A study on trade-offs between spatial resolution and temporal sampling density for wheat yield estimation using both thermal and calendar time
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ABSTRACT

Within-season forecasting of crop yields is of great economic, geo-strategic and humanitarian interest. Satellite Earth Observation now constitutes a valuable and innovative way to provide spatio-temporal information to assist such yield forecasts. This study explores different configurations of remote sensing time series to estimate winter wheat yield using either spatially finer but temporally sparser time series (5 daily at 100 m spatial resolution) or spatially coarser but denser (300 m and 1 km at daily frequency) time series. Furthermore, we hypothesised that better yield estimations could be made using thermal time, which is closer to the crop physiological development. Time series of NDVI from the PROBA-V instrument, which has delivered images at a spatial resolution of 100 m, 300 m and 1 km since 2013, were extracted for 39 fields for field and 56 fields for regional level analysis across Northern France during the growing season 2014-2015. An asymmetric double sigmoid model was fitted on the NDVI series of the central pixel of the field. The fitted model was subsequently integrated either over thermal time or over calendar time, using different baseline NDVI thresholds to mark the start and end of the cropping season. These integrated values were used as a predictor for yield using a simple linear regression and yield observations at field level. The dependency of this relationship on the spatial pixel purity was assessed for the 100 m, 300 m and 1 km spatial resolution. At field level, depending on the spatial resolution and the NDVI threshold, the adjusted $R^2$ ranged from 0.20 to 0.74; jackknifed leave-one-field-out cross validation $R^2$ ranged from 0.6 to 1.07 t/ha and MAE ranged between 0.46 and 0.90 t/ha for thermal analysis. The best results for yield estimation (adjusted $R^2 = 0.74$, RMSE $= 0.6$ t/ha and MAE $= 0.46$ t/ha) were obtained from the integration over thermal time of 100 m pixel resolution using a baseline NDVI threshold of 0.2 and without any selection based on pixel purity. The field scale yield estimation was aggregated to the regional scale using 56 fields. At the regional level, there was a difference of 0.0012 t/ha between thermal and calendar time for average yield estimations. The standard error of mean results showed that the error was larger for a higher spatial resolution with no pixel purity and smaller when purity increased. These results suggest that, for winter wheat, a finer spatial resolution rather than a higher revisit frequency and an increasing pixel purity enable more accurate yield estimations when integrated over thermal time at the field scale and at the regional scale only if higher pixel purity levels are considered. This method can be extended to larger regions, other crops, and other regions in the world, although site and crop-specific adjustments will have to include other threshold temperatures to reflect the boundaries of phenological activity. In general, however, this methodological approach should be applicable to yield estimation at the parcel and regional scales across the world.

1. Introduction

Accurate and timely yield estimates are important due to their large impact on strategic planning and world markets (Doraiswamy et al., 2007). Extensive research has been done over the past decades to apply remote sensing for predicting yields at different scales, from field to national levels. Therefore, these studies are crucial for individual farmers, national governments and international organizations. Satellite images have different spectral, temporal and spatial resolutions. The images most commonly used for regional studies of yield
estimation still have a coarse resolution and a daily revisit time. Time-series data from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), Satellites Pour l’Observation de la Terre or Earth-observing Satellites VeGeTation (SPOT-VGT), MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), and MEdium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) are examples of low-resolution data. Low-resolution images have been used to establish statistical regressions between Vegetation Indices (VIs) and crop yield statistics (Doraiswamy et al., 2007; Duncan et al., 2015). The main advantage of coarse spatial resolution data for statistical prediction of yield is their longer historical time series, often crucial to relate present conditions to similar conditions observed in the past. Other advantages of coarse spatial resolution data is their associated high temporal resolution, low cost, and global coverage which allows following vegetation development even when overcast conditions frequently occur (Atzberger, 2013; Junior et al., 2014; Kibet, 2016; Rembold et al., 2013).

