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Mice
All experiments were approved by the Geneva Cantonal Veterinary Authorities, Switzerland and the Austrian Federal Ministry of Science and Research in accordance with the Austrian and EU animal laws. CD1 WT mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories and the embryonic day (E) 0 (three-hour time-mated females) or E0.5 (overnight-mated females) was established as the time of detection of the vaginal plug. In addition, the Eed-flox allele (49), Emx1-Cre (50) were used. MADM-GT and -TG cassettes (Chr. 7) were present in these lines (51) but this feature was not used here; the genetic background for these lines was mixed C57/Bl6, CD1. Both female and male animals were analyzed in this study.

Genotyping of transgenic mice
Biopsies were collected and DNA extraction and PCR amplification were performed using the Phire Animal Tissue Direct PCR Kit (Thermo Scientific, #F-140WH) and following manufacturer’s instructions. The following primers (5’-3’) were used: Eed for - GGG ACG TGC TGA CAT TTT CT; Eed rev - CTT GGG TGG TTT GGC TAA GA; Cre for - GTC CAA TTT ACT GAC CGT ACA CC; Cre rev - GTT ATT CGG ATC ATC AGC TAC ACC. Cre+/Eed+/+ animals were used as WT, and Cre+/Eed flo/+ as Eed cKO.

In utero FlashTag injection
FlashTag (FT) injections were performed between E12 and E15, as previously described (13). Briefly, pregnant females were anaesthetized with isoflurane, treated with Temgesic (Reckitt Benckiser, Switzerland) and the uterine horn was exposed following an abdominal incision. Half a microliter of 10 mM of a carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (i.e. FlashTag, CellTrace™ CFSE, Life Technologies, #C34554) was injected into the lateral cerebral ventricle of the embryos. The abdominal wall was then closed and the embryos were let to develop until collection.

Immunofluorescence
Tissue processing: Embryonic brains were dissected in a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight at 4°C then cryoprotected in PBS-sucrose
30% overnight at 4°C before embedding in OCT and freezing on dry ice. Postnatal mice were perfused with 4% PFA, brains were dissected and post-fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C then stored in PBS. Coronal brain sections were performed using either a cryostat (embryonic brains; 14 µm) or a vibrating microtome (postnatal brains; 70 µm).

**Immunofluorescence on brain sections:** Brain sections were incubated 30min at 85°C in citrate buffer solution and washed 3 times in PBS prior to a 1-hour incubation in blocking solution (10% horse serum - 0.5% Triton X-100 diluted in PBS) at room temperature. Slides were then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies. Next, slides were washed 3 times in PBS and incubated 2 hours at room temperature with respective secondary antibodies (1:500). When applied, EdU was revealed following the manufacturer’s instructions using Click-it chemistry (Invitrogen). Finally, slides were mounted with Fluoromount (Sigma). In Fig. 6A, the H3K27me3 image intensity range was normalized to the brightest juxtaventricular VZ cells at each embryonic age.

**Immunofluorescence on in vitro culture:** Cells were fixed in 4% PFA for 15 min, treated with 0.25% Triton X-100 for 20 min and blocked in 0.5% BSA, 0.25% Triton X-100 for 1 hour at room temperature. Primary antibody incubations were performed overnight at 4°C, and secondary antibody incubations were performed 1 hour at room temperature. Coverslips were mounted on slides using Fluoromount (Sigma).

**Antibodies:** rat anti-BrdU (1:200, Abcam, #AB6326), goat anti-BRN2 (1:500; Thermo Scientific, #PA5-1904), rat anti-CTIP2 (1:500, Abcam, #AB18465), rabbit anti-FITC (1:500, Abcam, #AB19491), rabbit anti-H3K27me3 (1:500, Millipore, 07-449 or Diagenode, C15410195), mouse anti-KI67 (1:200, Abcam, #AB15580), mouse anti-SOX2 (1:200, Santa Cruz; sc-365823), rabbit anti-pH3 (1:300, Abcam, #AB5176), rat anti-TBR2 (1:300, Invitrogen, #14-4875-82). Secondary antibodies were used at 1:500 (Life Technologies).

**Cell cycle length**
Cell cycle length experiment was conducted following previously published paradigm (32). Briefly, at t0 pregnant females were injected peritoneally with BrdU (10mg/ml; 50 µl/10g) then at t1h30 with EdU (5mg/ml; 50µl/10g) and at t2h embryos were collected.
At least 3 sections per brain at each stage were quantified. The cell-cycle and S-phase duration were determined as follow: 

\[ S\text{-phase} = 1.5 \times (SOX2^{+}\text{BrdU}^{+}\text{EdU}^{+}/SOX2^{+}\text{BrdU}^{+}\text{EdU}^{-}) \]

\[ \text{total cell cycle} = S\text{-phase} \times (SOX2^{+}/SOX2^{+}\text{EdU}^{+}) \] (32).

