Screening of essential oil as potential postharvest biofungicide

Dal Maso S. (1), De Clerck C. (1), Parisi O. (1), Zhiri A. (2), Jijakli MH. (1).

(1) Integrated and Urban Plant Pathology Laboratory, 2 Passage des Déportés 5030 Gembloux Belgium

(2) Pranarōm International s.a., 37 Avenue des artisans 7822 Ghislenghien

Plant extracts, such as essential oils (EOs), have been known for centuries for their ability to prevent and/or to cure diseases through their fungicidal and bactericidal effect.

In this project we evaluated the fungicidal activity of 90 essential oils on several pathogens associated with post-harvest diseases (*Botrytis cinerea, Penicillium expensum, Pectobacterium atrosepticum* and *Pectobacterium carotovorum*). The efficacy of the EOs was first tested *in vitro* using 96 wells ELISA microplates.

This step allowed the selection of 9 EOs, sufficiently effective (complete growth inhibition up to 72 hours of contact with pathogen in liquid of medium) against these pathogens to be tested under *in-vivo* conditions.

The phytotoxicity of the selected EOs was then tested on apples, pears and potatoes. While no phytotoxicity was observed when the EOs were applied on intact fruits and tubers, a clear toxicity was observed when EOs were applied on wounded fruits.

For the EOs showing a moderate toxicity, the *in-vivo* tests were carried on by inoculating the pathogens into wounded apples (*P. expensum*), pears (*B. cinerea*) and potatoes (*P. atrosepticum* and *P. carotovorum*) treated with lower EOs concentration.

At these concentration, the EOs showed less phytotoxicity but also a lower efficiency (30% in the best case).

To conclude, while the EOs showed good results *in-vitro*, the efficiency *in-vivo* was too low at the concentration tested in order to be used as a way to control post-harvest diseases.

Key words: essential oils, fungicide