

Miracles and mirativity: Lexical versus grammatical uses of *wonder*, *marvel*, and *surprise*

Lieselotte Brems^{1,2} & An Van linden^{1,2}

¹*University of Liège* & ²*KU Leuven*

The Workshop on the English Noun Phrase, 11-13 July 2019, Vienna

1. Introduction

- Topic: constructions with semiotic/shell nouns that denote something (un)expected or astonishing
 - **Wonder** (OE *Wundor*): 1. Sth that causes astonishment; 2. a miracle involving supernatural powers; Phrases: *it (or t that) BE wonder; no wonder; It is (t great), little, small wonder; It is (a) wonder; No wonder that/if/though: (and) no wonder!; The wonder is* (OED)
 - **Surprise** (< Anglo-Norman, Old French *surprise* 1457): attacking unexpectedly or without warning (military); sth that takes by surprise, anything unexpected; Phrases: *surprise, surprise* (ironic) (OED)
 - **Marvel** (< Anglo-Norman *merveille* c1050): 1. miracle (obsolete); 2. A wonderful or astonishing thing, a cause of surprise, admiration or wonder; a wonder. Phrases: *It is (great) marvel; Is it any marvel?; It is no marvel; no marvel; what marvel* (obsolete) (OED)
- **Mirativity**: qualifying a proposition in terms of mirativity, i.e. as “unexpected” (DeLancey 2001: 369) or “the opposite meaning, ... lack of surprise” (Simon-Vandenberg & Aijmer 2007: 37)

1. Introduction

- Topic: constructions with semiotic/shell nouns that denote something (un)expected or astonishing
 - *Marvel, wonder and surprise*
 - Focus on constructions in which the **content of these nouns is specified**:
 - **Entities**, realized by a noun phrase
 - (1) *Simon Easterby is probably the biggest **surprise**.* (WB)
 - **Propositional content**, realized by clausal complement, action nominal, main clause
 - (2) *It's no **wonder** Norwegians hunt whale. There's nothing else left to catch.* (WB)
 - (3) *Goya's mark-making throughout the canvas is a **marvel**.* (WB)
 - (4) *Apparently, the insurance industry suddenly has woken up to the fact that the over-50s are – **surprise, surprise** - less likely to prang their cars, and more likely to look after their possessions and be at home to deter burglars.* (WB)

1. Introduction

- Distinguish between lexical, untypical lexical and grammatical uses of these nouns (Gentens et al. 2016), Van linden et al. 2016)
 - **Lexical:** (un)expectedness is discourse-primary; can be ‘addressed’ by ‘how much of a surprise was it?’ and thus shows lexical use (cf. Boye & Harder 2012; Davidse & Van linden Forthcoming)
 - (1) *The Grotta Azzurra is a **marvel**.* (WB)
 - **Untypical lexical:** “the new meaning, which may be grammaticalized in the further development, arises as a conversational implicature” (Diewald 2006: 4); rhetorical scheme somehow present, but (un)expectedness still discourse-primary
 - (2) *Tyson soon integrated into that environment and the authorities misquidedly believed he was being rehabilitated thanks to boxing. And it was no **surprise** when Tyson was released early to go to live with D'Amato permanently.* (WB)
 - **Grammatical:** a mirative qualifier, commenting on the complement proposition in terms of its (un)expectedness (cf. DeLancey 2001); paraphrase by an expectation adverb such as *of course* (Simon-Vandenberg & Aijmer 2007: 172); discourse schema (proposition, mirative qualifier and justification → anti-concessive relationship; paraphrase with *of course*)
 - (3) *After all the scaremongering since September 11 regarding good versus evil, with us or with the terrorists, it is no **wonder** Arab-phobia has hit new heights.* (WB)
- Get a fine-grained view of the **mirative paradigm** in present-day English: functional (lexical versus grammatical) and formal variation (different construction types) and their correlation

