

EEG evidence of compensatory mechanisms in preclinical Alzheimer's disease

Sinead Gaubert, ^{1,2,3,§} Federico Raimondo, ^{1,4,5,6,§} Marion Houot, ^{3,7,8}
Marie-Constance Corsi, ^{1,2} Lionel Naccache, ^{1,9} Jacobo Diego Sitt, ¹
Bertrand Hermann, ¹ Delphine Oudiette, ^{10,11} Geoffroy Gagliardi, ^{1,3}
Marie-Odile Habert, ^{12,13,14} Bruno Dubois, ^{1,3} Fabrizio De Vico Fallani, ^{1,2}
Hovagim Bakardjian, ^{1,3,#} Stéphane Epelbaum ^{1,2,3,#} for the Alzheimer's Disease
Neuroimaging Initiative,* the MEMENTO study group and the INSIGHT-preAD study group

^{§,#}These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at: http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/how_to_apply/ADNI_Acknowledgement_List.pdf

Early biomarkers are needed to identify individuals at high risk of preclinical Alzheimer's disease and to better understand the pathophysiological processes of disease progression. Preclinical Alzheimer's disease EEG changes would be non-invasive and cheap screening tools and could also help to predict future progression to clinical Alzheimer's disease. However, the impact of amyloid-ß deposition and neurodegeneration on EEG biomarkers needs to be elucidated. We included participants from the INSIGHT-preAD cohort, which is an ongoing single-centre multimodal observational study that was designed to identify risk factors and markers of progression to clinical Alzheimer's disease in 318 cognitively normal individuals aged 70-85 years with a subjective memory complaint. We divided the subjects into four groups, according to their amyloid status (based on ¹⁸F-florbetapir PET) and neurodegeneration status (evidenced by ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose PET brain metabolism in Alzheimer's disease signature regions). The first group was amyloid-positive and neurodegeneration-positive, which corresponds to stage 2 of preclinical Alzheimer's disease. The second group was amyloid-positive and neurodegeneration-negative, which corresponds to stage 1 of preclinical Alzheimer's disease. The third group was amyloid-negative and neurodegeneration-positive, which corresponds to 'suspected non-Alzheimer's pathophysiology'. The last group was the control group, defined by amyloid-negative and neurodegenerationnegative subjects. We analysed 314 baseline 256-channel high-density eyes closed 1-min resting state EEG recordings. EEG biomarkers included spectral measures, algorithmic complexity and functional connectivity assessed with a novel information-theoretic measure, weighted symbolic mutual information. The most prominent effects of neurodegeneration on EEG metrics were localized in frontocentral regions with an increase in high frequency oscillations (higher beta and gamma power) and a decrease in low frequency oscillations (lower delta power), higher spectral entropy, higher complexity and increased functional connectivity measured by weighted symbolic mutual information in theta band. Neurodegeneration was associated with a widespread increase of median spectral frequency. We found a non-linear relationship between amyloid burden and EEG metrics in neurodegenerationpositive subjects, either following a U-shape curve for delta power or an inverted U-shape curve for the other metrics, meaning that EEG patterns are modulated differently depending on the degree of amyloid burden. This finding suggests initial compensatory mechanisms that are overwhelmed for the highest amyloid load. Together, these results indicate that EEG metrics are useful biomarkers for the preclinical stage of Alzheimer's disease.

Received September 29, 2018. Revised April 3, 2019. Accepted April 7, 2019. © The Author(s) (2019). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

2 | BRAIN 2019: Page 2 of 17

- 1 Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle épinière, ICM, Inserm U 1127, CNRS UMR 7225, Sorbonne Université, F-75013, Paris, France
- 2 Inria, Aramis project-team, F-75013, Paris, France
- 3 AP-HP, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Institute of Memory and Alzheimer's Disease (IM2A), Centre of excellence of neurodegenerative disease (CoEN), National Reference Center for Rare or Early Dementias, Department of Neurology, F75013, Paris, France
- 4 Laboratorio de Inteligencia Artificial Aplicada, Departamento de computación, FCEyN, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 5 GIGA Consciousness, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium
- 6 Coma Science Group, University Hospital of Liège, Liège, Belgium
- 7 Center for Clinical Investigation (CIC) Neurosciences, Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle épinière (ICM), F75013, Paris, France
- 8 Sorbonne Université, AP-HP, GRC n° 21, Alzheimer Precision Medicine (APM), Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Boulevard de l'Hôpital, F-75013, Paris, France
- 9 AP-HP, Groupe hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Department of Neurophysiology, Paris, F-75013, France
- 10 AP-HP, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Service des Pathologies du Sommeil (Département 'R3S'), F-75013 Paris, France
- 11 Sorbonne Université, IHU@ICM, INSERM, CNRS UMR 7225, équipe MOV'IT, F-75013 Paris, France
- 12 Laboratoire d'Imagerie Biomédicale, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Inserm U1146, CNRS UMR 7371, Paris, France
- 13 AP-HP, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Paris, F-75013, France
- 14 Centre d'Acquisition et de Traitement des Images, CATI neuroimaging platform, France (www.cati-neuroimaging.com)

Correspondence to: Stéphane Epelbaum, MD, PhD

Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Institute of Memory and Alzheimer's Disease (IM2A), Department of Neurology, 47-83 Boulevard de l'Hôpital, F75013, Paris, France

E-mail: stephane.epelbaum@aphp.fr

Keywords: preclinical Alzheimer's disease; EEG; functional connectivity; neurodegeneration; amyloid load

Abbreviations: A = amyloid-negative; A + = amyloid-positive; INSIGHT-preAD = Investigation of Alzheimer's Predictors in Subjective Memory Complainers; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; MSF = median spectral frequency; N = neurodegeneration-negative; N + = neurodegeneration-positive; PSD = power spectral density; SUVR = standardized uptake value ratio; wSMI = weighted symbolic mutual information

Introduction

Alzheimer's disease is the most common form of dementia, as it accounts for an estimated 60-80% of cases. The pathophysiological process of Alzheimer's disease begins many years before the onset of symptoms (Bateman et al., 2012; Villemagne et al., 2013). It is essential to diagnose Alzheimer's disease as early as possible because patients will be more likely to benefit from disease-modifying treatments if treated early in the disease course, before major brain damage has occurred (Sperling et al., 2011). It is therefore important to develop biomarkers that are sensitive to this early, 'preclinical' stage of Alzheimer's disease even before mild cognitive impairment (MCI) occurs. At the preclinical stage subjects are cognitively unimpaired but show evidence of cortical amyloid- β deposition, which is considered to be the most upstream process in the pathological cascade of Alzheimer's disease (Jack et al., 2013) and is measured by amyloid PET or decreased amyloid- β_{1-42} and amyloid- β_{1-42} /amyloid- β_{1-40} ratio in the CSF. Amyloid-ß deposition can be associated with pathologic tau deposits, measured by tau PET or elevated CSF phosphorylated tau and to neurodegeneration that is revealed by elevated CSF total tau, ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (¹⁸F-FDG) PET hypometabolism in an Alzheimer's disease-like pattern and atrophy on MRI (Jack et al., 2018). Biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease are important not

only for identifying individuals at high risk of preclinical Alzheimer's disease, but also to better understand the pathophysiological processes of disease progression.

The Investigation of Alzheimer's Predictors in Subjective Memory Complainers (INSIGHT-preAD) study is an ongoing longitudinal observational study that was designed to identify risk factors and markers of progression to clinical Alzheimer's disease in 318 cognitively normal individuals with a subjective memory complaint (Dubois *et al.*, 2018). Among the several multimodal assessments, EEGs were performed every 12 months. In our study we focused on the analysis of baseline EEG, aiming to identify electrophysiological biomarkers, including functional connectivity, that are sensitive to the preclinical stage of Alzheimer's disease. EEG has many advantages as it is a non-invasive, cheap and reproducible technique that directly measures neural activity with a good temporal resolution.

There is already a rich literature on the use of EEG biomarkers in MCI and Alzheimer's disease, such as spectral measures and synchronization between brain regions (Babiloni *et al.*, 2016). Patients with Alzheimer's disease or MCI usually show slowing of oscillatory brain activity, reduced EEG complexity and reduced synchrony (Grunwald *et al.*, 2001; Jeong, 2004; Babiloni *et al.*, 2010; Stam, 2010). Decreased alpha power correlated with hippocampal atrophy and lower cognitive status (Babiloni *et al.*, 2006, 2009; Luckhaus *et al.*, 2008). Growing evidence shows that Alzheimer's disease targets cortical neuronal networks related to cognitive functions, which is revealed by the impairment in functional connectivity in long range networks (Babiloni et al., 2016). There are several types of measures of functional connectivity using EEG or magnetoencephalography (MEG) including spectral coherence, synchronization likelihood or information theory indexes. A decrease of alpha coherence, an increase of delta total coherence and an abnormal alpha fronto-parietal coupling have been described in Alzheimer's disease (Jelic et al., 2000; Babiloni et al., 2009). A reduction of alpha and beta synchronization likelihood was shown in MCI and Alzheimer's disease (Stam et al., 2003). However, the usefulness of EEG characteristics as biomarkers for the evaluation of preclinical Alzheimer's disease is not yet fully established, as most studies have focused on EEG biomarkers at later stages of the disease, after the onset of symptoms. One recent study of the preclinical and prodromal stages of Alzheimer's disease using MEG demonstrated that the effects of amyloid- β deposition were expressed as a prefrontal alpha power increment (Nakamura et al., 2018). An EEG study in older people with subjective memory complaints found no association between cortical amyloid load and functional connectivity (Teipel et al., 2018), whereas another study using MEG in cognitively normal individuals at risk for Alzheimer's disease showed altered functional connectivity in the default mode network (DMN) (Nakamura et al., 2017). These results suggest that spectral power and functional connectivity, as measured by MEG or EEG, could potentially be sensitive biomarkers for the preclinical stage of Alzheimer's disease, but more studies are needed in this field. Moreover, very few studies of early stages of Alzheimer's disease consider neurodegeneration information when selecting subjects, whereas it has been suggested that studies combining an abnormal amyloid biomarker with an abnormal neurodegeneration biomarker provide much more powerful prediction of future cognitive decline and conversion to clinical Alzheimer's disease than studies focusing on an abnormal amyloid status alone (Knopman et al., 2013; Vos et al., 2013; Wirth et al., 2013; Mormino et al., 2014; Toledo et al., 2014; Burnham et al., 2016; Soldan et al., 2016).

