



ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Assessment of the physicochemical characteristics, chemical and microbiological safety of two types of *kilichi*, a grilled meat produced in Niger

Ramatou Boubacar Seydou^{1,2} | AbdoulKader Harouna¹ | Yénoukounmè Euloge Kpoclou¹ | Caroline Douny³ | François Brose³ | Marichatou Hamani⁴ | Jacques Mahillon⁵ | Victor Bienvenu Anihouvi¹ | Marie-Louise Scippo³ | Djidjoho Joseph Hounhouigan¹

¹Laboratory of Food Sciences, Faculty of Agronomic Sciences, School of Nutrition and Food Science and Technology, University of Abomey-Calavi, Cotonou, Benin

²Institut National de Recherche Agronomique, Niamey, Niger

³Laboratory of Food Analysis, Fundamental and Applied Research for Animals & Health, Department of Food Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

⁴Département de Productions Animales, Faculté d'Agronomie, Université Abdou Moumouni, Niamey, Niger

⁵Laboratory of Food and Environmental Microbiology, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Earth and Life Institute, Catholic University of Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

Correspondence

Djidjoho Joseph Hounhouigan, Laboratory of Food Sciences, Faculty of Agronomic Sciences, School of Nutrition and Food Science and Technology, University of Abomey-Calavi, Jéricho, Cotonou 03 BP 2918, Benin.
Email: joseph.hounhouigan@gmail.com

Funding information

West African Agricultural Productivity Program (WAAPP/PPAAO Niger)

Abstract

Production of *kilichi*, a grilled meat of West Africa, is a common method of meat preservation in Niger. Thirty samples of condiments-coated *kilichi* and uncoated *kilichi* collected in Niger, were analyzed for microbiological contamination, as well as NaCl, protein and lipid contents, using standard methods. Contamination with Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) was also assessed using a HPLC–FLD technique. Highly significant differences ($p < .001$) were observed between coated *kilichi* and uncoated *kilichi*, for NaCl content (2.56% and 1.40%), for proteins (51% and 72%) and lipids (18% and 13%), respectively. Water activity was low in both *kilichi*, showing a potential microbial stability. Among the 15 European Union (EU) priority PAHs, 12 were detected in the samples. About 56.3% of coated *kilichi* samples exceeded the EU maximal limit for BaP, and 75% exceeded the EU maximal limit for the sum of 4 PAHs (PAH4). For uncoated *kilichi*, 28.6% of samples did not meet the standards for BaP and PAH4. About 6% of coated *kilichi* samples were not compliant with standards related to *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Clostridium perfringens*, and 31%, 50% for yeasts and fungi, respectively. *Escherichia coli* and Enterobacteria were below the detection limit in both *kilichi*, but *Salmonella* and *Bacillus cereus* were detected only in one coated *kilichi*. The noncompliant samples of uncoated *kilichi* were in the proportions varying between 7%–86% for *S. aureus*, *C. perfringens*, yeasts, and fungi. This study showed potential risks associated with the consumption of traditionally produced *kilichi* in Niger due to both PAHs and pathogen bacteria contamination.

KEYWORDS

microbiological contamination, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, quality, risk assessment

1 | INTRODUCTION

Breeding plays a central role in the countries' economy of the Sahelian zone of West Africa, where it constitutes an important source of

income for millions of rural or periurban populations whose mean of existence is linked to pluvial agriculture (Kamuanga et al., 2008). Breeding has favored the development of a dynamic artisanal food processing sector producing and selling a number of meat products,

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. *Food Science & Nutrition* published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

and providing substantial revenues for producers and animal proteins for populations.

Among these products, *kilichi*, a dried meat, coated with condiments or uncoated, then grilled, is well appreciated by consumers in local markets in Niger (Beidari & Mahamadou, 2014). *Kilichi* is a ready-to-eat meat product traditionally manufactured with bovine, camel, ovine, or goat fresh meat. Coated *kilichi* is produced by trimming meat, cutting into pieces of parallelepiped shape before slicing into flat thin sheets. The sheets are spread on millet or sorghum panicle mats for a first sun drying followed by marinating in a sauce made of complex blend of spices, before a second sun drying and briefly grilling at wood fire. For uncoated *kilichi*, the sun dried meat is slightly seasoned and grilled. *Kilichi* is generally packaged, only just before selling to consumers (Boubacar et al., 2019). The conditions of processing and distribution practices do not guaranty the safety of this product. Analyses conducted on this product in Tchad and Cameroun showed high levels of contamination by pathogenic bacteria, in particular *Salmonella*, presenting a risk of acute intoxication for consumer (Kimassoum et al., 2017; Mbawala, Daoudou, & Ngassoum, 2010). The problem of pathogenic bacteria seems to be the most worrying, but grilling step of the product shows risk of contamination by Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs). In fact, PAHs are toxic chemical contaminants generated during the combustion of organic material. Food products mostly get chemical contamination through thermal processing such as smoking, roasting, grilling, frying, and drying where they are in direct contact with the combustion source (Farhadian, Jinap, Abas, & Sakar, 2010; Rose et al., 2015; Roseiro, Gomes, Patarata, & Santos, 2012). At high concentration, PAHs could be carcinogenic and genotoxic. Some respiratory, cardiologic, immunologic, neurologic, reproductive, and genotoxic imperfections in human and animals are linked to the harmful effect of PAHs (EFSA, 2008; Olabemiwo & Ogunsola, 2014). The parameters like temperature, time, distance, biomass, relative humidity, and characteristics of the products have effect on the absorption and penetration of smoke components in product, and therefore, on its quality and stability (Akpambang et al., 2009; Santos, Gomes, & Roseiro, 2011).

The Scientific Committee on Food (SCF) of the European Commission (2002) identified 15 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (15 EU PAHs) as carcinogenic and genotoxic, which are Benzo[a]anthracene (BaA), Benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF), Benzo[j]fluoranthene (BjF), Benzo[k]fluoranthene (BkF), Benzo[a]pyrene (BaP), Benzo[g,h,i]perylene (BgP), Chrysene (CHR), Cyclopenta[c,d]pyrene (CCP), Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene (DhA), Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene (DeP), Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene (DhP), Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene (DiP), Dibenzo[a,l]pyrene (DlP), Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene (IcP), and 5-methylchrysene (5MC). On the recommendation of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA, 2005) a 16th compound, Benzo[c]fluorene (BcF), has been added to the list. Little has been done on the characterization of *kilichi* in Niger, particularly on physicochemical, nutritional, and microbiological aspects, and to our knowledge, no study on the contamination by PAHs. Therefore, the aim of this work was to assess the nutritional, chemical, and

microbiological characteristics of *kilichi* produced in Niger, and to estimate the risks associated with the consumption of PAHs contaminated *kilichi* for the purpose of improving its manufacturing process and quality.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Sampling

The sampling was carried out in the regions of Agadez, Maradi, Niamey, Tahoua, & Zinder. About 30 samples of uncoated and coated *kilichi* were randomly bought at 17 manufacturing sites and markets of the regions as distributed in Table 1. The samples were composed of 14 uncoated samples (11 of beef and 3 of camel) and 16 coated samples (13 of beef and 3 of camel). Each collected sample was packaged in a sterile stomacher bag and stored in refrigerator for microbiological analysis and in freezer at -20°C for physicochemical analyses and determination of PAHs.