**Electrophysiology**

Four hundred µm-thick coronal slices were prepared from E12.5, E13.5, E14.5, E15.5 and E16.5 CD1 mice embryos and kept 30 minutes at 33°C in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing 125 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 mM CaCl₂, 1.25 mM NaH₂PO₄, 26 mM NaHCO₃ and 11 mM glucose, saturated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂. Slices were then transferred in the recording chamber, submerged and continuously perfused with aCSF. The internal solution used for the experiments contained 140 mM potassium methansulfonate, 2 mM MgCl₂, 4 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 3 mM Na₂ATP, 0.33 mM GTP and 5 mM creatine phosphate (pH 7.2, 295 mOsm). Cells in immediate proximity to the ventricular wall (i.e. putative APs) were patched and clamped at -70mV. A baseline stable holding current was first measured for 4 minutes, after which a 10-minute bath of 100 µM of the glutamate transporter antagonist DL-TBOA (DL-threo-β -Benzyloxyaspartate) (52) was applied and finally washed out. TBOA-induced currents were blocked by application of 25 µM NBQX and 50 µM D-APV (data not shown), consistent with activation of ionotropic glutamate receptors by increased extracellular levels of Glu (52). Recorded currents were amplified (Multiclamp 700, Axon Instruments), filtered at 5kHz, digitalized at 20kHz (National Instrument Board PCI-MIO-16E4, IGOR WaveMetrics), and stored on a personal computer for further analyses (IGOR PRO WaveMetrics). The net amplitude of TBOA induced currents was determined after subtraction of baseline holding current.

**In vitro experiments**

Embryos were injected with FT at E12 or E15 and collected 1 hour or 4 days after injection in ice-cold HBSS (Gibco; #14175-053). The dorsal pallium was microdissected under stereomicroscopic guidance (Leica, #M165 FC) using a microscalpel. Tissue from 5-6 littersmates was pooled and incubated in 400 µl TrypLE (Gibco; #12605-010) for 5 minutes at 37°C. TrypLE was inactivated by adding HBSS containing 0.1% BSA and the tissue was mechanically dissociated by pipetting the suspension 6-8 times with a 1 ml pipette. Cells were filtered through a 70 µm cell strainer, centrifuged (150 rpm, 5 minutes) and resuspended in FACS buffer (L15 medium, Gibco; #21083-
027, containing 2 mg/ml glucose, 0.1% BSA, 1:50 citrate phosphate dextrose, 10 units/ml DNase I and 1 µM MgCl₂). The top 10% brightest FT positive cells were sorted on an S3e Cell Sorter (BioRad) or a BD FACS Aria II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and collected in ice-cold FACS buffer. Sorted cells were centrifuged (150 rpm, 5 minutes) and resuspended either in Neurobasal media (Gibco; #21103-049, supplemented with 500 mM Glutamax-I, 2% of B27 (Gibco; 17504-044), and 1% of penicillin/streptomycin antibiotic) or DMEM/F12 (Gibco; #31331-028, supplemented with 1× N2, Gibco; #A13707-01, FGF2; Sigma; #SRP4038-50UG, 2% of B27, and 1% of penicillin/streptomycin antibiotic). Sorted cells were plated on previously coated coverslips with poly-L-lysine (0.1mg/ml) and laminin (0.33μg/ml). Cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 2 hours (in vivo arm) or 4 days in vitro. Following BRN2 and CTIP2 immunostaining, cells were imaged and the fluorescence intensity in the nucleus was calculated for both markers using R software. Cells with an intensity value for both markers below 25% to the maximum intensity per condition and cells with saturated intensity values for both markers were not considered for analysis. To mitigate potential artefactual staining, the thresholds were determined based on the in vivo condition: for the quantifications in fig. S8B, cells with a CTIP2/BRN2 ratio above 2.5 were considered as CTIP2⁺ while cells with a CTIP2/BRN2 ratio below 1 were considered as BRN2⁺.

Cell cycle exit
Pregnant females at E14.5 or E15.5 were injected i.p by a single pulse of EdU (1mg/ml; 50µl/10g) and embryos were collected 24 hours later. At least 3 sections per brain in each condition (n = 3 brains/condition) were quantified. The cell cycle exit rate was determined by dividing the number of KI67⁺EdU⁺ by the total number of EdU⁺ cells.

Sm-FISH
Twelve µm-thick coronal sections were prepared from fresh frozen embryonic brains at E12, E13, E14 and E15 and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour. Sections were then fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min and processed according to the manufacturer’s instructions, using the RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent kit (Advanced Cell Diagnostics) for fresh frozen tissue. Briefly, sections were dehydrated using 50%, 70% and 100% successive baths of ethanol. A 10 min treatment in SDS (4% in 200 mM sodium borate) was added in the protocol after Protease IV incubation as
proposed in (9). The probes were then incubated on sections during 2 hours at 40°C and processed for amplification steps. Finally, sections were counterstained with DAPI and mounted with Mowiol medium. The following probes were used: *Nes* (Acdbio, #313161-C3), *Fn1* (Acdbio, #316951-C2) and *Clu* (Acdbio, #427891). For quantifications, images were processed using a custom script that identifies each molecule of mRNA and transforms it as a pixel. Pixels were then automatically counted in the VZ (delineated by the expression of Nestin mRNA). Three embryos per age were analyzed.