Our aim was to analyse EEG changes that take place in subjects at high risk of preclinical Alzheimer's disease and to assess the impact of amyloid load and Alzheimer's disease topography-specific neurodegeneration on EEG metrics. To evaluate if EEG metrics' changes were a consequence of neurodegeneration, amyloid burden, or a combination of the two, we divided the whole INSIGHT-preAD cohort into four groups of subjects depending on their amyloid status (evidenced by ¹⁸F-florbetapir PET) and neurodegeneration status (revealed by ¹⁸F-FDG PET). The first group was amyloid-positive and neurodegeneration-positive (A + N +), which corresponds to stage 2 of preclinical Alzheimer's disease according to Sperling *et al.* (2011). The second group was amyloid-positive and neurodegeneration-negative (A + N –), which corresponds to stage 1

of preclinical Alzheimer's disease according to Sperling et al. (2011). These first two groups belong to Alzheimer's disease continuum according to Jack et al. (2018). The third group was amyloid-negative and neurodegeneration-positive (A-N+), which corresponds to 'suspected non-Alzheimer's pathophysiology' (SNAP) (Jack et al., 2012). The last group was the control group, defined by amyloid-negative and neurodegeneration-negative subjects (A-N-). We hypothesized that amyloid-positive and/or neurodegeneration-positive subjects would present specific EEG patterns and functional connectivity differences compared to control subjects. Moreover, we hypothesized that these EEG patterns would be modulated differently depending on the degree of severity of amyloid burden or hypometabolism.

To assess functional connectivity, we used weighted symbolic mutual information (wSMI), which is a novel measure to quantify global information sharing that was introduced to index consciousness in patients recovering from a coma (King *et al.*, 2013). The advantages of this information-theoretic measure are its robustness to common-source EEG artefacts and its ability to easily detect non-linear coupling. We decided to focus on wSMI in theta (4–8 Hz) and alpha (8–12 Hz) bands as the dominant resting state rhythms are typically observed at theta and alpha frequencies and these rhythms show maximum changes in Alzheimer's disease patients (Blinowska *et al.*, 2017); moreover, wSMI was shown to better discriminate between different states of consciousness in the theta band (King *et al.*, 2013; Sitt *et al.*, 2014).

The main objective of our research was to identify resting state EEG biomarkers of preclinical Alzheimer's disease and SNAP and to evaluate the impact of amyloid burden and neurodegeneration on EEG metrics. Electrophysiological biomarkers included spectral measures, algorithmic complexity and functional connectivity assessed with wSMI. The other aims were the exploration of cofactors involved in EEG metrics differences between the two groups, including apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) genotype, age, gender, educational level and hippocampal volume.

Materials and methods

INSIGHT-preAD study design and participants

Participants were recruited into the INSIGHT-preAD study cohort at Pitié-Salpêtrière University Hospital, Paris, France. The INSIGHT-preAD study has already been thoroughly described (Dubois *et al.*, 2018). This cohort currently includes baseline data of 318 cognitively normal individuals, between 70 and 85 years old, with subjective memory complaints and unimpaired cognition [Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score ≥ 27 and Clinical Dementia Rating score 0], no evidence of episodic memory deficit [Free and Cued Selective Reminding Test (FCSRT) total recall score ≥ 41]. Demographic, cognitive, functional, biological, genetic, genomic, imaging including brain structural and functional MRI, ¹⁸F-FDG PET and ¹⁸F-florbetapir PET, electrophysiological and other assessments were performed at baseline and regularly during follow-up. EEGs were performed every 12 months.

The ethics committee of the Pitié-Salpêtrière University Hospital approved the study protocol. Written informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki was provided by all participants.

INSIGHT-EEG study participants

Of the 318 subjects of the INSIGHT-preAD cohort, we analysed baseline EEGs of 314 subjects; the EEG data of three subjects was rejected due to excessive EEG artefacts and one subject did not undergo ¹⁸F-FDG PET. Based on amyloid status (evidenced by ¹⁸F-florbetapir PET) and neurodegeneration status (evidenced by ¹⁸F-FDG PET brain metabolism in Alzheimer's disease signature regions), we classified the subjects into four groups: A+N+, A+N-, A-N+ and A-N- (control group).

PET acquisition and processing

PET scans were acquired 50 min after injection of 370 MBq (10 mCi) ¹⁸F-florbetapir or 30 min after injection of 2 MBq/kg ¹⁸F-FDG. Reconstructed images were analysed with a pipeline developed by the Centre d'Acquisition et Traitement des Images (http://cati-neuroimaging.com) (Supplementary material). A ¹⁸F-florbetapir-PET standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) threshold of 0.7918 was used to dichotomize subjects into amyloid-positive and -negative groups (Dubois *et al.*, 2018; Habert *et al.*, 2018).

The same image assessment pipeline was applied to measure brain glucose metabolism on ¹⁸F-FDG PET scans. Cortical metabolic indices were calculated in four bilateral regions of interest that are specifically affected by Alzheimer's disease (Jack *et al.*, 2012): posterior cingulate cortex, inferior parietal lobule, precuneus, and inferior temporal gyrus, and the pons was used as the reference region. Calculation of the neurode-generation cut-off value is detailed in the Supplementary material. In the INSIGHT-preAD study, subjects were considered neurodegeneration-positive if the mean ¹⁸F-FDG PET SUVR of the four Alzheimer's disease signature regions was <2.27.

EEG acquisition and processing

EEG data were acquired with a high-density 256-channel EGI system (Electrical Geodesics Inc.) with a sampling rate of 250 Hz and a vertex reference. During the recording, patients were instructed to keep awake and relaxed. The total length of the recording was 2 min, during which participants alternated 30-s segments of eyes closed and eyes open conditions. Sixty seconds of eyes closed resting state recording were selected for the analysis. For EEG data processing we used a pipeline that automates processing of EEG recordings with automated artefact removal and extraction of EEG measures (Sitt *et al.*, 2014; Engemann *et al.*, 2015, 2018). A band-pass filtering (from 0.5 to 45 Hz) and a notch filter at 50 Hz and 100 Hz were applied. Data were cut into 1-s epochs. Bad channels and bad epochs

were rejected with a procedure that is detailed in the Supplementary material.

Calculation and analysis of EEG metrics

We analysed 314 high density 256-channel EEG recordings from INSIGHT-preAD baseline data. For the calculation of EEG metrics, we analysed the values of the first 224 electrodes, which were the scalp (non-facial) electrodes. For each recording, we extracted a set of measures organized according to a theory-driven taxonomy (Sitt et al., 2014). Power spectral density (PSD), median spectral frequency (MSF) and spectral entropy measure dynamics of brain signal at a single electrode site and are based on spectral frequency content. Algorithmic complexity estimates the complexity of a signal based on its compressibility. It measures dynamics of brain signal at a single electrode site and is based on information theory. wSMI is also an information-theoretic metric and estimates functional connectivity between brain regions. For our main analysis, we calculated 10 EEG metrics: PSD in delta (1-4 Hz), theta (4-8 Hz), alpha (8-12 Hz), beta (12-30 Hz), gamma (30-45 Hz), MSF, spectral entropy, algorithmic complexity, wSMI in theta and alpha band. The EEG metrics were averaged across all epochs (60s recording). PSD was normalized as described in Sitt et al. (2014). In a supplementary analysis, we compared the results of functional connectivity measured by wSMI to two additional 'traditional' functional connectivity metrics, namely phase locking value (PLV) and weighted phase lag index (wPLI) (Supplementary material). All markers were computed using NICE (https://github.com/nice-tools/nice) and MNE-Python (https://github.com/mne-tools/mne-python). The collection of scripts used are publicly available (URL will be made available upon request).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using R software, version 3.5.0. We compared baseline characteristics between the four groups using one-way ANOVA for continuous variables and χ^2 test for categorical variables. When global test was significant, *post hoc* Tukey test was performed for continuous variables and pairwise χ^2 test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction for categorical variables, to determine which groups differed from each other.

Local regression of average EEG metrics in function of amyloid SUVR and FDG SUVR

First, we used local regression (LOESS) to study the relationship between average EEG metrics (mean value across all scalp electrodes), mean amyloid SUVR and mean ¹⁸F-FDG SUVR.

EEG metrics analysis

To study the impact of amyloid load, brain metabolism, age, gender, educational level, $APOE \ \epsilon 4$ and hippocampal volume on EEG metrics, we performed two types of analyses. The first analysis was on the mean value of each metric across all scalp (non-facial) electrodes. The second was on the value of each metric at each scalp electrode so there were 224 values for each metric per participant. For wSMI, connectivity measures Multiple models were performed to evaluate the impact of main effects and interactions. Type II tests were performed. *P*-values were corrected for multiple testing on 10 measures with the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (BH-FDR) procedure.

For the analysis of average EEG metrics, multiple linear regressions were performed. Simple linear regressions were first performed to evaluate if amyloid load or brain metabolism should be included as categorical variables (A+, A-, N+, N-) or as continuous variables (amyloid SUVR, mean ¹⁸F-FDG SUVR), by maximizing the coefficient of determination R^2 , depending on the EEG metrics. The effects of interest were included in multiple models as well as interaction between amyloid load and brain metabolism.

