



Presses Universitaires de Liège

### Comité de rédaction

André Motte (Université de Liège), président; Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge (Université de Liège), secrétaire scientifique; Pierre Brulé (Université de Rennes 2); Claude Calame (École Pratique des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales, Paris); Angelos Chaniotis (Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton); Emilio Suárez de la Torre (Université Pompeu Fabra de Barcelone); Didier Viviers (Université libre de Bruxelles); Emmanuel Voutiras (Université de Thessalonique).

## Comité consultatif

Pierre Bonnechere (Montréal); Corinne Bonnet (Toulouse); Philippe Borgeaud (Genève); Jan-Mathieu Carbon (Copenhague/Liège); Véronique Dasen (Fribourg); Gunnel Ekroth (Uppsala); Stella Georgoudi (Paris); Fritz Graf (Ohio); Anne-Françoise Jaccottet (Genève); Dominique Jaillard (Genève); Madeleine Jost (Paris); Joannis Mylonopoulos (New York); Massimo Osanna (Naples); Gabriella Pironti (Paris); François de Polignac (Paris); Renate Schlesier (Berlin); Yulia Ustinova (Ben-Gurion University of the Negev); Annie Verbanck-Piérard (Mariemont).

### **Courrier scientifique**

Les manuscrits (voir les instructions sur le site internet de la revue, http://www.kernos.ulg.ac.be), les livres et les numéros de revue envoyés pour recension sont à adresser à :

Revue *Kernos*, Université de Liège, 7, place du 20-Août, 4000 Liège (Belgique). Tél. +32 4 366 55 68 / Fax +32 4 366 58 41 / adresse courriel : kernos@ulg.ac.be

### Diffusion et vente Editions De Boccard

Rue de Médicis, 11 75006 Paris (France)

Tél. +33 1 43 26 00 37 / Fax +33 1 43 54 85 83 / http://www.deboccard.com Toutes les commandes ou demandes d'information doivent être adressées :

- pour l'abonnement à la revue Kernos ou l'achat au numéro, à orders@deboccard.com

- pour l'acquisition des suppléments, à info@deboccard.com

# Table des matières

Éditorial, par André Motte et Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge7
Études
Katherine Ann RASK, Devotionalism, Material Culture and the Personal
in Greek Religion9
Hanne EISENFELD, Life, Death, and a Lokrian Goddess:
Revisiting the Nature of Persephone in the Gold Leaves of Magna Graecia41
Véronique DASEN, Jeux de l'amour et du hasard en Grèce ancienne73
Zoé PIIZ, La complexité d'Héraclès, entre Hérodote et les cultes de Thasos101
Jan-Mathieu CARBON, James P.T. CLACKSON, Arms and the Boy:
On the New Festival Calendar from Arkadia119
Roberta FABIANI, I.Iasos 220 and the Regulations About the Priest
of Zeus Megistos: A New Edition159
Jan-Mathieu CARBON, The Festival of the Aloulaia, and the Association of
Alouliastiai. Notes Concerning the New Inscription from Larisa/Marmarini 185
Robert Parker, Scott Scullion, The Mysteries of the Goddess of Marmarini209

# Chronique des activités scientifiques

Epigraphic Bulletin 2013, by Angelos CHANIOTIS	
Chronique archéologique	
Revue des Livres	

# 1. Articles critiques

Étudier les mythes en contexte francophone. À propos de quatre ouvrages récents,	
par Philippe Matthey	391
Les Rédacteurs grecs d'enquêtes sur le passé héroïque : ni mythographes, ni mythographie,	
par Claude Calame	403

# 2. Comptes rendus et notices bibliographiques

E. EIDINOW, J. KINDT (éd.), The Oxford Handbook of Ancient Greek Religion (S. Scullion)4	415
J.N. BREMMER, La Religion grecque (P. Brulé)	423
S. PEELS, Hosios. A Semantic Study of Greek Piety (Ph. Borgeaud)	424
C. PISANO, Hermes, lo scettro, l'ariete (D. Jaillard)	
A. PATAY-HORVÁTH, The Origins of the Olympic Games (V. Pirenne-Delforge)	431
Κ.G. Chatzinikolaou, Οι λατρείες των Θεών και των Ηρώων στην Άνω Μακεδονία	
κατά την αρχαιότητα (D. Chatzivasiliou)	433
J. STEINHAUER, Religious Associations in the Post-Classical Polis (S. Skaltsa)	435
V. DASEN, Le Sourire d'Omphale (Fr. Prescendi)	439
B. KOWALZIG, P. WILSON (éd.), Dithyramb in Context (N. Le Meur-Weissman)	441
S. HORNBLOWER, Lykophron: Alexandra (M. Leventhal)	445
R. SOREL, Dictionnaire du paganisme grec (P. Brulé)	447
L. BRICAULT, M.J. VERSLUYS (éd.), Egyptian Gods in the Hellenistic and Roman	
Mediterranean (St. Caneva)	451
C. BONNET, Les Enfants de Cadmos (P.P. Iossif)	456
M.B. HOLLINSHEAD, Shaping Ceremony. Monumental Steps and Greek Architecture	
(H. von Ehrenheim)	463
J. Ch. MORETTI (éd.), Le sanctuaire de Claros et son oracle (V. Pirenne-Delforge)	465
MCl. BEAULIEU, The Sea in Greek Imagination (E. Aston)	467
Fr. PRESCENDI, Rois éphémères. Enquête sur le sacrifice humain (P. Bonnechere)	470
M.D. KONARIS, The Greek Gods in Modern Scholarship (G.P. Viscardi)	471
Cl. POUZADOUX, Éloge d'un prince daunien (H. Collard)	475
S. ESTIENNE, V. HUET, Fr. LISSARRAGUE, Fr. PROST (éd.), Figures de dieux (H. Collard)4	476
N. BELAYCHE, V. PIRENNE-DELFORGE (éd.), Fabriquer du divin (Fr. Massa)	478
2. Actes de colloques, ouvrages collectifs et anthologies4	81

Revue des Revues, par Hélène	Collard et Zoé Pitz	
------------------------------	---------------------	--

# Éditorial

L'an dernier, nous nous réjouissions de livrer à nos lecteurs l'editio princeps, par Jean-Claude Decourt et A. Tziaphalias, d'une remarquable inscription mise au jour en Thessalie. Nous pressentions alors qu'un texte aussi remarquable pour notre connaissance de la religion grecque trouverait très rapidement un écho dans la communauté scientifique. Ce fut effectivement le cas. Le présent volume de *Kernos* accueille ainsi un dossier thématique sur l'inscription de Larisa/Marmarini dû à Jan-Mathieu Carbon, d'une part, Scott Scullion et Robert Parker, d'autre part, que nous remercions d'avoir choisi notre revue pour entamer la discussion sur un document aussi exceptionnel.

Ce texte thessalien de la période hellénistique atteste que l'épigraphie est l'un des vecteurs documentaires parmi les plus féconds pour approfondir, voire renouveler, ce que l'on sait des rituels accomplis par les Grecs tout au long de l'Antiquité. S'il en fallait encore une preuve, elle provient cette fois d'Arcadie, sous la forme d'une tablette en bronze datée des débuts du v<sup>e</sup> siècle avant notre ère et livrant un calendrier de fêtes. L'inscription a connu une première publication par Johannes Heinrichs en 2015, sur laquelle se sont penchés Jan-Mathieu Carbon et James Clackson, d'abord indépendamment l'un de l'autre. Grâce à l'intercession de Robert Parker, nous avons suggéré à ces deux chercheurs de réunir leur expertise en collaborant en vue d'éclaircir autant que possible la forme et le fond de ce texte difficile. C'est chose faite, ce dont nous les remercions très vivement. Leur article est ici disponible sous le titre Arms and the Boy: On the New Festival Calendar from Arkadia. Toujours dans le registre épigraphique, Roberta Fabiani nous fait l'honneur de publier entre ces pages la nouvelle édition d'une importante inscription de Iasos concernant la vente de la prêtrise de Zeus Megistos qu'elle avait présentée lors de la journée « épigraphique » du XIV<sup>e</sup> colloque du CIERGA tenue à Liège en octobre 2013.

Mais l'étude de la religion grecque antique n'est pas faite que d'épigraphie, en dépit de nouveautés aussi intrigantes que passionnantes. Le présent volume accueille également des analyses touchant à des dossiers connus mais auxquels s'appliquent des questionnements neufs, comme les *pinakes* de Locres (Hanne Eisenfeldt), les images représentant des jeunes gens accomplissant des activités ludiques (Véronique Dasen) ou le cas difficile de l'Héraclès thasien (Zoé Pitz). À cette riche moisson s'ajoute une réflexion davantage méthodologique sur l'épineuse question de la « religion personnelle » des Grecs qui agite la communauté des chercheurs depuis quelque temps déjà (Katherine Ann Rask).

#### Éditorial

Nous avons également le plaisir de souligner que la *Chronique archéologique* est de retour. Nous exprimons toute notre reconnaissance aux collègues qui permettent à ceux qu'intéresse la religion grecque de disposer d'informations sur l'actualité des fouilles en ce domaine. Quant à l'actualité des découvertes épigraphiques, outre les articles mentionnés plus haut, c'est à Angelos Chaniotis que nous devons le précieux *Epigraphic Bulletin* fidèlement présent dans chaque livraison de la revue depuis vingt-six ans.

Enfin, signalons qu'est sorti de presse voici quelques semaines le 30<sup>e</sup> volume des suppléments de *Kernos* sous le titre *Montrer l'invisible*. R*ituel et présentification du divin dans l'imagerie attique* et sous la plume d'Hélène Collard.

Vinciane PIRENNE-DELFORGE secrétaire de rédaction secrétaire générale du CIERGA André MOTTE président du Comité de rédaction vice-président du CIERGA

# The Festival of the Aloulaia, and the Association of the Alouliastai Notes Concerning the New Inscription from Larisa/Marmarini\*

**Abstract**: Following the publication of the stele from Larisa/Marmarini in the previous volume of *Kernos* (28, 2015), this article proposes to focus on a pair of important aspects of this new and unique inscription. Alongside a brief survey of the document and more particularly a study of the typology of its contents, the task is twofold: first (1), a systematic analysis of the two principal festivals mentioned in the regulations, the Nisanaia and Aloulaia, which also enables some revisions of the first edition of the text; and second (2), with the use of epigraphic parallels, a wider consideration of the character and context of the inscription as a whole, and more specifically of the body which might be presumed to have issued it.

**Résumé** : À la suite de la publication de la stèle de Larisa/Marmarini dans le précédent volume de *Kernos* (28, 2015), cet article propose de revoir en détail deux aspects importants de ce document unique. Grâce à un survol du contenu et, plus particulièrement, à une brève analyse de la typologie des règlements que la stèle contient, il s'agira : premièrement (1), de mener une analyse systématique des deux fêtes principales mentionnées dans le texte, les Nisanaia et les Aloulaia, permettant également de réviser l'édition de certains passages du texte ; deuxièmement (2), d'effectuer la recherche de parallèles permettant d'éclaircir le contexte du document, et plus particulièrement, de s'interroger sur le groupe qui pourrait l'avoir fait inscrire.