Despite their valuable input for assessing crop production, the most relevant limitation of coarse spatial resolution time-series data is that their spectral reflectances contain mixed information from several surfaces and vegetation types, making it difficult to interpret the signal and directly relate a spectral or temporal signature to a specific crop condition (Kibet, 2016). This problem should gradually disappear with the establishment of time series of images with higher spatial resolutions, such as the combination of Landsat-8 with Sentinel-2 (Claverie et al., 2018). However, this also comes with additional constraints of having to deal with much more data volume and thus processing time. A middle ground may be data from the Project for On-Board Autonomy-Vegetation (PROBA-V) satellite, which delivers data at 100 m every 5 days. The advantage of the 100 m dataset compared to higher spatial resolution satellite data is that its spatio-temporal resolution is sufficient for yield estimate studies, thereby avoiding larger processing times of heavier data volumes (Duveiller and Defourny, 2010). The PROBA-V time series became available in May 2013, and, as a result, few studies exist that relate PROBA data to crop yield (Meroni et al., 2013). The specific comparison of PROBAV data at different resolutions – 100 m, 300 m and 1 km – for crop yield estimation has not been studied to date.

From satellite observations, the vegetation signal can be captured through mathematical combinations of reflectances from different spectral bands into what are known as vegetation indices (VIs), such as through mathematical combinations of red, blue, and SWIR bands into the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) (Rouse et al., 1974). Several studies have demonstrated that seasonal VIs are significantly correlated with official crop yield statistics (Bégue et al., 2010; Doraiswamy et al., 2007; Ferencz et al., 2004; Meroni et al., 2013; Pinter et al., 1981; Rasmussen, 1992). Consequently, remote sensing is an attractive tool for monitoring spatio-temporal patterns of vegetation growth (Duncan et al., 2015). Measures of green vegetation provided by VIs can be used to estimate yield. Using SPOT-VGT imagery at 1 km, Meroni et al. (2013) computed the integral between the start of the growing season and the beginning of the descending stage for winter wheat yield estimates at a national level and discovered a significant correlation.

Vegetation indices can be expressed as a function of thermal time instead of calendar time to derive more crop physiologically sound relations. Characterising crop physiological development in relation to thermal time is a modelling approach that has been used in weather and climate impact research (Gobin, 2012, 2018). Plants require certain accumulated heat energy over the growing season to develop. Thermal time for organ growth and development depends on the temperature (Duveiller et al., 2013; Meroni et al., 2013) and can be defined as the cumulative daily average temperature throughout the growing period, expressed as the Growing Degree Day (GDD) (Franch et al., 2015; Gobin, 2010; Kouadio et al., 2012). The GDD has been used to obtain smoother and more temporally consistent time series of biophysical variables (Duveiller et al., 2013). Lobell et al. (2003) have used GDD rather than calendar days to account for changes in crop development due to accumulated temperature. Skakun et al. (2017) have used GDD to account for discrepancies which are temporally and spatially non-uniformities of the winter crop development due to the presence of different agro-climatic zones.

Pixel purity represents the relative contribution of the surface of interest to the signal detected by the remote sensing instrument (Duveiller, 2012). This approach has been used to restrict the analysis to a subset of a region’s pixels and to identify meaningful samples for both crop growth monitoring (Durgun et al., 2016a; Duveiller and Defourny, 2010) and crop area estimation (Durgun et al., 2016b; Löw and Duveiller, 2014). Several studies have demonstrated that a better characterization of crop growth can be obtained by focusing on subset of pixels that are dominated by a larger proportion of crop area (Chen et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2016; Kastens et al., 2005; Löw and Duveiller, 2014; Waldner et al., 2016). For the present study, the degree of homogeneity of the signal with respect to the target crop is here defined as pixel purity; and it allows explicit control over the relationship between the remote sensing observation and the target.

