Abstract

Learning-dependent increases in sleep spindle density have been reported during nocturnal sleep immediately following the learning session. Here, we investigated experience-dependent changes in daytime sleep EEG activity after declarative learning of unrelated word-pairs. At weekly intervals, thirteen young male volunteers spent three times 24 h in the laboratory under carefully controlled homeostatic and circadian conditions. Around midday, subjects carried out either one of two word-pair learning tasks or a matched non-learning control task, in a counterbalanced order. The two learning lists differed in the level of concreteness of the words used, resulting in an easier and a more difficult associative encoding condition, as confirmed by performance at immediate cued recall. Subjects were then allowed to sleep for 4 hours; afterwards delayed cued recall was tested. In comparison to the control condition, sleep EEG spectral activity in the low spindle frequency range and the density of low frequency sleep spindles (11.25-13.75 Hz) were both significantly increased in the left frontal cortex after the difficult but not after the easy encoding condition. Furthermore, we found positive correlations between these EEG changes during sleep and changes in memory performance between pre- and post-nap recall sessions. These results indicate that, likewise during nocturnal sleep, daytime sleep EEG oscillations including spindle activity are modified after declarative learning of word pairs. Furthermore, we demonstrate here that the nature of the learning material is a determinant factor for sleep-related alterations after declarative learning.
Sleep is deemed to play a prominent role in the processing of recently acquired memory traces (Maquet, 2001; Stickgold and Walker, 2005; Walker and Stickgold, 2006). In humans, it has been proposed that specific sleep stages are involved in the consolidation of different memory domains (Smith, 2001; Rauchs et al., 2005). Evidence suggests that rapid eye movement sleep (REMS) is beneficial for procedural, non-declarative memory (Plialhal and Born, 1997; Smith, 1995), whereas non-REMS (NREMS) participates predominantly in the consolidation of declarative memories (Plialhal and Born, 1997). In line with the idea that sleep affects memory consolidation, practice of memory tasks conversely affects the architecture of post-training sleep (Meier-Koll, 1999; Maquet et al., 2000; Gais et al., 2002; Peigneux et al., 2003,2004; Huber et al., 2004). In particular, sleep electroencephalographic (EEG) activity in the delta (0.5-4.5 Hz) and spindle (12-15 Hz) range seems to be implicated in the practice of declarative memory tasks (Schabus et al., 2004, 2005; Clemens et al., 2005; Gais et al., 2002). Interestingly, sleep spindles are temporally related to the occurrence of hippocampal ripples (Siapas and Wilson, 1998; Sirota et al., 2003). Thus, their co-activation is believed to be important for NREMS-dependent processes of consolidation (e.g. Buzsaki, 1998), during which associative memories are gradually translated from hippocampal to neocortical stores (Chrobak and Buzsaki, 1996).

An important confounding factor in NREMS and declarative memory studies is that both EEG delta and spindle activity during sleep depend on the amount of prior wakefulness (homeostatic pressure) and of the phase of endogenous circadian rhythms (Dijk & Czeisler, 1995; Knoblauch et al., 2003). Furthermore, the intrinsic nature of the memory task might be a determinant factor in the occurrence of post-training EEG oscillations during sleep. For instance, it was hypothesized that learning unrelated
word-pairs depends to a larger degree than related pairs on newly formed, hippocampally mediated associations (Stickgold, 2004). If sleep spindles and co-occurring hippocampal ripples are important for consolidation, then it can be hypothesized that memory tasks significantly challenging hippocampal activity would affect post-training sleep EEG oscillations. We carried out a declarative learning study in which sleep-wake dependent (homeostatic) and circadian factors were carefully controlled for, whereas the degree of concreteness of the words constituting the lists of unrelated pairs to learn was manipulated. A manipulation at this level actually impacts on the associative encoding difficulty of the word pairs (e.g. Day and Bellezza, 1983). In a within-subject design, we investigated the repercussions of prior declarative learning on sleep architecture and sleep EEG oscillations, particularly spindle activity, during daytime sleep (i.e. napping), and whether the encoding difficulty of the material modulates this impact. Furthermore, we tested whether changes in post-training sleep EEG oscillations correlate with post-napping learning performance.