Jean-Claude Decourt and Athanasios Tziaphalias have recently published a remarkable new inscription from the area of Marmarini near Larisa, which probably dates

<sup>\*</sup> My deepest thanks go to Stella Skaltsa for greatly improving drafts of this article. Many thanks are extended also to Angelos P. Matthaiou, who read a version of the article with his usual care and thoroughness, and thus prevented many mistakes. I am also very grateful to Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge and Robert Parker for their always incisive and valuable comments. Jean-Claude Decourt presented a preliminary version of the new inscription at the University of Liège on 23 October 2014, as part of a seminar of the F.R.S.-FNRS project, A Collection of Greek Ritual Norms (CGRN); it was a pleasure to discuss the fantastic new text with him there. Some preliminary remarks on the new inscription, now forming the core of this paper, were presented at a seminar of the Copenhagen Associations Project on 14 September 2015. I am grateful to my colleagues, particularly Vincent Gabrielsen and Mario Paganini, for their comments on that occasion. For permission to reproduce the two excerpts of the official photograph of side B (*Figs. 1–2*), I am grateful to the Eφοgeία Αρχαιοτήτων Λάρισας and its Director Stavroula Sdrolia; Maria Stamatopoulou and Charles Crowther very kindly provided

to the mid-Hellenistic period (ca. 250–150 BC).<sup>1</sup> This highly detailed text is of the greatest importance for our understanding of the inner workings of foreign cults in ancient Greece. The edition proposed by Decourt and Tziaphalias offers an admirably careful and considered commentary on the cultic regulations contained in the text, though there is still much room for improvement. In particular, the decipherment of the text needs to be more adequately established, and the background of the regulations — at first glance now obscure due to the find of the opisthographic stele outside of any archaeological context, in a dump — remains to be clarified. This article proposes to focus especially on two aspects of the new inscription: first, a technical study of the typology of the document and the two principal festivals mentioned in the regulations, their chronology and elements; second, a wider consideration of the character and context of the inscription as a whole, and more specifically of the body which might be presumed to have issued it.

## 1. Two Major Festivals: the Nisanaia and the Aloulaia

The stele from Marmarini near Larisa has two detailed faces, outlining festival days and punctual procedures for initiation on one side (face A, ca. 75 lines, very incompletely preserved), and regulations concerning different scenarios of entry, purification and sacrifice on the other (face B, exactly 82 lines, virtually complete except for a few minor lacunae). The regulations on side B appear to have been

their assistance in this matter. The inscription is currently on display in the Museum of Larisa, inv. no. 2002/33. The following abbreviations should also be noted: *I.Cos* EF: M. SEGRE, *Iscrizioni di Cos, Epigrafi funerarie*, Rome, 2007; R*ICIS*: L. BRICAULT, *Recueil des inscriptions concernant les cultes isiaques* (*RICIS*), 3 vols., Paris, 2005; with the continuously numbered supplements, here: Suppl. II = "Supplément RICIS II", in L. BRICAULT, R. VEYMIERS (eds.), *Bibliotheca Isiaca* II, Bordeaux, 2011, p. 273–316.

<sup>1.</sup> J.-C. DECOURT, A. TZIAPHALIAS, "Un règlement religieux de la région de Larisa : cultes grecs et « orientaux »", Kernos 28 (2015), p. 13-51; cf. also the preliminary discussion in J.-C. DECOURT, A. TZIAPHALIAS, "Un nouveau règlement religieux de la région de Larisa", in A. MAZARAKIS-ΑΙΝΙΑΝ (ed.), Αρχαιολογικό Έργο Θεσσαλίας και Στερεάς Ελλάδας vol. 3, Volos 2012, p. 463–473. The letterforms described by DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015, p. 15) probably suggest an earlier date than the "milieu du IIe siècle av. J.-C.", as they propose. Note particularly the smaller round letters (omicron and theta), as well as the slightly open sigma and omega, all of which are forms typical of the mid-Hellenistic period; omicron is inscribed well above the bottom register of the other letters, a further palaeographic criterion specific to this period; alpha virtually always has a straight horizontal bar. Generally speaking, the letters in the new stele from Larisa/Marmarini compare well with those in the inscriptions edited and discussed in B. HELLY, "La capitale de Thessalie face aux dangers de la troisième guerre de Macédoine : l'année 171 av. J.-C. à Larisa", Topoi 15 (2007), p. 127-249, but they also parallel those contained in the earlier letters of Philip V to Larisa (IG IX 2, 517, with ph.; dated to 215 BC). Regrettably, the published photographs in edd. pr. (p. 16, figs. 2 and 3), of rather poor quality, do not readily help to confirm these observations, though this is now possible thanks to the new photographs made available by the Ephoreia. The letters are written in the same competent hand on both sides, with the exception, perhaps, of the intralinear insertion in lines B 17 and 19 (though this is not made clear in Decourt and Tziaphalias' discussion).

collected rather miscellaneously. On this face of the stele, we find rules for entry into various areas of the sanctuary ( $\epsilon$ ic  $\tau$ òv vaòv, lines B 1–6;  $\epsilon$ ic  $\tau$ ò  $\pi$ óoθυρον, subdivided into two paragraphs, lines B 7–12 and 13–16); rules concerning collections ( $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\epsilon$ i $\rho\epsilon$ iv) performed by worshippers and by the female cult officials called  $\varphi$ oi $\beta$  $\dot{\alpha}\tau\rho$ iat, literally "prophetesses" or "purifiers" (B 17–21); a short copy of an "inscription on the peristyle" concerning preliminary sacrifices to Phylake and Mên (B 22–23);<sup>2</sup> and finally, a large set of casuistic regulations concerning sacrifice and purification (B 24–82, divided into at least 9 paragraphs).<sup>3</sup> The latter regulations almost all begin with clauses in a hypothetical formulation ( $\dot{\epsilon}$ av  $\delta$ é  $\tau$ ic...), followed by a set of prescriptions in each given case. That is to say, we read: if one wishes to sacrifice in such-and-such a way, then do this; or if one commits this sort of infraction, then purify the sanctuary in such-and-such a fashion.

Since face B of the stele, containing this relatively well-organised miscellany of regulations, does not begin with any sort of title or preamble, it may be assumed that the more badly preserved face A was the first or front side of the stele. As such, it probably contained something of an introduction to the code of regulations, now lost or illegible. Indeed, any potentially informative text at the beginning of face A is regrettably difficult to decipher or simply irrecoverable:

3. DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 15, have briefly and rather vaguely remarked that: "subsistent, bien visibles sur la face B, les traces d'un règlage". In fact, the beginning of each subsection of this compendium of regulations on side B has been clearly indicated by a paragraphos at the left margin (these are clearly visible on the new photograph, and, for the most part, on the published photograph of side B: p. 16, fig. 3). More specifically, the paragraphoi occur before lines: B 7, 13, 17, 22, 24, 46, 50, 52, 54, 58, 62, 66, and 71. Some of the paragraphoi were particularly helpful in outlining separate clauses in the regulations that did not begin at the left margin and were not concluded by any space left empty (a vacat). Such instances of new sections were further demarcated by dicolon punctuation (:), as on side A; these have also not been noted by edd. pr., but occur in the following passages: line B 35, after  $\pi\alpha\alpha\beta$  and before  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\gamma\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ τις κτλ. (without a paragraphos in the margin); B 45: βουλόμενος : αν δε τις κτλ.; B 49: γεύεται : έάν τις κτλ.; Β 61: προβάτων : ὅσοι; and finally Β 70: λύχνον : ἐἀν δέ τις κτλ. These paragraphoi and punctuation marks thus carefully outlined the separate sections of the compendium on face B and facilitated consultation of the regulations. (The major exceptions to this general principle occur after lines 73ff., where expected *paragraphoi* no longer appear; near the end of B 74, for instance, we find  $\chi\eta\nu\phi\varsigma:\pi\rho\phi\varsigma\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  xt $\lambda$ . but not the anticipated *paragraphos* at the left margin before the next line; the same in B 79, which should read: κάθαρσιν : ώσαύτως δὲ κτλ.). Any traces of paragraphoi, if originally present on side A, are no longer visible on the published photograph. Further study of the stone may help to clarify these data.

<sup>2.</sup> Lines B 22–23 read in full: ἐπιγραφὴ εἰς τὸ περίστυλον: "προθῦσαι πρώτηι τῆι Φυλ[α] |×ῆι καὶ τῶι Μηνὶ θύματα λιβάνωτον" vacat. Note the large amount of empty space concluding line B 23. It thus seems clear that this is a direct quotation of a concise inscription which was engraved on the peristyle of the sanctuary at Larisa/Marmarini. It advised worshippers and other visitors to make preliminary sacrifices of burnt incense before entering this inner part of the sanctuary, presumably a courtyard surrounding the temple (ναός). In this context, πρώτηι may warrant some explanation: though it could be an adjective qualifying the goddess, it can also be explained as suggesting an implied adverbial or temporal phrase: "first" or even "on the first day" (the construction remains somewhat odd, however, cp. LSJ s.v. πρότερος B.III).

some 21 lines are badly effaced according to Decourt and Tziaphalias.<sup>4</sup> After this sizeable portion of apparently illegible text, we next read several lines which are arranged chronologically (A 3-18)-from at least the twelfth (τῆι δωδεκάτηι) to the nineteenth day of a month, with each dated entry carefully demarcated by dicolon interpuncts (:). These lines outline the sequential celebration of rituals in honour of a variety of different deities. After another instance of dicolon punctuation in line A 18, the text then apparently introduces a substantial section about the τελετή τῆς θεοῦ, literally "the initiation of the goddess" (lines A 18-38 or perhaps more?); this concerns elaborate mystery rites, involving shaving (ξυρεῖσθαι, line A 19 and *passim*), various abstentions, purifications and other rituals, which were celebrated in honour of the principal goddess mentioned in the text, who is almost certainly to be distinguished from another goddess in the cult, Phylake (once, in A 16, called Artemis Phylake).<sup>5</sup> The punctuation here marks a separate section in the regulations, which is confirmed by the heading and the change of subject matter. Given the poor state of the decipherment of side A, it is difficult to fully evaluate whether this passage extensively discussing the initiatory rites was only an excursus in the chronological order of the rituals treated on side A or whether it formed an independent section in the regulations. Decourt and Tziaphalias appear to favour the first of these options and support this by attempting to read further dates in the remaining lines on side A, continuing from the 19th: "on the twentieth" (εἰκάδι) in line A 44 and "on the twenty-sixth" (εἴκοσι ἕκτηι) already in line A 47.6 However, all lines after A 38 are to be treated as extremely fragmentary and poorly deciphered, and therefore both problematic and provisional. In line A 44, είχάδι is preceded by fragmentary traces and followed by two unintelligible letters, 10; this is, perhaps, a misreading, and it cannot be confirmed on the published

<sup>4.</sup> The first twenty-one lines of face A are described by DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 15, as "totalement effacées". In the absence of evidence about these lines, one may doubt DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS' hypothesis (2015), p. 45 (but cf. also p. 31, more cautiously): "On pourrait faire l'hypothèse qu'il existait une autre stèle, où apparaissaient l'autorité responsable de la gravure et éventuellement d'autres prescriptions rituelles, peut-être même des précisions sur le culte « non grec »". Further documents relating to the cults, even in a language other than Greek, are certainly possible. But it is still highly probable that the stele had some form of heading or title at the top of side A. In this context, note also the phrase  $d\pi \partial \pi d\nu tw \tau t \tilde{w} \pi Qoyeyoaute work found early on side B (line 10), which suggests that a list of abstentions would$ have been specified in some fragmentary sections of side A or elsewhere. More damningly,the editors' line of thought in this case appears to propose a dichotomy between Greek andforeign cults which is largely incompatible with the perceptibly 'hybrid' cultural character of thedocument.

DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 26–27, treat the identification of ή θεός with (Artemis) Phylake as certain. It has now been rightly questioned: see R.C.T. PARKER, "The Nameless Goddess of Marmarini", ZPE 199 (2016), 58–59, and esp. in this volume, R.C.T. PARKER and S. SCULLION, "The Mysteries of the Goddess of Marmarini", Kernos 29 (2016) p. 209–266.

Indeed, DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 33, view the whole of side A as a "calendrier cultuel, qui énumère cérémonies et actes liturgiques à accomplir au cours d'un mois": this presumes too much from the poorly preserved remains of this face of the inscription.

photograph. In A 47, εἴκοσι ἕκτηι comes surprisingly soon afterward and has been read in relative isolation by the editors: again, it cannot be confirmed and it may well be the product of wishful thinking. It is also worth noting that the published reading violates the expected form of the ordinal, namely ἕκτηι καὶ εἰκοστῆι (or, at a minimum, εἰκοστῆι ἕκτηι).<sup>7</sup> At any rate, it is also problematic for this further presumed date that it is immediately followed by a substantial concluding section on face A that apparently dealt instead with oaths and various forms of penalties (lines A 48–55).

Accordingly, I would argue that, as far as we can now tell, side A contained at least one festal calendar (lines A 3–18), which was then followed by a substantial but self-standing discussion of the regulations pertaining to the initiation (lines A 18–38 and perhaps beyond, beginning after punctuation with the heading " $\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\tau\eta$   $\tau\eta\varsigma$   $\theta\epsilon\sigma\tilde{v}$ "). There are further ways of supporting the argument that we have a short calendar for a festival in A 3–18. Indeed, two passages from the regulations on side B of the stele are particularly helpful for reconstructing the festivals celebrated as part of this cult and their chronology. These complementary passages are:

Lines B 17-21 (Fig. 1):

άγείζειν μηνός Ίτωνίου \νουμηνία/ ἐπὶ τὰς ἄλους, εἰς δὲ οἰχίαν μὴ ἀγείζειν μηδὲ εἰσφέζειν τὰ ἱεζά· ἐὰμ μὴ τζιῶν ἡμẹ-\ἀγείζειν δὲ τῆι δεχάτηι ἕως δωδεχάτης/

B 20 ρῶν προείπῃ, ἐἀν δέ τις τῶν φοιβατρίων ταῦτα \μὴ/ ποιῆι, ἀποτινέτω εἰς τὸ ἱερὸν ἄρνα καὶ τὰ ἐπὶ τούτωι τὴν θυσίαν.

B 17 \νουμηνία/ litt. inscr. supra ἐπὶ τὰς, scil. νουμηνία<ι>: Νουμηνίας μηνὸς Ἰτωνίου Decourt et Tziaphalias (sed cf. 2015, p. 33). || B 19 litt. inscr. supra ἐἀν δέ…, cp. id. \μή/ supra ποιῆι: [δ]εκάτηι ἕως δωδεκάτηι """" (*i.e.* τοῦ) μηνός D. et T.; ποιῆ D. et T. || B 20 προε[ίπ]η D. et T.



FIG. 1. Detail of the Paragraph in Lines B 17-21 of the Stele

Asyndetic ordinals apparently became increasingly prevalent in later Antiquity, and eventually crystallised into the current Modern Greek forms: thus, εἰκοστὴ πρώτη instead of μία καὶ εἰκοστἡ, etc; but cf. already Hipp. Epid. 1.3.26, which seems to have both kinds of ordinals: ἑπτακαιδεκάτη, εἰκοστὴ πρώτη, εἰκοστὴ ἑβδόμη, τριακοστὴ πρώτη.

and lines B 61-65 (Fig. 2):

όσοι ἂν βούλωνται Νισαναίοις ἢ Ἀλουλαίοις θύειν, εἰς τὴν πομπὴν τὸ ἱερεῖον [ἄ]γειν· ἔστω δὲ ἡ πομπὴ Νισαναίοις μὲν ἐἀν ἡ θεὸς ἀπὸ ποταμοῦ ἔλθηι, τῆι αὕριον : Ἀλουλαίοις δὲ τῆι ἑπτακαιδεκάτηι τὸ πρωΐ· εἰς νύκτα δὲ λαμπαδεύεσθαι· πέμπειν δὲ τὸμ βουλόμενον, αὐθημε-

B 62 άγειν D. et T. | | B 63 <br/> ἕλθηι, α<br/>ύ<br/>ριον, Άλουλαίοις D. et T.



FIG. 2. Detail of the Paragraph in Lines B 61-65 of the Stele

As mentioned above, the first passage in B 17–21 is a short, separate section of the regulations concerning collections. Lines B 61–65 — the second passage — also occur as a separate section among the various types of sacrifices listed on face B (see n. 3 above): individuals who want to offer sacrifices as part of the festivals of the Nisanaia and the Aloulaia are to do so by leading their own animal in the procession; anyone who wishes can do so, and after having washed himself from the head down, can enter into the sanctuary, as far as the shrine of Phylake, in order to participate in the sacrifice.

From the second of these passages (B 61–65), it is clear that there were two major festivals in the cult: the Nisanaia and the Aloulaia. The second of these is more precisely dated. The procession of the Aloulaia is explicitly stated to occur on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of a month, "first thing in the morning": τῆι ἑπτακαιδεκάτηι τὸ πρωΐ. Additionally, from the two small supralinear insertions to the first of the two passages (B 17–21), we learn that ritual collections are to take place at two specific times: on the first day of the month Itonios, the New Moon (ἀγείρειν μηνὸς Ἰτωνίου \νουμηνία/ ἐπὶ τὰς ἅλους); and apparently on a further occasion, when mandatory proclamations in this regard are either to last for three days or, more plausibly, to announce that the second instance of collections is to last three days (ἐὰμ μὴ [scil. ἀγείρειν] τριῶν ἡμε | ῶν προείπῃ, lines B 18 and 20).<sup>8</sup> Indeed, as the later correction in line B 19 has clarified, the second, lengthier collection, prefaced by a proclamation, is specifically to take place from the 10<sup>th</sup> to the 12<sup>th</sup> of a month, most probably again Itonios (\ἀγείρειν δὲ τῆι δεκάτῃι ἕως δωδεκάτῃς/).

<sup>8.</sup> The genitive plural τριῶν ἡμε | ρῶν can be thought of as directly qualifying προείπη; however, if we presume that the infinitive ἀγείρειν is to be read again in this phrase (προείπον *cum infinitivo*: cf. LSJ *s.v.* III), then the sense no doubt becomes more natural, explaining the genitive of "time during which" and matching the supralinear correction. Cp. a public announcement giving notice of "three days" (with accusative rather than an infinitival construction), cited also by LSJ *s.v.* II.

Our second passage from side B, lines 61–65, unequivocally states that the procession for the festival of the Aloulaia/Eloulaia is to take place on the morning of the 17<sup>th</sup> and to last into the night, when it becomes a torchlit procession (εἰς νύκτα δὲ λαμπαδεύεσθαι, line B 64).<sup>10</sup> This demonstrates that the restoration or supplement proposed by Decourt and Tziaphalias in A 15 [ἑβδόμηι καὶ δεκάτηι]

<sup>9.</sup> For proclamations made before festivals, cf. e.g. the entry concerning 5 Pyanepsion in the calendar of the deme of Eleusis, K. CLINTON, Inscriptions of Eleusis, 175.2-6 (ca. 330 BC): πένπτει ίσταμένου | ίεροφάντηι και κήρυκι | ... την έορτην | προαγορεύουσιν τῶν | Προηροσίων; see also S. Dow, R.F. HEALEY, A Sacred Calendar of Eleusis, Cambridge MA/London, 1965, p. 14-20, for discussion. Noteworthy is the fact that the official announcement of festivals and collections often went hand-in-hand: the illustrious Eleusinian mysteries were also prefaced by a proclamation ( $\pi \rho \phi \rho \rho \eta \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ), probably taking place on a day (15 Boedromion) called the  $d \gamma \nu \rho \mu \phi \varsigma$ (literally a 'collection', though in this case the reference is no doubt also to the 'gathering' or 'assembly' of the mystai prior to the rites); see J.D. MIKALSON, The Sacred and Civil Calendar of the Athenian Year, Princeton, 1975, p. 55-56, for the sources. On collections (&yequol) performed by priestly personnel, and particularly priestesses — frequently attested in Hellenistic ritual norms, see esp. P. DEBORD, Aspects sociaux et économiques de la vie religieuse dans l'Anatolie grécoromaine, Leiden, 1982, p. 196, and W. BURKERT, Griechische Religion der archaischen und klassischen Epoche, Stuttgart, 2011 [1977], p. 160-161; cf. also N. ROBERTSON, "Greek Ritual Begging in Aid of Women's Fertility and Childbirth", TAPhA 113 (1983), p. 143-169, though his general interpretation must be cautioned; similarly to be deprecated is DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS' view (2015, p. 44) that the dysouds in the text from Larisa is a "particularité qui accentue le caractère « orientalisant » du rituel". A direct parallel for collections lasting three days before a major sacrifice can be found in the contract for the priestess of Artemis Pergaia at Halikarnassos, LSAM 73.25–27 (ca. 250–200 BC):  $<\hat{e}>$ ν  $\tilde{\phi}<\delta>\hat{e}$  μηνὶ ἡ θυσία | [σ]υντε $<\lambda>$ εῖται ἡ δημοτελὴς άγειρέτω πρό <τ>ῆς θυ[σί] | ας ήμέρας τρεῖς.

<sup>10.</sup> Decourt and Tziaphalias translate εἰς νύκτα perhaps too precisely as "à la tombée de la nuit"; cp. LSJ s.v. νύξ, who give the more approximative "towards night", citing X. Cyn. 11.4, HG 4.6.7. The celebration (τῆι νυκτερινῆι, lines A 13–14) during which the χύτρα is to be filled with water on the 15<sup>th</sup> is a different nocturnal occasion, for which a limited — though at least philologically appropriate — analogy might be the musical rite for Dionysos known as (ô) Nυκτερινός at IG XII Suppl., 400e (Thasos, beg. 3<sup>rd</sup> c. BC); cp. also LSJ s.v. νυκτέλιος (1–2).

cannot be correct, since it proposes that the 17<sup>th</sup> is the day "after the procession" (they also fail to understand the "allusion" to the precisely dated procession of the Aloulaia/Eloulaia, cf. p. 43). In fact, no restoration of a date is warranted or even possible here. The published photograph (p. 16, fig. 2), though difficult to read, shows that there is no lacuna in the phrase  $\tau \eta \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \lambda \tau \eta \nu \pi \sigma \mu \pi \eta \nu$ ; the line as given in the edition of Decourt and Tziaphalias is simply too long. The correct interpretation is therefore that the procession was held on the  $17^{th}$ , as line B 63 clearly informs us, and that the day after the procession,  $\tau \eta \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \lambda \tau \eta \nu \pi \sigma \mu \pi \eta \nu$ , is — consequently, but only implicitly — the  $18^{th}$ . The main day of the procession of the Aloulaia/Eloulaia, the  $17^{th}$ , was therefore left unmentioned in the order of the days found on side A: it will no doubt have been described in sufficient detail elsewhere in the regulations (such as in B 61–65, in fact) or simply have been left implicit.