For the analysis of the value of each metric at each electrode, linear mixed models were carried out with the effects of interest as fixed effects as well as the electrode number, and the subject as random effect. Interactions between amyloid load, brain metabolism and electrode number were included in the models as well as all two-way interactions between these three effects. We performed a cluster-based permutation test with a threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) method (Smith and Nichols, 2009) to correct for multiple comparisons on 224 electrodes and to see which electrodes showed statistically significant differences for pairwise comparisons between the following groups: A + N + versus A-N-, A + N- versus A-N-, A-N+ versus A-N-, A+ versus A-, and N+ versus N-. The cluster-based permutation test is detailed in the Supplementary material. We generated scalp topographical maps using MNE-Python (Gramfort et al., 2013).

Functional connectivity analysis at source level

To provide anatomically based interpretation of neural activity, we did a source level functional connectivity analysis on a representative sample of the four groups of participants (Supplementary material).

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

Results

Population baseline characteristics analysis

The mean age of all participants was 76.1 years [standard deviation (SD) 3.5] and 67.8% of the participants had a high educational level (Table 1). There were no differences between the four groups for age and educational level. There were more females in A-N- (66.3%) and A+N- (74.6%) groups compared to A+N+ group (36.0%). The proportion of *APOE* ε 4 carriers was higher in A+N+ and A+N- groups than in A-N+ and A-N- groups (44.0% and 34.9% versus 5.9% and 14.3%, respectively). The four groups did not differ for cognitive scores except for the

FCSRT delayed free recall where A + N + group had significantly lower scores than A + N - and A - N - groups [10.4 (SD 2.5) versus 11.8 (SD 2.3) and 12.0 (SD 2.1), respectively]. The mean ¹⁸F-FDG PET SUVR was 2.2 (SD 0.1) in the A + N + group, 2.2 (SD 0.1) in the A - N + group, 2.5 (SD 0.2) in the A + N - group and 2.6 (SD 0.2) in the A - N - group. The mean amyloid SUVR was 1.1 (SD 0.2) in the A + N + group, 1.0 (SD 0.2) in the A + N - group, 0.7 (SD 0.1) in the A - N + group and 0.7 (SD 0.1) in t

Local regression of average EEG metrics on amyloid SUVR and FDG SUVR

As a first exploratory step, we used local regression to study the relationship between average EEG metrics and mean amyloid SUVR (Fig. 1) and mean ¹⁸F-FDG SUVR (Fig. 3).

The relationship between amyloid SUVR and PSD delta followed a U-shape curve whereas the relationship between amyloid SUVR and PSD beta, PSD gamma, MSF, spectral entropy and complexity followed an inverted U-shape curve. Amyloid SUVR inflection points values were between 0.96 and 0.98 for all the previous EEG measures. The relationship was less clear between amyloid burden, PSD alpha and PSD theta. The degree of severity of amyloid load did not seem to have an impact on wSMI theta and wSMI alpha. To better understand the relationship between amyloid load and EEG metrics we did local regression of average EEG metrics on amyloid SUVR first for N+ subjects only (Fig. 2) and second for N- subjects only (Supplementary Fig. 1). Interestingly, in N+ subjects, local regression of EEG metrics on amyloid SUVR showed much more obvious inverted U-shape curves for intermediate to very high amyloid load than the previous regression on the whole cohort, for PSD beta, PSD gamma, MSF, spectral entropy, complexity and also for wSMI theta. Moreover, in N+ subjects, the relationship between PSD delta and amyloid SUVR followed a more pronounced Ushape curve. After exceeding a certain level of amyloid load, complexity, spectral entropy, MSF, PSD beta, PSD gamma and wSMI theta decreased markedly and PSD delta increased noticeably. Amyloid burden did not show any noticeable effect on EEG measures in N- subjects (Supplementary Fig. 1). To summarize, the degree of severity of amyloid burden had a strong impact on EEG metrics in the presence of neurodegeneration, with increased high frequency oscillations for intermediate amyloid burden and a slowing of brain oscillations for high to very high amyloid load.

Local regression of average EEG metrics on mean ¹⁸F-FDG SUVR (Fig. 3) showed a trend towards increased

Table | Comparison of baseline characteristics between the four groups

All participants	A–N– (control)	A–N+	A+N-	A+N+	P-value ^a
314	175 (55.73%)	51 (16.24%)	63 (20.06%)	25 (7.96%)	
$\textbf{76.07} \pm \textbf{3.47}$	$\textbf{75.62} \pm \textbf{3.39}$	$\textbf{76.33} \pm \textbf{3.64}$	$\textbf{76.81} \pm \textbf{3.19}$	$\textbf{76.88} \pm \textbf{4.01}$	0.057
116 (36.94%)	59 (33.71%)	25 (49.02%)	16 (25.40%)	16 (64.00%)	
198 (63.06%)	116 (66.29%) ^e	26 (50.98%) ^f	47 (74.60%) ^{e,g}	9 (36.00%) ^{f,h}	0.001*
213 (67.83%)	124 (70.86%)	36 (70.59%)	35 (55.56%)	18 (72.00%)	0.141
61 (19.43%)	25 (14.29%) ^{e,f}	3 (5.88%) ^{e,f}	22 (34.92%) ^{g,h}	II (44.00%) ^{g,h}	< 0.001*
$\textbf{28.66} \pm \textbf{0.95}$	$\textbf{28.73} \pm \textbf{0.98}$	28.71 ± 0.92	$\textbf{28.44} \pm \textbf{0.92}$	$\textbf{28.60} \pm \textbf{0.87}$	0.199
ninding Test					
$\textbf{29.96} \pm \textbf{5.41}$	$\textbf{30.35} \pm \textbf{5.36}$	$\textbf{30.10} \pm \textbf{5.45}$	$\textbf{29.65} \pm \textbf{5.37}$	$\textbf{27.68} \pm \textbf{5.45}$	0.131
$\textbf{46.08} \pm \textbf{1.98}$	$\textbf{46.18} \pm \textbf{1.95}$	$\textbf{45.76} \pm \textbf{2.21}$	46.19 \pm 1.88	$\textbf{45.72} \pm \textbf{1.95}$	0.427
11.83 ± 2.27	12.03 ± 2.11^{e}	11.84 \pm 2.45	11.84 ± 2.32^{e}	$10.44 \pm 2.47^{\text{f},\text{h}}$	0.013*
15.67 ± 0.63	15.68 ± 0.63	15.63 ± 0.75	15.74 \pm 0.48	15.56 ± 0.71	0.613
16.39 \pm 1.69	16.51 \pm 1.67	16.60 ± 1.70	16.02 ± 1.68	$\textbf{16.12} \pm \textbf{1.72}$	0.160
$\textbf{2.45} \pm \textbf{0.25}$	$\textbf{2.56} \pm \textbf{0.20}^{\text{e},\text{g}}$	$\textbf{2.15} \pm \textbf{0.11}^{\text{f,h}}$	$\rm 2.52\pm0.20^{e,g}$	$\textbf{2.15} \pm \textbf{0.12}^{\text{f,h}}$	< 0.001*
$\textbf{0.78} \pm \textbf{0.19}$	$0.68\pm0.05^{\rm e,f}$	$0.70\pm0.06^{e,f}$	$1.00\pm0.17^{\rm e,g,h}$	$\rm 1.09 \pm 0.24^{f,g,h}$	< 0.001*
2.71 ± 0.31	$\rm 2.76\pm0.30^{e}$	$\textbf{2.66} \pm \textbf{0.33}$	$\textbf{2.65} \pm \textbf{0.35}$	$2.56\pm0.21~^{h}$	0.004*
	All participants 314 76.07 \pm 3.47 116 (36.94%) 198 (63.06%) 213 (67.83%) 61 (19.43%) 28.66 \pm 0.95 hinding Test 29.96 \pm 5.41 46.08 \pm 1.98 11.83 \pm 2.27 15.67 \pm 0.63 16.39 \pm 1.69 2.45 \pm 0.25 0.78 \pm 0.19 2.71 \pm 0.31	All participants $A-N-$ (control) 314 175 (55.73%) 76.07 ± 3.47 75.62 ± 3.39 116 (36.94%)59 (33.71%) 198 (63.06%)116 (66.29%) ^e 213 (67.83%)124 (70.86%) 61 (19.43%)25 (14.29%) ^{e,f} 28.66 ± 0.95 28.73 ± 0.98 hinding Test 29.96 ± 5.41 29.96 ± 5.41 30.35 ± 5.36 46.08 ± 1.98 46.18 ± 1.95 11.83 ± 2.27 12.03 ± 2.11^e 15.67 ± 0.63 15.68 ± 0.63 16.39 ± 1.69 16.51 ± 1.67 2.45 ± 0.25 $2.56 \pm 0.20^{e,g}$ 0.78 ± 0.19 $0.68 \pm 0.05^{e,f}$ 2.71 ± 0.31 2.76 ± 0.30^e	All participants $A-N-$ (control) $A-N+$ 314175 (55.73%)51 (16.24%)76.07 \pm 3.4775.62 \pm 3.3976.33 \pm 3.64116 (36.94%)59 (33.71%)25 (49.02%)198 (63.06%)116 (66.29%)^{e}26 (50.98%)^{f}213 (67.83%)124 (70.86%)36 (70.59%)61 (19.43%)25 (14.29%)^{e,f}3 (5.88%)^{e,f}28.66 \pm 0.9528.73 \pm 0.9828.71 \pm 0.92ninding Test29.96 \pm 5.4130.35 \pm 5.3630.10 \pm 5.4546.08 \pm 1.9846.18 \pm 1.9545.76 \pm 2.2111.83 \pm 2.2712.03 \pm 2.11 ^e 11.84 \pm 2.4515.67 \pm 0.6315.68 \pm 0.6315.63 \pm 0.7516.39 \pm 1.6916.51 \pm 1.6716.60 \pm 1.702.45 \pm 0.252.56 \pm 0.20 ^{e.g} 2.15 \pm 0.11 ^{f.h} 0.78 \pm 0.190.68 \pm 0.05 ^{e.f} 0.70 \pm 0.06 ^{e.f} 2.71 \pm 0.312.76 \pm 0.30 ^e 2.66 \pm 0.33	All participants $A-N-$ (control) $A-N+$ $A+N 314$ 175 (55.73%) 51 (16.24%)63 (20.06%) 76.07 ± 3.47 75.62 ± 3.39 76.33 ± 3.64 76.81 ± 3.19 116 (36.94%)59 (33.71%)25 (49.02%)16 (25.40%) 198 (63.06%)116 (66.29%) ^e 26 (50.98%) ^f 47 (74.60%) ^{e.g} 213 (67.83%)124 (70.86%)36 (70.59%)35 (55.56%) 61 (19.43%)25 (14.29%) ^{e.f} 3 (5.88%) ^{e.f} 22 (34.92%) ^{g.h} 28.66 ± 0.95 28.73 ± 0.98 28.71 ± 0.92 28.44 ± 0.92 ninding Test 29.96 ± 5.41 30.35 ± 5.36 30.10 ± 5.45 29.65 ± 5.37 29.96 ± 5.41 30.35 ± 5.36 30.10 ± 5.45 29.65 ± 5.37 46.08 ± 1.98 46.18 ± 1.95 45.76 ± 2.21 46.19 ± 1.88 11.83 ± 2.27 12.03 ± 2.11^{e} 11.84 ± 2.45 11.84 ± 2.32^{e} 15.67 ± 0.63 15.68 ± 0.63 15.63 ± 0.75 15.74 ± 0.48 16.39 ± 1.69 16.51 ± 1.67 16.60 ± 1.70 16.02 ± 1.68 2.45 ± 0.25 $2.56 \pm 0.20^{e.g}$ 2.15 ± 0.111^{fh} $2.52 \pm 0.20^{e.g}$ 0.78 ± 0.19 $0.68 \pm 0.05^{e.f}$ $0.70 \pm 0.06^{e.f}$ $1.00 \pm 0.17^{e.g.h}$ 2.71 ± 0.31 2.76 ± 0.30^{e} 2.66 ± 0.33 2.65 ± 0.35	All participantsA-N- (control)A-N+A+N-A+N+314175 (55.73%)51 (16.24%)63 (20.06%)25 (7.96%)76.07 \pm 3.4775.62 \pm 3.3976.33 \pm 3.6476.81 \pm 3.1976.88 \pm 4.01116 (36.94%)59 (33.71%)25 (49.02%)16 (25.40%)16 (64.00%)198 (63.06%)116 (66.29%)^{e}26 (50.98%)^{f}47 (74.60%)^{eg}9 (36.00%)^{f,h}213 (67.83%)124 (70.86%)36 (70.59%)35 (55.56%)18 (72.00%)61 (19.43%)25 (14.29%)^{e,f}3 (5.88%)^{e,f}22 (34.92%)^{g,h}11 (44.00%)^{g,h}28.66 \pm 0.9528.73 \pm 0.9828.71 \pm 0.9228.44 \pm 0.9228.60 \pm 0.87 1nding Test 29.96 \pm 5.4130.35 \pm 5.3630.10 \pm 5.4529.65 \pm 5.3727.68 \pm 5.4546.08 \pm 1.9846.18 \pm 1.9545.76 \pm 2.2146.19 \pm 1.8845.72 \pm 1.9511.83 \pm 2.2712.03 \pm 2.11°11.84 \pm 2.4511.84 \pm 2.32°10.44 \pm 2.47 ^{f,h} 15.67 \pm 0.6315.68 \pm 0.6315.63 \pm 0.7515.74 \pm 0.4815.56 \pm 0.7116.39 \pm 1.6916.51 \pm 1.6716.60 \pm 1.7016.02 \pm 1.6816.12 \pm 1.722.45 \pm 0.252.56 \pm 0.20°-g2.15 \pm 0.11 ^{f,h} 2.52 \pm 0.20°-g2.15 \pm 0.12 ^{f,h} 0.78 \pm 0.190.68 \pm 0.05 ^{e,f} 0.70 \pm 0.06 ^{e,f} 1.00 \pm 0.17°-g,h1.09 \pm 0.24 ^{f,g,h} 2.71 \pm 0.312.76 \pm 0.30 ^e 2.66 \pm 0.332.65 \pm 0.352.56 \pm 0.21 ^h