Satellite remote sensing observations of greenness using indices such as NDVI have proven useful for within season crop forecasting but establishing adequate time series of such information requires a trade-off between: (1) how fine the spatial resolution must be; (2) how frequently a field needs to be revisited; and (3) how long the corresponding archive needs to be (Houborg and McCabe, 2018; Tian et al., 2013). Whilst new technology is guaranteeing improvements in the first two points with new constellations such as Sentinel-2 (10 m, 5-day revisit, since 2015), the third point typically remains a limitation for crop monitoring, advocating further research on EO-data series. With its central camera, PROBA-V provides an opportunity to estimate yield at 100 m resolution, but this still comes at the expense of a lower revisit frequency. Both spatial resolution and temporal resolution of the data obtained from satellite products could affect the accuracy of crop yield estimates. Therefore, depending on what the end-user wants, there can be a trade-off between spatial and temporal resolution in terms of minimum information required to obtain good results. The main objective of this study is to investigate whether it is better to use 100 m dataset with more data in space, but less in time, or it is better to use 300 m (and 1 km) dataset(s) with less data in space and more in time.

2. Materials

2.1. Study area and ground data

The study area encompassed Nord-Pas-de Calais, Picardie and Champagne-Ardenne in Northern France (Fig. 1). These regions are amongst the highest wheat-producing regions of France.

Ground data, field boundaries and winter wheat yields were obtained from farmers’ declarations during the 2015 crop campaign by a private company called Drone Agricole. Yield values in the ground data were measured by weighing harvest instead of guessing. The sowing dates were in October and November and the harvest took place in July and August. After applying field selection thresholds further explained in the Methods section, 39 winter wheat fields from a total of 56 fields were selected with sizes ranging from 8 ha to 12.5 ha. Average yield for the area was around 10 t/ha with a standard deviation of 1.2 t/ha. Neighbouring fields can have different yields which can be explained by different biophysical environments such as soil type, and by different management strategies relating to cultivar, sowing time, and agri-chemical application rates.

2.2. NDVI and meteorological data

PROBA-V was launched in May 2013 to fill the gap between the SPOT-VGT and Sentinel-3 satellites. PROBAV has four spectral bands: blue, centred at 0.463 μm; red at 0.655 μm; NIR at 0.845 μm; and SWIR at 1.600 μm. The instrument has a swath width of 2250 km across four
bands. The central camera of the PROBA-V satellite provides a global coverage at a 5-daily 100 m resolution, whereas global daily images are acquired at 300 m and 1 km resolutions. Daily atmospherically corrected PROBA-V NDVI images and status maps at 100 m, 300 m and 1 km resolutions were obtained from http://www.vito-eodata.be. Status maps, containing information about snow, ice, shadow, clouds and land or sea for every pixel, were used to extract high quality pixels and NDVI series.

Meteorological input, daily minimum and maximum temperatures, were available at a 0.25 × 0.25 ° grid from the Joint Research Centre Monitoring Agriculture by Remote Sensing OPerational (JRC-MARSOP) project (Baruth et al., 2007). The MARSOP meteorological data are collected from ground stations in near real time for different hours within one day and provided directly by national meteorological institutes or regional authorities.

3. Methods

NDVI values at 100 m, 300 m and 1 km resolutions were extracted for the central pixel of each field where yield data were available. The number of NDVI observations during the growing season can severely affect the integral calculated after curve fitting. Because of its lower revisit time, the 100 m dataset resulted in a temporally sparser time series compared to the 300 m and 1 km resolution datasets. Two field selection thresholds were therefore applied to retain the fields for which sufficient data were available for the three datasets. The selection criteria were: (1) a minimum of 10 cloud-free NDVI observations from PROBA-V during the growing season and (2) less than two-month gaps between two consecutive observations. Based on these criteria, only 39 winter wheat fields out of 56 fields were retained for the 100 m data, while all 56 where kept for the 300 m and 1 km datasets.

Pixel purity percentages were investigated for the three resolution datasets to study the effects. The same purity thresholds were defined for all datasets, although the differences between the resolutions and associated sample sizes were present. For instance, for the 1 km dataset, the number of pixels within the fields was too low for a 20 % purity threshold, whereas for the 100 m dataset, almost all the pixels had more than 95 % purity.

Temperature values were extracted from the JRC-MARSOP database (Baruth et al., 2007), and thermal time was computed in cumulative GDD (Eq. 1):

\[
GDD = \frac{T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{min}}}{2} - T_{\text{base}}
\]

where \( T_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum daily temperature, \( T_{\text{min}} \) is the minimum daily temperature and \( T_{\text{base}} \) is the base temperature. The base temperature for wheat was 0 °C, as used by Duveiller et al. (2012). All fields in the study site belonged to the same climatic region. The period used for the calculation of the cumulative GDD was from the beginning of January until the end of July.