1. Introduction

- Distinguish between lexical, untypical lexical and grammatical uses of these nouns (Gentens et al. 2016), Van linden et al. 2016)
 - **Lexical:** (un)expectedness is discourse-primary; can be ‘addressed’ by ‘how much of a surprise was it?’) and thus shows lexical use (cf. Boye & Harder 2012; Davidse & Van linden Forthcoming)
 - (1) *The Grotta Azzurra is a **marvel**.* (WB)
 - **Untypical lexical:** “the new meaning, which may be grammaticalized in the further development, arises as a conversational implicature” (Diewald 2006: 4); rhetorical scheme somehow present, but (un)expectedness still discourse-primary
 - (2) *Tyson soon integrated into that environment and the authorities misquidely believed he was being rehabilitated thanks to boxing. And it was no **surprise** when Tyson was released early to go to live with D'Amato permanently.* (WB)
 - **Grammatical:** a mirative qualifier, commenting on the complement proposition in terms of its (un)expectedness (cf. DeLancey 2001); paraphrase by an expectation adverb such as *of course* (Simon-Vandenberg & Aijmer 2007: 172); discourse schema (proposition, mirative qualifier and justification → anti-concessive relationship; paraphrase with *of course*)
 - (3) *After all the scaremongering since September 11 regarding good versus evil, with us or with the terrorists, it is no **wonder** Arab-phobia has hit new heights.* (WB)
- Fits in with earlier joint work on ‘no’ + semiotic noun [*doubt/question/way/wonder/need/fear/chance*] + complement clause/relative clause (Van linden, Davidse & Brems 2011; Davidse & De Wolf 2012; Davidse et al. 2014; Davidse, De Wolf & Van linden 2015; Saad et al. 2011; Brems 2015; Gentens et al. 2016; Van linden et al. 2016; Van linden & Brems 2017; Van linden & Brems 2018)

Outline

1. Introduction
2. Methodology
3. *Wonder*
4. *Surprise*
5. *Marvel*
6. Comparison: functional and formal variation/competition in the mirative paradigm

2. Methodology

- Corpus query: lemma search in the British subcorpora of the WordbanksOnline corpus (written and spoken): manually sorted
- *Marvel(s)*: exhaustive sample of 430 hits; 110 relevant hits
- *Surprise(s)*: random sample of 250 relevant hits
- *Wonder(s)*: random sample of 250 relevant hits

3. Wonder

Lexical:

- (1) She kept looking at him in a way. He sipped his cup of tea. She was looking at him in that way again ... he finished his cup of tea ... Dear reader, **it's a wonder** how one bed can take so much punishment . The springs groaned under the combined assault of two activated bodies . (WB)

Untypical:

- (2) The first of those [goals] yesterday came after just two minutes and such was their explosive beginning **the wonder was** that it took that long for New Zealand to open their account. (WB)

Grammatical:

- (3) His wife was an alcoholic, **and no wonder**, if she knew what kind of man he was. (WB)

3. Wonder

Uses	Complementation	Paratactic	Adverbial	Total
Lexical	7 POS	0	0	7
Untypical	15 POS	0	0	15
Grammatical	99 NEG: 85; POS: 14	4 NEG	125 NEG	228
Total	121	4	125	250

3. *Wonder*: complementation patterns

Clausal complementation	Lexical	Untypical	Grammatical	Total
It BE (det) <i>wonder</i>	5	11	74	90
There BE (det) <i>wonder</i>	0	0	2	2
(det) <i>wonder</i> BE	1	4	0	5
<i>No/little/small wonder that</i>	0	0	23	23
<i>What a wonder that</i>	1	0	0	1
TOTAL	7	14	100	121

3. *Wonder*: complement type per use

Use	<i>That</i> -clause	<i>If</i> -clause	<i>How</i> -clause	TOTAL
Lexical	6		1	7
Untypical	14		1	15
Gr: clausal	98	1		99
TOTAL	118	1	2	121

4. *Surprise*

Lexical:

- (1) Currently, only four carriers, BA, American, United and Virgin Atlantic, are allowed to fly transatlantic flights from the airport. The high price demanded by the DoT **came as a surprise** to BA and will have dashed any hopes that the financial squeeze on airlines since September 11 would speed the conclusion of a profitable deal. (WB)
- (2) She glanced up sharply and Chavasse smiled. “**surprise, surprise**” Jean Frazer removed her spectacles. “You look like hell. How was Albania?” (WB)

Untypical:

- (3) Given that costs of production are more obvious than hours of labor expended in the determination of prices, **it should be no surprise** that such an emphasis would be found at this level of intellectual development. (WB)

4. Surprise

Grammatical:

- (4) THE odd couple of Kate Moss and Pete Doherty looks like a good publicity stunt to me. Doherty is promoting his new band and, **what a surprise**, Kate Moss is launching her own perfume. (WB)
- (5) Such as the recent discovery that the death of a parent can scar a child for life, or that alcoholics have an unusually high depression rate. **Surprise, surprise**. (WB)
- (6) Rovers never lost their composure. With Friedel standing firm and imperious, **it came as no real surprise** to see Rovers catch Tottenham on the break (WB)
 - *to*-inf (knowledge or acquisition of knowledge CTP, i.e. KAK), in turn complemented by *that*-clause/bare infinitival/ present participial
 - content of surprise: coded by secondary complement (cf. Van linden 2010, 2012: *it is good to hear that ...*)