Materials and Methods

**Subjects.** Thirteen young male volunteers (mean age 24.4 ± 1.8 years, range 21-28 years) gave their written informed consent for participating in this experiment approved by the local Ethical Committee. Exclusion criteria were reports of medical, psychiatric and sleep disorders, medication or drug consumption, alcohol abuse, excessive caffeine consumption or physical activity, shift work within the three past months, transmeridian travel or disturbances in the sleep-wake cycle within one month before the experiment, and extreme morning or evening chronotype (defined by scores <12 or >23 on the Torsvall-Åkerstedt morning-evening types questionnaire;
Torsvall and Åkerstedt, 1980). Drug-free status was controlled via an urinary toxicological analysis upon admission to the study (Drug screen Card Multi-6 for amphetamines, benzodiazepines, cocaine, methadone, opiates and THC, von Minden Gmbh). To assess the subject’s rest-activity patterns, motor activity of the non-dominant arm was recorded with actimeters (Cambridge Neurotechnologies, UK) the week prior and between the sessions along with sleep-wake logs.

**Study Protocol.** An overview of the study design is illustrated in Figure 1. Each subject underwent three 24-h sessions in the chronobiology laboratory, separated by at least 7 days. The precise schedule of each session was individually adapted according to the subject’s habitual bedtime based on the average timing of the sleep midpoints, which were derived from the actimetry data during the preceding week. Subjects reported to the laboratory 7 hours before habitual lights off on day 1 (Figure 1). After the hook-up of the electrodes, subjects continuously stayed under constant posture conditions (semi-recumbent during scheduled wakefulness and fully recumbent during scheduled sleep), in dim light (< 8 lux during wakefulness, 0 lux during sleep episodes) and constant ambient temperature (~21°C). Subjective sleepiness was assessed every hour using visual analogue scales (VAS) and the Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS; Åkerstedt and Gillberg, 1990). Hourly collected saliva samples were assayed for melatonin using a direct double-antibody radioimmunoassay validated by gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy with an analytical at least detectable dose of 0.65 pg/ml (Bühlmann Laboratories, Switzerland; Weber, 1997). Polygraphic data (see below) were continuously recorded during each 24-h session. After lights off, subjects were allowed to sleep for 8 hours. Three hours after awakening, they performed one of the three experimental conditions, i.e. two different learning tasks and a control task in a counterbalanced order across the
subjects. Two hours after the beginning of the experimental task (on average around midday, see Figure 1), lights were turned off, and subjects were allowed to sleep for another 4 hours. Thirty minutes after lights on, taking into account the effect of sleep inertia on cognition (e.g. Hofer-Tinguely et al., 2005; Jewett et al., 1999), delayed recall on the two learning tasks was assessed. Subjective cognitive strain, wearisomeness, and boredom of the task were self-rated on five-point scales immediately after the end of each learning session (according to Gais et al., 2002).

**Learning and non-learning tasks.** In each of the two learning tasks, subjects had to learn a randomized list of 154 unrelated word pairs displayed one by one on a computer screen using E-Prime software (Psychology Software Tools, Inc). The list was presented twice (inter-presentation interval of 2 minutes, total duration of the encoding session ~ 50 minutes). Each pair of words was displayed on the screen for 3 s, followed by a white centered fixation-cross for 5 s during which subjects were instructed to visually imagine a relationship between the two words of the pair in the aim to render mnemonic strategies more comparable across subjects (Gais et al., 2002; Schabus et al., 2004). After five seconds, the fixation-cross changed from white to red (for 2 s) in order to prepare the subject for the next word pair. Immediately after the end of the encoding session and 30 minutes after the end of the nap, recall performance for the word pairs was tested using a cued recall procedure: The first word of each pair was presented on the screen, while the subjects were asked to name the appropriate second word of the pair. There was no time limit for the recall. The subject’s responses were written down on paper by the examiner. The order of presentation of the word pairs during the recall was pseudo-randomized between subjects (4 possible recall versions).

The non-learning control task, designed according to Gais et al. (2002), served to
mimic the learning task as much as possible but without the intentional learning component. One hundred fifty-four word pairs were presented using the same procedure as for the learning condition, but the subjects were instructed to count all letters containing curved lines on word pairs.