This portion of the regulations, lines A 3–18, thus offers a sequential outline of the festival of the Aloulaia/Eloulaia, a small calendar of the days from at least the 12<sup>th</sup> to the 19<sup>th</sup>. The festival, preceded by the proclamation and the collections made from the 10<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup>, will have run over the course of a minimum of 7 days as itemised in the calendar, or more properly 8 days counting the procession on the 17<sup>th</sup> which is not described in this section. That being said, though the text of lines A 3–18 must now be corrected at line 15, it is better to refrain from printing a provisional or revised text here, pending further work on the decipherment. Nevertheless, we can summarise the relevant passage as follows: perhaps some earlier days were mentioned in line A 2 (the days of the proclamation and collections, before τῶν Ἐλουλαίων in A 3); the rites for the festival proper commenced with preliminary sacrifices on the 12<sup>th</sup> and purifications on the 13<sup>th</sup>, followed by an adornment of the statue of the goddess on the 14th and a votive sacrifice; on the 15th and the 16th a greater variety of rites was held, notably the filling of a jar (γύτοα) with water during a nocturnal celebration; the celebrations culminated on the 17th, when the major procession for the goddess took place, lasting from the morning into the night; though this is not explicitly described in the calendar, it is clear from side B (lines 61-65) that the occasion included major sacrifices to the goddess (perhaps also to other gods); still further offerings to a plurality of gods continued on the 18th and 19th respectively. A tabulation of the rituals organised in the short calendar of this festival can be found here in Table 1.11

About the Nisanaia, the other festival celebrated in the cult at Larisa/ Marmarini, we are much more poorly informed, no doubt given the terrible state of preservation of side A of the stele. Since we find a further collection performed on the New Moon of the month Itonios (cf. B 17, cited above), it might be assumed that this event, too, marked the beginning of a festival or shortly anticipated it. If the Nisanaia occurred before the Aloulaia/Eloulaia, on the same month, then we would imagine that a short description of this first and earlier festival would

<sup>11.</sup> Cf. already the brief outline originally published in DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2012), p. 466–467.

have been proposed before the extant line A 3 on side A. That the Nisanaia and the Aloulaia/Eloulaia occurred on the same month of Itonios is a hypothesis tentatively favoured by Decourt and Tziaphalias.<sup>12</sup> It might thus be possible to view the apparent date found in the fragmentary line A 1 (πέμπτηι, the 5<sup>th</sup>) as part of a largely lost section on the Nisanaia. Yet, given the poor state of the text on face A, this must remain conjectural. Whatever the case may be, the Nisanaia were perhaps more flexibly dated, as the allusive phrase in B 62–63 in fact suggests: there, we read that the procession occurring during this festival is to take place "if the goddess returns from the river, on the next day" (ἔστω δὲ ἡ πομπὴ Νισαναίοις μὲν ἐἀν ἡ θεὸς ἀπὸ ποταμοῦ ἔλθηι, τῆι αὕϱιον).<sup>13</sup>

The Nisanaia and the Aloulaia/Eloulaia raise several interesting questions concerning the overall ritual calendar underlying the cult at Larisa/Marmarini and its equivalences in the local or Thessalian calendar. As Decourt and Tziaphalias have ably noted, both the Nisanaia and the Aloulaia/Eloulaia derive their names from Semitic months, generally known in Latin orthography as Nisan and Elul respectively (they are still in use today, for instance in the Hebrew calendar).<sup>14</sup> In the standard Mesopotamian lunar calendar of the mid-second and first millennia BC, Nisannu is the first month of the year, and Ulūlu or Elūlu the 6<sup>th</sup> month; the names of the months persisted in the forms *nysn* and *'lul* in the Judean and Palmyran

<sup>12.</sup> DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 33: "Les Aloulaia et les Nisanaia (B61) se seraient déroulées durant Itônios, soit en août/septembre, ainsi que, par hypothèse, les cérémonies d'initiation". As described in  $\sim$ A 18–38, the initiations envisaged by the text were perhaps flexibly dated and did not necessarily occur in the month of Itonios. Yet despite the rather vague phrasing, it is also possible that they were dated to a specific period of three days: cf. A 18-19, τελίσκηται τρεῖς ἡμέρας θεραπεύειν, τῆι τρίτηι ξυρεῖσθαι; Α 20, ξυρησάσθω ἐν τρισὶν ἡμέραις; Α 25, ἀγείρειν τῆι τρίτηι...; and A 28, ἐν τρισίν... We also know that a non-initiate must make abstentions during \*the\* three days (τὰς τρεῖς ἡμέ[ρας]), if he wishes to enter the πρόθυρον in order to make vows or prayers (cf. lines B 7-10). Since it is clear that at least one of these passages (A 25) involves a ritual of collection by initiates just like the ones preliminary to the Aloulaia/ Eloulaia on 10-12 Itonios (B 17-21, quoted above), it is tempting to connect the three days essential to the τελετή (τρεῖς ἡμέρας θεραπεύειν) with those three pre-festival days. Note that such a sequence would have had the further advantage of allowing new initiates to more fully participate in the immediately following festival of the Aloulaia/Eloulaia (see further below on the general inclusivity of the rites, but also on the specific role of the τετελεσμένοι in the early rituals of the festival: cf. lines A 3-4 and 7-8). However, a full assessment and discussion of these issues must await a complete revision of the stele, including a new decipherment of face A.

Contrast DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS' translation "quand la déesse arrive du fleuve", but cf. their p. 36; see also below for further discussion. For τῆι αὕριον, *i.e.* τῆι αὕριον ἡμέραι, cf. LSJ *s.v.* αὕριον III (for the shorthand, see already S. *Tr.* 945 cited there).

<sup>14.</sup> DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 33–34. For the orthography of the month Nisan in Greek, see below n. 22 and cf. also the transliterated SEG 7, 445 (Dura-Europos, undated): θαρθην γοβνιν δααβα(t) βιδ σαλμα | βα νισαν αα βαρζακικη, which is translated as: "Deux lingots d'or sur la main de la statue, le 2 Nisan, Barzakike" (the latter is a personal name).

calendars into the Roman period (and beyond).<sup>15</sup> A further Semitic month to which an oblique reference is made in the inscription is Adar (the 12<sup>th</sup> month in the standard Mesopotamian calendar, Addaru; Judean and Palmyran '*dr*). This allusion occurs in the name of the goddess Adara (line B 80), who is said to share an altar with Lilla.<sup>16</sup> But it would appear that rites for this eponymous goddess are not preserved in the extant regulations; perhaps rites for Adara — taking place in the month of Adar, or its Thessalian equivalent — would have been defined elsewhere, in a currently undeciphered portion of side A.<sup>17</sup>

Can these months and celebrations from the Near East be reconciled with the calendar in use at Larisa and in the nearby region, notably the calendar of the Thessalian League after 196 BC? Manifestly and remarkably, the cultic regulations demonstrate that they were (see *Table 2* for a summary of the parallel calendars discussed here). The Thessalian month of Itonios, mentioned in B 17–21, was the first month of the League calendar, falling in August/September and thought to correspond to Athenian Skirophorion/Metageitnion.<sup>18</sup> As it turns out, this dating

- 16. Cf. already DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 32.
- 17. DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 32, n. 57, note in passing a possible equivalence between Adar and the Macedonian month of Dystros, citing J. AJ 12.412: ... τῆ τϱισκαιδεκάτῃ τοῦ μηνός τοῦ λεγομένου παρὰ μὲν 'Ιουδαίοις 'Άδαρ, κατὰ δὲ Μακεδόνας Δύστρου. This synchronism was generally correct in the period ca. 46/7–176 AD, cf. A.E. SAMUEL, Greek and Roman Chronology: Calendars and Years in Classical Antiquity, Munich, 1972, p. 140–144, for the Seleucid/ Macedonian and Babylonian equivalences (cp. e.g. SEG 60, 1682 from Palmyra). Adar is the last month of the standard Mesopotamian calendar, thus falling before the Spring equinox (our ca. 21 March), on which Nisan, in turn, properly begins. In the Hellenistic period (ca. 323 BC– 15/6 AD), however, Dystros corresponded to Shabatu; Adar to Xandikos: cf. again SAMUEL, *ibid.*, p. 143; see also S. STERN, Calendar and Community: A History of the Jewish Calendar, 2<sup>nd</sup> Century BCE to 10<sup>th</sup> Century CE, Oxford, 2001, p. 35–38, for a discussion of Josephus' equivalences and STERN, *o.e.* (n. 15), p. 234–259, for the continued use of the Babylonian calendar under the guise of the Macedonian calendar by the Seleucids and in still later periods. For further occurrences of Adar in Greek, cf. SEG 2, 776 (Dura-Europos, 3<sup>rd</sup> c. AD); cp. also SEG 8, 282 (Beersheba in Palestine, 6<sup>th</sup> c. AD), a [μά]μ(η) Ἀδάρων.
- 18. On the Thessalian calendar, cf. esp. C. TRÜMPY, Untersuchungen zu den altgriechischen Monatsnamen und Monatsfolgen, Heidelberg, 1997, p. 216–229 § 172–182 (esp. § 172 for the chart of the calendar and its Delphic and Athenian equivalences). See also D. GRANINGER, Cult and Koinon in Hellenistic Thessaly, Leiden/Boston, 2011, p. 97–114, for the development of the calendar of the Thessalian league after ca. 196 BC. All four of the pre-Flamininus months attested at Larisa (Hippodromios, Panemos, Themistios, and Thyios) are also later found in the League calendar (GRANINGER, *ibid.*, p. 96), thus making it difficult to say whether the use of Itonios in the text from Larisa/Marmarini antedates 196 or not. Given the approximative dating proposed here (cf. above n. 1), the inscribing of the stele was either anterior or posterior to the founding of the koinon: both possibilities should remain open until further evidence surfaces.

<sup>15.</sup> M.E. COHEN, The Cultic Calendars of the Ancient Near East, Bethesda MD, 1993, p. 297–342, provides a detailed discussion of the possible origins and the characteristics of these month-names within the standard Mesopotamian calendar; see now M.E. COHEN, Festivals and Calendars of the Ancient Near East, Bethesda MD, 2015, p. 379–447, for an updated analysis. On the Babylonian calendar specifically, see S. STERN, Calendars in Antiquity: Empires, States, and Societies, Oxford, 2012, p. 71–94.

of the Thessalian month is an ideal seasonal match for the Semitic month Elul, which occurs in the same period (5 months after the vernal equinox). The apparent coincidence is too good to ignore.<sup>19</sup> Accordingly, we can reasonably infer that the specifications concerning the proclamation and the collections described in B 17–21, taking place on 1 and 10–12 Itonios respectively, correspond to the general period of the month Elul and, in the second case, to the beginning of the festival of the Aloulaia/Eloulaia more specifically. This reasoning additionally supports the reconstruction of the Aloulaia/Eloulaia proposed above: we can conclude that the Aloulaia took place from 12–19 Itonios in the Thessalian calendar,

over a period of 8 days.<sup>20</sup>

This argument further entails that the collection on 1 Itonios cannot be tied to the Nisanaia, or with "the initiation of the goddess". There are several clues that might help to elucidate the first collection on the New Moon of Itonios. The first day of Itonios in fact marked the beginning of the New Year in the Thessalian calendar (perhaps in the earlier calendar of Larisa too): the occasion must therefore have been an important one at Larisa and in neighbouring communities. Most intriguingly, the collections made by the worshippers and priestesses on this occasion take place within or near the local community (note the restrictive mention of eic de olzíav in B 17 and see below, n. 27), yet they are in fact to be confined to a specific area: ἐπὶ τὰς ἄλους. Given the early autumnal character of Itonios, the beginning of the Thessalian year and the Aloulaia/Eloulaia, we can only translate this phrase as "at the threshing-floors" (ἄλως). Though it must remain hypothetical for the time being, the overall context of the day of 1 Itonios might be reconstructed as follows: the new Thessalian year begins; it is the end of the summer and beginning of the fall; a local festival may take place, perhaps connected with the threshing of grain, now dry after the season of the harvest; the priestesses and other worshippers involved in the cult visit the farmers at their threshing-floors; they collect money or more specifically offerings in kind (e.g. grain), which will later be augmented by a further three-day collection, all of which

<sup>19.</sup> Cf. already the astute deduction of DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 34: "Les Aloulaia... on constate une correspondance calendaire entre le mois grec Itônion et le sémitique Aloul". Note also that the dates of 17–19 Itonios, the culmination of the festival of the Aloulaia/ Eloulaia, might be presumed to have usually corresponded with the autumnal equinox on ca. 21 September or to have shortly anticipated it (reckoning 5 months and several weeks after ca. 21 March/1 Nisan, see above n. 17).