4. Surprise

Uses	Total
Complex predicate	2 NEG
Lexical	170 98 POS; 72 NEG
Untypical	30 6 POS; 24 NEG
Grammatical: clausal	34 NEG
Grammatical: adverbial	14 14 POS: irony!
Total	250

Before long, though, I was prepared for anything, and **it caused me no particular surprise** to see an aged and infirm man squatting on the ground and offering for sale a single, shrivelled lemon. (WB)

4. *Surprise*: uses per formal type

Formal type	Lexical	Untypical	GR: clausal	GR: adv	TOTAL
It BE (det) <i>surprise</i>	41	17	27		85
There BE (det) <i>surprise</i>	16	3			19
(det) <i>surprise</i> BE	15	1			16
It COME as (det) <i>surprise</i>	8	7	3		18
<i>No/little surprise</i>	1	2	4		7
<i>What a surprise</i>	2			1	3
<i>surprise</i>				1	1
<i>Surprise, surprise</i>	2			12	14
TOTAL	85	30	34	14	162

4. *Surprise*: complement type per use

Use	<i>That</i> -clause	<i>When</i> -clause	<i>If</i> -clause	<i>To</i> -inf (KAK) + secondary compl	TOTAL
Complex predicate				1	1
Lexical	22	13	2	9	46
Untypical	12	8	2	8	30
Gr: clausal	29			4	33
TOTAL	63	21	4	22	110

5. *Marvel*

Lexical:

- (1) The Grotta Azzurra is **a marvel**. (WB)
- (2) The director, cameraman and crew (if there were any) are completely absent. You can chew over the implications of this for weeks -even 40 years. That Kiarostami stitched this intimate film together from 23 hours of tape without once setting foot inside Akbari's cab is **a marvel**. (WB)

Untypical:

- (3) “Thank goodness I did not realize the horrible danger!” said Frodo faintly. “I was mortally afraid, of course; but if I had known more, I should not have dared even to move. **It is a marvel** that I escaped!” “Yes, fortune or fate have helped you,” said Gandalf (WB)

Grammatical:

- (4) A state created out of some 17,000 islands, a mix of races and religions, based on an artificially created philosophy - the five principles of “Pancasila” - **it is a marvel** that Indonesia has been kept together at all. (WB) (concessive)

5. *Marvel*

Uses	Total
Lexical	104 POS
Untypical	2 POS
Grammatical: clausal	4 3 POS; 1 NEG
Total	110

5. *Marvel*: uses per formal type

Formal type	Lexical	Untypical	GR: clausal	TOTAL
It BE (det) <i>marvel</i>	7	2	4	13
There/here BE (det) <i>marvel</i>	3			3
(det) <i>marvel</i> BE	8			8
NP BE (det) <i>marvel</i>	84			84
<i>That ...</i> BE (det) <i>marvel</i>	2			2
TOTAL	104	2	4	110

5. *Marvel*: complement type per use

Use	<i>That</i> -clause	<i>If</i> -clause	TOTAL
Lexical	5		5
Untypical	2		2
Gr: clausal	3	1	4
TOTAL	10	1	11

6. Comparison: Semiotic nouns, types of use & types of formal complements

	<i>That</i> -clause	<i>if</i> -clause	<i>when</i> -clause	<i>how</i> -clause	<i>To</i> -infinitive + secondary compl
WONDER: LEX	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
WONDER: GR	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
SURPRISE: LEX	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
SURPRISE: GR	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓
MARVEL: LEX	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
MARVEL: GR	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗

6. Comparison: Semiotic nouns, types of use & formal types

	wonder		surprise		marvel	
	LEX	GR	LEX	GR	LEX	GR
It BE (det) <i>N</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
There BE (det) <i>N</i>	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗
(det) <i>N</i> BE	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
<i>No/little/small N</i>	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
<i>What a N that</i>	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
It COME as (det) <i>N</i>	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
<i>N</i>	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
<i>N N</i>	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
X BE <i>N</i>	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗

6. Comparison

- Mirative paradigm in PDE:
 - *marvel*, *wonder* and *surprise* show different relative frequencies of lexical versus grammatical uses:
 - *Wonder*: predominantly grammatical (91%), already attested in Old English (Van linden et al. 2016)
 - *Marvel* (96%) & *surprise* (81%): predominantly lexical
 - Formal variation:
 - Complement types: *surprise* (*that, if, when, to-inf + compl*)
 - > *wonder* (*that, if, how*)
 - > *marvel* (*that, if*)
 - Structural type containing N: *surprise*
 - > *wonder*
 - > *marvel*

Specialization of *wonder* string as mirative qualifier

6. Comparison

- Further research into the diachrony of this paradigm
 - Track changes in formal and functional preferences of the three nouns
 - Other nouns that need to be added?
 - Role of polarity?
 - Persistence of lexical meaning? (*marvel/wonder vs. surprise*)

