca 20 breeding sites per habitat
- visit every two days to record occupancy

Results :

- inter-annual difference due to weather conditions
- preference for clear-cut patches



## Habitat quality - Reproduction

Reproductive performances

- 2014 2016
- total of 215 breeding pairs under survey
  number of successful breeding attempts
- search for nests
  - brood size (n = 147)
- offspring quality
  - nestling body conditions (weight & tarsus length) at 10-12 days old (n = 557)







Number of successful breeding attempts per pair

- from 0 to 3 on a breeding season
- no difference between the 3 habitats



Number of successful bi	reeding attempts
-------------------------	------------------

	Chisq	df	p-value
habitat	0.3	2	0.861
year	5.3	2	0.071
habitat : year	4.1	4	0.389



Brood size

- from 1 to 7 nestlings
- decrease with the course of the breeding season
- no difference between the 3 habitats



Brood size			
	Chisq	df	p-value
habitat	0.4	2	0.811
year *	7.7	2	0.021
laying date *	5.6	1	0.018
laying date <sup>2</sup> ***	13.9	1	< 0.001
interaction terms	-	-	>0.070



## Habitat quality - Reproduction

#### Offspring quality

- similar in grassland and CTP
- decrease with the course of the breeding season in clearcut patches

Nesting body conditions (mean per nest)				
	Chisq	df	p-value	
habitat *	7.6	2	0.019	
year	4.2	2	0.124	
laying date *	6.5	1	0.011	
habitat : laying date *	13.9	2	0.030	
habitat : year	4.3	4	0.372	





#### Nestling body conditions (mean per nest)

Survival rates

- Capture-Mark-Recapture (2014-2018)
- 319 adults with colour-rings
- 729 nestlings that fledge successfully with metal ring
- each year, search for ringed birds in the 145 km<sup>2</sup> study area
- spatial Cormack-Jolly-Seber models (Schaub and Royle, 2014)





First-year survival rate

- 19 %
- no difference between habitats
- no effect of nestling body conditions
- decrease with the laying date



Adult survival rates

- males = 47 % > females = 36 %
- quite similar between habitats



#### Conclusion

Clear-cut patches are attractive for some open-habitat bird species usually associated to farmland

But this is not explained by a higher fitness of individuals

On the opposite, lower nestling body conditions probably due to a decrease of arthropod biomass during the breeding season

Ecological trap?

- not for Stonechats
- previously demonstrated for the Red-Backed Shrike (Hollander & al, 2011)



(Hollander & al., 2017)

## Conclusion

Preference for clear-cut patches

 recall historical habitat = natural disturbances in forests



https://alpac.ca

CTP and grassland are similar in terms of functionality despite of important differences in vegetation structure and management

- $\rightarrow$  Flexible use of (novel) anthropogenic habitats
- $\rightarrow$  Importance of measuring several fitness components



#### <u>References</u>

Gailly, R. & al., 2017. Effects of the conversion of intensive grasslands into Christmas tree plantations on bird assemblages. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ*. 247, 91–97 Hollander FA & al., 2011. Maladaptive habitat selection of a migratory passerine bird in a human-modified landscape. *PLoS One* 6:e25703 Hollander, F.A. Titeux, N., Holveck, M.-J., Van Dyck, H., 2017. Timing of Breeding in an Ecologically Trapped Bird. *Am. Nat.* 189, 000–000 Robertson, B.A., Hutto, R.L., 2006. A framework for understanding ecological traps and an evaluation of existing evidence. *Ecology* 87, 1075–85 Robertson, B.A., Rehage, J.S., Sih, A., 2013. Ecological novelty and the emergence of evolutionary traps. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 28, 552–560 Schaub, M., Royle, J.A., 2014. Estimating true instead of apparent survival using spatial Cormack-Jolly-Seber models. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 5, 1316–1326 Żmihorski M, Berg Å, Pärt T, 2016. Forest clear-cuts as additional habitat for breeding farmland birds in crisis. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 233:291–297