**Imaging**

Images were acquired using a LSM 700 confocal laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss), a Nikon A1r spectral line scan confocal or an inverted LSM800 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss). For brain sections, the putative primary somatosensory (S1) cortex was used as a region of study. The Zeiss Zen Blue, ImageJ, Photoshop (Adobe) and R softwares were further used for downstream image processing and analyses.

**Quantifications**

In fig. S1B top, % SOX2⁺KI67⁺/FT⁺ was calculated, with an *n* = 3 for each stage. Values ± SEM are: E12: 98.6 ± 1.5; E13: 96.2 ± 1.9; E14: 98.9 ± 1.11; E15: 98.6 ± 1.11. In fig. S1B bottom, % TBR2⁺KI67⁺/TBR2⁺ was calculated. Values ± SEM are: E12: 71.7 ± 6.3; E13: 79.2 ± 7.5; E14: 56.0 ± 17.5; E15: 16.8 ± 5.9.

In Fig. 2H, S-phase and total cell cycle length were calculated as mentioned above, with an *n* = 3 for each stage. For S-phase, values ± SEM are: E12: 4.3 ± 0.24; E13: 3.5 ± 0.6; E14: 3.7 ± 0.4; E15: 4.3 ± 0.4. For total cell cycle length, values ± SEM are: E12: 8.6 ± 0.3; E13: 9.4 ± 1.11; E14: 10.8 ± 1.1; E15: 12.0 ± 1.0. The values ± SEM for the fraction of S-phase APs in fig. S9C are: E12: 49.5 ± 1.1; E15: 35.7 ± 0.6.

In Fig. 2I, the net amplitude of GLAST-induced current was calculated. Values ± SEM are: E12: 1.6 ± 0.8 (*n* = 6); E13: 1.2 ± 0.8 (*n* = 10); E14: 2.6 ± 0.9 (*n* = 8); E15: 6.7 ± 2.4 (*n* = 6); E16: 24.8 ± 4.6.

In fig. S8B, % of BRN2⁺ and CTIP2⁺ cells were calculated as mentioned above. For the *in vivo* dataset (E12 *n* = 2; E15 *n* = 3), values ± SEM are: E12, BRN2⁺: 88.1 ± 7.0; E12, CTIP2⁺: 11.9 ±
7.0; E15, BRN2+: 99.8 ± 0.1; E15, CTIP2+: 0.40 ± 0.03. For the *in vitro* dataset (*n* = 3 per stage), values ± SEM are: E12, BRN2+: 92.0 ± 6.2; E12, CTIP2+: 12.1 ± 8.1; E15, BRN2+: 99.6 ± 0.4; E15, CTIP2+: 1.3.

In Fig. 6A (H3K27me3 staining) the H3K27me3 image intensity range was normalized to the brightest juxtaventricular VZ cells at each embryonic age.

In Fig. 6C, VZ thickness delimited by the SOX2 staining was calculated (*n* = 3 brains per condition). Values ± SEM are: E14 WT: 97.8 ± 1.2; E14 Eed cKO: 78.5 ± 0.6; E15 WT: 84.7 ± 0.9.

In Fig. 6D, cell cycle exit rate was calculated as mentioned above (*n* = 3 brains per condition). Values ± SEM are: E14 WT: 6.2 ± 0.6; E14 Eed cKO: 9.5 ± 0.8; E15 WT: 17.0 ± 1.0.

In Fig. 6E, % of BRN2+/FT+ cells was calculated (*n* = 3 brains per condition). Values ± SEM are: WT: 36.8 ± 2.6; Eed cKO: 53.4 ± 4.8.

*In situ* hybridization image processing

All *in situ* hybridizations were retrieved from the Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas (www.brain-map.org) and uniformly zoomed to the putative S1 neocortical region. For the illustrations in Fig. 1E and figs. S3, S6C and S7C, the images were aligned and stacked. The mean intensity level of the Z projection was calculated on ImageJ and the resulting layout was artificially colored using the “Fire” mode of ImageJ. In fig. S6C, semi-quantifications were performed by assigning a score to the strength of the signal in the juxtaventricular VZ (0 = absent, 1 = weak, 2 = medium, 3 = strong) for each gene. Genes were then grouped into early (*i.e.* belonging to dynamics 1-3) or late (*i.e.* belonging to dynamics 4-6) dynamics types and scores were averaged and normalized to the highest value across embryonic age. This scoring was performed blindly with regard to the gene identity.

scRNAseq experiment

*Cell dissociation and FAC-sorting:* Pregnant females were sacrificed either 1, 24 or 96 hours after FT injection. As previously described (13), embryonic brains were extracted in ice-cold HBSS, embedded in 4% agar low-melt and sectioned coronally at 300 µm using a vibrating microtome (Leica, #VT100S). The putative S1 cortical region was microdissected under a
stereomicroscope and incubated in 0.05% trypsin at 37°C for 5 minutes. Following tissue
digestion, fetal bovine serum was added to the mix and cells were manually dissociated via up-
and-down pipetting. Cells were centrifuged 5 min at 300 G and the pellet was suspended in 1 ml
of HBSS then passed on a 70 µm cell strainer. FT+ cells, gated to include only the top 5% brightest
cells (12,13), were finally FAC-sorted on a MoFloAstrios device (Beckman).