The words of the 3 lists were initially selected according to their length (words of 4 to 12 letters) based on a published database (Hager, 1994). Unrelated word pairs were used for all three conditions, i.e. pairs of words for which automatic pre-existing associations were not available in memory. For instance, semantically highly related word pairs like “cat-dog” or "river-ship” were never presented in any of the conditions. Emotionality and concreteness of the words were rated on a 7-point Likert scale in a pilot study (n=12 participants not included in the main experiment). Three lists of 154 word pairs presenting similar average levels of emotionality (1.8 ± 0.3 vs. 1.6 ± 0.2 vs. 1.6 ± 0.1, p>0.1) and word length (7.4 ± 2.8 vs. 7.1 ± 2.5 vs. 7.6 ± 2.1, p>0.1) were created. The lists differed however in the level of concreteness of the words composing the associates, in such a way that one list contained more abstract words than the other one (3.6 ± 0.3 for one learning list (A) vs. 2.8 ± 0.3 for the other learning list (B) vs. 2.6 ± 0.2 for the control condition, F [2,22] = 19.8; p < 0.005; learning list A > learning list B and learning list A > control list, t-test for paired samples, both ps < 0.005). This difference in concreteness was confirmed in a post-hoc analysis of the concreteness ratings given by the study participants at the end of each session (F [2,24] = 16.35; p < 0.0005; 3.6 ± 0.3 for the learning list A, 2.6 ± 0.2 for the learning list B and 2.5 ± 0.2 for the control condition; learning list A > learning list B and learning list A > control list, t-test for paired samples; both ps < 0.005). This manipulation resulted in a more difficult and an easier encoding condition as indexed by the number of correctly recalled word pairs at immediate
recall (see results section).

**Polygraphic recordings.** Eight EEG channels (F3, F4, C3, C4, P3, P4, O1, O2, referenced against linked mastoids (A1+A2)), two electrooculograms (EOG), one submental electromyogram (EMG) and one electrocardiogram (ECG) signal were recorded throughout each 24-h session using the VITAPORT digital ambulatory sleep recorder (Vitaport-3 digital recorder, TEMEC Instruments B.V., Kerkrade, The Netherlands). All signals were filtered at 30 Hz (4th order Bessel type anti-aliasing low-pass filter, total 24 dB/Oct.), and a time constant of 1.0 s was used prior to on-line digitization (range 610 µV, 12 bit AD converter, 0.15 µV/bit; sampling rate at 256 Hz for the EEG, EOG and ECG). The raw signals were stored on-line on a Flash RAM Card (Viking, USA) and downloaded off-line to a PC hard drive. Sleep stages during scheduled naps (Table 1) and the preceding nocturnal sleep episode were visually scored on a 20-s epoch basis (Vitaport Paperless Sleep Scoring Software) according to standard criteria (Rechtschaffen and Kales, 1968).

**Quantitative EEG analysis.** The EEGs were subjected to spectral analysis using a fast Fourier transform (10% cosine 4-s window) resulting in a 0.25 Hz bin resolution. EEG artifacts were detected by an automated artifact detection algorithm (VITASCORE, CASA, 2000 Phy Vision B.V., Kerkrade, The Netherlands). For final data reduction, the artifact-free 4-s epochs were averaged over 20-s epochs. EEG power spectra were calculated during NREM sleep in the frequency range between 0.5 and 32 Hz.

For sleep spindle detection and analysis, the EEGs were subjected to instantaneous spectral analysis using a Fast Time Frequency Transform (FTFT) (Martens, 1992). The FTFT calculates instantaneous amplitude, frequency and bandwidth in 8 frequency bands from 0-4, 4-8, 8-12, 12-16, 16-18, 18-22, 22-24, 24-28 and 28-32
Hz. Instantaneous bandwidth is computed from the instantaneous frequency as rectified first derivative with respect to time. Therefore, the higher the frequency variability, the higher the amplitude. Based on the 4 Hz range of the filters, the resolution in time of the above parameters is 0.125 seconds. Over a moving template of 1-s duration, thresholds are applied to amplitude, frequency and bandwidth parameters to differentiate synchronized activity from ongoing noise as well as to remove artifacts (Martens, 1999). The thresholds were determined empirically on a learning set of EEG recordings to yield the closest possible agreement with visual scores (Knoblauch et al. 2003a). Incorporating the instantaneous bandwidth helped to achieve a closer agreement in comparison with using only an amplitude threshold. Finally, the optimized settings from the learning set of EEG recordings were applied to the data, focusing on detected synchronized spindle activity (Knoblauch et al., 2003a). Spindles were detected from the outcome of the 8-12 Hz and 12-16 Hz frequency band, but the frequency and the bandwidth threshold for spindle detection was limited to the range of 11.25-16 Hz. These thresholds again were determined empirically and compared with the visual score. Furthermore, a duration limit (≥0.5 and ≤2 s) was applied to the detected spindles. As a result, the automated spindle detection algorithm allows the investigation of the amplitude and frequency of each individual spindle at a time resolution of 0.125 s. Using this algorithm, spindle density was computed as the number of sleep spindles per 20-s epochs. This technique has been successfully applied for spindle data analysis in prior studies (Knoblauch et al., 2003a, 2003b, 2005). Spindle density values calculated during a baseline night using this algorithm were found similar (Knoblauch et al., 2003) to values reported in other studies using automated (Dijk et al., 1993; Wei et al., 1999) or visual (De Gennaro et al., 2000) spindle detection techniques. Additionally, a direct comparison between the
detection algorithm and visual scoring disclosed slightly higher spindle density values in the former case, because the human eye often misses superimposed sleep spindles in the presence of delta waves (Knoblauch et al., 2003a).

