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1. PACWBs and their radio emission

- Systems made of massive stars (O, B, WR…)
- Multiplicity is a crucial feature (binaries, triple and higher multiplicity…)
- Variability on the orbital time-scale is very important!
- Strong stellar winds collide and create strong shocks
- Shock physics is important in these systems, including particle acceleration (Diffusive Shock Acceleration, DSA)
- The existence of relativistic particles allows for non-thermal emission processes to operate
The radio spectrum is a combination of thermal (optically thick) emission from the stellar winds, and synchrotron emission produced in the colliding wind region (composite spectrum!) → spectral index can be neither typical of pure NT or T emission
1. PACWBs and their radio emission

\[
S_{\nu}^{\text{obs}} = S_{\nu}^{\text{th}} + S_{\nu}^{\text{syn}} e^{-\tau_{\nu}^{\text{ff}}}
\]

Why is it so relevant to address the question of the radio emission from PACWBs in a gamma-ray conference?

→ synchrotron radio emission is the most important tracer of particle acceleration in PACWBs, among which one may find gamma-ray emitters
1. PACWBs and their radio emission

Synchrotron emission is the most efficient tracer of particle acceleration in massive binaries!
→ valuable probe for non-thermal physics in massive binaries

- Spectral index
- Brightness temp.
- Variability

\[ \alpha < 0.6 \text{ (for } S_\nu \text{ prop. to } \nu^\alpha \text{)} \]

→ deviation w.r.t. pure thermal emission

Component with \( T_B \sim 10^6 - 10^7 \text{ K} \)

Emission related to the colliding-wind region
- physical conditions are phase dependent
- orientation effect due to free-free absorption

→ Catalogue of \( \sim 40 \) systems (De Becker & Raucq 2013, A&A, 558, A28)

http://www.astro.ulg.ac.be/~debecker/pacwb/
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Emission related to the colliding-wind region
- physical conditions are phase dependent
- orientation effect due to free-free absorption


http://www.astro.ulg.ac.be/~debecker/pacwb/

One exception! Eta Car → non-thermal high energy emitter but no synchrotron radio emission detected

(→Kenji’s talk, Guillem’s talk)
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2. The multiple system WR133

Previous observations:
Observations at two epochs, at 4.8 and 8.4 GHz, but no monitoring of the orbit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WN5 + O9I</th>
<th>P = 112.4 d</th>
<th>e = 0.39</th>
<th>a = 105 R_{sol}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

May 1993
Negative spectral index, suggesting non-thermal emission was significantly present!
→ PACWB status!

May 2007
Thermal emission with spectral index close to +0.60
2. The multiple system WR133

WN5 + O9I
P = 112.4 d
e = 0.39
a = 105 R_{sol}

Puzzling result! Non-thermal radio emission detected despite the expected strong FFA, especially due to the WN wind!
→ further investigation was justified
2. The multiple system WR133

Additional JVLA observations:
Several pointings at 5.5 and 9 GHz to sample the orbit (in 2014 and 2015)

→ Constant radio emission along the orbit, with a thermal spectral index
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2. The multiple system WR133

Additional JVLA observations:
Several pointings at 5.5 and 9 GHz to sample the orbit (in 2014 and 2015)

→ Constant radio emission along the orbit, with a thermal spectral index

→ What about the non-thermal emission reported by Montes et al. (observation in 1993)?

WN5 + O9I
P = 112.4 d
e = 0.39
a = 105 R_{sol}

Puzzling result! Non-thermal radio emission detected despite the expected strong FFA, especially due to the WN wind!
→ further investigation was justified
2. The multiple system WR133

Case 1: Our measurements are not correct
→ unlikely (cross-checked, measurements consistent)

Case 2: Problem in the determination of the flux densities by Montes et al.
→ no, we reprocessed the data and obtained the same results

Case 3: All measurements are correct
→ a consistent explanation is needed
2. The multiple system WR133

Case 1: Our measurements are not correct
→ unlikely (cross-checked, measurements consistent)

Case 2: Problem in the determination of the flux densities by Montes et al.
→ no, we reprocessed the data and obtain the same results

Case 3: All measurements are correct
→ a consistent explanation is needed

WR133 may be a triple system, with a still unidentified companion on a wide orbit!