2.2 | Physicochemical analyses

The physicochemical parameters determined were: pH, moisture, water activity, protein, fat, ash, and NaCl contents. The pH was measured as described by Mgbemere, Akpapunam, and Igene (2011). The moisture of samples was determined using the ISO 1442/1997 standard. The water activity (a_w) was measured according to the method described by Anihouvi, Ayerno, Hounhouigan, and Sakyi-Dawson, (2006) using a hygrometer (HygroLab, model Rotronic). The nitrogen content of samples was determined according to ISO 937/1978 standard, and the protein content was calculated by multiplying the nitrogen content by 6.25. The fat content was determined according to ISO 1444/1996. The determination of ash content was realized according to AOAC (1995) method 920.153. The NaCl content was assessed by measuring chloride concentration with a Chloride Analyzer, Model 926, Sherwood Scientific Ltd., 1997.

2.3 | Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons analyses

The 15 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) were determined in the *kilichi* samples using a High-Performance Liquid Chromatography coupled with a fluorescence detector (HPLC-FLD) according to the method described by Brasseur et al., (2007). A Model 600 E solvent delivery system, equipped with a Model 717 automatic injector, a Mistral TM oven, and 2,475 Fluorescence detector (WATERS Corporation), was used. A C18 Pursuit 3 PAH (100 × 4.6 mm, 3 μm) equipped with a ChromGuard (10 × 3 mm) precolumn, both for Varian (Agilent Technologies) were used to separate the PAHs. The PAHs were extracted from the *kilichi* samples using the method described by Veyrand et al., (2007). The *kilichi* samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and then freeze-dried for 36–48H. For the extraction, one g of lyophilized *kilichi*, was homogenized with a mixture of hexane/acetone (50/50, v/v) by using the Accelerated Solvent Extraction system (ASE 200; Dionex). Then the solvent was evaporated until 1 ml, and

then, reconstituted with 5 ml of cyclohexane. The reconstituted extract was then purified on a Chromatographic Column (Envi Chrom P Supelco), previously conditioned with 15 ml of ethyl acetate and 10 ml of cyclohexane. The extract was poured on the Column which was then washed two times with 3 ml of cyclohexane/ethanol (70/30, v/v) mixture. The PAHs were eluted three times using 4 ml of cyclohexane/ethyl acetate (40/60 v/v) mixture. The solvent was evaporated to dryness, then 90 μ l of acetonitrile and 10 μ l of the deuterated DiP-D14, used as internal standard (LGC Promochem, France), were added. Twenty-five (25) μ l of this final extract was injected in the HPLC column. The limit of quantification (LOQ) corresponded to the first point of the calibration curve for each PAH and were 0.96 μ g/kg of fresh weight for the Benzo (j) fluoranthene and Indeno [1, 2, 3-cd] pyrene and 0.24 μ g/kg fresh weight for the rest of the PAHs.

2.4 | Microbiological analyses

Microbiological analyses were performed to determine the spoilage and pathogen germs like Aerobic Mesophilic Bacteria (AMB), Enterobacteriaceae, *Escherichia coli*, *Clostridium perfringens*, yeast and fungi, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus cereus*, and *salmonella* spp. The analyses were performed on the primary dilution and its serial decimal dilutions according to ISO 6887-1/1999 by using the peptone buffer water solution as dilution liquid. The enumeration of Aerobic Mesophilic Bacteria, Enterobacteria, and *E. coli* was realized according to ISO 4833/2003, ISO 21528-2/2004, and ISO 16649-2/2001, respectively. *Clostridium perfringens* was enumerated according to ISO 7937/2004 while yeast and fungi load was enumerated using ISO 21527-2/2008. The enumerations of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus cereus* were performed according to ISO 6888-1/2003 and ISO 7932/2004, respectively. *Salmonella* were investigated using ISO 6579/2002.

2.5 | Statistical analyses

The software SAS version 8 was used for statistical analysis of data. The means, medians, and standard deviations were calculated. The means comparisons were done with the test of Student at a threshold of 5% signification. The General Linear Model (GLM) was used

for one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The Least Square Mean was used to compare the two types of *kilichi*.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Physicochemical characteristics of the *kilichi*

The results obtained from physicochemical analysis of both types of *kilichi* samples are presented in Table 2. The means of moisture content of *kilichi* are close to that obtained by Kalilou (1997) and Yacouba (2009), on both types of *kilichi* produced in Niger; and on coated *kilichi* produced in Nigeria and Cameroun (Apata, Osidibo, Apata, & Okubanjo, 2013; Jones, Tanya, Mbofung, Fonkem, & Silverside, 2001; Mgbemere et al., 2011; Olusola, Okubanjo, & Omojola, 2012). However, these values are lower than those obtained on Nigerian uncoated *kilichi* (19%–26% moisture content) (Raji, 2006) and on dried *Bitong* (21.5%–25.3%) (Petit, Caro, Petit, Santchurn, & Collignan, 2014). They are also in agreement with the standard (at least 88% of dry matter) stipulated by the National Council of Normalization of Niger (Conseil National de Normalisation du Niger–CINN, 2004). According to Prescott, Harley, and Klein (2002), the microbial growth would be impossible in food products with water activity values lower than 0.7. So, the mean water activity values registered in the studied samples are low enough to avoid the growth of pathogenic bacteria in both types of *kilichi*. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Jones et al., (2001) for Cameroun coated *kilichi* (0.59) but lower than those of Petit et al. (2014) on dried *Bitong* (0.65–0.68), and Ratsimba et al., (2017) on dried *Kitoza* (0.86) and smoked *Kitoza* (0.94). *Bitong* is a traditional South African ready-to-eat meat product made from raw meat by salting, curing, and drying. There are two types, moist beef *Bitong* with high moisture content (higher than 40%) and dried *Bitong*. *Kitoza* is a traditional meat product from Madagascar, manufactured with strips of pork or beef. The process includes salting and mixing with spices followed by sun drying or smoking. Uncoated *kilichi* presents a mean pH lower than that of coated *kilichi*. The values found are similar to the results reported by Kalilou (1997), with a pH ranging between 5.7 and 6.2 for both types of *kilichi*, by Jones et al., (2001) for coated *kilichi* (5.81), by Petit et al., (2014) for dried *Bitong* (5.5–6.26) and by Ratsimba et al., (2017) for dry *Kitoza* and smoked *Kitoza* (5.67 and 5.87, respectively). These mean pH levels are lower than average value of 6.33 obtained by Eke et al. (2013) on *Dambu namma* (fried ground beef). The pH is an important parameter to control the sensory quality of meat. The average salt content in coated *kilichi* is higher than that in uncoated *kilichi*; this is justified by the addition of salt and bouillon cube in the seasoning sauce used to coat *kilichi*. The salt should play a role of preservative at short and average term but could be a source of disease. Petit et al., (2014) and Ratsimba et al., (2017) have reported salt contents of 5.5%–7.9%, 2.83%, and 3.61% in dried *Bitong*, dried *Kitoza*, and smoked *Kitoza*, respectively. The average protein content for both *kilichi* types are compliant with CINN