Statistics. The statistical packages SAS® (SAS® Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA, Version 6.12) and Statistica® (StatSoft Inc. 2000 STATISTICA for Windows, Tulsa, OK, USA) were used. For spectral data, analyses of variance for repeated measures (rANOVA) were performed between the factors ‘localization’ (F, C, P, O) ‘side’ (left versus right hemisphere) and ‘condition’ (easy encoding, difficult encoding, control condition) for each frequency bin (0.75–25 Hz). P-Values derived from rANOVAS were based on Huynh-Feldt’s (H-F) corrected degrees of freedom, but the original degrees of freedom are reported. For post-hoc comparisons, paired sample t-tests corrected for multiple comparisons (Curran-Everett, 2000) were used. rANOVAS and t-tests for paired samples where also applied to sleep spindle data. T-tests for paired samples were used for the comparison of the memory performance between the two learning lists. F-values were reported when the data reached statistical significance. Post-hoc t-test values are not reported.

The sleep EEG recording at the right occipital derivation during the nap after the easy encoding condition was defective for one subject, who was excluded from the analyses including this derivation and condition.

Results

Behavioral measures.

All subjects recalled significantly fewer words after the encoding condition with more abstract words ("difficult encoding condition") than after the encoding condition with more concrete words ("easy encoding condition"; see supplemental Figure S1), both
during the immediate recall (48.3 ± 5.2% for the difficult encoding vs. 66.0 ± 5.0% for the easy encoding condition, t[12] = 11.4; p<0.0001) and during the delayed post-nap recall (48.7 ± 5.1% for the difficult encoding vs. 66.1 ± 5.0% for the easy encoding condition; t[12] = 9.0; p < 0.0001). Memory performance between immediate and delayed recall did not significantly change and remained stable in both encoding conditions (in both cases p > 0.75; see supplemental Figure S1).

As assessed on a 7-point Likert scale, subjects rated the creation of associations between more concrete words less difficult than between more abstract words (t[12] = 3.8; p < 0.005; 2.8 ± 0.2 for the easy vs. 2.1 ± 0.2 for the difficult condition).

Subjective ratings (5-point Likert scale) of cognitive strain, wearisomeness and difficulty were not different between conditions (p > 0.8 for all comparisons). While the easy and the difficult encoding conditions did not differ in the level of boredom (3.1 ± 0.3 vs. 3.1 ± 0.3, p>0.05), the easy encoding task was rated less boring than the non-learning control task (3.9 ± 0.2, p<0.05; interaction term F[2,24] = 3.9; p<0.05).

**Circadian melatonin phase and subjective sleepiness.**

Melatonin expression is an important measure because it represents the main indirect marker of the endogenous circadian rhythm in humans and it is well known that the circadian timing system exerts its influence on cognitive performance measures, amongst them also memory performance (e.g. Wyatt et al., 1999; Cajochen et al., 1999; Wright et al., 2002). As we took care to control for both homeostatic and circadian parameters in this study, all subjects were tested at the same circadian phase, which was verified post-hoc based on melatonin data. There were no significant interactions between the time course and the conditions (p > 0.75 for KSS and VAS sleepiness scales, p > 0.2 for salivary melatonin; see supplemental figure
S2) for any of these measures, nor an effect of the condition (p > 0.2 for salivary melatonin, p > 0.5 for VAS and KSS values). Post-hoc estimation of circadian phase confirmed the individual’s time schedule choice from actimetry data of the preceding week: the melatonin mid range crossing, an indirect marker of circadian phase correlated positively with the sleep midpoint of actimetry data (r = 0.78, p < 0.001).

Sleep variables derived from visual scoring and memory performance.
Sleep parameters during the post-training naps (see Table 1) and the night prior learning did not differ between the easy and difficult encoding conditions and the non-learning control condition (all ps > 0.2).