Single-cell RNA capture and sequencing: FAC-sorted FT+ cells (18 µl) were mixed with the
C1 Suspension Reagent (2 µl; Fluidigm) yielding a total of 20 µl of cell suspension mix with ~500
cells / µl. The cell suspension mix was loaded on a C1 Single-Cell AutoPrep integrated fluidic
circuit (IFC) designed for 10- to 17-µm cells (HT-800, Fluidigm #100-57-80). Batch effects were
mitigated by distributing cells in the different conditions within single chips (i.e. E12 with E14
and E13 with E15) and by normalizing the number of reads per chip. cDNA synthesis and
preamplification was processed following the manufacturer’s instructions (C1 system, Fluidigm)
and captured cells were imaged using the ImageXpress Micro Widefield High Content Screening
System (Molecular Devices®). Single cell RNA-sequencing libraries of the cDNA were prepared
using Nextera XT DNA library prep kit (Illumina). Libraries were multi-plexed and sequenced
according to the manufacturer’s recommendations with paired-end reads using HiSeq2500 plat-
form (Illumina) with an expected depth of 1M reads per single cell, and a final mapping read length
of 70 bp. All the single cell RNA capture and sequencing experiments were performed within the
Genomics Core Facility of the University of Geneva.

The sequenced reads were aligned to the mouse genome (GRCm38) using the read-mapping
algorithm TopHat. Unique Molecular Identifiers (UMI) sequenced in the first reads were used to
correct for cDNA PCR amplification biases. Duplicated reads were identified and corrected using
the deduplication step from the UMI-tools algorithm (53). The number of reads per transcript was
calculated with the open-source HTSeq Python library. All the analyses were computed on the
Vital-It cluster administered by the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics.

scRNAseq analysis

Cell filtering: Doublet cells identified on the Fluidigm C1 plate images were excluded before
initial analysis. A total of 2,906 FT+ single cells were obtained (FT *1 h: E12: 202 cells, E13: 211,
cells, E13: 278, E14: 262, E15: 249). Cells expressing < 1000 genes or > 17% of mitochondrial

Type specific transcripts: The AP, BP and N score used in Fig. 1B correspond to the mean transcript expression of the top 20 genes for AP, BP and N previously characterized (12): AP: Aldoc, Pdpn, Vim, Ednrb, Ddah1, Ldha, Peg12, Wwtr1, Tsap12, Mfge8, Uhrf, Ncaph, Ndr2, Mt1, Hk2, Psat1, Sp8, Sdc4, Dnmt3a, Notch2, Psp. BP: Btg2, Eomes, Abcg1, Kif26b, Mfap4, Coro1c, Myo10, Mfng, Rprn, Chd7, Ezr, Gadd45g, Slc16a2, Heg1, Celsr1, Tead2, Cd63, Rhbd13, Mdg1, Arrdc3. N: Myt1l, Unc5d, 1700080N15Rik, Nos1, Satb2, Ank3, Scn3a, Dscam,Cntn2, Plxna4, 9130024F11Rik, Lrrtm4, Ptprk, Nrp1, Celsr3, Rbfox1, Flrt2, Kcnq3, Kcnq2, Gm36988.

Clustering analysis was performed using the Seurat bioinformatics pipeline (https://github.com/satijalab/seurat) and is summarized here. We first created a “Seurat object” including all 2,756 cells and all genes. To remove sequencing depth biases between cells, we normalized and scaled the UMI counts using the NormalizeData (normalization.method = "LogNormalize", scale.factor = 100000) combined with the ScaleData function (vars.to.regress = c("nGene","nUMI")). A cell cycle phase was further assigned to each single cell using the Seurat pipeline. Gene expression was not normalized with regard to the cell cycle phase as this process is physiologically relevant in the temporal progression of cortical progenitors identity. We then determined the most variable genes by plotting transcripts into bins based on X-axis (average expression) and Y-axis (dispersion). This identified 4,016 transcripts. Parameters and cutoffs were set as follow: mean.function = ExpMean, dispersion.function = LogVMR, x.low.cutoff = 0.1, x.high.cutoff = 8, y.cutoff = 0.7. Next, we identified the statistically significant principal components and used the top 20 as input for t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding dimensional reduction, using the TSNEPlot function. To identify cellular clusters, we adopted a graph-based clustering approach using FindClusters function with a 1.8 resolution. The robustness of the clustering was validated using an in-silico downsampling approach. Briefly, cells were randomly sampled down and re-clustered and the stability of the cellular cluster was estimated, and compared to a random clustering. Finally, a multiclass SVM model (implementation from R package bmrm) was trained on all cluster-assigned cells and genes were ranked according to their linear weights. For each class (i.e. clusters), genes with a significant linear weight (Z-test, FDR ≤ 0.05) were considered as enriched genes.
Pseudotime projection: APs, N1d and N4d cells at all embryonic ages identified in the cell clustering analysis were processed. Basal progenitors were not included in this analysis because N1d and N4d are overwhelmingly directly born from APs when using FT labeling (12,13). The pseudotime alignment method performed was previously described (24) and is summarized hereafter. In Fig. 2 and figs. S5 and S6, we restricted the datasets to the high variable genes (n = 4,016) and performed dimensionality reduction using the prcomp function of R software. Taking into consideration the significant principal components (PCs) explaining at least 2% of the total variance and using the R package princurve, we fitted a curve that described the maturation route (i.e. pseudo-birthdate or pseudo-differentiation) along which cells are organized. The beginning of the curve was established as the side where cell expressing the highest level of Sox2 (AP) for pseudo-differentiation or the highest level of Hmga2 (E12) for pseudo-birthdate. A maturation score reflecting the distance between the beginning of the curve and the cell was attributed to each cell and normalized between 0 to 1. This pseudotime alignment method was also validated on the AP population using the Monocle bioinformatic pipeline (14). We then restricted the dataset to the top 500 genes for each PCs and performed a “Partitioning Around Medoids” analysis using the PAM R package (K = 6, span = 0.6) and each gene expression dynamics was normalized between -1 and 1 to identify clusters of transcripts with similar dynamics along the pseudo-differentiation (Fig. 2A, fig. S5) or pseudo-birthdate (Fig. 2D, fig. S6). This approach was previously described elsewhere (24).

