

# Measured and predicted oxygen uptake in healthy adults

Art T.<sup>1</sup>, Detilleux J.<sup>2</sup>, Balligand M.<sup>3</sup>, Barsacq S.<sup>4</sup>, Beaumont A.<sup>5</sup>, Bonnet MC.<sup>4</sup>, Canitrot E.<sup>6</sup>, Delguste C.<sup>3</sup>, Delvaux V. <sup>1</sup>, Ehrmann C.<sup>7</sup>, Ewert M.<sup>8</sup>, François AC.<sup>9</sup>, Frequelin E.<sup>10</sup>, Gansser-Potts M.<sup>6</sup>, Gatez C.<sup>1</sup>, Hody S<sup>11</sup>., Huber R.<sup>12</sup>, Huels N.<sup>13</sup>, Jordan C.<sup>14</sup>, Keim D.<sup>13</sup>, Kruse C.<sup>1</sup>, Lesca H.<sup>10</sup>, Leurette-Merlo C.<sup>4</sup>, Leuthard F.<sup>15</sup>, Mona HA.<sup>13</sup>, Moore L.<sup>14</sup>, Parrilla-Hernandez S.<sup>1</sup>, Pavulraj S.<sup>8</sup>, Pirottin D.<sup>16</sup>, Schwartz C.<sup>17</sup>, Tosi I.<sup>1</sup>, Vandenput S.<sup>18</sup>, Zeppenfeld J.<sup>7</sup>, <u>Votion D.<sup>3</sup></u>



<sup>1</sup> Cemespo, Comparative veterinary Medicine, FARAH, ULiège, Belgium; <sup>2</sup> Génétique quantitative, Sustainable livestock production, FARAH, ULiège, Belgium; <sup>3</sup>. Comparative veterinary Medicine, FARAH, ULiège, Belgium; <sup>4</sup>. Oniris Nantes, Loire Atlantique, France; <sup>5</sup>. Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, Maisons-Alfort, France; <sup>6</sup>. École Nationale Vétérinaire de Toulouse, Toulouse, France; <sup>7</sup>. Fachbereich Veterinärmedizin, Justus-Liebig-Universität Glessen, Giessen, Germany; <sup>8</sup>. Fachbereich Veterinärmedizin, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany; <sup>9</sup>. Pharmacologie-Toxicologie, Comparative veterinary Medicine, FARAH, ULiège, Belgium; <sup>10</sup>. VetAgro Sup Lyon, Marcy L'étoile, France; <sup>11</sup>. Département des Sciences de la Motricité, Service de Physiologie de l'Effort Physique, ULiège, Belgium; <sup>12</sup>. Tierärztliche Fakultät, Ludwig-Maximillian-Universität München, München, Germany; <sup>13</sup>. Stiftung Tierärztliche Hochschule Hannover, Germany; <sup>14</sup>. Veterinärmedizinische Universität Wien, Vienna, Austria; <sup>15</sup>. Vetsuisse Fakulät, Universitäten Zürich und Bern, Bern, Switzerland; <sup>16</sup>. GIGA, ULiège, Belgium; <sup>17</sup>. Laboratoire d'Analyse du Mouvement Humain, ULiège, Sart-Tilman (Liège), Belgium; <sup>18</sup>. Bibliothèque des Sciences de la Vie, ULiège, Sart-Tilman (Liège), Belgium;

#### Introduction

Numerous training programs have been developed to promote health in the general population. A field test that could be applied to individuals of various training level and wide variety of age might be of particular interest to assess the efficacy of any program aiming to enhance fitness. Fitness level (*i.e.*  $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ ) may be estimated from the time to perform the one-mile track walk test (1 mile-WT; Kline *et al.*, 1987) taking into account factors known to be predictive of fitness such as gender, age, body size etc. At the opposite, non–exercise based-equation models (NE-BEM; Jurca *et al.*, 2005) have been proposed for estimating fitness. The NE-BEM take into account above-mentioned factors and self-reported (SR) habitual physical activity levels.

#### **Objective**

The objective of this study was to compare values of  $\dot{Vo}_{2max}$  estimated from results of a 1 mile-WT to those obtained from SR activity in a large group of healthy individuals.

## Materials and Methods

Prior to testing, all 84 participants (men, 28; women, 55; age:  $38.0\pm12.3$  years) completed an informed consent form. In order to obtain data necessary for  $\dot{V}o_{2max}$  prediction by the two models, they completed a questionnaire . Each subject was weighed and prepare for heart rate (HR) monitoring (Polar<sup>®</sup>). The 1 mile-WT was performed on an athletics track. Subjects were instructed to walk as fast as possible and HR was recorded every 400 m (Fig. 1).

Six statistical methods, with different assumptions, were used to estimate the level of agreement between values of  $\dot{Vo}_{2max}$  estimated from results of a 1 mile-WT to those obtained from SR activity. Bland Altmann plots were also constructed.



Figure 1: participants walking one-mile as fast as possible on a athletic track

## **Results**

On average, the 1 mile-WT required 12.9 $\pm$ 1.3 minutes to be performed. The mean  $\dot{Vo}_{2max}$  was 41.8 $\pm$ 7.5 versus 32.7 $\pm$ 7.5 mL.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup> as estimated by with the 1 mile-WT and the NE-BEM, respectively. There was no agreement between the different tests (Fig. 2).



**Figure 2:** : plot of differences between values estimated by Jurca *et al.*, 2005 and Kline *et al.*, 1987 equations *versus* the mean of both values

#### **Conclusion**

The 1 mile-WT is a simple test that can be performed by any kind of healthy individuals (from young and lean to aged and obese subjects) and that requires little or no specialized equipment. A previous study indicated that its value to estimate  $\dot{V}o_{2max}$  in a group of people not linked by fitness level and/ or age is doubtful but its usefulness to follow improvement of individuals following training programs remains to be tested.

#### **Bibliography:**

Evans, H. J., et al. (2015). "A systematic review of methods to predict maximal oxygen uptake from submaximal, open circuit spirometry in healthy adults." J Sci Med Sport 18(2): 183-188.

Jurca, R. A. S., et al. (2005). "Assessing cardiorespiratory fitness without performing exercise testing." <u>Am J Prev Med 29(3)</u>: 185-193.

Kline, G. M. et al., (1987). "Estimation of VO2max from a one-mile track walk, gender, age, and body weight." Med Sci Sports Exerc 19(3): 253-259.