2. The multiple system WR133

WR133 as a triple system:

- NT emission **not** detected in a large part of the orbit, potentially years (FFA)
- NT emission much less FF-absorbed closer to apastron of the long orbit
- direct evidence for a third object is still lacking, but observations required to potentially reject this hypothesis are still lacking
2. The multiple system WR133

WR133 as a triple system:

- NT emission **not** detected in a large part of the orbit, potentially years (FFA)
- NT emission much less FF-absorbed closer to apastron of the long orbit
- direct evidence for a third object is still lacking, but observations required to potentially reject this hypothesis are still lacking

*Synchrotron radio emission detection is strongly affected by observational biases!*
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3. WR11 and its surroundings

**WC8 + O7.5III**  
P = 78.5 d  
e = 0.33

Candidate counterpart of the Fermi source  
4FGL J0809.5-4714  
(Pshirkov 2016)

(→ Olaf's talk)

Data covering several orders of magnitude in frequency  
→ thermal emission spectrum, with no hint for synchrotron emission


\[ \alpha = 0.74 \pm 0.03 \]
3. WR11 and its surroundings

**WC8 + O7.5III**
- $P = 78.5$ d
- $e = 0.33$

Candidate counterpart of the Fermi source 4FGL J0809.5-4714 (Pshirkov 2016)

Not surprising!

The size of the orbit is much shorter than the expected ‘radio photosphere’ radius leading to a complete suppression of synchrotron emission, if any!

Data covering several orders of magnitude in frequency

→ thermal emission spectrum, with no hint for synchrotron emission


\[ \alpha = 0.74 \pm 0.03 \]
3. WR11 and its surroundings

**WC8 + O7.5III**

- $P = 78.5 \text{ d}$
- $e = 0.33$

Data covering several orders of magnitude in frequency

→** thermal emission spectrum, with no hint for synchrotron emission**


**Candidate counterpart of the Fermi source 4FGL J0809.5-4714**

(Pshirkov 2016)

(→ Olaf’s talk)

**Not surprising!**

The size of the orbit is much shorter than the expected 'radio photosphere' radius leading to a complete suppression of synchrotron emission, if any!

**Mass loss rate determination 'compliant' with the requirement by Reitberger et al. 2017 for γ-ray emission → $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ Msol/yr**
3. WR11 and its surroundings

The nearby source MOST 0808-471

3. WR11 and its surroundings

The nearby source MOST 0808-471

Images suggest a double-component source (→ bi-polar jets?)

Well-pronounced non-thermal emission in both components:
- Left → $\alpha = -1.2 \pm 0.1$
- Right → $\alpha = -0.9 \pm 0.1$

The nature of that source is undetermined (no counterpart in X-rays, but several PMS objects in the vicinity → De Becker, del Palacio, Benaglia et al. in prep)

Might be interesting to consider for the origin of the Fermi source in this region!
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4. Low frequency observations of the Cygnus region

Just to mention it...

GMRT campaign on the Cygnus region, to investigate low-frequency emission from massive star systems (among other things...):

- field of about 10 square degrees, at 325 and 610 MHz
- detection of some WR systems: WR140, WR146, WR147...
- detection of some O-type systems: Cyg OB2 #5, ...

Analysis in progress, more to come later → Benaglia, De Becker, Ishwara-Chandra et al., in prep
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5. Concluding remarks

WR133 :
- Pure thermal emission along the orbit, at odd with previous NT detection
- Potential interpretation: triple system involving a third star, in a wide orbit
- Consequence: observational biases constitute the main limitation to identify PACWBs

WR11 and its surroundings :
- Broad band radio spectrum reveals thermal emission only, in agreement with expectations considering the strong FFA (provided some NT is actually produced, which is not certain)
- MOST0808-471: potential double-component NT source, that deserves to be considered in discussions related to the origin of the Fermi source

Low-frequency studies of the Cygnus region :
- The low frequency (< 1GHz) range starts to be explored, with (first) detection of some massive stars in that range
- Specific GMRT campaign in the Cygnus region is yielding first results (more to come in the future...)
Thank you !