Data are mean \pm SD or number (%).

^aP-value for the comparison between the four groups. P-values were calculated by a one-way ANOVA for continuous data and a Chi² test for categorical data. *P-value < 0.05. ^bOn a scale of 1–8, where 1 = primary education and 8 = higher education, high was defined as scores >6.

^{c18}F-FDG PET indices partial-volume corrected.

^dNormalized to the mean total intracranial volume.

Following indicate which groups significantly differ:

^eGroup differs from A + N + .

^fGroup differs from A + N -.

^gGroup differs from A-N+.

^hGroup differs from A–N–.

complexity, PSD beta, PSD gamma, spectral entropy, MSF and wSMI theta and decreased PSD delta when brain metabolism decreased. The relations between brain metabolism, PSD alpha and PSD theta were less clear. The level of brain metabolism did not seem to have an impact on wSMI alpha. Similar trends were found in local regression of EEG metrics on ¹⁸F-FDG SUVR separately for A + and A– subjects (Supplementary Figs 2 and 3). Thus, as a main effect, neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease signature regions seemed to increase high frequency oscillations, complexity, spectral entropy and functional connectivity measured by wSMI theta, except when neurodegeneration was associated with very high amyloid load, where the trend of EEG metrics reversed.

Multiple linear regression of average EEG metrics in function of amyloid load and brain metabolism

We carried out multiple linear regression of average EEG metrics on all scalp electrodes to assess the impact of amyloid load and brain metabolism on EEG measures, adjusting on the following potential confounding variables: age, gender, education level, APOE ɛ4 status and hippocampal volume (Table 2).

We studied the impact of brain metabolism on EEG metrics (Table 2 and Fig. 4). N+ subjects had higher PSD gamma and higher MSF than N- subjects (P = 0.0157and P = 0.0064, respectively). A decrease in mean ¹⁸F-FDG SUVR was associated with higher PSD theta and higher wSMI theta (P = 0.0203 and P = 0.0452, respectively). N+ subjects showed a trend towards higher spectral entropy (P = 0.1665) and lower PSD delta (P = 0.1067). As previous local regression suggested that amyloid load had an impact on average EEG metrics only in N+ subjects and not in N- subjects, we analysed the interaction between amyloid load and brain metabolism (Table 2 and Fig. 5). There was a significant interaction between amyloid SUVR and neurodegeneration status for complexity (P = 0.0217), PSD beta (P = 0.0348) and MSF (P = 0.0136) and a trend towards significance for spectral entropy (P = 0.0669), PSD gamma (P = 0.0691) and PSD delta (P = 0.1225). With increasing amyloid load, N+ subjects showed decreased complexity, MSF and PSD beta and presented a trend towards decreased spectral entropy, decreased PSD gamma and increased PSD delta, meaning a slowing of brain



Figure 1 Local regression of average EEG metrics across all scalp electrodes as a function of amyloid SUVR. SE = spectral entropy.



Figure 2 Local regression of average EEG metrics across all scalp electrodes as a function of amyloid SUVR for neurodegeneration positive subjects only. SE = spectral entropy.



Figure 3 Local regression of average EEG metrics across all scalp electrodes as a function of mean FDG SUVR. FDG = fluorodeoxyglucose; SE = spectral entropy.

oscillations. N+ subjects showed a trend towards higher PSD theta in the presence of amyloid positivity compared to N+A- subjects (P = 0.1064). In N- subjects, amyloid load did not appear to have an impact on average EEG metrics. If not considering the interaction between amyloid load and neurodegeneration, amyloid load alone did not show a significant impact on average EEG metrics (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 4). This supports the fact that amyloid load has an impact on average EEG metrics only if associated with neurodegeneration. Results did not stay statistically significant after multiplicity correction on 10 EEG metrics.

Relationship between average EEG metrics, age, gender, education, APOE ε 4 and hippocampal volume

Males had higher average wSMI theta (FDR-corrected P < 0.0001) and lower PSD delta (FDR-corrected P = 0.0256) compared to females (Table 2). No significant relationship was found between gender and the other EEG metrics (Supplementary Fig. 5). There was no significant relationship between EEG metrics and educational level, age and hippocampal volume. wSMI theta was higher in the presence of *APOE* ε 4 genotype (Supplementary Fig. 6) than in the absence of *APOE* ε 4 genotype (P = 0.0493). No significant relationship was found between *APOE* ε 4 and the other EEG metrics.

224 Electrodes analysis: topographical differences across EEG measures and groups

We evaluated topographical differences across EEG measures between the control group (A-N-) and the three A + N other groups (A + N + ,and A-N+)(Supplementary Table 1 and Fig. 6), then between N+ and N- subjects (Supplementary Fig. 7) and finally between A+ and A- subjects (Supplementary Fig. 8). The objectives were to assess the discrimination capacity of the different EEG metrics between groups and to better understand the impact of amyloid and neurodegeneration on EEG measures. All P-values were adjusted on APOE £4 status, gender, education level, age and hippocampal volume.