TABLE 1 Distribution of *kilichi* samples collected in different regions of Niger for analyses

| Sampling areas (Region) | <i>kilichi</i> types | | Total |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | Uncoated <i>kilichi</i> | Coated <i>kilichi</i> | |
| Agadez | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Maradi | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Niamey | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Tahoua | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Zinder | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Total | 14 | 16 | 30 |

TABLE 2 Physicochemical characteristics of uncoated and coated *kilichi*

| Compound | Uncoated <i>kilichi</i> (n = 14) | | | | | Coated <i>kilichi</i> (n = 16) | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | Min | Max | Mean | Median | SD | Min | Max | Mean | Median | SD |
| pH | 5.3 | 6.4 | 5.76 | 5.7 | 0.31 | 5.60 | 6.4 | 6.05 | 6.08 | 0.24 |
| a _w | 0.401 | 0.486 | 0.445 | 0.445 | 0.026 | 0.427 | 0.593 | 0.488 | 0.479 | 0.049 |
| NaCl (% DM) | 0.53 | 3.61 | 1.43 | 1.2 | 0.84 | 1.37 | 3.39 | 2.51 | 2.56 | 0.56 |
| Moisture (%) | 7.31 | 11.01 | 8.76 | 8.47 | 1.12 | 7.24 | 11.7 | 9.83 | 10.13 | 1.30 |
| Protein (%) | 62.74 | 84.48 | 72.32 | 72.48 | 5.83 | 37.66 | 62.1 | 51.23 | 50.71 | 6.63 |
| Fat (%) | 5.71 | 22.64 | 13.42 | 13.2 | 4.8 | 11.17 | 24.73 | 17.53 | 18.74 | 3.43 |
| Ash (%) | 4.12 | 6.95 | 5.19 | 4.97 | 0.89 | 5.12 | 8.47 | 6.24 | 6.19 | 0.80 |

Abbreviations: a_w, water activity; DM, dry matter basis; Max, maximum; Min, minimum; n, number of samples analyzed; SD, Standard deviation.

standard (50 à 70%) and the average coated *kilichi* protein content is similar to that obtained (49.8%) by Mgbemere et al., (2011). However, these results are lower than those obtained by Kalilou (1997), which are 64% protein content for coated *kilichi* and 74% for uncoated *kilichi*, and lower than those obtained by Jones et al., (2001), Olusola et al., (2012), and Apata et al., (2013), which are 61.95%, 62.33%, and 66.83% to 72.77% for coated *kilichi*, respectively. Also, the results showed that 06/16 (37.5%) coated *kilichi* had their protein content lower than the minimum (50%) recommended by the CNNN.

The two types of *kilichi* are richer in protein than *Dambu namma* (fried ground beef) which values vary from 37.14% to 45.88% (Eke et al., 2013). Average values of fat content are compliant with CNNN standards (10 to 25%). However, 03/14 samples (21.43%) of uncoated *kilichi* are not compliant with CNNN standards, with a fat content lower than 10%. On one hand, fat contents of coated *kilichi* are higher than value (6.90%) reported by Olusola et al., (2012) and slightly higher than those obtained by Kalilou (1997), Mgbemere et al., (2011) and Apata et al., (2013); on the other hand, they are lower than value (25.39%) obtained by Jones et al., (2001). The difference between the two types of *kilichi* can be explained by use of many seasoning stuffs for coated *kilichi*. The fat content in *kilichi* should be low and of good quality; otherwise *kilichi* shelf life is short. Average ash values of the two types of *kilichi* are similar to that obtained by Yacouba (2009) (4.07% and 6.96%, respectively) and to that obtained by Mgbemere et al. (2011) and Jones et al., (2001) on the coated *kilichi* (5.2% and 6.2%, respectively) but lower than 10.31% reported by Olusola et al., (2012). These values on the other hand are higher than 3.70% obtained by Apata et al., (2013). The higher ash content of coated *kilichi* may be due to spices added.

The comparison of the two types of *kilichi* show a significant effect ($p < .05$) on moisture and fat, highly significant effects ($p < .01$) on pH and water activity, and very highly significant effects ($p < .001$) on salt, proteins, and ash contents (Table 3). The high value of the Mean standard error, shows the lack of standardization of the process. For *Kilichi* type production diverse types and quality of meat, different materials and practices, seasonings like spices which depend on producer are used (Boubacar et al., 2019).