EEG Power density during the nap and memory performance.
There was no significant 3-way interaction between the factors ‘condition’, ‘derivation’, and ‘brain hemisphere’ (left, right) for EEG power density in any frequency bin between 0.75-25 Hz during NREMS stages 2, 3 and 4. The main factor ‘condition’ yielded significance for the following frequency bins: 2, 2.5, 9.5- 9.75, 11.5-12.75, 13.25, 17, 18, 18.25, 18.5 Hz for F3; 1.5 and 2 Hz for C3; 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.75 Hz for O1 and 1 Hz for O2 (F[2,24] at least 3.7, all ps < 0.05).
Post-hoc comparisons (t-test for paired samples) showed that EEG power density after the difficult encoding condition increased significantly compared to the control condition in the left frontal derivation (F3) in the low spindle frequency range (11.5-13.25 Hz, all ps < 0.05, figure 2a; see also supplementary Figure S3 for EEG power density including only stage 2 sleep) and in a single frequency bin in the delta range (0.75 Hz, p < 0.05, Figure 2a). Additionally, we detected increased EEG power density in the left central derivation (C3) in similar frequency bands but only for two single frequency bins (2 Hz and 13 Hz, p < 0.05). The comparison between the easy
encoding and the non-learning control condition revealed an increase in EEG power density for frequency bins in the alpha (8.75-9, 9.5-9.75 and 10.75 Hz, all ps < 0.05) and in the beta (16.25, 16.75, 17 Hz, 17.75, 18-18.5, 20, 21.5-21.75 and 28.5 Hz, all ps < 0.05) range for the left frontal derivation (F3, Figure 2b). EEG power density decreased in the occipital derivation for frequency bins in the delta range (0.75 and 1 Hz for O1, p < 0.05; 1 and 1.25 Hz for O2, p < 0.05) and increased for a single frequency bin in the beta range for this derivation (22.75 Hz for O2; p < 0.05).

After collapsing frequency bins into broader frequency bands, we observed a significant increase in the low spindle frequency range (11.25-13.75 Hz) in F3 (t-test for paired samples; p < 0.05) for the difficult encoding condition and in the alpha (8-11 Hz) and beta (17-20 Hz) range for the easy encoding condition (t-test for paired samples; p < 0.05), as compared to the non-learning control condition.

There was a positive correlation between the over-nap memory performance change (immediate versus delayed) for the difficult encoding condition and relative EEG power density (% of control condition) in the spindle frequency range during the post-encoding nap (C3, r = 0.61, p < 0.05, P3, r = 0.60, p < 0.05, O1 r = 0.75, p < 0.005 and P4, r = 0.56, p < 0.05 for the low frequency spindle range; C3, r = 0.69, p < 0.01, P3, r = 0.69, p < 0.01 and O1, r = 0.72, p < 0.01 for the high frequency spindle range; 14-16 Hz). For the easy encoding condition, results showed a positive correlation between the over-nap memory performance change and relative EEG activity in the theta range in the left frontal derivation (F3; r = 0.56, p < 0.05).

Sleep spindle activity.

rANOVAs with the factors ‘derivation’, ‘side’ and ‘condition’ were performed to compare spindle activity between the three conditions. Spindles were grouped into low frequency spindles (11.25-13.75 Hz) and high frequency spindles (14-16 Hz).
Sleep spindle density (i.e. the number of sleep spindles per 20-s epochs) was determined during stage 2 sleep after the learning and non-learning tasks. There was a main effect of the factor condition ($F_{[2,24]} = 3.5; p < 0.05$) for the low frequency spindles.

Post-hoc analyses (t-tests for paired samples) revealed an increase in low frequency sleep spindle density in the fronto-central areas during the nap after the difficult encoding condition as compared to the control condition ($F3: 0.54 \pm 0.09$ versus $0.43 \pm 0.08$; see Figure 3a; $C3: 0.36 \pm 0.06$ vs. $0.27 \pm 0.06$, and $C4: 0.34 \pm 0.06$ vs. $0.25 \pm 0.06$; all $p$s at least $< 0.05$). No such increase was observed for the easy encoding condition compared to the control condition, or for the high frequency spindles after both encoding conditions. Finally, we found a positive association between over-nap memory performance change and the density change in low frequency sleep spindles for the difficult encoding condition when compared to the control condition in C3 ($r = 0.63, p < 0.05$, Figure 3b). No such relationship was observed for the easy encoding condition.