<sup>20.</sup> It is worth underlining that a length of a week or of 8 days can be considered as an instance of a paradigmatic duration for Near Eastern festivals, such as the occasionally seven-day-long *akitu*-festival marking the New Year in Nisan (COHEN, *o.c.* [n. 15], 1993, p. 307; 2015, p. 389–408), as well as, of course, the Jewish Passover and the Christian Holy Week. On the Jewish calendar in the Hellenistic and Roman periods, see STERN, *o.c.* (n. 17), 2001, esp. chp. 1.

will be used in the cult and the rites of the Aloulaia/Eloulaia commencing some 10 days later.<sup>21</sup>

To view both festivals, the Nisanaia and Aloulaia/Eloulaia, as taking place in the same month would have represented an unusual foreshortening of the expected seasonal sequence of the months and their associated rites. Instead, the festival of the Nisanaia should be thought to fall at its proper place in the Semitic calendar, namely around the time of the vernal equinox (ca. 21 March). A lemma of Hesychius attests that Nisan corresponded to the Macedonian Artemisios, roughly the month of April; further parallels between the Seleucid and Babylonian calendars substantiate this general correspondence.<sup>22</sup> Just as the Aloulaia-Eloulaia took place from 12-19 Itonios (or approximately in September), then, the Nisanaia will have been dated in the Spring, around or immediately following the time of the vernal equinox. This would most likely have corresponded to a date in the Thessalian month of Aphrios (7 months after Itonios, or approximately in April; see again Table 2). Furthermore, it is clear that the Nisanaia involved a significant purificatory ritual in the springtime: probably the carrying of the statue of the goddess to the river, for its washing or other ablutions; "if" she returned, then a procession was held on the next day (lines B 62-63).23 The dating of the Nisanaia

 Hsch. s.n. Νισάν ὁ Ἀρτεμίσιος μήν (also cited by DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 34, though with a different conclusion). For this correspondence during the Hellenistic period, cf. SAMUEL a.c. (n. 17), p. 143.

For the phrase  $\delta \pi i$  tax along, contrast DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 25, who hesi-21. tatingly think of "piazzette". The period of the harvest in ancient Macedonia and Thessaly can be thought to have fallen in mid- to late summer, cf. Pol. 4.66.7 (concerning the year 220/219 BC): Φίλιππος... τους μέν Μακεδόνας διαφῆκε πάντας ἐπὶ τὴν τῆς ὀπώρας συγκομιδήν, αὐτὸς δὲ πορευθεὶς εἰς Θετταλίαν τὸ λοιπὸν μέρος τοῦ θέρους ἐν Λαρίση διῆγεν. The harvest of grain nowadays takes place fairly early on the Thessalian plain, but near the end of summer in the more mountainous regions, cf. P. HALSTEAD, Two Oxen Ahead, Malden MA/Oxford, 2014, p. 72: «June to early July in lowland northern Greece and late July to early September in the mountains of northwest Greece". In any case, the drving and threshing of the grain would typically take place over the course of several weeks after the actual harvest; cf. again HALSTEAD, ibid., chp. 4. Note that, in and of themselves, the collections on 1 Itonios can be closely tied to the Aloulaia/Eloulaia. Indeed, collections could occasionally anticipate a festival or sacrifice by many days, as these clearly do (10 days before the second series of collections on 10-12 Itonios): cf. the priestess of Meter Phrygie at Priene who made collections in the company of other women on the 4th of Artemision for a sacrifice on the 12th of the same month: D. KAH, H.-U. WIEMER, "Die Phrygische Mutter im hellenistischen Priene", EA 44 (2011), p. 1-54 (here: p. 3-4, lines 19-29). For the more general idea that the New Moon might mark a regular gathering in the sanctuary at Larisa/Marmarini or in the community at large, cf. e.g. the rites held on this date in Athens, MIKALSON, o.c. (n. 9), p. 14-15.

<sup>23.</sup> DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 36–37 rightly underline the role of water in the cult and plausibly suggest that the river mentioned in this passage was a small tributary of the Peneus river in Thessaly, now called Megalorema; the sanctuary may thus have been located in the area to the southwest of Marmarini. But if our text originally belonged more closely to the area of the city of Larisa, the river in question may have been the Peneus itself. For the purification of Ishtar in a sacred river during the month Elul, see below n. 25. The purification of statues of

thus remains somewhat unclear, but perhaps deliberately so. It presents a (no doubt largely artificial) ritual uncertainty: the return of the goddess may not have been completely predictable, but it was a good omen if and when it happened; presumably, it almost always did, and was celebrated accordingly with a procession on the following day.<sup>24</sup>

To summarise, the festal calendar expounded in the stele from Larisa/ Marmarini is best envisaged as a traditional form of Semitic ritual calendar, to which corresponding dates in the local calendar of Larisa or of the Thessalian league are attributed. In other words, as with many other aspects of the cults described in the stele, the ritual calendar is a hybrid, a snapshot of a meeting ground between at least two cultures. The calendar of the rituals may to some degree mark the beginning of the Thessalian year (1 Itonios); the festival of the Aloulaia/Eloulaia takes place a short time later, at its expected stage in the early Autumn.<sup>25</sup> An earlier passage of side A (lines A 1–2 and before; see above) is likely to have discussed the Nisanaia, since Nisan traditionally anticipated Elul (note particularly how the Nisanaia are mentioned before the Aloulaia in lines B 61–64). The Nisanaia took place in the Spring, in the middle of the Thessalian year, but will nevertheless have marked the beginning of a new ritual year for this cult at

goddesses in rivers is also well-attested in the Greek world, see M.J.P. DILLON, *Girls and Women in Classical Greek Religion*, London/New York, 2001, p. 132–136; for example, the Plynteria, a washing festival for the goddess Athena in Athens, also took place in the Spring, see MIKALSON, *o.c.* (n. 9), p. 160–161 and 163–164; R. PARKER, *Polytheism and Society at Athens*, Oxford, 2005, p. 478.

<sup>24.</sup> I owe this excellent suggestion to Robert Parker. See also above, n. 13.

Several Near Eastern rituals of the month Elul might potentially parallel the Aloulaia/Eloulaia. 25. On the month, cf. COHEN, o.c. (n. 15), 1993, p. 321-326 and 2015, p. 421-424; its name may derive from *ululu*, "to purify", or to "consecrate a deity". Cohen lists a variety of sources, including the Assyrian astrolabe B which mentions: "The month Elulu, the work of the Elamite Ishtar, the goddesses purify themselves in the sacred river, they have their annual cleansing". This not only forms a compelling parallel with the Nisanaia at Larisa/Marmarini, but it may also suggest an apt background for a large festival of the goddess: see in particular the rites described on 13–14 Itonios (Table 1). Note also the "festival of the akitu-house" celebrated by Ashurbanipal II (883-859 BC) in honour of Ishtar on the 17th of Ululu (COHEN, o.c. [n. 15], 2015, p. 423). Also particularly noteworthy is the series of ablutions (rimkāni) and distributions of wine attested in Assyria during this month (COHEN a.c. [n. 15], 1993, p. 323 with n. 2): "on the 3rd for Anu, on the 15th for Adad, on the 16th of the month for Sin [cp. Mên?] and Šamaš [cp. Helios?] and the 18th for Aššur. A kettledrum performance (lilissati) before the gods occurred on the 17<sup>th</sup>"; cp. also similar rites held in Nisan in Assyria, COHEN a.c. (n. 15), 1993, p. 308. For kettledrums used in month 7 (Ululu) at Babylon: cf. also E. ROBBINS, "Tabular Sacrifice Records and the Cultic Calendar of Neo-Babylonian Uruk", JCS 48 (1996), p. 61-87, here: 81. To these kettledrums, compare most probably the τύμπανα mentioned in line A 5 of the stele from Larisa/Marmarini, and contrast DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS' interpretation of these τύμπανα as architectural elements (2015, p. 25; contradicting the primary sense found in LSJ s.v. τύμπανον).

Larisa/Marmarini.<sup>26</sup> Given the incomplete decipherment of a side A, these must remain general impressions. It is also very much to be hoped that specialists of Near Eastern religion will be able to further elucidate the background or possible models for the rituals found at Larisa/Marmarini.

# 2. The Alouliastai: A Parallel for a Semitic Association at Larisa?

In all of this manifold stele, with its multiplicity of regulations, no mention is made of an issuing authority for the document: it is possible that this is also now missing in the fragmentary top of side A. We only hear obliquely of various groups of participants involved in the cult. These are, naturally, the cult personnel: a singular priestess (presumably the priestess of the goddess), female ritual agents usually called  $\varphi o_1\beta \alpha \tau_0 \alpha_1$ , and the vewxógoç (lines A 6–7, 23, 34, B 4–5, 20, 40); and individuals or groups, especially initiates (of  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon v o_1 \pi \gamma_1 \theta \epsilon \sigma v \alpha_2 \alpha_3)$  — but also impure individuals, oi  $\dot{\alpha} \times \dot{\alpha} \theta \alpha \rho \tau o_1$ , presumably those who are not  $\dot{\alpha} \gamma v o_1 \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \epsilon \sigma v$  and who have yet to be initiated (A 19–21; cp. also the frequent mentions of  $\dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \eta \tau \sigma v / \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \eta \tau o_1$  at B 1, 7, 13). Therefore, we cannot identify with absolute certainty the agent(s) which lies behind the publication of the stele.

It is of course possible that the stele and the regulations it contains were issued by the city of Larisa itself or by a nearby political community, in which case the unusual mystery cult detailed in the document will — quite remarkably — have been substantially integrated into the city or community in question.<sup>27</sup> It is certainly clear that the text appeals to a large body of actual and potential initiates.