3.2 | Contamination of *Kilichi* samples by PAHs

Upon 15 EU PAHs considered as cancerogenic and genotoxic, 12 were detected and quantified in the two types of *kilichi*: Benzo[a] Anthracène (BaA); Benzo[a]pyrene (BaP); Benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF); benzo[c]fluorene (BcF); Benzo[ghi]perylene (BgP); Benzo[j] fluoranthene (BjF); Benzo[k]fluoranthene (BkF); Chrysene (CHR); Dibenzo [a,h]anthracene (DhA); Dibenzo [a,e]pyrene (DeP); 5-methylchrysene (5MC); and Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene (IcP) (Table 4). Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene (DhP), Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene (DiP), and Dibenzo[a,l] pyrene (DIP) have not been detected in the *kilichi* samples. Lorenzo, Purriños, Fontán, and Franco (2010) reported similar average values in smoked sausages including Androlla (36.45 µg/kg) and Botillo (29.39 µg/kg). Stumpe-Vīksna, Bartkevičs, Kukāre, and Morozovs (2008) on the other hand found higher average values in smoked meats (47.94 to 470.91 µg/kg). The lower average values of PAHs registered in *kilichi* samples collected from Niger compared to that of the literature is probably due to the fact that some samples are collected from localities of Maradi where grilling is not applied. The different types of *kilichi* are contaminated in decreasing order by BaA, CHR, BcF, BbF, BjF, BaP, BkF, BgP, IcP, DeP, DhA, and 5MC. The distribution of these contaminants in coated *kilichi* represents 22.44%, 18.73%, 20.39%, 8.83%, 7.01%, 6.86%, 5.30%, 4.42%, 3.22%, 1.40%, 0.91%, and 0.57% of the total sum of PAHs respectively, against 19.38%, 18.99%, 15.59%, 10.13%, 9.07%, 8.46%, 3.30%, 6.08%, 4.93%, 2.29%, 0.75%, and 0.93% for uncoated *kilichi*. This distribution is similar to the relative proportion of the 15 EU reported by EFSA (2008) on 1,375 grilled or smoked meat products of many countries of the European Union and Veyrand et al., (2013) on 725 food products consumed in France.

The adsorption of PAHs depends on several factors, in particular the wood species used to generate the smoke (Lorenzo et al., 2010). Boubacar et al., (2019) reported 20 types of wood used by processors in grilling *kilichi* in Niger. This difference of PAHs can also be due to drying/smoking procedures and climate conditions (temperature and humidity) in the regions (Roseiro et al., 2012).

There was a significant ($p < .05$) difference between both types of *kilichi* as far as DhA, BcF, and BaA concentrations were concerned. Also, significant ($p < .1$) differences of BkF and the sum of PAHs

TABLE 3 Comparison of the physicochemical characteristics of the two types of *kilichi*

| Compound | Uncoated <i>kilichi</i> (n = 14) | | Coated <i>kilichi</i> (n = 16) | | p value |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| | LS mean | SE | LS mean | SE | |
| pH | 5.75 | 0.07 | 6.06 | 0.06 | .002** |
| a _w | 0.446 | 0.010 | 0.487 | 0.010 | .008** |
| NaCl (%DM) | 1.40 | 0.20 | 2.53 | 0.18 | .0003*** |
| Moisture (%) | 8.77 | 0.34 | 9.82 | 0.32 | .034* |
| Protein (%) | 72.43 | 1.49 | 51.13 | 1.39 | <.0001*** |
| Fat (%) | 13.40 | 1.14 | 17.54 | 1.07 | .015* |
| Ash (%) | 5.17 | 0.18 | 6.25 | 0.17 | .0002*** |

Abbreviations: LS Mean, Least Squares Means; SE, Mean standard error.

***p < .001, **p < .01 and *p < .05; significance.

TABLE 4 PAHs levels (µg/kg) in investigated *kilichi* samples collected in Niger

| PAHs | Uncoated <i>kilichi</i> (n = 14) | | | | | Coated <i>kilichi</i> (n = 16) | | | | |
|----------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | Min | Max | Mean | Median | SD | Min | Max | Mean | Median | SD |
| BbF | 0.85 | 8.16 | 2.30 | 1.62 | 1.88 | <LOQ | 8.9 | 3.40 | 2.43 | 2.65 |
| DhA | <LOQ | 0.52 | <LOQ | <LOQ | <LOQ | <LOQ | 0.87 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.24 |
| BgP | 0.47 | 4.54 | 1.38 | 1.12 | 1.02 | 0.26 | 4.4 | 1.70 | 1.44 | 1.29 |
| DeP | <LOQ | 1.93 | 0.52 | <LOQ | 0.57 | <LOQ | 1.41 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.44 |
| BjF | <LOQ | 6.56 | 2.06 | 1.39 | 1.52 | <LOQ | 6.52 | 2.70 | 2.2 | 1.95 |
| BcF | 1.16 | 10.73 | 3.54 | 2.65 | 2.55 | <LOQ | 18.84 | 7.85 | 5.98 | 6.05 |
| BaA | 1.66 | 10.64 | 4.40 | 3.91 | 2.36 | 1.98 | 23.13 | 8.64 | 6.62 | 5.92 |
| CHR | 1.65 | 9.16 | 4.31 | 3.95 | 2.22 | 1.59 | 25.86 | 7.21 | 6.16 | 5.67 |
| 5MC | <LOQ | 1.48 | <LOQ | <LOQ | <LOQ | <LOQ | 0.94 | <LOQ | <LOQ | <LOQ |
| BkF | 0.31 | 1.81 | 0.75 | 0.55 | 0.44 | <LOQ | 10.03 | 2.04 | 0.94 | 2.63 |
| BaP | 0.67 | 6.59 | 1.92 | 1.3 | 1.63 | 0.32 | 7.06 | 2.64 | 2.27 | 1.94 |
| IcP | <LOQ | 2.41 | 1.12 | <LOQ | <LOQ | <LOQ | 3.1 | 1.24 | 1.11 | 0.86 |
| Sum PAHs | 9.74 | 64.13 | 22.67 | 18.42 | 14.74 | 6.88 | 97.28 | 38.54 | 34.54 | 24.39 |
| PAH4 | 4.98 | 34.55 | 12.93 | 11.2 | 7.9 | 4.37 | 60.67 | 21.89 | 17.45 | 15.03 |

Abbreviations: LOQ, limit of quantification; Max, maximum; Min, minimum; PAH4, BbF + BaA+BaP + Chrysene; SD, Standard deviation.

were observed between both types of *kilichi* (Table 5). Benzo[a] Anthracène, Benzo[c]fluorene, and Chrysene are the most abundant contaminants regardless the type of *Kilichi*. However, these contaminants concentrations are higher in coated *kilichi* (1.5 to 2 times) than in uncoated *kilichi*. Coating is generally done by covering meat surface with peanut paste-based sauce before grilling. The application of this sauce generally rich in fat on the meat before grilling could affect the level of contamination in PAHs which absorption is proportional to product fat content (Duedahl-Olesen et al., 2015; Farhadian et al., 2010; Santos et al., 2011). In fact, while grilling, the fat melts and falls on the combustible thereby causing their pyrolysis and leading to the formation of PAHs.