Remotely sensed imagery time series are irregular due to cloud contamination, and therefore relationships are sought to estimate growth development during the season. An asymmetric double sigmoid function (ADSF) was fitted to the NDVI time series of the central pixel for thermal time and for calendar time. The ADSF is a variant of the canopy structure dynamic model curves proposed by Koetz et al. (2005). As applied by Zhong et al. (2014), the ADSF was used for curve fitting and expressed as follows in (Eq. 2):

\[
V(t) = V_b + \frac{1}{2} V_a [\tanh(p(t - D_1)) - \tanh(q(t - D_2))]
\]

where \( V(t) \) is the NDVI at the time \( t \), \( V_b \) is the background NDVI value corresponding to the non-growing season, \( V_a \) is the amplitude of NDVI variation within the current growing cycle. The overall changing rates of the slopes were characterized by \( p \) and \( q \). Middle dates of the
increasing and the decreasing segments were represented by \( D_i \) and \( D_d \).

A cumulated NDVI value is calculated for all fitted curves for each field at each of the 100 m, 300 m and 1 km spatial resolutions, each time using a different NDVI baseline value ranging from 0 to 0.6. A linear regression was calculated between yield data and the integral values of the fitted ADSF for each field. The statistical metrics, namely the adjusted coefficient of determination \( (R^2) \), Pearson’s correlation’s \( (r) \) associated \( p \)-value, jackknifed (leave-one-field-out cross validation) RMSE (t/ha) and MAE (t/ha), were used to evaluate the goodness of fit.

Finally, to provide an idea of how these estimates can represent a regional yield, the average of all the estimated yields for all points at 100 m, 300 m and 1 km was calculated and compared with the average observed yield of all 56 fields. Additionally, the percentage change between the average of the estimated and observed yield, and the standard error of mean (SE) were calculated. The calculation was done by using an NDVI threshold of 0.2 and for all pixel purity percentages.

4. Results

The fitted ADSF integral areas demonstrated a higher goodness of fit with an increase in pixel purity and resolution of the PROBA-V NDVI datasets at 100 m, 300 m and 1 km resolutions for thermal time and calendar time as shown for one field with a 0.3 NDVI threshold in Fig. 2.

Fig. 3 summarizes the performance across all sampled fields for the different spatial resolutions, baseline NDVI thresholds, and for thermal versus calendar time integration. The results illustrate that the 100 m dataset performed better than the 300 m NDVI which, in turn, performed better than the 1 km for both the thermal time and calendar time analyses. This demonstrates that a finer spatial resolution ensured a purer crop signal and better yield estimation at the field level, presumably as it contained less contamination from adjacent land cover. The integrations on the over thermal time performed almost systematically better that those based on calendar time, with the marginal exceptions at 300 m resolution with high baseline NDVI thresholds of 0.5 and 0.6. Compared to calendar time, integration over thermal time...
showed a better performance by 15 % for 1 km, 33 % for 300 m, and 65 % for 100 m for an NDVI threshold of 0.2 in adjusted $R^2$ (Fig. 3). The optimal baseline NDVI threshold appeared to be between 0.2 and 0.3, while higher thresholds reduced the performance.

The results are presented against both the actual yield and the integral values at 100 m, 300 m and 1 km resolutions for NDVI threshold 0.2 in the scatterplots in Fig. 4. There were noticeable differences between the three resolution results. In addition, deviation from the 1:1 line was less pronounced for thermal time as compared to calendar time. The relation between actual yield and integral values was stronger for 100 m resolution compared to 300 m and 1 km resolutions.

The relationships between yield and the integrated NDVI based on ADSF were statistically significant. In all cases, $p$-values were smaller than 0.001, except for the $p$-values for NDVI thresholds of 0.5 and 0.6 at the 1 km resolution, which were larger than 0.05 (Fig. 5). Integration over thermal time with a 0.2 NDVI threshold gave the strongest results at the 100 m, 300 m and 1 km resolutions. The best result was obtained at 100 m resolution with MAE and RMSE for NDVI threshold 0.2 for thermal time equalling to 0.46 and 0.60 t/ha respectively.