Ordinal regression models: We used a regularized ordinal regression method to predict on one hand the birthdate and on the other hand the differentiation status of each cell. We restricted the analysis to the high variable genes (n = 4,016) defined earlier. As the cells are expected to be organized within a differentiation and a birthdate continuum, we used and adapted a previously described ordinal regression model (54) implemented in the bmrm R package. In our context, a single linear model is optimized to predict cell differentiation status irrespectively of the date of birth and conversely. The linear weight of the models is used to rank the genes according to their ability to predict each cell category and the best 100 genes (top 50 and bottom 50) in each model were considered. The ordinal regression models were then re-optimized on these subsets of genes. In the ordinal regression model, the prediction scores are defined by the linear combination of the core genes expression. Since the values of the prediction score per se are arbitrary, the minimum and maximum values were replaced with AP / E12 and N4d / E15, respectively, in the
differentiation and birthdate models. All reported predictions were obtained by 10-fold cross-validation. For the birthdate prediction of the human embryo neocortical cell dataset (7), we first isolated APs and newborn neurons using the annotations provided by the authors. The dataset was then restricted to the human orthologs of the birthdate core genes identified in the current study and the pseudo-birthdate of these cells was calculated using the aforementioned birthdate ordinal regression model. The expression dynamics of the ortholog core genes were plotted along this pseudo-birthdate for both APs and their newborn progeny.

Transcriptional maps (Fig. 5): Cells were organized on a 2D grid based on their birthdate and differentiation status score. For this purpose, the data were linearly adjusted so that the average predicted values for each cardinal feature was aligned on the relative knot of the grid. The gene expression at a given coordinate of the 2D space was further estimated as the average expression of its 15 nearest neighbors. All transcriptional landscapes were normalized to the maximum value. For low-level expression genes (maximum value < 1 log), the maximum value was artificially set to 1 log, which correspond to a baseline noise threshold. The transcriptional landscapes of the most variable genes (n = 4,016) were further clustered by projecting genes onto a 2D t-SNE space and submitted to a k-means clustering (K = 12). The average expression pattern was calculated for each cluster and the transcriptional maps of all remaining genes (n = 12,425) were correlated to these 12 patterns. Select examples in Fig. 5C correspond to genes directly assigned or most highly correlated to the corresponding cluster.