<sup>26.</sup> On the month Nisan (lit. "First-Offerings"), see again COHEN (*o.c.* [n. 15], 1993, p. 305–309; 2015, p. 387–389). For a major spring festival occurring in Nisan at Nabataean Khirbet et-Tannur (ca. 100–150 AD), involving sacrifices of animals, incense and cakes, see now J.S. MCKENZIE *et al.*, *The Nabataean Temple at Khirbet et-Tannur, Jordan*, vol. 1: *Architecture and Religion*, Boston, 2013, p. 249. In Mesopotamia, the month was characterised by rites of "installation of the king" and was a sacred month for the god Sin; for a discussion of holocausts in Nisan, see now PARKER and SCULLION (2016), esp. with n. 97. Since Mên is the *paredros* of Artemis (Phylake) at Larisa/Marmarini (cf. DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS 2015, p. 27–28), but not mentioned explicitly during the Aloulaia/Eloulaia, we might perhaps expect his cult to have been discussed as part of an earlier section on the Nisanaia. In Greece, Mên could be worshipped on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of the month, or more flexibly from the New Moon until the 15<sup>th</sup>, as we find in the foundation of his cult at Sounion, *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1366.16–20 (1<sup>st</sup> c. AD?).

<sup>27.</sup> Note again that, though the sanctuary at Larisa/Marmarini was perhaps situated in the countryside, the text clearly assumes a nearby community. As part of their collections on 1 Itonios, the priestesses are not to enter any houses, nor to carry the *biera* into them (B 17–18: εἰς δὲ οἰλίαν μὴ ἀγείρειν μηδὲ εἰσφέρειν τὰ ἱερά; cp. again the hesitation of DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS 2015, p. 25). The phrase εἰς οἰλίαν, without the article, must be taken as generic (assuming a plural referent, rather than a singular οἰλία in the sanctuary). For collections where the priest or priestess is forbidden to approach or enter houses in a community, cp. again esp. the contract for the priestess of Artemis Pergaia at Halikarnassos, LSAM 73.27–28 (ca. 250–200 BC): ἀγειρέτω πρὸ <τ>ῆς θυ[σί] | ας ἡμέρας τρεῖς ἐπ' οἰλίαν μὴ ποg<ε>υομένη.

However, the editors Decourt and Tziaphalias rightly express their doubts about the role of a city or subcivic group, underlining in particular one formula contained early in the festal calendar on side A, lines 3–4:  $\pi \varrho o \theta \psi \epsilon \nu \varkappa \lambda \nu \delta \nu \eta \nu \varkappa \lambda \ell [\delta] (\alpha \iota^{28}$  The editors point out that one might have expected the phrase to have read  $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma (\alpha \iota \varkappa \lambda)$  [ $\delta$ ]( $\alpha \iota$  if a city were involved in the proceedings. Decourt and Tziaphalias' objection is interesting, but not especially probative, since actions undertaken  $\varkappa \delta \nu \eta \nu \eta \iota \varkappa \lambda$  [ $\delta \delta \alpha \iota$  can be invoked in the context of political decrees just as much as within the purview of private associations.<sup>29</sup>

Though a political community cannot be completely excluded as part of the background for the rules, it cannot be assumed either. While occasionally oddly formulated, the text of the stele is composed in fairly fluent *koine*, rather than in the epichoric Thessalian dialect. The use of *koine* is documented in Thessaly as far back as the middle of the fourth century BC, becoming somewhat more common with the founding of the League, and only prevalent by the end of the second century BC.<sup>30</sup> In keeping with this wider historical context, it is therefore probable that *koine* was used to write the rules on the stele specifically in order to increase their legibility and their accessibility, not only to local inhabitants but also to foreigners. The unusual phrases in the regulations and their careful inscribing in *koine* are not entirely paradoxical, but rather seem to reflect a diverse and multiethnic community focussed around a sanctuary.

Cf. DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 46: "Si la cité était impliquée, on attendrait plutôt δημοσίαι καὶ [lõ]ίαι; ici, le sacrifice peut être soit individuel, soit collectif, mais non pas civique".

Civic decrees: e.g. V. PETRAKOS, *Hoi Epigraphes tou Oropou*, 144 (ca. 240–180 BC) or *IG* XI 4, 539 (Delos, end 4<sup>th</sup>-early 3<sup>rd</sup> c. BC), line 5. Associations: e.g. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1263 (300/299 BC), lines 15–16.

Early use of *koine*: S.G. MILLER, "The Altar of the Six Goddesses in Thessalian Pherai", *CA* 7 (1974), p. 231–256, here: 236 with n. 13. Prevalence by ca. 120–110 BC: R. BOUCHON, "Les 'porteurs de toge' de Larisa", *Topoi* 15 (2007), p. 251–284, here: 260 with n. 21. See also now PARKER and SCULLION (2016), with n. 9.

major festivals as initiates but are not necessarily required to do so (e.g. A 3–7, B 61–65). Even some limited access to the sanctuary for the purposes of cult is granted to non-initiates, namely as far as the vestibule or portico ( $\pi \varrho \delta \theta \upsilon \varrho \sigma v$ , B 7–10).

Using a hitherto unrecognised point of comparison, one can in fact find a potential parallel for such a group: a cultic association perhaps not unlike the one that might have codified the singularly detailed stele from Larisa/Marmarini.<sup>31</sup> Fairly recently published, a small, inscribed slab of white marble from the island of Kos (1<sup>st</sup> c. BC), has not attracted much attention.<sup>32</sup> Segre interpreted the inscription as a boundary marker of the burial grounds of an association (*thiasos*), correcting its name to read "Anoubiastai"; this has now been accepted by scholars working on Egyptian and Greek religion.<sup>33</sup> Such boundary stones of burial plots (*thekaia*) are abundantly attested on Kos, from the ancient town and especially the surrounding necropolis: they are attributed, using the genitive case, to individuals and families, as well as to associations.<sup>34</sup> A small but good number of these boundary stones do indeed relate to groups involved in Egyptian cults, attesting to the importance

On cultic or 'religious' associations, see esp. V. GABRIELSEN, "Brotherhoods of Faith and Provident Planning: the Non-Public Associations of the Greek World", *MHR* 22 (2007), p. 183–210; cf. also now J. STEINHAUER, *Religious Associations in the Post-Classical Polis*, Stuttgart, 2014.

<sup>32.</sup> I.Cos EF 458 (cf. SEG 57, 782): ὅζος | θηκαίων θιάσου | Ά<ν>ου<β>ιαστῶν τῶν | σὺν Ἀπολλωνίω | τοῦ Ἀσκλεπιο | δώζου. Segre describes it as a "Lastro di marmo bianco adatta ad essere infissa nel terreno"; height 49 cm, width 31 cm, depth 4.5 cm, letters 1.5 cm. The findspot is Platani-Kermetes, a neighbourhood to the southwest of the city of Kos, *i.e.* in the principal necropolis, which lies between the city and the Asklepicion. Cf. now the new edition by K. Hallof as IG XII,4 2781, on the basis of autopsy of the stone (in the storeroom of the Castle of Kos), a squeeze and a photograph (Hallof gives the measurements as: height 48 cm, width 30 cm, depth 7 cm). A text, with a photograph of the stone may also be consulted in C. TSOULI, Taφικά καὶ ἐπιτάφια μνημεῖα τῆς Κῶ, diss. Athens, 2013, no. 640.

RICIS 204/1011 (Suppl. II, p. 301); cp. e.g. S. MAILLOT, "Les associations à Cos", in P. HAMON, P. FRÖHLICH (eds.), Groupes et associations dans les cités grecques (IIIf siècle av. J.-C. – If siècle ap. J.-C.), Geneva, 2013, p. 199–226, here: 225 no. 53.

<sup>34.</sup> See MAILLOT (2013), p. 222–226, for a catalogue of the more than 40 inscriptions relating to the burial plots of groups (usually cultic groups, θίασοι) on the island (cf. now *IG* XII 4, 2772–2826, *i.e.* a total of 55 items). However, Maillot probably overestimates (p. 209) the extent to which expatriates were involved in forming such associations in order to secure plots for burial. Several inscriptions in her catalogue do not appear to relate to foreigners specifically (e.g. the apparent professional association in *I.Cos* EF 454 / *IG* XII 4, 2800, 1<sup>st</sup> c. BC–AD: δφος θημαίων | θιάσου στο |μέ[τǫων (?) —]). Additionally, note that some of the associations from Kos have names formulated in the Dorian dialect, for instance *I.Cos* EF 208 / *IG* XII 4, 2815 (late 1<sup>st</sup>–2<sup>nd</sup> c. AD): Ἀθανα | ὕστᾶν τῶν σ | ὑν Διογέ | νει, while others seem to favour *koine*, cf. e.g. *I.Cos* EF 429 / *IG* XII 4, 2798 (1<sup>st</sup> c. BC–AD; cp. 2799): δφος θιάσου | Τύχης Ἀφοδί | της τῶν σὺν Τερ | τία Διδία Δωρο | θέα. To assume that the latter indicates that the association had 'foreign' roots remains conjectural, unless an identification of the provenance of the cult or the founder of the association is also possible (but that is seldom the case). Much of the context and the status of these groups in Koan society is now lost to us.

of this form of worship on the island.<sup>35</sup> But it remains striking that the name Anoubiastai, albeit not an unexpected formulation for worshippers of the god Anoubis, is nonetheless extremely rare for a cultic association.<sup>36</sup>

In any case, we now know better: the text of the inscription from Kos has been unduly corrected. In line 3, Segre, Tsouli, and now Hallof in *IG*, all plainly read AAOYAIAETAN on the stone. In the new light cast by the inscription from Larisa/Marmarini, which describes in detail a celebration called AAOUA( $\alpha$  (lines B 61–63) or 'EAOUA $\alpha$ (a (line A 3), it may now be proposed that the deciphering of the stone on the part of its editors is indeed correct. We thus have here, on Kos, the first attestation of a cultic association apparently related to the same festival. The text should therefore read:

Ed. pr. Segre, *I.Cos* EF 458 (cf. *SEG* 57, 782; Maillot 2013: 225 no. 53). Other editions: Bricault, *RICIS* 204/1011 (Suppl. II, p. 301); Tsouli 2013: no. 640c, with ph.; Hallof, *IG* XII 4, 2781.

<sup>πττ</sup> δίος <sup>πτττ</sup> θηκαίων θιάσου Άλουλιαστᾶν τῶν σύν Ἀπολλωνίω τοῦ Ἀσκληπιο- "

5 τοῦ Ἀσκληπ
<sup>νν</sup> δώρου. <sup>νacat</sup>

3 ΑΛΟΥΛΙΑΣΤΑΝ lapis: Ἀ<ν>ου<β>ιαστᾶν Segre, dubit. Hallof ("nescio an iure"). | 5–6 Ἀσκληπιο |δώρου Η.: Ἀσκλεπιο |δώρου S.

The group on Kos was therefore called the Alouliastai or more properly the  $\theta(\alpha\sigma\sigma\varsigma)$  of the Alouliastai. The name may be analysed as follows: the element  $\theta(\alpha\sigma\sigma\varsigma)$ , though a relatively generic descriptive term, properly refers to a cultic group or association (it is virtually never found of a group that did not have a cultic vocation or purpose); the word Alouliastai is formed using a frequent suffix for such cultic groups and associations, namely as an agent noun ending in - $\tau\eta\varsigma$ .<sup>37</sup> That being said,

<sup>35.</sup> Egyptian cults: *I.Cos* EF 470 / *IG* XII 4, 2813 (*RICIS* 204/1012 [Suppl. II, p. 301], 1<sup>st</sup> c. AD): δφος [[θιά]] σου θηκαί |ων ἱεφοδού |λων "Ισιδο[ς] | τῶν σὺν [Eὑ] | χαφίστω | μῆκος [[πό(δες) ι']] | πλ(άτος) πό(δες) ι'; A. MAIURI, *Nuova sillage epigrafica di Rodi e Cos* [*NSER*], Florence, 1925, no. 493 / *IG* XII 4, 2822 (cf. *RICIS* 204/1008, 2<sup>nd</sup> c. AD): ὄφος | θηκαί |ων θιάσ | ου 'Ισιασ | τῶν τῶ| ν σὺν Κτ | [ησικ]λέ[α] (cp. *RICIS* 204/1003); and D. BOSNAKIS, *Άνέκδοτες ἐπιγραφές τῆς Κῶ*, Athens, 2008, no. 286 / *IG* XII 4, 2823 (cf. *RICIS* 204/1013, 2<sup>nd</sup> c. AD): [ὄφος θη |καίων] | 'Όσιρια[σ] | τῶν τῶ[ν] | σὺν 'Ἐπ[t] | τυγχά | νοντ[ι] (cp. *I.Cos* EV 13, *RICIS* 204/1001).