The pixel-crop coverage in terms of amount of the crop field covered by the pixel also influenced the results. The effect of selecting pixels with increasingly higher crop specific purity is reported in Table 1. Naturally, pixel purity percentages increased with finer spatial resolution. The pixel purity percentages encountered in the dataset ranged between 61 %–100 % for the 100 m time series, between 14 %–100 % for the 300 m time series and between 2 %–51 % for the 1 km time series. The same purity thresholds were used for pixel purity comparisons of calendar time (days) and thermal time (°C·days) at 100 m, 300 m and 1 km resolutions for different NDVI thresholds (Table 1).

The adjusted $R^2$ values (Table 1) illustrate how correlation between the integrated NDVI and yield increases with higher pixel purities. These results demonstrated that high quality yield estimates were possible at lower resolutions when a higher pixel purity was imposed. However, more stringent pixel purity percentages come at the expense of the number of fields that can be analysed, which potentially can jeopardize the capacity of the sample set to represent the regional yield.

This analysis involving purity thresholds generally confirms that thermal time outperformed calendar time, but a notable exception
Fig. 5. Jackknife RMSE (t/ha) and MAE (t/ha) for calendar (in days) and thermal time (in °C·days) analyses at 100 m, 300 m and 1 km resolutions without filtering by pixel purity and for different NDVI thresholds. $p$-values are smaller than 0.001 in all cases, except for the ones labelled with a star symbol, which were larger than 0.05.
occurred for samples with purity above 65% for calendar time at 300 m. In this specific situation, the $R^2$ increased substantially for calendar time. However, the sample size became small (12 and 5 from a total of 39) and may not be representative of the general situation.

Fig. 6 presents the average estimated yield (t/ha) difference between spatial resolution datasets, which was relatively small. The calendar time performed nearly the same as the thermal time. Overall, the standard error of mean results showed that lower spatial resolution data performed better when purity was decreasing, and higher spatial resolution data performed better when purity was rising. Fig. 6 shows that when taking all fields together, the 100 m fields suffered from a marginal penalty due to a more limited number of samples than 300 m data (39 vs 56). However, as the subset of fields for the 300 m (and 1 km) data diminished due to increasing purity thresholds, and increasing bias against the average regional yield was observed, while the 100 m data remained very stable.

### 5. Discussion

This study shows that the fitted ADSF integral area of NDVI values using thermal time provided a better estimation for yield at the field scale than using the conventional calendar time. As long as this integration was done using a low NDVI baseline (e.g. NDVI = 0.2), this finding held true for all three pixel sizes (100 m, 300 m and 1 km), although the improvement was much larger for the finer spatial resolution. This suggests that for the landscape observed here, there is a considerable added-value in favouring a finer spatial resolution as it enables a diagnostic that adheres closer to the dynamics of the crop field due to reduced noise from neighbouring landscape elements. This result could be extended to any other landscape with similar field sizes and geometries. However, a more general outcome that should be applicable to even more varied types of landscapes is that for all spatial resolutions, the time representation based on thermal time was more appropriate and resulted in a higher explanatory power of yield estimation, presumably due to a closer representation of crop physiology. Importantly, the predictive power at field scale was high for the finer pixel size despite the considerably lower revisit frequency of the PROBA-V 100 m instrument, i.e. 5-daily, which is caused by the smaller swath of the central camera. The double-sigmoid model effectively resembled a semi-empirical crop growth model that relied on a few data points as long as thermal time was used. In fact, Fig. 3 and 5 show that for all the fields, except for those with very high NDVI baseline integration thresholds, the denser 100 m time series integrated over thermal time performed better than the 300 m time series integrated over calendar time. Thermal time integration of the double-sigmoid model outperformed calendar time integration.