Softwares
All single cell RNA sequencing analysis were performed using the R software with publicly available packages. GeneGo portal (https://portal.genego.com) was used to investigate the enriched gene ontology processes in Fig. 2. Cytoscape platform (55) associated with its plugin (56) was used to construct the enrichment gene ontology processes network in supplementary fig. S10. For this purpose, the latest version of gene ontology (go-basic.obo) and gene association (gene_association.mgi) from the Gene Ontology Consortium website (www.geneontology.org) were given as input in Bingo. The string database (http://string-db.org) implemented in Cytoscape platform was used to determine the protein-protein interactions in figs S5, S6 and S7.
### Table S1: Selection of characterized genes from the birthdate model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Enriched in</th>
<th>Gene weight</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>References (PMID)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hmga2</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>-6.25</td>
<td>DNA-binding, chromatin-related. KO in early-stage cortical progenitors reduces neurogenic potential</td>
<td>22797695 / 18957199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tbr1</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>-3.65</td>
<td>TF. Instructs the laminar location and identity of deep layer neurons</td>
<td>16858776 / 20615956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top2a</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>-3.36</td>
<td>DNA topoisomerase. KO leads to laminar disruptions in the cerebral cortex</td>
<td>12773624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl11b</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>-3.13</td>
<td>CTIP2, a TF. Marker of LSB neurons</td>
<td>15664173 / 18678899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2afz</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>-2.91</td>
<td>Histone protein. KO results in enhanced proliferation of progenitors and reduced differentiation.</td>
<td>29294103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadd45g</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>-2.78</td>
<td>DNA demethylation. Direct target of Pax6.</td>
<td>19521500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hes1</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>-2.58</td>
<td>Transcriptional repressor. Represses precocious neuronal commitment of cortical progenitors</td>
<td>10627606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filp1</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>-2.24</td>
<td>Filamin-interacting protein. Controls the radial migration of newborn cortical neurons</td>
<td>12055638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox5</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>-2.15</td>
<td>TF. Control the timing of sequential generation of corticofugal neurons subtypes</td>
<td>18215621 / 18840685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptgr2t</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>Receptor tyrosine phosphatase. In human oRGs; development of OPCs.</td>
<td>26406371 / 21969550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cttnbp2</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>Dendritogenesis</td>
<td>23015759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparc1</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>Surface protein. Terminal migration of neurons. Astrocyte marker</td>
<td>14715135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gria2</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>Glycin receptor subunit. Regulates BP generation</td>
<td>24926615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trmm9</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>Ubiquitin ligase. Netrin1 signaling-associated; regulates neuronal morphogenesis</td>
<td>28701345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nr2f1</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>TF. COUP-TFI. Neuronal differentiation</td>
<td>25476200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trim2</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>Regulates axonal morphogenesis</td>
<td>20796172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnc</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>Extracellular matrix protein. oRG marker</td>
<td>26406371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cnl1</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>ECM and cell adhesion protein. Inhibits Erk1/2- MAPK signaling and reduces progenitor proliferation</td>
<td>15504324 / 20933598 / 18077678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unc5d</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>Netrin receptor. Expressed in 4 neuron; mediates neuronal survival</td>
<td>21216843 / 18469807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sema3c</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>Semaphorin. Required for migration of superficial layer neurons</td>
<td>26182416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zbtb20</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>Regulator for the generation of layer-specific neuronal identities; neuronal maturation</td>
<td>27282384 / 24828045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene symbol</td>
<td>Enriched in</td>
<td>Gene weight</td>
<td>Function</td>
<td>References (PMID)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vim</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-4.85</td>
<td>Intermediate filament protein. Classical marker of radial glia cells</td>
<td>Multiple references</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccnd2</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-3.43</td>
<td>Cyclin D2. Required for generation of BPs from APs</td>
<td>19641124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chd7</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-3.17</td>
<td>Chromatin remodeler. Regulates AP proliferation; interacts with Sox2</td>
<td>27955690 / 21532573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boc</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-3.10</td>
<td>SHH co-receptor. Regulates neuronal differentiation from cortical progenitor cells</td>
<td>27871935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdon</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-3.07</td>
<td>SHH co-receptor. Regulates cortical progenitor proliferation and neuronal differentiation</td>
<td>16648472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2afz</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-2.98</td>
<td>Histone protein. KO results in enhanced proliferation of progenitors and reduced differentiation.</td>
<td>29294103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hex1</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-2.88</td>
<td>Transcriptional repressor. Represses precocious neuronal commitment of cortical progenitors</td>
<td>10627606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nes</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-2.65</td>
<td>Intermediate filament protein. Classical marker of radial glia cells</td>
<td>Multiple references</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapgef6</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-2.59</td>