The A–N+ group showed maximum EEG changes compared to A–N– control group. A–N+ subjects had lower PSD delta in frontocentral regions and right temporal region, higher PSD beta, complexity, spectral entropy and wSMI theta in frontocentral regions and higher PSD gamma in frontocentral and temporal bilateral regions, compared to A–N– group. The A–N+ group presented a widespread increase of MSF in frontocentral and parietotemporal regions. Thus, several EEG measures were efficient indices in discriminating A–N+ subjects from A–N– subjects. The A+N+ group showed only an increase in PSD gamma in left frontotemporal region and a

iomarkers	of preclinical Ala	zheimer

EEG b

Interaction

Hippocampal volume

APOE 84

Age at baseline

Educational level

Gender

FDG

Adjusted R² Florbetapir

EEG

Table 2 Results of multiple linear regression analysis of 10 average EEG metrics on all explanatory variables

metrics																Florbeta	pir:FDG
		P-value	P-value corrected	P-value	P-value corrected	o-value	P-value corrected	P-value	P-value corrected	P-value	P-value corrected	P-value	P-value corrected	P-value	P-value corrected	P-value	P-value corrected
Complexity ^c	0.025	0.2578	0.4876	0.2001	0.2859	0.0788	0.1716	0.1549	0.4894	0.4299	0.8403	0.2360	0.5507	0.7000	0.7028	0.0217 ^a	0.1085
PSD.alpha ^c	-0.012	0.9376	0.9386	0.5815	0.5815	0.3075	0.3844	0.6780	0.8290	0.4566	0.8403	0.1915	0.5507	0.5324	0.7028	0.7544	0.7544
PSD.beta ^c	0.012	0.3413	0.4876	0.2325	0.2906	0.1249	0.2082	0.1958	0.4894	0.5938	0.8403	0.9040	0.9293	0.2372	0.7028	0.0348 ^a	0.1161
PSD.delta ^c	0.034	0.3108	0.4876	0.1067	0.2135	0.005 l ^a	0.0256 ^a	0.1347	0.4894	0.8596	0.8596	0.0548	0.2742	0.4439	0.7028	0.1225	0.1750
PSD.gamma ^c	0.018	0.6529	0.8162	0.0157 ^a	0.0677	0.9583	0.9583	0.8290	0.8290	0.3602	0.8403	0.9293	0.9293	0.2529	0.7028	0.0691	0.1381
SE ^c	0.009	0.3022	0.4876	0.1665	0.2775	0.3526	0.3918	0.1249	0.4894	0.5892	0.8403	0.8517	0.9293	0.5779	0.7028	0.0669	0.1381
PSD.theta ^b	0.019	0.3411	0.4876	0.0203 ^a	0.0677	0.2140	0.3058	0.6211	0.8290	0.3552	0.8403	0.4182	0.6867	0.7028	0.7028	0.1064	0.1750
MSF ^c	0.037	0.2677	0.4876	0.0064 ^a	0.0644	0.0858	0.1716	0.5198	0.8290	0.5305	0.8403	0.2754	0.5507	0.6792	0.7028	0.0136 ^a	0.1085
wSMI.alpha ^b	0.001	0.2219	0.4876	0.4785	0.5317	0.0809	0.1716	0.7596	0.8290	0.7386	0.8403	0.4807	0.6867	0.0751	0.7028	0.2855	0.3568
wSMI.theta ^{b,c}	0.177	0.9386	0.9386	0.0452 ^a	0.1129	< 0.000 l ^a	$< 0.000 l^{a}$	0.8170	0.8290	0.7563	0.8403	0.0493 ^a	0.2742	0.3842	0.7028	0.5948	0.6609
99			(D ²)														

Adjusted coefficient of determination K-squared values (K'), P-values and Benjamini-Hochberg corrected P-values are shown. *P < 0.05. To maximize R² values, for each EEG metric, FDG and florbetapir were used either as continuous or binary measures. PE-CC

^bFDG as continuous measure otherwise as binary measure. ^cFlorbetapir as continuous measure otherwise as binary measure.

Florbetapir as continuous measure otherwise as binary mea. FDG = fluorodeoxyglucose; SE = spectral entropy.

Comparison of wSMI with 'traditional' functional connectivity measures

Results are detailed in the Supplementary material.

Functional connectivity analysis at source level

Results of functional connectivity source analysis are described in the Supplementary material.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate EEG changes in preclinical Alzheimer's disease and SNAP. Moreover, we have explored the effects of Alzheimer's disease topography-specific neurodegeneration and amyloid- β deposition on EEG metrics. The most prominent effects of neurodegeneration on EEG metrics were localized in frontocentral regions with an increase in high frequency oscillations (higher beta and gamma power) and a decrease in low frequency oscillations (lower delta power), higher spectral entropy, higher complexity and increased functional connectivity measured by wSMI in

We compared the N+ group to the N- group (Supplementary Fig. 7) and found that N+ subjects presented similar EEG changes than the A-N+ group (as described earlier) although the EEG changes were less marked. N+ subjects presented increased MSF in frontocentral and parieto-temporal regions and increased PSD gamma in frontal and temporal bilateral regions. N+ subjects had a discrete diminution of PSD delta in frontocentral regions and a discrete increase in wSMI theta, spectral entropy and complexity in frontocentral regions. There was a trend toward increased PSD beta in frontocentral regions in N+ subjects but it did not reach statistical significance. MSF and PSD gamma were the most powerful metrics to discriminate between N+ and N- subjects (Supplementary Table 1; P = 0.0093 and P = 0.0182 for main effects of MSF and PSD gamma, respectively). Although there was a significant interaction between amyloid status and electrodes for wSMI alpha in a linear mixed model (P < 0.0001) and FDR-corrected P = 0.0003; Supplementary Table 1), the comparison of A+ versus A- group (Supplementary Fig. 8) showed only a trend towards increased wSMI alpha in parieto-occipital regions but did not reach cluster statistical significance.



Figure 4 Estimated marginal means from multiple linear regressions of average EEG metrics according to brain metabolism. Amyloid load and brain metabolism are used either as continuous or binary measures, depending on each EEG metric to maximize R-squared values. (A) Brain metabolism as binary measure (N + versus N-). (B) Brain metabolism as continuous measure (mean FDG SUVR). Estimated marginal means and standard deviation are depicted; co-variables in the models were: age, education level, gender, *APOE* ε 4 status, hippocampal volume and florbetapir (either binary or continuous). *P*-values are indicated with **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01. n.s. = not significant; FDG = fluorodeoxyglucose; SE = spectral entropy.

theta band. Neurodegeneration was associated to a widespread increase of MSF. Interestingly, in the absence of neurodegeneration, at stage 1 of preclinical Alzheimer's disease according to Sperling *et al.* (2011), amyloid burden did not have any impact on average EEG metrics but had a local effect marked by an increased functional connectivity measured by wSMI alpha in parieto-occipital regions.

Importantly, in N+ subjects, we found a non-linear relationship between amyloid burden and EEG metrics, either following a U-shape curve for delta power or an inverted U-shape curve for PSD beta, PSD gamma, MSF, complexity, spectral entropy and wSMI theta. This means that in the presence of neurodegeneration, EEG patterns are modulated differently depending on the degree of severity of amyloid burden. After N + subjects exceed a certain threshold of amyloid load, the whole trend of EEG metrics reverses, meaning increased delta power and decreased beta and gamma power, MSF, spectral entropy, complexity and wSMI in theta band, with an EEG pattern getting close to the one observed in MCI and clinical Alzheimer's disease. The fact that N+ subjects have opposite EEG trends for intermediate amyloid load (i.e. increased high frequency oscillations) and high to very high amyloid load (i.e. slowing of brain oscillations) can explain why A+N+ subjects showed less EEG changes than A–N+ subjects, with only a discrete increase of PSD gamma and MSF. Indeed, in the A+N+ group, some subjects have intermediate amyloid load and others have very high amyloid load, so in the



Figure 5 Estimated marginal means from multiple linear regressions of average EEG metrics according to interactions between amyloid load and brain metabolism. Amyloid load and brain metabolism are used either as continuous or binary measures, depending on each EEG metric to maximize R-squared values. (A) Interaction between amyloid SUVR and FDG status. (B) Interaction between amyloid SUVR and mean FDG SUVR. (C) Interaction between mean FDG SUVR and amyloid status. Estimated marginal means and standard deviation are depicted; co-variables in the models were: age, education level, gender, APOE ε 4 status, hippocampal volume and Florbetapir (either binary or continuous). *P*-values are indicated with **P* < 0.05. FDG = fluorodeoxyglucose; SE = spectral entropy.

A + N + group some subjects have increased high frequency oscillations and others have a slowing of brain oscillations; these effects going in opposite directions, in the end at A + N + group level very little EEG changes are visible, while EEG changes are actually present at individual level.

Therefore, it seems best to individualize two different EEG phases in N+ subjects, depending on the level of amyloid burden. We will first focus on the results for the first EEG phase in preclinical Alzheimer's disease subjects presenting subthreshold to intermediate amyloid burden, before amyloid load exceeds a critical threshold. Increasing high frequency spectral power in frontocentral regions is in line with a recent study, which showed a functional frontal upregulation revealed by an increased frontal alpha power in preclinical Alzheimer's disease (Nakamura *et al.*, 2018). Compared to this previous study, we found a frontal upregulation in higher frequency bands that were beta (12–30 Hz) and gamma (30–45 Hz). Increased frontal functional upregulation has also been shown in other studies with an increased functional connectivity in frontal regions (Mormino *et al.*, 2011; Jones *et al.*, 2016). In an inverse way we found decreased frontal delta power in the presence of neurodegeneration, for subthreshold amyloid SUVR. A study by Nakamura *et al.* (2018) reported a negative correlation between regional metabolism in Alzheimer's disease signature regions and frontal delta power in an amyloid-positive group, which included MCI and cognitively normal subjects, MCI subjects showing higher frontal



Figure 6 224 electrodes topographical maps of EEG metrics. The topographical 2D projection (top = front) of each measure [normalized power spectral density in delta (δ), theta (θ), alpha (α), beta (β), gamma (γ), median spectral frequency (MSF), spectral entropy (SE), algorithmic complexity (K) and weighted symbolic mutual information in theta band and alpha band (wSMI θ and wSMI α)] is plotted for the A+N+ group, the A-N+ group, A+N- group and control group A-N- (columns). Statistics were done on 224 electrodes by non-parametric cluster permutation test. The three last columns indicate non-parametric cluster-based permutation test results for the pairwise comparisons: A+N+ versus A-N-; A-N+ versus A-N-; and A+N- versus A-N- for each EEG metric. The topographical maps in the three last columns are colour-coded according to the cluster permutation tests *P*-values (colour: *P* < 0.05, greyscale: *P* > 0.05). Clusters of electrodes whose EEG metrics' values are significantly different from the control group (A-N-) are depicted.

delta power than cognitively normal subjects. At first sight these results could seem discrepant with our study but can be explained first by the fact that we studied cognitively normal subjects only and not MCI subjects; and second, we found increased delta power when neurodegeneration was associated to high amyloid burden, similarly to Nakamura *et al.* (2018), thus confirming that delta power increase is a marker of disease progression within the Alzheimer's disease continuum.