Although the 100 m pixel time series showed a clear advantage when used with thermal time smoothing, the total number of time series that could be used in this study was reduced because several fields had too few valid observations due to cloud cover. Therefore, 17 fields had to be discarded for further field scale yield estimation. This restriction can be a disadvantage when the interest is the regional yield forecast based on a representative sample of fields rather than the yield of individual fields. However, for the particular case of this study area, our results showed that at the regional level, the average yield estimation difference was relatively small (0.00121 t/ha) between different spatial resolutions both for calendar and thermal time. The difference was larger with an increase in pixel purity thresholds, as this resulted in a more restrictive sample set that, in this specific case, appeared to be different from the regional mean. As a consequence, the standard errors of the mean are lower for the lower spatial resolution. However, this is not a general conclusion that can be extended to other situations in which, for example, larger fields may not be so representative of the regional mean.

This study has further contributed in demonstrating the potential of using satellites with the configuration of PROBA-V to assess winter wheat yield. Since PROBA-V is a relatively new satellite, there are limited studies available for crop yield estimation. This study’s results aligned with reported ranges (Meroni et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2016). Zheng et al. (2016) generated 100 m land surface reflectances by fusing the PROBA-V 100 m and 300 m S1 products, and achieved 0.663 for $R^2$, 0.63 for RMSE (t/ha) and 7.27 % for RRMSE at Yucheng County, and 0.631 for $R^2$, 0.42 for RMSE (t/ha) and 4.88 % for RRMSE at Guantao County for winter wheat yield estimates in China for one growing season in 2014–2015 (Zheng et al., 2016). In another study, Meroni et al. (2016) evaluated the NDVI data continuity between SPOT-VGT and PROBA-V missions for operational yield forecasting in North African countries for yield estimates of barley, soft wheat and durum wheat. They obtained 0.78 for $R^2$ and 4.51 for RMSE difference in percentage in Morocco, 0.94 for $R^2$ and 3.27 for RMSE difference in percentage in Algeria, and 0.91 for $R^2$ and -31.76 for RMSE difference in percentage in Tunisia. In the present study, the adjusted coefficients of determination ($R^2$) were 0.2 at 1 km, 0.55 at 300 m, and 0.74 at 100 m resolutions. The jack-knifed RMSE amounted to 1.07 t/ha for 1 km resolution, 0.79 t/ha for 300 m resolution and 0.60 t/ha for 100 m resolution, while MAE was 0.78 t/ha for 1 km resolution, 0.53 t/ha for 300 m and 0.46 t/ha for 100 m resolutions. For all three resolution datasets, it was overestimated in the low yield value and underestimated in the high yield values. Such a bias was not uncommon in regression models.

The results of our study closely agree with other studies that compared the performance of the spatial resolutions of satellites. Guindin-Garcia evaluated MODIS 8-day and 16-day composite products for monitoring maize yield using the green leaf area index (LAI$_g$) (Guindin-Garcia, 2010). The results revealed that 250 m MODIS products provided more accurate estimates of maize LAI$_g$ compared to 500 m products during the entire growing season (Guindin-Garcia, 2010). Chen et al. (2006) assessed the potential use of the MODIS Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) at 250 m, 500 m and 1 km resolutions for monitoring maize. According to their results, MODIS EVI at 1 km data had lower EVI values compared to 250 m and 500 m data in the vegetative stage. The reason was that the higher resolution pixels contained a larger proportion of agricultural coverage (Chen et al., 2006). The particularity of this present study compared to the mentioned studies is that this study investigated the impact of low revisit time of 100 m resolution dataset and high revisit time of 300 m and 1 km datasets.
In this study, the methodology was applied to one crop type (winter wheat) in one region (Northern France) during one growing season (2014–2015). Although soil and other environmental variables have an impact on crop performance, our results demonstrated that the methodological framework performed well on different soils and therefore different moisture and management regimes within the region. Therefore, this framework could be transferable to other agro-ecological zones. However, the results of which resolution works best might not be the same for different landscapes and other crops, since regions with larger fields may show little difference between 100 m and 300 m time series, even for coarser 1 km pixels when the fields are really large. Conversely, in more fragmented landscapes the improvement of using the highest resolution could even be larger. In addition, the quality of the sparser 100 m time series over regions with serious data gaps relates to persistent cloudy conditions during the growing season. To this extent, the region studied here is characterised by frequent cloud cover, higher than that of many other crop growing regions around the world, and therefore represents a relevant case for cloud contaminated