The European Commission (Rule n°835/2011) fixed maximal content of 2 µg/kg for Benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) and 12 µg/kg for PAH4 (sum of (BaP; BaA; BbF and Chrysene) in food commodities like meat and meat products (EFSA, 2008). BaP concentrations contribute at 8.46% and 6.86% to the formation of the total sum of PAHs in uncoated and coated *kilichi*, respectively. BaP concentrations registered

on *kilichi* are similar to those obtained by Manda et al., (2012) on fried meat (2.32 µg/kg) and Husam et al., (2011) on *Tikka* meat (2.48). Smaller values (0.14 to 1.10 µg/kg) have been reported by EFSA (2008), Roseiro et al., (2012), Santos et al., (2011), Farhadian et al., (2010); Rozentale et al., (2015) and Matorell et al., (2010) on 2,145 meat products of EU countries including smoked meat products of Spain; *kebab*: smoked meat of Latvia and meat products of Catalonia (Spain). However, previous studies have recorded higher values (7 to 91 µg/kg) on smoked and grilled meat (*Satay*), Hamburgers meat, smoked *Gentile di maile* in Italy, *Suya*, and smoked *Shrimp* (Manda et al., 2012; Farhadian et al., 2010; Rose et al., 2015; Carrabs et al., 2014; Akpambang et al., 2009 and Kpoclou et al., 2013). The average concentration of PAH4 represents 57% of the total sum of PAHs for both types of *kilichi*.

Table 6 represents PAHs profile in analyzed *kilichi* samples with a toxicity threshold fixed at 2 µg/ kg for BaP and at 12 µg/ kg for PAH4. 56.3% of coated *kilichi* samples pass the toxicity threshold for BaP and 75% exceed the limit for PAH4. Also 28.6% of uncoated

kilichi samples are not in accordance with the standard for BaP as well as for PAH4. Therefore, a consumer has 75% chance to consume a coated *kilichi* contaminated by the PAH4 and 56% by BaP against 29% for uncoated *kilichi*. Considering the Bench Mark Limit dose (BMDL₁₀), an adult of 60 kg is exposed to an intoxication risk by BaP if he consumes more than 210 g of uncoated *kilichi* per day, and for the PAH4, maximal ingestion level is 156 g. For coated *kilichi*, the daily maximal ingestion for an adult of 60 kg is 162 g and 90g for BaP and PAH4, respectively.

3.3 | Microbiological contamination of *kilichi*

The microbial counts in each type of *kilichi* and their compliance with CNNN (2004) and Public Health Laboratories (PHLS) (Gilbert et al., 2000) standards are given in tables 7 and 8. A total of 10/14 (71%) of uncoated *kilichi* samples are not compliant with standards against 100% of coated *kilichi*. For each type of *kilichi*, microbial load could come from a post-treatment contamination because of application of high temperature of grilling for most *kilichi* samples and their low postprocessing water activity. The AMB load is an important indicator of general hygiene. Boubacar et al., (2019) reported that processors used inappropriate packaging for storage and sale of *kilichi*. The results also showed that 1/16 (6%) of coated *kilichi* samples are not compliant with standards for *S. aureus*, *B. cereus*, *C. perfringens*, and 5/16 (31%) and 8/16 (50%) are not compliant for yeast and fungi, respectively. *E. Coli* and enterobacteria were not detected; however, *salmonella* was detected in one sample. A consumer has a 6% chance to be exposed to an intoxication by pathogens bacteria by consuming coated *kilichi*. For

uncoated *kilichi*, the noncompliant samples for *S. aureus*, *C. perfringens*, yeasts, and fungi are in the proportions 2/14 (14%), 1/14 (7%), 3/14 (21%), and 12/14 (86%), respectively. Neither, *E. coli*, nor *B. Cereus* and enterobacteria were detected in any uncoated *kilichi* samples. These mean values obtained are lower than those reported by Mbawala et al., (2010) for spicy coated *kilichi* and not spicy coated *kilichi*. Raji, (2006) also reported higher mean values for uncoated *kilichi*. However, Jones et al., (2001) reported a lower value of AMB for coated *kilichi*. Averages of AMB, *E. coli*, *S. aureus* load counted on the two types of *kilichi* are lower than that counted on *Balangu* (grilled meat) (Moshood, Tengku, & Ibrahim, 2012) and on *Dambun nama* (fried ground beef) (Salihu et al., 2010).

For all germs investigated, coated *kilichi* present higher loads than uncoated *kilichi*. This difference could be due to germs carried by seasoning spices. Shamsuddeen (2009), Frazier and Westhoff (2006), have reported that some spices do not have antimicrobial activity, so that the meat treated with spices could have high microbial load. In the same logic, Price and Schweigert (1971) stated that unless the spices are treated to reduce their microbial load, they can be a source of high number of undesirable germs in the product to which they are added. The presence of *S. aureus*, *Enterobacteria*, *C. perfringens*, *E. coli*, and *Salmonella* in the products is an indication of poor hygienic practices during processing. Edema, Osho, and Diala (2008) detected in spices used in the preparation of Suya (grilled meat product) the following microbial flora: AMB 3.42–3.50 × 10⁵ cfu/g, yeast and fungi 1.27–1.43 × 10⁵ cfu/g, Coliforms 0.37–0.47 × 10⁵ cfu/g, *Staphylococcus* 0.37 × 10⁵ cfu/g, *Bacillus cereus* 0.02 × 10⁵ cfu/g, *Salmonellas* 0.37–0.43 × 10⁵ cfu/g. These results confirm the high AMB load obtained in coated *kilichi*.

TABLE 5 Comparison of PAHs profiles (µg/kg) in the two types of *kilichi* investigated in Niger

| PAHs | Uncoated <i>kilichi</i> | | Coated <i>kilichi</i> | | p value |
|----------|-------------------------|------|-----------------------|------|---------|
| | LS mean | SE | LS mean | SE | |
| BbF | 2.40 | 0.66 | 3.31 | 0.62 | .330 |
| DhA | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.05 | .034* |
| BgP | 1.43 | 0.33 | 1.66 | 0.31 | .615 |
| DeP | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.13 | .906 |
| BjF | 2.15 | 0.49 | 2.63 | 0.46 | .480 |
| BcF | 3.78 | 1.32 | 7.64 | 1.24 | .044* |
| BaA | 4.72 | 1.20 | 8.36 | 1.13 | .038* |
| CHR | 4.42 | 1.28 | 7.12 | 1.20 | .139 |
| 5MC | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.10 | .872 |
| BkF | 0.74 | 0.51 | 2.05 | 0.48 | .074 |
| BaP | 1.99 | 0.51 | 2.57 | 0.48 | .419 |
| IcP | 1.15 | 0.21 | 1.22 | 0.20 | .803 |
| Sum PAHs | 23.70 | 5.72 | 37.64 | 5.35 | .089 |
| 4 PAHs | 13.54 | 3.43 | 21.35 | 3.20 | .110 |

Abbreviations: LSMean, Least Squares Mean; SE, Mean standard error.
*p < 0, 05: significance.