The first hypothesis to explain an increase in frontal high frequency oscillations concomitant with a decrease in low frequency oscillations in N+ subjects with subthreshold to intermediate amyloid load is a compensatory mechanism, which was also proposed in previous studies (Mormino et al., 2011; Lim et al., 2014; Jones et al., 2016). A sufficient level of compensation is needed to maintain normal cognitive function despite amyloid burden and hypometabolism in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. Compensatory mechanisms would then fail once amyloid burden exceeds a certain level, explaining the reversal of EEG metrics trend, with a slowing of brain oscillations revealed by increased delta power and decreased beta and gamma power, with a spectral pattern getting close to the one typically found in MCI and Alzheimer's disease. Another explanation is that as participants in the INSIGHT-preAD study are selected on normal cognition, subjects with neurodegeneration may have a particularly high cognitive reserve, which is revealed by baseline higher spectral power in frontal regions, reduced low frequency oscillations and higher functional connectivity (Cohen et al., 2009; Lim et al., 2014); this cognitive reserve would be altered as amyloid load increases, which would explain why subjects with neurodegeneration and very high amyloid load show slowing of brain oscillations and lower functional connectivity.

We found a local increase of functional connectivity measured by wSMI alpha in parieto-occipital regions in subjects at stage 1 of preclinical Alzheimer's disease. This could be explained by abnormal transient neuronal hyperexcitability related to amyloid- β deposition with a relative decrease in synaptic inhibition (Busche et al., 2008; Palop and Mucke, 2010; Nakamura et al., 2018). The 'acceleration' hypothesis suggests that once amyloid- β deposition is initiated by independent events, a milieu of higher functional connectivity hastens this deposition, which eventually leads to the functional disconnection or metabolic deterioration in the subjects with amyloid burden (Cohen et al., 2009; de Haan et al., 2012; Johnson et al., 2014; Lim et al., 2014). The metabolic demands associated with high connectivity may be the detrimental phenomenon that triggers downstream cellular and molecular events associated with Alzheimer's disease (Jones et al., 2016). Previous work in animal models has shown that intermediate levels of amyloid- β enhance synaptic activity presynaptically (Abramov et al., 2009), whereas abnormally high levels of amyloid- β impair synaptic activity by inducing post-synaptic depression (Palop and Mucke, 2010). This is consistent with our results showing basically two different EEG phases in preclinical Alzheimer's disease stage 2. In the early preclinical stage that is characterized by neurodegeneration combined with intermediate levels of amyloid- β , there is an increase in brain oscillations and functional connectivity due to compensation and/or amyloid-B-related excitotoxicity. Then, the increase in brain oscillations and functional connectivity would hasten amyloid-ß deposition. In a later preclinical stage characterized by neurodegeneration combined with high to very high levels of amyloid-B, there is a slowing of brain oscillations and reduced functional connectivity due to compensatory mechanisms failure and/or post-synaptic depression, with an EEG pattern getting close to the one observed in MCI and Alzheimer's disease. The breakdown of initial functional compensation would facilitate accelerated tau-related neurodegenerative processes (Jones et al., 2017).

In our study, we showed that a decrease in brain metabolism in Alzheimer's disease signature regions was associated with higher theta power. These results are in line with the study by Stomrud *et al.* (2010) on a small sample of cognitively normal subjects (n = 33) showing that increased CSF total tau and phosphorylated tau as well as increased phosphorylated tau/amyloid- β_{1-42} ratio in the CSF correlated with increased theta power, whereas amyloid- β_{1-42} in itself was not correlated with theta power. It has been previously suggested that increased theta power could be linked to neuronal degeneration but may not be specific to Alzheimer's disease (Nakamura *et al.*, 2018).

To our knowledge, our work is the first to study complexity and spectral entropy in subjects with preclinical Alzheimer's disease, coupled with metabolic evidence of neurodegeneration and amyloid- β biomarker information. The increased complexity and spectral entropy observed in frontal regions in the presence of neurodegeneration could also be explained by compensatory mechanisms. Compensation would then fail with increasing amyloid burden, with an EEG pattern becoming less complex and more regular, approximating the one observed in MCI/ Alzheimer's disease (Hornero *et al.*, 2009; Staudinger and Polikar, 2011; Al-Nuaimi *et al.*, 2018).

One of the main strengths of our study was the use of a high-performing and practical EEG processing pipeline with automated artefact elimination and extraction of several validated EEG biomarkers. This tool avoids the need for the time-consuming manual removal of artefacts and the risk of possible human biases. Effective artefact removal is particularly important in a population of elderly subjects. Our results suggest that the EEG measures extracted with this pipeline can be successfully employed in a wide range of practical contexts whenever spectral or informationtheory biomarkers are needed. wSMI has proved effective in assessing functional connectivity in previous studies (King et al., 2013; Sitt et al., 2014; Engemann et al., 2015, 2018) because unlike several traditional synchrony measures it minimizes common-source artefacts and provides an efficient way to detect non-linear coupling.

Moreover, wSMI has already proven sensitive to detect aberrant networks in other neurodegenerative conditions, including Parkinson's disease (Melloni *et al.*, 2015) and behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia (Dottori *et al.*, 2017). Our study supports the idea that EEG being a non-invasive, cheap and widely available technique, could be used as a screening tool for identifying individuals at high risk of preclinical Alzheimer's disease and future cognitive decline. Moreover, EEG biomarkers seem to be useful tools to measure and monitor neurodegeneration.

Another novelty of our work is the division of our study population in four groups, based on amyloid and neurodegeneration criteria, in contrast to the more commonly used selection of individuals at risk for Alzheimer's disease based on amyloid biomarker alone with a dichotomous classification of subjects as amyloid-negative or positive. First, amyloid deposition alone does not necessarily represent progression to clinical Alzheimer's disease as both neuropathological and PET data show evidence of extensive amyloid-ß pathology in cognitively normal older people (Bennett et al., 2006; Morris et al., 2010; Jagust, 2016). Second, it has been shown that neurodegeneration, particularly synapse loss, is the aspect of Alzheimer's disease neuropathological change that correlates most closely with symptom onset and cognitive decline (Soldan et al., 2016; Jack et al., 2018) and several studies using ¹⁸F-FDG PET showed that cerebral metabolic rate of glucose reduction predicted cognitive decline from normal elderly cognition to MCI/Alzheimer's disease with a high accuracy, decliners showing greater reduction of ¹⁸F-FDG SUVR values (de Leon et al., 2001; Jagust et al., 2006; Mosconi et al., 2009, 2010). A study by Teipel et al. (2018) found no association between cortical amyloid load and functional connectivity in the INSIGHT-preAD cohort, which is explainable first by the fact that authors only assessed the impact of amyloid load and not the effect of neurodegeneration on functional connectivity; and second, they used phase lag index to measure functional connectivity, which is affected by noise and volume conduction. The study of four groups of subjects depending on their amyloid and neurodegeneration status enabled us to explore EEG changes at different stages of preclinical Alzheimer's disease (stages 1 and 2) and to study SNAP subjects, who are also at risk of future cognitive decline (Caroli et al., 2015). Moreover, we were able to assess independently the effects of neurodegeneration and amyloid burden on EEG metrics.

Our results showed increased wSMI theta in APOE $\varepsilon 4$ carriers. This is consistent with other studies showing increased functional connectivity in cognitively normal APOE $\varepsilon 4$ carriers (Filbey *et al.*, 2006; Kramer *et al.*, 2008), whereas other studies found reduced brain activity in APOE $\varepsilon 4$ carriers (Lind *et al.*, 2006) or no differences in functional connectivity according to APOE genotype (Bassett *et al.*, 2006; Nakamura *et al.*, 2017). We found that males had higher functional connectivity measured by wSMI theta; however, this result should be interpreted with caution as there was some gender imbalance between

groups. Some studies have found higher functional connectivity in males (Allen *et al.*, 2011; Filippi *et al.*, 2013), whereas others have reported that gender has a relatively small (Bluhm *et al.*, 2008) or lack of effect (Weissman-Fogel *et al.*, 2010) on resting state networks. Thus, further studies are needed to clarify the impact of gender and *APOE* ε 4 genotype on EEG metrics.