<td>GTPase. Reported to maintain the apical surface adherens junction in cortical progenitors.</td>
<td>27390176 / 28917843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl11b</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-2.55</td>
<td>CTIP2, a TF. Marker of L5B neurons</td>
<td>15664173 / 18678899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nfia</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
<td>TF. Represses Notch pathway to initiate neuronal differentiation</td>
<td>20610746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdon</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-2.31</td>
<td>DNA-binding, chromatin-related. KO in early-stage cortical progenitors reduces neurogenic potential</td>
<td>22797695 / 18957199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmga2</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-2.30</td>
<td>TF, transiently expressed in SVZ. Reported role in lymphocyte differentiation</td>
<td>27871935 / 18878899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arx</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td>TF, transiently expressed in SVZ. Reported role in lymphocyte differentiation</td>
<td>23968833 / 18509041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unc5d</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>Neurogenin 3, synaptic adhesion molecule</td>
<td>26235839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aff2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>TF, transiently expressed in SVZ. Reported role in lymphocyte differentiation</td>
<td>12079280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparc</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>SVZ protein; obligatory binding partner of the neurite outgrowth-promoting factor pleiotrophin</td>
<td>28823557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syt4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>Syntaxin 4, retrograde synaptic signalling</td>
<td>23522040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celf2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>Regulation of RNA splicing</td>
<td>11158314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nlgn3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>Neuronal differentiation and migration of neuronal stem cells</td>
<td>26324926 / 25037921 / 18255031 / 18255030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trim67</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>KO has CNS defects including decreased size of callosum</td>
<td>26182416 / 25037921 / 18255031 / 18255030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrun1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>Neurexin 1, synaptic protein</td>
<td>28053041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zbed18</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>Disruption of superficial cortical layers in KO</td>
<td>28053041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dlk3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>Wnt pathway inhibitor</td>
<td>18719393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dpys3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>Axonal guidance and outgrowth</td>
<td>10504203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl11a</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>TF. Controls the migration of cortical neurons with Sema3c; settles identity of corticofugal neurons</td>
<td>26182416 / 25972180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sema3c</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>Semaphorin. Required for migration of superficial layer neurons</td>
<td>26182416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurod6</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>TF, Classical neuronal marker</td>
<td>Multiple references</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satb2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>TF. Regulates differentiation of callosal projection neurons; mutually repressive interactions with Fezf2</td>
<td>26324926 / 25037921 / 18255031 / 18255030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dcx</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>Microtubule associated protein. Critical for neuronal migration and proper cortical layering</td>
<td>10399932 / 10399933 / 14625554 / 12764037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cbln2a</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>Excitatory synapse transmission</td>
<td>12498782 / 28912692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubo1a</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>Tubulin-related. Mutation causes lissencephaly</td>
<td>20466733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arpp27</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>RNA-binding: controls neuronal excitability and dendritic morphology in neocortex</td>
<td>29981509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gpm6a</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>Neuronal differentiation and migration of neuronal stem cells</td>
<td>19289174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smarco2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>Chromatin-remodeling: activation of Neurod2 and Ngn</td>
<td>11131456 / 15576411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rtn1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>ER-related; involved in neuronal differentiation; used as a marker</td>
<td>9560466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurod2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>Neuronal specific genes, induces premature exit from cell cycle</td>
<td>26940868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zbed20</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>Regulator for the generation of layer-specific neuronal identities; neuronal maturation</td>
<td>27282384 / 24828045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griz2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>AMPA receptor subunit</td>
<td>Multiple references</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubb3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>Tubulin-related. Mutation causes abnormal cortical development</td>
<td>30016746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeZic</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>Activity-dependent TF. Regulates synaptogenesis</td>
<td>27989458</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. S1. scRNA sequencing of isochronic cohorts of cells in the developing neocortex. (A) FT injection labels isochronic cohorts of cortical cells which were collected either 1, 24 or 96 hours after labeling. E12 illustration modified from Fig.1. (B) Top: essentially all FT+ SOX2+ cells are proliferative (i.e. KI67+) at the FT+1h collection time point, throughout corticogenesis. Bottom: the fraction of proliferative TBR2+ cells (i.e. including intermediate progenitors) decreases with corticogenesis. (C) FT+ cells were FAC-sorted (top) and captured on a C1 microfluidic device (bottom) for scRNAseq processing. (D) Number of genes (top) and the percentage of mitochondrial genes (bottom) in each cell. Lower (< 1000 genes) and upper (> 17% mitochondrial genes) accepted thresholds are displayed. (E) Unbiased analysis of single-cell trajectories (Monocle) (14), highlighting the AP birth-date axis. Abbreviations: AP: apical progenitor, C: component, FT: Flashtag.