Fig. 6. The percentage change between the average of the estimated yield and the ground yield (% barplots) (top); SE (t/ha, error bars) and the number of fields colour coded according to respective spatial resolution (middle); the average of the estimated yield (t/ha, dots) (bottom) at 100 m, 300 m and 1 km for 56 fields for different pixel purity percentages. The average ground yield is 10.33 for 56 fields (dotted line in the middle and bottom graphs).
regions. Nonetheless, there is an alternative simulated 100 m dataset which might be useful in regions with frequent cloud cover conditions. Kempeneers et al. (2016) implemented a Kalman filter recursive algorithm that integrated 100 m and 300 m resolution images to generate the assimilated imagery at 100 m resolution. This simulated 100 m data based on data assimilation methods could be used to increase the temporal resolution of the PROBA-V 100 m product which would provide a dataset with a smaller swath and lower revisit frequency. Additionally, combinations with radar acquisitions show very promising results to capture crop growth in cloud contaminated regions, as tested for Belgium by Van Tricht et al. (2018).

The transferability of the thermal time approach for all spatial resolutions seems promising. However, applying it to other crops and regions may require adjustments such as changing the base temperature for calculating the growing degree days according to the target crop or variety (e.g. Gobin, 2010, 2012, 2018). In addition, optimum and upper temperatures could be introduced to reflect temperature thresholds beyond which phenological activity slows down or even discontinues (e.g. Gobin, 2018). This could ensure a more realistic characterisation of the crop physiology and its response to different climates across the world. In other regions with high inter-annual variations and rainfall agriculture, thermal time may be more sensitive during given critical crop stages compared to calendar time. The lack of available ground data at the field scale hinders comparisons between different growing seasons, and across different geographic regions. When made available, the study could be extended to other climatic regions. In our study, plant phenology was related to temperature only and the analysis could be extended to include other biotic and abiotic stresses that impact crop development.

6. Conclusions

We investigated whether a higher spatial resolution (100 m) with more data in space, but less in time, was better than a 300 m and 1 km resolutions with less data in space and more in time. The highest correlation was obtained by using an NDVI threshold of 0.2 and a pixel purity of above 90 % at 100 m, 40 % at 300 m and 15 % at 1 km resolutions for thermal time integration over a double sigmoid model fitted through the NDVI time series of winter wheat field at field level. Additionally, independently from spatial and temporal resolutions, thermal time integration outperformed calendar time integration. Depending on the resolution and the NDVI threshold used for thermal time integration, the adjusted R² ranged between 0.20 and 0.74, jackknifed – leave-one-fieldout cross validation – RMSE (t/ha) ranged between 0.6 and 1.07 t/ha, and MAE (t/ha) ranged between 0.46 and 0.90 t/ha. The most accurate results were obtained with a 100 m resolution at the 0.2 NDVI threshold integrated over thermal time without a pixel purity threshold. At regional level, the average yield estimation did not result in large differences between the different spatial resolution datasets. A smaller standard error of mean was obtained at lower spatial resolution data: 1 km was better than 300 m which was better than 100 m.

The 300 m dataset compared to the 100 m dataset might allow more fields to be sampled that are representative of the landscape. On the other hand, the 100 m dataset followed the individual plots, the variance decreased particularly during cloud-free days. The regional estimation showed that both 100 m and 300 m NDVI time series can be used for regional yield estimations. The 100 m NDVI dataset might be a better option if higher pixel purity is required, where the reduction in temporal sampling can be compensated by the use of thermal time.

The results of this study showed that the choice between using more data in space and less in time versus less data in space and more in time was based on the purpose of the analysis that was desired to conduct. For instance, if pixel purity is a priority, 100 m dataset is a better option. The method can be extended to larger regions, other crops, and other regions in the world, although some site-specific adjustments may have to include different thermal boundary conditions for the crops considered. In general, this approach should be applicable to yield estimation at the parcel and regional scales across the world.
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