Our study presents some limitations. We divided the INSIGHT-preAD cohort into four groups of subjects based on ¹⁸F-florbetapir PET and ¹⁸F-FDG PET thresholds. However, principally for amyloid burden, this dichotomous distinction between A + and A- categories is questionable as A- subjects are not necessarily completely free of amyloid, especially subjects that are slightly below the threshold. In the A-N+ (SNAP) group some subjects had subthreshold amyloid load so would be close to stage 2 of preclinical Alzheimer's disease. In the A + N + group the population was heterogeneous, as some subjects had intermediate amyloid burden and others had high to very high amyloid burden, making it difficult to interpret the results at A+N+ group level, as EEG metrics went into opposite directions depending on the degree of severity of amyloid burden. For that reason, we decided it was best to analyse amyloid load as a continuous variable and to describe two EEG phases in stage 2 of preclinical Alzheimer's disease (for intermediate amyloid load and high to very high amyloid burden). Tau marker was not available, which is another limitation of this study, especially with regards to the recent NIA-AA research framework (Jack et al., 2018), which stipulates that only individuals with both amyloid- β and pathologic tau biomarkers would be considered to have Alzheimer's disease. However, it has been shown that there is a strong correlation between ¹⁸F-FDG PET hypometabolism in Alzheimer's disease-signature regions and tau pathology, and also between hippocampal atrophy and tau pathology (Gómez-Isla et al., 1997; Nelson et al., 2012). As in our study A+N+ subjects not only had a combination of high ¹⁸F-florbetapir retention and low ¹⁸F-FDG PET metabolism but also presented significant hippocampal volume reduction, it means that they have a high probability of pathologic tau deposits. We decided to do our principal analysis at scalp level and mainly use globally-averaged EEG measures so that the procedure would stay simple, keeping in mind that it could be applied as a possible routine screening tool in the future to identify individuals at high risk of preclinical Alzheimer's disease. To have a better interpretation in terms of cerebral regions we did functional connectivity analysis at source level on four samples of subjects (Supplementary material), but due to lack of power, we did not evidence any significant differences in functional connectivity at source level. Source analysis on a larger number of subjects will need to be done in future studies. Finally, the analysis of longitudinal EEG data in the INSIGHT-preAD cohort will be most interesting to monitor evolution of EEG metrics during follow-up, especially in patients who will cognitively decline and evolve to prodromal Alzheimer's disease.

To conclude, our work identified several EEG biomarkers that are effective indices of Alzheimer's disease topographyspecific neurodegeneration. As these EEG biomarkers are modulated by the degree of severity of amyloid load, they will possibly help to distinguish between different stages of preclinical Alzheimer's disease. Our findings need to be replicated in further studies with a longitudinal analysis of EEG changes to finely assess the temporal evolution of these associations.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank all the staff at the Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle Epinière (ICM) and institute for memory and Alzheimer's disease (IM2A) who supported the INSIGHTpreAD project as well as the 318 INSIGHT-preAD volunteers. We thank Christiane Metzinger (ICM) for INSIGHT data management.

Funding

The study was promoted by INSERM in collaboration with ICM, Instituts Hospitalo-Universitaires à ICM, and Pfizer and has received support within the 'Investissement d'Avenir' (ANR-10-AIHU-06) program. The study was promoted in collaboration with the 'CHU de Bordeaux' (coordination CIC EC7), the promoter of Memento cohort, funded by the Foundation Plan-Alzheimer. The study was further supported by AVID/Lilly. This project/ research has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation under the Specific Grant Agreement No. 785907 (Human Brain Project SGA2). The funding sources had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, or data interpretation.

Competing interests

S.E. has received honoraria as a speaker or consultant for Eli Lilly, Biogen, Astellas Pharma, Roche and GE Healthcare. The other authors do not report any conflicts of interest.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at Brain online.

Appendix

INSIGHT-preAD study group

Hovagim Bakardjian, Habib Benali, Hugo Bertin, Joel Bonheur, Laurie Boukadida, Nadia Boukerrou, Enrica Cavedo, Patrizia Chiesa, Olivier Colliot, Bruno Dubois, Marion Dubois, Stéphane Epelbaum, Geoffroy Gagliardi, Remy Genthon, Marie-Odile Habert, Harald Hampel, Marion Houot, Aurélie Kas, Foudil Lamari, Marcel Levy, Simone Lista, Christiane Metzinger, Fanny Mochel, Francis Nyasse, Catherine Poisson, Marie-Claude Potier, Marie Revillon, Antonio Santos, Katia Santos Andrade, Marine Sole, Mohmed Surtee, Michel Thiebaud de Schotten, Andrea Vergallo, Nadjia Younsi.

A complete listing of MEMENTO study group members can be found at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/ articles/PMC5576287/bin/13195_2017_288_MOESM3_ES M.docx

References

- Abramov E, Dolev I, Fogel H, Ciccotosto GD, Ruff E, Slutsky I. Amyloid- β as a positive endogenous regulator of release probability at hippocampal synapses. Nat Neurosci 2009; 12: 1567–76.
- Allen EA, Erhardt EB, Damaraju E, Gruner W, Segall JM, Silva RF, et al. A baseline for the multivariate comparison of resting-state networks. Front Syst Neurosci 2011; 5: 2.
- Al-Nuaimi AHH, Jammeh E, Sun L, Ifeachor E. Complexity measures for quantifying changes in electroencephalogram in Alzheimer's disease. Complexity 2018; 2018: 1–12.
- Babiloni C, Binetti G, Cassetta E, Forno GD, Percio CD, Ferreri F, et al. Sources of cortical rhythms change as a function of cognitive impairment in pathological aging: a multicenter study. Clin Neurophysiol 2006; 117: 252–68.
- Babiloni C, Frisoni G, Pievani M, Vecchio F, Lizio R, Buttiglione M, et al. Hippocampal volume and cortical sources of EEG alpha rhythms in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer disease. NeuroImage 2009; 44: 123–35.
- Babiloni C, Lizio R, Marzano N, Capotosto P, Soricelli A, Triggiani AI, et al. Brain neural synchronization and functional coupling in Alzheimer's disease as revealed by resting state EEG rhythms. Int J Psychophysiol 2016; 103: 88–102.
- Babiloni C, Visser PJ, Frisoni G, De Deyn PP, Bresciani L, Jelic V, et al. Cortical sources of resting EEG rhythms in mild cognitive impairment and subjective memory complaint. Neurobiol Aging 2010; 31: 1787–98.
- Bassett SS, Yousem DM, Cristinzio C, Kusevic I, Yassa MA, Caffo BS, et al. Familial risk for Alzheimer's disease alters fMRI activation patterns. Brain 2006; 129: 1229–39.
- Bateman RJ, Xiong C, Benzinger TLS, Fagan AM, Goate A, Fox NC, et al. Clinical and biomarker changes in dominantly inherited Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med 2012; 367: 795–804.
- Bennett DA, Schneider JA, Arvanitakis Z, Kelly JF, Aggarwal NT, Shah RC, et al. Neuropathology of older persons without cognitive impairment from two community-based studies. Neurology 2006; 66: 1837–44.
- Blinowska KJ, Rakowski F, Kaminski M, De Vico Fallani F, Del Percio C, Lizio R, et al. Functional and effective brain connectivity for discrimination between Alzheimer's patients and healthy individuals: a study on resting state EEG rhythms. Clin Neurophysiol 2017; 128: 667–80.
- Bluhm RL, Osuch EA, Lanius RA, Boksman K, Neufeld RWJ, Théberge J, et al. Default mode network connectivity: effects of age, sex, and analytic approach: Neuroreport 2008; 19: 887–91.
- Burnham SC, Bourgeat P, Doré V, Savage G, Brown B, Laws S, et al. Clinical and cognitive trajectories in cognitively healthy elderly individuals with suspected non-Alzheimer's disease pathophysiology (SNAP) or Alzheimer's disease pathology: a longitudinal study. Lancet Neurol 2016; 15: 1044–53.

- Busche MA, Eichhoff G, Adelsberger H, Abramowski D, Wiederhold K-H, Haass C, et al. Clusters of hyperactive neurons near amyloid plaques in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Science 2008; 321: 1686–9.
- Caroli A, Prestia A, Galluzzi S, Ferrari C, van der Flier WM, Ossenkoppele R, et al. Mild cognitive impairment with suspected nonamyloid pathology (SNAP): prediction of progression. Neurology 2015; 84: 508–15.
- Cohen AD, Price JC, Weissfeld LA, James J, Rosario BL, Bi W, et al. Basal cerebral metabolism may modulate the cognitive effects of A in mild cognitive impairment: an example of brain reserve. J Neurosci 2009; 29: 14770–8.
- de Haan W, Mott K, van Straaten ECW, Scheltens P, Stam CJ. Activity dependent degeneration explains hub vulnerability in Alzheimer's disease. PLoS Comput Biol 2012; 8: e1002582.
- de Leon MJ, Convit A, Wolf OT, Tarshish CY, DeSanti S, Rusinek H, et al. Prediction of cognitive decline in normal elderly subjects with 2-[18F]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose/positron-emission tomography (FDG/PET). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001; 98: 10966–71.
- Dottori M, Sedeño L, Martorell Caro M, Alifano F, Hesse E, Mikulan E, et al. Towards affordable biomarkers of frontotemporal dementia: a classification study via network's information sharing. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 3822.
- Drzezga A, Lautenschlager N, Siebner H, Riemenschneider M, Willoch F, Minoshima S, et al. Cerebral metabolic changes accompanying conversion of mild cognitive impairment into Alzheimer's disease: a PET follow-up study. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2003; 30: 1104–13.
- Dubois B, Epelbaum S, Nyasse F, Bakardjian H, Gagliardi G, Uspenskaya O, et al. Cognitive and neuroimaging features and brain β-amyloidosis in individuals at risk of Alzheimer's disease (INSIGHT-preAD): a longitudinal observational study. Lancet Neurol 2018; 17: 335–46.
- Engemann D, Raimondo F, King J-R, Jas M, Gramfort A, Dehaene S. Automated measurement and prediction of consciousness in vegetative and minimally conscious patients. In: ICML Workshop on Statistics, Machine Learning and Neuroscience 2015, Lille, France, 2015.
- Engemann DA, Raimondo F, King J-R, Rohaut B, Louppe G, Faugeras F, et al. Robust EEG-based cross-site and cross-protocol classification of states of consciousness. Brain J Neurol 2018; 141: 3179–92.
- Filbey FM, Slack KJ, Sunderland TP, Cohen RM. Functional magnetic resonance imaging and magnetoencephalography differences associated with APOEe4 in young healthy adults. Neuroreport 2006; 17: 1585–90.
- Filippi M, Valsasina P, Misci P, Falini A, Comi G, Rocca MA. The organization of intrinsic brain activity differs between genders: a resting-state fMRI study in a large cohort of young healthy subjects. Hum Brain Mapp 2013; 34: 1330–43.
- Gómez-Isla T, Hollister R, West H, Mui S, Growdon JH, Petersen RC, et al. Neuronal loss correlates with but exceeds neurofibrillary tangles in Alzheimer's disease: neuronal Loss in the Superior Temporal Sulcus in Alzheimer's Disease. Ann Neurol 1997; 41: 17–24.
- Gramfort A, Luessi M, Larson E, Engemann DA, Strohmeier D, Brodbeck C, et al. MEG and EEG data analysis with MNE-Python. Front Neurosci 2013; 7: 267.
- Grunwald M, Busse F, Hensel A, Kruggel F, Riedel-Heller S, Wolf H, et al. Correlation between cortical theta activity and hippocampal volumes in health, mild cognitive impairment, and mild dementia. J Clin Neurophysiol 2001; 18: 178–84.
- Habert M-O, Bertin H, Labit M, Diallo M, Marie S, Martineau K, et al. Evaluation of amyloid status in a cohort of elderly individuals with memory complaints: validation of the method of quantification and determination of positivity thresholds. Ann Nucl Med 2018; 32: 75–86.
- Hornero R, Abasolo D, Escudero J, Gomez C. Nonlinear analysis of electroencephalogram and magnetoencephalogram recordings in

patients with Alzheimer's disease. Philos Trans R Soc Math Phys Eng Sci 2009; 367: 317–36.