4 | CONCLUSION

This study on the physicochemical characteristics and safety of *kilichi* produced in five regions of Niger reveals that the microbiological quality of the studied samples is generally not satisfactory. The pathogen bacteria responsible of the food intoxication (*B. cereus*, *S. aureus*, and *Salmonella* spp) and germs indicators (100% coated *kilichi* and 70% uncoated *kilichi*) of nonrespect of hygiene practices were detected despite the unfavorable conditions (a_w < 0.7) for their growth. Coated *kilichi* has a level of contamination higher than uncoated *kilichi*. As far as chemical contamination is concerned, to our knowledge, this preliminary study reports for the first time the level of aromatic polycyclic hydrocarbons contamination of *kilichi* in Niger. High levels of BaP (7.06 µg/kg) and PAH4 (60.67 µg/kg) contamination recorded in coated *kilichi*, indicators of PAHs toxicity, showed potential health risks for consumers. Nutritionally, uncoated *kilichi* is richer in proteins than coated *kilichi*. However, coated *kilichi* is richer in lipids and in salt which high level can cause public health problems. The study showed that the manufacturing of the product is not standardized for each type of *kilichi*. Based on these results, future studies should be conducted for the implementation of an improved and standardized process of traditional *kilichi*. This will enable to obtain a product of better quality and safety.

TABLE 6 Occurrence of *kilichi* types meeting the BaP and PAH4 standards in the market

| <i>kilichi</i> types | BaP (concentration) | | Sum PAH4 (concentration) | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| | ≤2 µg/kg ^a | >2 µg/kg | ≤12µg/kg ^{**} | >12 µg/kg |
| Uncoated <i>kilichi</i> (n = 14) | 71.43% | 28.57% | 71.43% | 28.57% |
| Coated <i>kilichi</i> (n = 16) | 43.75% | 56.25% | 25.00% | 75.00% |

^aMaximum limit of BaP set by European Union Commission Regulation N. 1881/2006.

^{**}Maximum limit of sum 4PAHs set by European Union Commission Regulation N. 1881/2006.

TABLE 7 Microbial load (Log₁₀ CFU/g) of uncoated *kilichi* samples and compliance with standards (n = 14)

| Germ | Positive samples | Min | Max | Median | Mean ± SD | Acceptable limit | Noncompliant samples |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----|-----|--------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| AMB | 14 (100%) | 3.5 | 6.2 | 5 | 4.8 ± 0.8 | 4 ^a | 10 (71%) |
| <i>S. aureus</i> | 2 (14%) | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 ± 0.38 | 2 ^b | 2 (14%) |
| <i>E. coli</i> | 0 (0%) | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2 ^b | 0 (0%) |
| <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> | 1 (7%) | <1 | 1.8 | <1 | <1 | 4 ^b | 0 (0%) |
| Molds | 12 (86%) | <1 | 4.6 | 3 | 3.1 ± 1.2 | 2 ^a | 12 (86%) |
| Yeast | 3 (21%) | <1 | 4 | <1 | 1.3 ± 1.2 | 2 ^a | 3 (21%) |
| <i>B. cereus</i> | 0 (0%) | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 4 ^b | 0 (0%) |
| <i>C. perfringens</i> | 5 (36%) | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 ± 0.3 | 2 ^b | 1 (7%) |
| <i>Salmonella spp</i> | Abs | Abs | Abs | Abs | Abs | Abs/25g ^a | 0 (0%) |

Abbreviations: Abs, Absence in 25 g; AMB, Aerobic Mesophilic Bacteria; Maxi, Maximum; Mini, Minimum; N, number of samples analyzed; Positive samples, Samples in which colonies are detected; SD, Standard deviation.

^aAccording to CNNN standard.

^bAccording to HPLS, 2000.

TABLE 8 Microbial load (Log₁₀ CFU/g) of coated *kilichi* samples and compliance with standards (n = 16)

| Germ | Positive samples | Min | Max | Median | Mean ± SD | Acceptable limit | Noncompliant samples |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----|-----|--------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|
| AMB | 16 (100%) | 4.6 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 5.3 ± 0.6 | 4 ^a | 16 (100%) |
| <i>S. aureus</i> | 1 (6%) | <1 | 3.6 | <1 | <1 | 2 ^b | 1(6%) |
| <i>E. coli</i> | 1 (6%) | <1 | 1 | <1 | <1 | 2 ^b | 0 (0%) |
| <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> | 4 (25%) | 1 | 2.2 | 2 | 1.8 ± 0.6 | 4 ^b | 0 (0%) |
| Molds | 10 (63%) | <1 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 ± 1.6 | 2 ^a | 8 (50%) |
| Yeast | 5 (31%) | <1 | 3.7 | <1 | 1.5 ± 1.2 | 2 ^a | 5 (31%) |
| <i>B. cereus</i> | 1 (6%) | <1 | 3.4 | <1 | <1 | 4 ^b | 1(6%) |
| <i>C. perfringens</i> | 5 (31%) | <1 | 2.2 | <1 | 1.1 ± 0.6 | 2 ^b | 1(6%) |
| <i>Salmonella spp</i> | 1 (6%) | Abs | 1 | - | - | Abs/25g ^a | 1(6%) |

Abbreviations: Abs, Absence in 25 g; AMB, Aerobic Mesophilic Bacteria; Maxi, Maximum; Mini, Minimum; N, number of samples analyzed; Positive samples, Samples in which colonies are detected; SD, Standard deviation.

^aAccording to CNNN standard.

^bAccording to HPLS, 2000.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the funding support for this research provided by the West African Agricultural Productivity Program (WAAPP/PPAAO Niger).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

This study does not involve any human or animal testing.