References

- Boye, Kasper & Peter Harder. 2012. Grammatical Status and Grammaticalization. *Language* 88: 1–44.
- Brems, Lieselotte. 2015. *Fear(s)* + complement clauses: pathways of grammaticalization and lexicalization, In Festschrift for Michel Kefer, Ulg
- Davidse, Kristin & Simon De Wolf. 2012. Lexicalization and grammaticalization: modal modifier constructions with "no question". *Text and Talk* 32 (5): 569-591.
- Davidse, Kristin, An Van linden, Jacob Lesage & Lieselotte Brems. Negation, grammaticalization and subjectification: the development of polar, modal and mirative *no way*-constructions, Eighteenth International Conference on English Historical Linguistics (ICEHL18), University of Leuven, 14-18 July 2014. (<https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.1099.2961>)
- Davidse, Kristin, Simon De Wolf & An Van linden. 2015. The development of *(there/it is / I have) no doubt* expressing modal and interactional meaning. *Journal of Historical Pragmatics* 16 (1): 25-58. (<https://doi.org/10.1075/jhp.16.1.02dav>)
- Davidse, Kristin & An Van linden. Forthcoming. Revisiting 'it-extraposition': The historical development of constructions with matrices *(it)/(there) be* + NP followed by a complement clause. In P. Núñez-Pertejo, M. J. López-Couso, B. Méndez Naya & J. Pérez-Guerra (eds.), *Crossing Linguistic Boundaries: Systemic, Synchronic and Diachronic Variation in English*. London: Bloomsbury Academic

References

- DeLancey, Scott. 2001. The mirative and evidentiality. *Journal of Pragmatics* 33(3): 369-382.
- Diewald, Gabriele. 2006. Context types in grammaticalization as constructions. In *Constructions. Special Volume 1. Constructions all over – case studies and theoretical implications*, edited by Doris SCHÖNEFELD. www.constructions-online.de/articles/specvol1.
- Gentens, Caroline, Ditte Kimps, Kristin Davidse, Gilles Jacobs, An Van linden & Lieselotte Brems. 2016. Mirativity and rhetorical structure: The development and prosody of disjunct and anaphoric adverbials with ‘no’ wonder. In Gunther Kaltenböck, Evelien Keizer & Arne Lohmann (eds.), *Outside the Clause. Form and function of extra-clausal constituents*, 125-156. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. (<https://doi.org/10.1075/slcs.178.05gen>)
- Saad, Khalida, Wouter Parmentier, Lieselotte Brems, Kristin Davidse & An Van linden. The development of modal *no way*-constructions compared with that of *no question*- constructions. BAAHE (Belgian Association of Anglicists in Higher Education) 4 conference on Facing Present, Past and Future, Brussel (HUB), 1-3 December 2011.
- Simon-Vandenberg & Karin Aijmer. 2007. *The Semantic Field of Modal Certainty: A Corpus-based Study of English Adverbs*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

References

- Van linden, An. 2010. The clausal complementation of *good* in extraposition constructions: The emergence of partially filled constructions. In Ursula Lenker, Judith Huber & Robert Mailhammer (eds.), *English Historical Linguistics 2008: Selected papers from the Fifteenth International Conference on English Historical Linguistics (ICEHL 15), Munich, 24-30 August 2008, Volume I: The history of English verbal and nominal constructions* [Current Issues in Linguistic Theory 314], 95–120. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. (<https://doi.org/10.1075/cilt.314.09lin>)
- Van linden, An, Kristin Davidse & Lieselotte Brems. Verbo-nominal expressions with *need*: the interaction between negation and modality. Presentation Twentieth International Conference on Historical Linguistics (ICHL 20), Osaka 26 July 2011. (<https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.3982.8809>)
- Van linden, An, Kristin Davidse & Lennart Matthijs. 2016. Miracles and mirativity: From lexical *it's a wonder* to grammaticalised *it's no wonder* in Old English. *Leuvense Bijdragen – Leuven Contributions in Linguistics and Philology* 99-100: 385-409. (<https://doi.org/10.2143/LB.100.0.3159667>)

References

- Van linden, An & Lieselotte Brems. Talmy’s “greater modal system”: fitting in verbo-nominal constructions with *chance(s)*. Seventh International Conference of the French Association for Cognitive Linguistics (AFLiCo 7), University of Liège, 31 May – 3 June 2017.
- Van linden, An & Lieselotte Brems. It was *chance’s* chance to become polyfunctional in the modal domain. Twentieth International Conference on English Historical Linguistics (ICEHL20), University of Edinburgh, 27-31 August 2018.
- www.oed.com
- <https://wordbanks.harpercollins.co.uk/>