- Jack CR, Bennett DA, Blennow K, Carrillo MC, Dunn B, Haeberlein SB, et al. NIA-AA research framework: toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2018; 14: 535–62.
- Jack CR, Knopman DS, Jagust WJ, Petersen RC, Weiner MW, Aisen PS, et al. Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers. Lancet Neurol 2013; 12: 207–16.
- Jack CR, Knopman DS, Weigand SD, Wiste HJ, Vemuri P, Lowe V, et al. An operational approach to National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association criteria for preclinical Alzheimer disease. Ann Neurol 2012; 71: 765–75.
- Jagust W. Is amyloid-β harmful to the brain? Insights from human imaging studies. Brain 2016; 139: 23–30.
- Jagust W, Gitcho A, Sun F, Kuczynski B, Mungas D, Haan M. Brain imaging evidence of preclinical Alzheimer's disease in normal aging. Ann Neurol 2006; 59: 673–81.
- Jelic V, Johansson SE, Almkvist O, Shigeta M, Julin P, Nordberg A, et al. Quantitative electroencephalography in mild cognitive impairment: longitudinal changes and possible prediction of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 2000; 21: 533–40.
- Jeong J. EEG dynamics in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Clin Neurophysiol 2004; 115: 1490–505.
- Johnson SC, Christian BT, Okonkwo OC, Oh JM, Harding S, Xu G, et al. Amyloid burden and neural function in people at risk for Alzheimer's Disease. Neurobiol Aging 2014; 35: 576–84.
- Jones DT, Graff-Radford J, Lowe VJ, Wiste HJ, Gunter JL, Senjem ML, et al. Tau, amyloid, and cascading network failure across the Alzheimer's disease spectrum. Cortex 2017; 97: 143–59.
- Jones DT, Knopman DS, Gunter JL, Graff-Radford J, Vemuri P, Boeve BF, et al. Cascading network failure across the Alzheimer's disease spectrum. Brain 2016; 139: 547–62.
- King J-R, Sitt JD, Faugeras F, Rohaut B, El Karoui I, Cohen L, et al. Information sharing in the brain indexes consciousness in noncommunicative patients. Curr Biol 2013; 23: 1914–9.
- Knopman DS, Jack CR, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, Vemuri P, Lowe VJ, et al. Brain injury biomarkers are not dependent on β-amyloid in normal elderly: neuronal injury biomarkers. Ann Neurol 2013; 73: 472–80.
- Kramer G, van der Flier WM, de Langen C, Blankenstein MA, Scheltens P, Stam CJ. EEG functional connectivity and ApoE genotype in Alzheimer's disease and controls. Clin Neurophysiol 2008; 119: 2727–32.
- Lim HK, Nebes R, Snitz B, Cohen A, Mathis C, Price J, et al. Regional amyloid burden and intrinsic connectivity networks in cognitively normal elderly subjects. Brain 2014; 137: 3327–38.
- Lind J, Persson J, Ingvar M, Larsson A, Cruts M, Van Broeckhoven C, et al. Reduced functional brain activity response in cognitively intact apolipoprotein E ε4 carriers. Brain 2006; 129: 1240–8.
- Luckhaus C, Grass-Kapanke B, Blaeser I, Ihl R, Supprian T, Winterer G, et al. Quantitative EEG in progressing vs stable mild cognitive impairment (MCI): results of a 1-year follow-up study. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2008; 23: 1148–55.
- Melloni M, Sedeño L, Hesse E, García-Cordero I, Mikulan E, Plastino A, et al. Cortical dynamics and subcortical signatures of motor-language coupling in Parkinson's disease. Sci Rep 2015; 5: 11899.
- Mormino EC, Betensky RA, Hedden T, Schultz AP, Amariglio RE, Rentz DM, et al. Synergistic effect of β-amyloid and neurodegeneration on cognitive decline in clinically normal individuals. JAMA Neurol 2014; 71: 1379.
- Mormino EC, Smiljic A, Hayenga AO, Onami SH, Greicius MD, Rabinovici GD, et al. Relationships between beta-amyloid and functional connectivity in different components of the default mode network in aging. Cereb Cortex 2011; 21: 2399–407.
- Morris JC, Roe CM, Xiong C, Fagan AM, Goate AM, Holtzman DM, et al. APOE predicts amyloid-beta but not tau Alzheimer

pathology in cognitively normal aging. Ann Neurol 2010; 67: 122–31.

- Mosconi L, Berti V, Glodzik L, Pupi A, De Santi S, de Leon MJ. Pre-clinical detection of Alzheimer's disease using FDG-PET, with or without amyloid imaging. J Alzheimers Dis 2010; 20: 843–54.
- Mosconi L, Mistur R, Switalski R, Tsui WH, Glodzik L, Li Y, et al. FDG-PET changes in brain glucose metabolism from normal cognition to pathologically verified Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2009; 36: 811–22.
- Nakamura A, Cuesta P, Fernández A, Arahata Y, Iwata K, Kuratsubo I, et al. Electromagnetic signatures of the preclinical and prodromal stages of Alzheimer's disease. Brain 2018; 141: 1470–85.
- Nakamura A, Cuesta P, Kato T, Arahata Y, Iwata K, Yamagishi M, et al. Early functional network alterations in asymptomatic elders at risk for Alzheimer's disease. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 6517.
- Nelson PT, Alafuzoff I, Bigio EH, Bouras C, Braak H, Cairns NJ, et al. Correlation of Alzheimer disease neuropathologic changes with cognitive status: a review of the literature. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2012; 71: 362–81.
- Palop JJ, Mucke L. Amyloid-β-induced neuronal dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease: from synapses toward neural networks. Nat Neurosci 2010; 13: 812–8.
- Sitt JD, King J-R, El Karoui I, Rohaut B, Faugeras F, Gramfort A, et al. Large scale screening of neural signatures of consciousness in patients in a vegetative or minimally conscious state. Brain 2014; 137: 2258–70.
- Smith SM, Nichols TE. Threshold-free cluster enhancement: addressing problems of smoothing, threshold dependence and localisation in cluster inference. NeuroImage 2009; 44: 83–98.
- Soldan A, Pettigrew C, Cai Q, Wang M-C, Moghekar AR, O'Brien RJ, et al. Hypothetical preclinical Alzheimer disease groups and longitudinal cognitive change. JAMA Neurol 2016; 73: 698.
- Sperling RA, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, Bennett DA, Craft S, Fagan AM, et al. Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's

Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2011; 7: 280-92.

- Stam CJ. Use of magnetoencephalography (MEG) to study functional brain networks in neurodegenerative disorders. J Neurol Sci 2010; 289: 128–34.
- Stam CJ, van der Made Y, Pijnenburg YAL, Scheltens P. EEG synchronization in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neurol Scand 2003; 108: 90–6.
- Staudinger T, Polikar R. Analysis of complexity based EEG features for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2011; 2011: 2033–6.
- Stomrud E, Hansson O, Minthon L, Blennow K, Roseín I, Londos E. Slowing of EEG correlates with CSF biomarkers and reduced cognitive speed in elderly with normal cognition over 4 years. Neurobiol Aging 2010; 31: 215–23.
- Teipel S, Bakardjian H, Gonzalez-Escamilla G, Cavedo E, Weschke S, Dyrba M, et al. No association of cortical amyloid load and EEG connectivity in older people with subjective memory complaints. Neuroimage Clin 2018; 17: 435–43.
- Toledo JB, Weiner MW, Wolk DA, Da X, Chen K, Arnold SE, et al. Neuronal injury biomarkers and prognosis in ADNI subjects with normal cognition. Acta Neuropathol Commun 2014; 2: 26.
- Villemagne VL, Burnham S, Bourgeat P, Brown B, Ellis KA, Salvado O, et al. Amyloid β deposition, neurodegeneration, and cognitive decline in sporadic Alzheimer's disease: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Neurol 2013; 12: 357–67.
- Vos SJ, Xiong C, Visser PJ, Jasielec MS, Hassenstab J, Grant EA, et al. Preclinical Alzheimer's disease and its outcome: a longitudinal cohort study. Lancet Neurol 2013; 12: 957–65.
- Weissman-Fogel I, Moayedi M, Taylor KS, Pope G, Davis KD. Cognitive and default-mode resting state networks: do male and female brains 'rest' differently? Hum Brain Mapp 2010; 31: 1713–26.
- Wirth M, Villeneuve S, Haase CM, Madison CM, Oh H, Landau SM, et al. Associations between Alzheimer disease biomarkers, neurodegeneration, and cognition in cognitively normal older people. JAMA Neurol 2013; 70: 1512–9.