ORCID

Caroline Douny  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4162-1589>

Jacques Mahillon  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4463-1328>

Victor Bienvenu Anihouvi  <https://orcid.org/>

org/0000-0002-2609-3837

Djidjoho Joseph Hounhouigan  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3677-4986>

org/0000-0002-3677-4986

REFERENCES

- Akpambang, V. O. E., Purcaro, G., Lajide, L., Amoo, I. A., Conte, L. S., & Moret, S. (2009). Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in commonly consumed Nigerian smoked/grilled fish and meat. *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A*, 26, 1096–1103.
- Anihouvi, V. B., Ayerno, S. G., Hounhouigan, D. J., & Sakyi-Dawson, E. (2006). Quality characteristics of Lanhouin: A traditional processed fermented fish product in the Republic of Benin. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*, 6(1), 1–5.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1995). *Official methods of analysis*. Official methods 985.14. Gaithersburg, MD: AOAC.
- Apata, E., Osidibo, O., Apata, O., & Okubanjo, A. O. (2013). Effects of different solar drying methods on quality attributes of dried meat product (Kilishi). *Journal of Food Research*, 2, 0887–0895.
- Beidari, S., & Mahamadou, M. (2014). *Rapport de l'étude pour la réalisation des indications géographiques et du cahier de charges du kilichi du Niger*. 38.
- Boubacar, R. S., Anihouvi, V., Mamadou, A., Marichatou, H., Scippo, M.-L., & Hounhouigan, D. J. (2019). Indigenous practices and quality perception in the production of kilichi, a grilled dried meat in Niger. *Food Chain*, 8(1), 1–17.
- Brasseur, C., Brose, F., Pirlot, A., Douny, C., Eppe, G., Maghuin-Rogister, G., & Scippo, M.-L. (2007). Validation of the analytical procedure for the determination of polyaromatic hydrocarbons in smoke flavourings using high performance liquid chromatography coupled to an ultraviolet, diode array or fluorescence detector. *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*, 12, 535–542.
- Carrabs, G., Marrone, R., Mercogliano, R., Carosielli, L., Vollano, L., & Anastasio, A. (2014). 'Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons residues in Gentile di maiale, a smoked meat product typical of some mountain areas in Latina province (Central Italy). *Italian Journal of Food Safety*, 3(1681), 102–104. <https://doi.org/10.4081/ijfs.2014.1681>
- Conseil National de Normalisation du Niger—CNNN (2004). *Viande séchée ou kilichi (spécifications), Norme nigérienne* (p. 6, 1ère éd.). Niamey, Niger: Conseil National de Normalisation du Niger.
- Duedahl-Olesen, L., Aaslyng, M., Meinert, L., Christensen, T., Jensen, A. H., & Binderup, M.-L. (2015). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in Danish barbecued meat. *Food Control*, 57, 169–176.
- Edema, M. O., Osho, A. T., & Diala, C. I. (2008). Evaluation of microbial hazards associated with the processing of suya (a grilled meat product). *Scientific Research and Essay*, 3(12), 621–626.
- EFSA (2008). Scientific opinion of the panel on contaminants in the food chain on a request from the European commission on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in food. *EFSA Journal*, 724, 1–114.
- Eke, K., Ojotu, M., Ariahu, A., Chukwuma, C., Abu, A., & Oneh, J. (2013). Sensory and chemical evaluation of beef based *dambu-nama* (BBDN). *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 5(10), 1271–1274.
- European Commission (2002). *Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food on the risks to human health of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in food*. SCF/CS/CNTM/PAH/29/Final. 84.
- European Commission (2006). *Regulation (EC) N. 1881/2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs*. Official Journal, L364, 20/12/2006, 5–24.
- European Commission (2011). *Regulation (EC) N. 835/2011 amending Regulation (EC) N. 1881/2006 as regards maximum levels for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in foodstuffs*. Official Journal, L215, 20/08/2011, 4–8.
- Farhadian, A., Jinap, S., Abas, F., & Sakar, Z. I. (2010). Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in grilled meat. *Food Control*, 21, 606–610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.09.002>
- Frazier, W. C., & Westhoff, D. C. (2006). *Food microbiology*, (3rd ed. pp. 163–165, 223–236, 419–543). New York, NY: McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited.
- Gilbert, R. J., Louvois, J., Donovan, T., Little, C., Nye, K., Ribeiro, C. D., ... Bolton, F. J. (2000). Guidelines for the microbiological quality of some ready-to-eat foods sampled at the point of sale. *Communicable Disease and Public Health*, 3, 163–167.
- Husam, A., Sameer, A., Suad, A., Sahar, Z., Wajih, S., Nisar, A., & Kurunthachalam, K. (2011). Concentrations and dietary exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) from grilled and smoked foods. *Food Control*, 22, 2028–2035.
- ISO 1442 (1997). *Meat and meats products*. Determination of moisture content (Reference method).
- ISO 1444 (1996). *Meat and meat product*. Determination of fat content (Reference method).
- ISO 16649-2 (2001) *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs*. Horizontal method for the enumeration of β -glucuronidase positive *Escherichia coli*- Part 2: Colony count technique at 44°C using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl β -D-glucuronide.
- ISO 21528-2 (2004). *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs*. Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae. Part 2: colony-count method.
- ISO 21527-2 (2008). *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs*. Horizontal method for the enumeration of yeasts and moulds. Part 2: Colony count technique in products with water activity less than or equal to 0.95.
- ISO 4833 (2003). *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs*. Horizontal method for the enumeration of microorganisms. Colony count technique at 30°C.
- ISO 6579 (2002). *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs*. Horizontal method for the detection of *Salmonella* spp.
- ISO 6887-1 (1999). *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs*. Preparation of test samples, initial suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination.
- ISO 6888-1 (2003). *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs*. Horizontal method for the enumeration of coagulase positive Staphylococci (*Staphylococcus aureus* and other species). Part1: Technique using Baird-Parker agar medium.
- ISO 7932 (2004). *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs*. Horizontal method for the enumeration of presumptive *Bacillus cereus*. Colony count technique at 30°C.
- ISO 7937 (2004). *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs- Horizontal method for the enumeration of Clostridium perfringens*. Colony count technique.
- ISO 937 (1978). *Meat and meat products*. Determination of nitrogen content (Reference method).
- Join FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (2005). *Evaluation of certain food contaminants*. Report of the 64th meeting. 47.
- Jones, M. J., Tanya, V. N., Mbofung, C. M. F., Fonkem, D. N., & Silverside, D. E. (2001). A microbiological and nutritional evaluation of the west african dried meat product, Kilishi. *Journal of Food Technology in Africa*, 6(4), 126–129.
- Kalilou, S. (1997). *Transformation traditionnelle de la viande en kilishi au Niger: Optimisation du procédé*. Thèse de doctorat en génie des procédés, p. 137. Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Industries Agricoles et Alimentaires, (Massy, Essonne, France)
- Kamuanga, M. J. B., Somda, J., Yacouba, S., Sanon, Y., Kagoné, H., Zoundi, J. S., & Hitimana, L. (2008). *Elevage et marché régional au Sahel et en Afrique de l'Ouest*. Potentialités et défis. CEDEAO, p. 182.
- Kimassoum, D., Sado Kamdem, S. L., Ngandolo, B. N., Fatou, K. C., Nji, A. M., Bawe, N. M., ... Mbacham, W. F. (2017). Evaluation of microbial adverse effects on fresh and processed bovine meat in N'Djamena (Chad) and Yaoundé (Cameroun). *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 11(16), 637–643. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR2017.8455>
- Kpoclou, E. Y., Anihouvi, V. B., Azokpota, P., Soumanou, M. M., Daube, G., Douny, C., ... Hounhouigan, D. J. (2013). Microbiological and