<sup>36.</sup> Cultic groups centered specifically around the god Anubis are extremely rare. To my knowledge, there is only one famous case, *I.Smyrna* 765 (*RICIS* 304/0201, ca. 300–250 BC), beginning: Ανούβι: | δπέϱ βασιλίσσης | Στρατονίκης | καὶ δπέϱ ἑαυτῶν | Ἡράκλειτος Ἀρχιγένους | καὶ οἰ συνανουβιασταί. Anubis is instead usually worshipped as a tertiary figure, alongside Sarapis and Isis; for a single dedication of this sort on Kos, see *IG* XII 4, 551 / *RICIS* 204/1002 (1<sup>st</sup> c. BC).

<sup>37.</sup> For the construction of the agent noun, cf. e.g. Άθηναΐζω (Eust. 1742.2)  $\rightarrow$  Ἀθηναϊστής/ Ἀθηναϊσταί (for participial forms, recall, *inter alia*, θεσμοφοριάζω  $\rightarrow$  Θεσμοφοριάζουσαι, or the Ἀδωνιάζουσαι of Theor. 15 [Ἀδωνιασμός: Ar. Lys. 389]). On the names of associations, the

the linguistic analysis of the name Alouliastai is not completely straightforward: it remains difficult to determine whether the name can be thought to signify that of the festival, Aloulaia, or that of the month, Elul (here: Åλουλ). Indeed, though this kind of name for an association is usually thought to be constructed from a theonym or an epithet, the agent noun can often be said to be 'heortephoric', formed from the appellation of a festival or the act of celebrating a god during cult (the root verb in -ζω).<sup>38</sup> Yet, just as well, such names can sometimes also be considered 'menophoric', derived from the name of month. The latter may be an equally valid inference as far as the group from Kos is concerned, since, if it were built from the proper designation of the festival (Aloulaia), we might have expected the name to appear as \*Aloulaistai or \*Aloulaiastai.

Decourt and Tziaphalias struggle to find names echoing the month Elul outside of the Near East and dating before the Roman period.<sup>39</sup> In addition to the inscription from Kos, it is worth adding that a personal name, Alulaios, is attested on Delos already in the middle of the third century BC.<sup>40</sup> This sort of appellation is commonly viewed as a 'birthday name', constructed adjectivally from the month during which an individual was born; thus, such a name is also 'menophoric'. Yet it might also be hypothesised that Alulaios is a theophoric name, implying a homonymous deity, but one which would remain to be further corroborated. In this regard, it is intriguing that the text from Larisa/Marmarini mentions an enigmatic deity called Alaia, only once, but in the context of the core rituals of the Aloulaia/Eloulaia (line A 15: τῆι μετὰ τὴν πομπὴν, θύειν Ἀλαιαι καὶ βάλλειν).

An association relating to the cultic sphere of the Near East — rather than to Egypt — is not unexpected on Kos. From another part of the periphery of the city comes a boundary stone for the burial grounds of the  $\theta(\alpha\sigma\sigma\varsigma)$  of Zeus Soter and Astarte, the prominent Levantine and Near Eastern goddess.<sup>41</sup> But given the

best and most extensive treatment still remains that of F. POLAND, *Geschichte des griechischen* Vereinswesens, Leipzig, 1909, p. 1–172 ("Namen und Arten"); on agent nouns more widely, see E. FRAENKEL, *Geschichte der griechischen Nomina agentis auf -tήρ, -tωρ, -tωρ, -tης* (-t-), 2 vols., Strassburg, 1910–1912 (and esp. p. 175–178, with examples of associations from Rhodes).

<sup>38.</sup> Cf. esp. POLAND (1909), p. 62, for names which I call 'heortephoric' here. Note esp. the frequent νουμηνιασταί (e.g. IGDOP 96), or the koinon of Θεοξενιασταί from Tenos (IG XII 5, 872.114–118, ca. 400–350 BC; the epithet Theoxenios is an unicum at Paus. 7.27.4 and no doubt also derived from a festival, in this case involving Apollo). In many cases, it remains difficult to make a firm decision about the root of a name: Σωτηριασταί, for instance (e.g. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1343), might refer to the epithet Σωτήρ (cp. the Rhodian Διοσσωτηριασταί, e.g. IG XII 1, 939), or perhaps better to a festival called Σωτήρια (Σωτηρια-σταί); of course, the two possibilities are not entirely mutually exclusive, since they equally assume a root verb \*σωτηριάζω.

<sup>39.</sup> DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 33–34.

<sup>40.</sup> The name belongs to the father of an individual called Ἐπάρχους τοῦ Ἀλυλαίου, attested a few times: cf. LGPN I s.v. Ἀλυλαίος (ca. 240–230 BC). For another instance of this personal name in Roman Syria, see also PARKER and SCULLON (2016), with n. 14.

I. Cos EF 202 / IG XII 4, 2810 (cf. A. MAIURI, NSER 496; 1<sup>st</sup> c. AD): ὄϱος θη[μ|α](ων θιάσ[ου] | Διὸς Σωτῆ[ϱο] |ς καὶ Ἀστά[ϱ | τ]ης οἶ ἀϱχι | [εϱανι]στὰς [----]. In this case, the group

limited information available about these groups on Kos, our conclusions must be modest. For example, we cannot tell who the individual mentioned alongside the group of the Alouliastai was: both Apollonios and Asklepiodoros are extremely common names. Was he perhaps the founder of the group, or simply its leader? At any rate, what seems clear is that individuals and groups with ties to the month Elul and its festival of the Aloulaia/Eloulaia had settled not only in Thessaly by the Hellenistic period, but also across the Aegean, such as on Delos and Kos.

With this new information in hand, we are perhaps one step closer to identifying a source for the promulgation of the rules and rituals in the newly published stele from Larisa/Marmarini. A cultic association, such as the one we now find on Kos, is a good possibility. That being said, though the group at Larisa/Marmarini may have been akin to the Alouliastai of Kos, it is more likely that its name was not so specific. As we have seen, the group of worshippers at Larisa/Marmarini celebrated at least two important festivals, the Nisanaia and the Aloulaia/Eloulaia, if not more. Therefore, its name and activities were probably more encompassing than the Koan Alouliastai.

What sort of a name might we think of, then, and what more can we say about the background of such a group? It may be possible to offer a few further avenues of investigation, though the overall picture must remain impressionistic for the time being. One relatively straightforward possibility is that the cultic community at Larisa/Marmarini was simply one comprised of initiates:  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \mu \omega \sigma \tau \alpha$ are known to have occasionally formed coherent and independent associations in the Greek world.<sup>42</sup> A further aspect worth underlining is that the text from Larisa/Marmarini at one point makes an appeal to a specific designation of Pan, "the Pan whom the Syrians call NEISPLEN (?)" (lines A 9–10). The passage is either corrupt or unintelligible at the present time; it is to be hoped that further efforts of decipherment will clarify the exact reference here. What is clear, however, is that either the sanctuary at Larisa/Marmarini was in close cultural contact with Syrians ( $\Sigma \omega \rho \sigma$ ) or that Syrians may have formed a part of the wider constituency of the group. Groups of Syrian worshippers and merchants are very well attested in the

was therefore led by an official called *archieranistas*. Astarte is very occasionally associated with Artemis or a similar goddess — cf. Bernand, *Inser. Métr.* 175 (Narmouthis, 1<sup>st</sup> c. BC?), line 18, and cp. Luc. *Syr.D.* 4.3 —, more commonly with Aphrodite.

<sup>42.</sup> One thinks principally of the exceptional example of the community known as the δῆμος τῶν τετελεσμένων on Lemnos (ASAA [1941–1943], nos. 2–4, 7 and 11, ca. 350–200 BC). Private associations of μύσται are especially attested in the early centuries AD: for some Hellenistic exceptions, however, see the μύσται Ἀπόλλωνος Πλευgeνοῦ (SEG 46, 1519, Sardis, ca. 150 BC; cf. also SEG 32, 1236) — in control of a sanctuary and connected to the Attalids — or those (probably of Dionysus Kathegemon) at Teos, BCH 4 (1880), p. 164 no. 21 (ca. 172–150 BC). For the popularity of mystery cults in the region of Larisa, see now M. MILI, Religion and Society in Ancient Thessaly, Oxford, 2014, p. 283–284.

Hellenistic period across mainland Greece and the Aegean.<sup>43</sup> Note, however, that it is almost impossible that the cult at Larisa/Marmarini involved a straightforward or 'ethnic' group of Phoenicians. The Phoenicians employed a different calendar and do not seem to have favoured the widespread Semitic ritual cycle underpinning the Nisanaia and the Aloulaia/Eloulaia.<sup>44</sup>

Nevertheless, an intimate connection with cultic sphere of the Levant is palpable in the text: the two festivals, as we have seen, suffice to demonstrate this. The pantheon at play is another case in point. In the cult, gods from wider Anatolia and the Levant (Mên), are intermixed with ones which are either enigmatic (Mogga [?], Lilla) or more clearly Semitic (Alaia [?], Adara), and with still others which might, at least at first hand, appear more Greek ([Artemis] Phylake, Apollo Pylaios, Helios, Moira).<sup>45</sup> Yet the principal figure, the main goddess in the cult — she is often vaguely or anonymously called  $\dot{\gamma} \theta \varepsilon \dot{\varsigma}$  in the text — is at the heart of Semitic festivals called the Nisanaia and the Aloulaia/Eloulaia; much like Thea Syria or Hagne Theos, she almost certainly originated from the Near East.<sup>46</sup>

The group at Larisa/Marmarini transcends several ethnic, religious and cultural categories: it celebrates festivals tied to the Semitic calendar, but these are dated according to the local Thessalian framework; it refers to a Syrian denomination for Pan, but it is not thereby Phoenician and still principally chooses to call this god Pan within a local context; it broadcasts rules in *koine* Greek, but these rules manifestly

<sup>43.</sup> For Semitic associations in the Greek world, cf. M.-F. BASLEZ, "Entre traditions nationales et intégration: les associations sémitiques du monde grec", in S. RIBICHINI *et al.* (eds.), *La questione delle influenze vicino-orientali sulla religione greca*, Rome, 2001, p. 235–247; and see now esp. C. BONNET, *Les Enfants de Cadmos. Le paysage religieux de la Phénicie hellénistique*, Paris, 2015, with chps. 8 and 9 on Athens and Delos respectively. Cf. e.g. *BCH* 92 (1968), p. 359–374 (Delos, ca. 166 BC): τὸ κοινὸν τῶν θιασιτῶν τῶν Σύρων | τῶν εἰακδιστῶν οῦς συνήγαγε ἡ θεός (cp. also ID 2225, ca. 120 BC); or IG XII 3, 104 (Nisyros, 1<sup>st</sup> c. AD?): Ἀφροδιασταὶ Σύροι. Recall also the ἕμποροι oἱ Κιτιεῖς who petition the Athenian council and construct a sanctuary (*IG* II<sup>3</sup> 337, already in 333/2 BC; cp. also the later κοινὸν τῶν Σύδωνίων, *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 2946, 96 BC; on this dossier, see M.-F. BASLEZ, F. BRIQUEL-CHATONNET, "Un exemple d'intégration phénicienne au monde grec : les Sidoniens au Pirée à la fin du IV<sup>e</sup> siècle", in *Atti del II Congresso internazionale di studi fenici e punici*, Rome, 1991, p. 229–240).