Fig. S2. Spatio-temporal expression of the most enriched genes in AP clusters. In situ hybridization of genes enriched in APearly, APmid, and APlate clusters. The ISH merged layouts are also presented in Fig. 1E. Tick mark indicates VZ basal border. Source of ISH: Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas. Abbreviations: AP: apical progenitor, VZ: ventricular zone.
Fig. S3. Spatio-temporal expression of the most enriched genes in 4-day-old clusters. Individual and merged in situ hybridization of genes enriched in N4dearly1, N4dearly2, N4dlate1 and N4dlate2 clusters. The ISH merged layouts for N4dearly1 and N4dlate2 are also presented in Fig. 1E. Source of ISH: Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas. Abbreviations: CP: cortical plate, N4d: 4-day-old neurons.
Fig. S4. Expression of select genes in neurons, astrocytes, and interneurons. (A) Feature plots showing expression of classical deep layer (DL) and superficial layer (SL) markers in 1-day and 4-day-old neurons across corticogenesis. Note that N1d late neurons (i.e. E14 and E15-born neurons) initially and transiently express DL markers, as illustrated in the violin plots. (B) Expression of select laminar markers. (C) Expression of select ventral pallial-derived interneuron markers. (D) Expression of select astrocyte markers. Abbreviations: Astro: astrocytes, DL: deep layers, IN: interneurons, N1d: 1-day-old neurons, N4d: 4-day-old neurons, SL: superficial layers.
Fig. S5. Transcriptional differentiation waves at each embryonic age. (A) Gene distribution across the six differentiation waves at each embryonic age. (B) Gene waves at each embryonic age, organized by a reference sequence represented by a boxed area. (C) Left: Correlation of gene kinetics considering E12 as a reference shows that most gene expression dynamics are independent of the developmental age. Right: About half of the expressed genes had highly conserved expression dynamics. (D) Gene distribution within waves across embryonic ages. (E) Protein-protein interactome (from https://string-db.org/) of the most stable genes within each wave. Unassigned genes are not displayed. (F) Parallel progression of gene ontology processes associated with each transcriptional wave. Abbreviations: AP: apical progenitor, N4d: 4-day-old neurons.
Fig. S6. Sequential AP transcriptional states across corticogenesis. (A) Normalized gene expressions are distributed within six sequential AP transcriptional states. (B) Global protein-protein interactome for each AP state, from https://string-db.org/. Unassigned genes are not displayed. (C) Sample and cumulative ISH hybridizations for all dynamically-expressed genes in the Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas. Right: semi-quantifications of the ISH intensity in the VZ for the aggregate early (i.e. 1 to 3) and late (i.e. 4 to 6) dynamics. (D) The fraction of APs in G1 increases across corticogenesis as a result of the lengthening in the cell cycle, as reflected by expression of cell-cycle-enriched transcripts (see Methods for details). Abbreviations: AP: apical progenitor, VZ: ventricular zone.
Fig. S7. 2D modelization of corticogenesis. (A) Birthdate and differentiation scores obtained from the two models for each condition. (B) Analysis of protein-protein interactions using the STRING database (http://string-db.org) suggests that gene products interact based on their temporal dynamics (left) or cellular specificity (right). Unassigned genes are not displayed. (C) Overlay of ISH from the Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas (www.brain-map.org) confirming the proper spatio-temporal dynamics of select core genes. Early genes: Hes1, Hmga2, Tbr1, Fn1, Nfatc2, Sox5. Late genes: Nrxn1, Ctnnb1, Clu, Nr2f1, Lgals1, Beacn, Tnc, Unc5d, Scl1a3, Mfge8. AP genes: Cdon, Hes1, Plagl1, Nes, Hmga2, Arx. N genes: Trps1, Unc5d, Sox11, Nrxn, Cd24a, Mpped1, Bcl11a, Neurod6, Satb2, Dcx, Mapt, Gria2, Tubb3. (D) Top left: Birthdate-associated core genes are temporally dynamic and daughter cells acquire embryonic stage-specific transcriptional birthmarks. Bottom left: In contrast, differentiation status-associated core genes are conserved across corticogenesis. Boxed area represents value of reference for correlation. Right: Correlations in gene expression dynamics stratified for early (E12, E13) and late (E14, E15) embryonic ages. (E) Expression of the core neuronal genes (n = 50) within APs increases with embryonic age. (F) E12-15 APs progressively become “neuronized”. Differentiation model build exclusively with E12 data as a training dataset; E13-E15 APs are classified as progressively more neuron-like using this model. Abbreviations: AP: apical progenitor, N: neurons, N1d: 1-day-old neurons, N4d: 4-day-old neurons.
Fig. S8. Temporal patterning is still present in vitro. (A) The Ctip2/Brn2 ratio (i.e. Bcl11b/Pou3f2 ratio) is higher for E12-born neurons than for E15-born neurons. Top: feature plot showing expression levels, modified from S4B. Bottom: cumulative plots showing expression ratios in N4d. Right: CTIP2 and BRN2 proteins are expressed in DL and SL neurons, respectively. (B) Left: schematic of the experimental setup. In both the in vivo and in vitro arm, staining is performed on dissociated cells to allow for direct comparison of CTIP2 and BRN2 expression. Center and right: neurons born from E12 and E15 APs can still be distinguished by their relative expression of CTIP2 and BRN2 in vitro, as is the case in vivo. Abbreviations: FT: FlashTag, N4d: 4-day-old neurons. *** P < 0.001, Fisher’s exact test (for bar graph); Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (for cumulative plots).
Fig. S9 Mapping of transcriptional dynamics in the developing cortex. Validation of select transcriptional patterns using (A) smFISH (see also Fig. 5B for E12 and E15 timepoints) (B) ISH from the Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas (www.brain-map.org) or (C) ICC. Of note, the decreased expression of Top2A, a S/G2-phase marker, in APs is consistent with a lengthening of the cell-cycle and a decreased proportion of S-phase APs between E12 and E15 (data from Fig. 2H analysis). (D) Transcriptional maps for select classical laminar markers and members of sample gene families. Only the genes with the most sharply delineated expression patterns are shown for Semaphorins and Ephrins. See www.genebrowser.unige.ch/telagirdon for more transcripts. Abbreviations: AP: apical progenitor, N4d: 4-day-old neurons.
Fig. S10. Cluster-based gene ontology networks. Display of ontological hierarchies for individual clusters highlights cluster-specific biological processes.
Data S1: Top 10 enriched genes for each cell cluster (related to Fig. 1D).

Data S2: Differentiation waves gene list (related to Fig. 2B).

Data S3: Differentiation waves biological processes (related to Fig. 2B,C).

Data S4: AP gene dynamics list (related to Fig. 2E).

Data S5: AP gene dynamics biological processes (related to Fig. 2E,F).

Data S6: Core genes of the models (related to Fig. 3A).

Data S7: Clustering of transcriptional maps (related to Fig. 5C).

Data S8: Biological processes associated with transcriptional maps clusters (related to Fig. 5D).
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