- physico-chemical quality of smoked shrimp, an expanding food condiment in beninese local markets. *Food and Public Health*, 3(6), 277–283.
- Lorenzo, J. M., Purriños, L., Fontán, M. C. G., & Franco, D. (2010). 'Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in two Spanish traditional smoked sausage varieties: Androlla and botillo. *Meat Science*, 86, 660–664. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.05.032>
- Manda, P., Dano, D. S., Ehile, E. S., Koffi, M., Amani, N., & Assi, Y. A. (2012). Evaluation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) content in foods sold in Abobo market, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences*, 4(6), 99–105. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JTEHS11.085>
- Martorell, I., Perello, G., Marti-Cid, R., Castell, V., Llobet, J. M., & Domingo, J. L. (2010). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in foods and estimated PAH intake by the population of Catalonia, Spain: Temporal trend. *Environment International*, 36(5), 424–432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2010.03.003>
- Mbawala, A., Daoudou, B., & Ngassoum, M. B. (2010). Qualité micro-biologique du kilishi (produit carné séché) produit dans la ville de Ngaoundéré (Cameroun). *Tropicicultura*, 28(3), 153–160.
- Mgbemere, V. N., Akpapunam, M. A., & Igene, J. O. (2011). Effect of groundnut flour substitution on yield, quality and storage stability of kilishi – A Nigerian indigenous dried meat product. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*, 11, 4718–4738.
- Moshood, A. Y., Tengku, H. A. T. A. H., & Ibrahim, H. (2012). Isolation and identification of bacteria associated with balangu (roasted meat product) sold in Bauchi, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy*, 2(6), 38–48.
- Olabemiwo, O. M., & Ogunsola, A. D. (2014). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in smokes of two biomasses used for domestic cooking in Nigeria. *Chemistry and Materials Research*, 6(1), 101–106.
- Olusola, O. O., Okubanjo, A. O., & Omojola, A. B. (2012). Nutritive and organoleptic characteristics of Kilishi as affected by meat type and ingredient formulation. *Journal of Animal Production Advances*, 2(5), 221–232.
- Petit, T., Caro, Y., Petit, A.-S., Santchurn, S. J., & Collignan, A. (2014). Physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of biltong, a traditional salted dried meat of South Africa. *Meat Science*, 96, 1313–1317.
- Prescott, L. M., Harley, J. P., & Klein, D. A. (2002). *Microbiology* (5th edn, pp. 963–9710). London: McGraw-Hill.
- Price, J. F., & Schweigert, B. S. (1971). *The science of meat and meat products* (2nd edn, p. 289). New York, NY: W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Raji, A. I. (2006). Bacteriological quality of dried sliced beef (Kilishi) Sold in Ilorin Metropolis. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*, 10(1), 93–96.
- Ratsimba, A., Leroy, S., Chacornac, J. P., Rakoto, D., Arnaud, E., Jeannoda, V., & Talon, R. (2017). Staphylococcal ecosystem of kitoza, a traditional malagasy meat product. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 246, 20–24.
- Rose, M., Holland, J., Dowding, A., Petch, S. R. G., White, S., Fernandes, A., & Mortimer, D. (2015). Investigation into the formation of PAHs in foods prepared in the home to determine the effects of frying, grilling, barbecuing, toasting and roasting. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 78, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2014.12.018>
- Roseiro, L. C., Gomes, A., Patarata, L., & Santos, C. (2012). Comparative survey of PAHs incidence in Portuguese traditional meat and blood sausages. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 50, 1891–1896.
- Rozentāle, I., Stumpe-Viksna, I., Začs, D., Sikсна, I., Melngailė, A., & Bartkevičs, V. (2015). Assessment of dietary exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from smoked meat products produced in Latvia. *Food Control*, 54, 16–22.
- Salihu, M. D., Junaidu, A. U., Magaji, A. A., Aliyu, R. M., Yakubu, Y., Shittu, A., & Ibrahim, M. A. (2010). Bacteriological quality of traditionally prepared fried ground beef (*Dambun nama*) in Sokoto, Nigeria. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 2(3), 145–147.
- Santos, C., Gomes, A., & Roseiro, L. C. (2011). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons incidence in Portuguese traditional smoked meat products. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 49, 2343–2347.
- Shamsuddeen, U. (2009). Microbiological quality of spice used in the production of kilishi a traditionally dried and grilled meat product. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences (Bajopas)*, 2(2), 66–69.
- Stumpe-Viksna, I., Bartkevičs, V., Kukāre, A., & Morozovs, A. (2008). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in meat smoked with different types of wood. *Food Chemistry*, 110, 794–797.
- Veyrand, B., Brosseau, A., Sarcher, L., Varlet, V., Monteau, F., Marchand, P., ... Le Bizec, B. (2007). Innovative method for determination of 19 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in food and oil samples using gas chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry based on an isotope dilution approach. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1149, 333–344.
- Veyrand, B., Sirot, V., Durand, S., Pollono, C., Marchand, P., Dervilly-Pinel, G., ... Le Bizec, B. (2013). Human dietary exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Results of the second French Total Diet Study. *Environment International*, 54, 11–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.12.011>
- Yacouba, I. (2009). *Analyse des techniques traditionnelles de transformation de la viande en Kilichi dans la commune urbaine de Madaoua (Rép. du Niger)* (p. 45). Mémoire d'Ingénieur. Katibougou, Mali: Institut Polytechnique Rural de Formation et de Recherche Appliquée (IPR/IFRA).

How to cite this article: Boubacar Seydou R, Harouna A, Kpoclou YE, et al. Assessment of the physicochemical characteristics, chemical and microbiological safety of two types of kilichi, a grilled meat produced in Niger. *Food Sci Nutr*. 2019;7:3293–3301. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.1190>