<sup>44.</sup> On the traditional calendars of the Levant (Ugarit, Phoenicia, etc.) in the second and first millennia BC, see COHEN, *o.c.* (n. 15), 2015, p. 359–377.

<sup>45.</sup> On the diversity and dynamism of cults attested in eastern Thessaly, see S. KRAVARITOU, "Synoecism and religious interface in Thessaly (Demetrias)", *Kernos* 24 (2011), p. 111–135; ead., "Isiac Cults, Civic Priesthood and Social Elite in Hellenistic Demetrias (Thessaly): Notes on *RICIS* 112/0703 and beyond", *Texµíµua* 12 (2013–2014), p. 203–233; ead., "Sacred space and the politics of multiculturalism in Demetrias (Thessaly)", in M. MELFI, O. BOBOU (eds.) *Hellenistic Sanctuaries: Between Greece and Rome*, Oxford, 2016, p. 128–151; and cf. again MILL, o.c. (n. 42).

<sup>46.</sup> For a wider discussion of the goddess at Larisa/Marmarini and the overall context of the cult, see PARKER and SCULLION (2016), in the present volume (cf. above, n. 5).

discuss rituals which cannot be exclusively Greek.<sup>47</sup> On this last point, a full study remains to be undertaken (but see now Parker and Scullion in this volume). Preliminarily, one may take issue with Decourt and Tziaphalias' conclusion (2015: 31): "il faut bien voir que presque toutes les pratiques évoquées dans le présent règlement peuvent parfaitement s'insérer dans un rituel grec". Though indeed a variety of sacrifices and purifications mentioned in the text can readily be seen as 'Greek' - even, for instance, the collections made by the worshippers and the φοιβατρίαι (see above) — this conclusion nonetheless remains difficult to accept. Where is the place of initiatory shaving (lines A 18ff.) in normative Greek cult? At what sort of Greek sacrificial ritual does one "eat everything" from a series of animals (ἐσθίειν πάντα, A 17)? In fact, such singularities are not wholly surprising in a text which at one point defines the perceived parameters of normative Hellenic sacrifice (lines B 35-45: ἐἀν δέ τις θύειν βούληται τῆι θεῶι ἑλ |ληνικῶι νόμωι...). In a more typical Greek cult, the exclusion of swine from sacrifice would be relatively unobjectionable; here, there is at least a possibility that the restriction was Semitic in origin.<sup>48</sup> The same could also be said, for instance, for the use of flatbreads (λάγανα)-apparently dry, unleavened bread - mentioned several times in the regulations: though they could well be Greek, they also bring to mind feasts of matzah (such as during Passover/Pessach on 15-22 Nisan) and other Semitic rites.49

In other words, caution must be urged and we should not try to fit the stele from Larisa/Marmarini into standard boxes or narrow models. As we have it, the text may well paint a portrait of what Decourt and Tziaphalias call an "acculturation incomplète".<sup>50</sup> But that may also assume too much: does this snapshot of a cult really entitle us to speak of an ongoing historical process of Hellenic 'interpretation' or 'acculturation'? Doubtless the forerunners of the cult at Larisa/ Marmarini were (at least in part) foreigners and did to some degree adapt their forms of worship to a Greek and, more particularly, a Thessalian context. But, as we have it, the group which erected the stele and issued these compendious rules at Larisa represents a more complex and varied 'middle ground' than labels like 'acculturation' or 'syncretism' might imply. The overall picture is far from simple;

<sup>47.</sup> It might perhaps be possible to relate this cult of Pan to one already known in the area, at Homolion (Mt. Homole) on the other side of Mt. Ossa from Marmarini and Larisa; on this mountain sanctuary, see MILI, *a.c.* (n. 42), p. 41, n. 128.

<sup>48.</sup> Contrast DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 32: "elle [i.e. this restriction against swine] ne permet pas, à elle seule, de parler de culte oriental; du reste cette exclusion (B36) concerne explicitement le culte d'Artémis". See also now PARKER and SCULLION (2016), with n. 237.

<sup>49.</sup> Cf. DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 41, with further references. For λάγανα ἄζυμα in a Semitic ritual context, cf. LXX Le. 2.4. The modern Greek λαγάνα is employed specifically to refer to flat and unleavened bread consumed on 'Clean Monday' in late February / early March, 48 days prior to the Orthodox Easter. On these breads and other cakes in the text, see now PARKER and Scullion (2016), with n. 162.

<sup>50.</sup> DECOURT and TZIAPHALIAS (2015), p. 46.

it is, in fact, unique. What remains significant, to my mind, is how the sanctuary or its cultic group operated at Larisa/Marmarini: it issued comprehensive rules in Greek which were apparently designed to maximise inclusivity in the cult and in its major festivals. Such a broad appeal is a testament not just to the 'integrative' power of foreign cults and associations in Greece, but, more tellingly, to the capacious adaptability and malleability of ancient polytheism as a whole.<sup>51</sup>

Jan-Mathieu CARBON

The Saxo Institute Faculty of Humanities University of Copenhagen Karen Blixensvej 4 DK — 2300 COPENHAGEN S fpz408@hum.ku.dk, jmcarbon@ulg.ac.be

206

<sup>51.</sup> A final outlook may be considered, namely, how longstanding and widespread festivals similar to the Nisanaia and the Aloulaia/Eloulaia are, though they may be fast disappearing. To cite only one instance, the Yazidi, a monotheistic group from Mesopotamia — recently in the news due to their persecution by the Islamic state — are known to celebrate a Cejna Cemaiya or "Feast of Assembly" from 23 Aylūl (i.e. Elul) to 1 Tašrīn (i.e. Tishrei) again, a seven or eight-day occasion.

Festival	Month	Date/Day		Ritual Activity	Lines
		1		collection on the New Moon at the threshing-floors (ἐπὶ τὰς ἅλους)	B 17
		10-12	12th = 1 <sup>st</sup> day of the festival	proclamation and further collections; on the 12 <sup>th</sup> : preliminary sacrifice	B 17-20
Aloulaia/ 1. Itonios Eloulaia (~September)	13	2 <sup>nd</sup> day of the festival	preliminary rites: washing of the <i>biera</i> around the statue of the goddess; sacrifice to Mogga(?); purification of impure things (τὰ ἀxάθαǫτα) in the sanctuary	A 4-8	
		14	3 <sup>rd</sup> day of the festival	further preparations: adornment of the statue of the goddess; votive sacrifice to Helios	A 8-9
	15	4 <sup>th</sup> day of the festival	sacrifice to Pan; nocturnal ceremony (τῆι νυκτερινῆι), involving filling the χύτρα with water from a fountain	A 9-14	
	16	5 <sup>th</sup> day of the festival	opening of the χύτρα; sacrifice to Moira (again preliminary, viz. to the procession?)	A 14-15	
		17	6 <sup>th</sup> day of the festival	procession of the Aloulaia/ Eloulaia, lasting from early morning into the night	B 63-64
		18	7 <sup>th</sup> day of the festival	day "after the procession" (τῆι μετὰ τὴν πομπήν): sacrifices to Alaia(?)	A 15
		19	8 <sup>th</sup> day of the festival	sacrifices to Lillaia, Artemis Phylake and Apollo Pylaios, feasting and setting out of a table of offerings for the goddess	A 15-18
Adar(?)	unknown month (but preceding the Nisanaia, <i>i.e.</i> 7. Leschanorios?)			sacrifices to Adara implied	see B 80 for a shared altar with Lilla
Nisanaia	unknown month; probably	unknown day next day		purification of the statue of the goddess at the river	B 62-63
	8. Aphrios			8. Aphrios next day pro	procession

 $Table \ 1 \\ Reconstruction of the Calendar of Festivals at Larisa/Marmarini$ 

Calendar:	Thessalian	Macedonian	Hebrew / Babylonian
~ September	'Ιτώνιος (1)	Υπερβερεταῖος	Elul / Ululu
~ October	Πάνημος	Δίος (1)	Tishrei / Tashritu
~ November	Θεμίστιος	Άπελλαῖος	Marcheshvan / Araḥsamnu
~ December	Άγαγύλιος	Αὐδναῖος	Kislev / Kislimu
~ January	Άπολλώνιος	Περίτιος	Tevet / Tebetu
~ February	Έρμαῖος	Δύστρος	Shevat / Shabaṭu
~ March	Λεσχανόριος	Ξανδικός	Adar / Adarru
~ April	'Άφριος	Άοτεμίσιος	Nisan / Nisannu (1)
~ May	Θυῖος	Δαίσιος	Iyyar/ Aiaru
~ June	Όμολώιος	Πάνημος	Sivan / Simanu
~ July	Ίπποδρόμιος	Λώιος	Tammuz / Duzu
~ August	Φυλλικός	Γορπιαῖος	Av/Abu

 Table 2

 Approximate Calendrical Equivalences in the Hellenistic Period

Les manuscrits doivent être conformes aux normes de la revue Kernos reprises sur le site **http://www.kernos.ulg.ac.be /kernos.htm** Les auteurs reçoivent un fichier PDF de leur contribution. La Revue n'est pas responsable des manuscrits qui lui sont envoyés. Les articles publiés n'engagent pas les opinions de la Rédaction.

#### © C.I.E.R.G.A., novembre 2016

Éditeur responsable : V. Pirenne-Delforge, Université de Liège, 7, place du 20-Août, 4000 Liège D/2016/12.839/33 ISSN : 0776-3824 ISBN : 978-2-87562-118-4 Le dessin du kernos a été réalisé par Mary Tsagari. Graphisme : Créacom.

# kernos **29** 2016

# **Sommaire**

# Éditorial

# Études

Katherine Ann RASK, Devotionalism, Material Culture, and the Personal in Greek Religion

- Hanne EISENFELDT, Life, Death, and a Lokrian Goddess: Revisiting the Nature of Persephone in the Gold Leaves of Magna Graecia
- Véronique DASEN, Jeux de l'amour et du hasard en Grèce ancienne
- Zoé PITZ, La complexité d'Héraclès, entre Hérodote et les cultes de Thasos
- Jan-Mathieu CARBON, James CLACKSON, Arms and the Boy: On the New Festival Calendar from Arkadia

Robert FABIANI, I.Iasos 220 and the Regulations about the Priest of Zeus Megistos: A New Edition

Jan-Mathieu CARBON, The Festival of the Aloulaia, and the Association of the Alouliastai: Notes Concerning the New Inscription from Larisa/Marmarini

Robert PARKER, Scott Scullion, The Mysteries of the Goddess of Marmarini

# Chronique des activités scientifiques

Epigraphic Bulletin 2013

Chronique archéologique

Chroniques bibliographiques