**Discussion**

We provide here evidence that declarative learning prior to a daytime sleep episode leads to increased synchronized EEG oscillations during post-training sleep, particularly in the sleep spindle range. Thus, our findings generalize the phenomenon of post-learning changes in electrophysiological activity to daytime sleep episodes. Most importantly, we could show that the nature of the declarative material to be learned and the related encoding difficulty are determinant factors for EEG changes during post-training NREMS. After the difficult encoding condition, EEG power density increased in the low spindle frequency and in the slow wave activity range in
the left frontal location. Consistently, there was a significant increase in spindle
density for low frequency spindles after the difficult encoding condition.

Our aim was to compare two memory tasks that depend on the same declarative
episodic verbal memory system, but differ in their encoding difficulty. This was
achieved by manipulating the concreteness level of the word associates, although for
both lists only unrelated word pair associates were used. Consistent changes in post-
training sleep spindle parameters were only observed after the difficult but not after
the easy associative encoding condition. This result is in agreement with animal
studies, which found alterations in post-training sleep architecture only when the
training task was difficult enough (Smith and Wong, 1991). Beyond task difficulty
however, noun concreteness as well as the familiarity of the word pairs are known to
affect encoding in humans (e.g. Marschark and Surian, 1992; Day and Bellezza,
1983). During the learning session, we instructed the subjects to imagine a visual
relationship between the word associates of a given pair. Imagery abilities can be
directly affected by the level of concreteness of the words presented and may
therefore explain the observed difference of performance between the more abstract
and the more concrete lists. It has been proposed that imagery is based on a general
knowledge of how classes of concrete objects interact in the physical world (Day and
Bellezza, 1983). In this framework, when presented with a word pair containing
concrete nouns such as “peach-chair”, one might more easily create a visual image of
the scene, because some pre-existing information is available in memory that can help
to relate the two words in the present pair. When attempting to establish a visual
relationship between more abstract words like “union-rate”, one cannot as easily take
advantage of specific experiences and the resulting association is therefore
intrinsically more novel. Accordingly, our participants rated the abstraction level of
the association between words in a pair higher when those words were taken from the abstract wordlist. A consequential hypothesis would be that the formation of a visual relationship between words in the difficult encoding condition requires the creation of entirely new memory associations, whereas building a visual relationship in a word pair composed of concrete words would rather rely on pre-existing representations which makes unrelated word pairs in the easy encoding condition akin to related word pairs.

Although it has been suggested that the creation of new associations is especially contingent upon the activity of the hippocampus (Stickgold, 2004), and there is evidence that the hippocampus participates in posttraining memory consolidation for declarative memories (Peigneux et al., 2004, 2006; Takashima et al., 2006), the hypothesis of a prominent role of the hippocampus in the difficult encoding condition remains to be demonstrated via direct recording, e.g. using functional brain imaging studies.

Alternatively, the complementary notion of encoding depth (Craik and Lockhart, 1972) may account for differences in daytime sleep EEG oscillations after the easy and difficult learning sessions. It is known that memory encoding is influenced both by the nature of the to-be-remembered information and the way the information is processed (e.g. Guo et al., 2004). Craik et al. (Craik, 2002; Craik and Lockhart, 1972) stated that “… good memory performance reflects deeper memory processing in the sense of more abstract semantic analysis (Craik, 2000; p.314)”. In this perspective, deep encoding during the difficult condition would require a more active or elaborated processing as new memory associations need to be built. Further experiments are needed to partial out these conjectures, which may help to reconcile result discrepancies between early (e.g. Castaldo et al., 1974; Bertini and Torre, 1973) and
later (e.g. Plihal and Born, 1997; Schabus et al., 2004) studies having used similar kind of declarative learning material. Nonetheless, it is worth noticing here that we have also observed changes in post-learning sleep EEG power density after the easy encoding condition. Thus, the relationship between related word pairs and different levels of abstraction in the association between unrelated word pairs should probably be best viewed in terms of a continuum rather than dichotomy.

Likewise during nocturnal sleep (Gais et al., 2002), learning dependent EEG changes during daytime sleep were predominantly seen in the spindle frequency range after the difficult encoding condition, despite the fact that sleep spindles are under particularly pronounced circadian modulation and exhibit a striking day-night difference (Dijk and Czeisler, 1995; Dijk et al., 1997; Wei et al., 1999; Knoblauch et al., 2003). The impact of circadian modulation varies between brain regions, but during daytime sleep, sleep spindles are generally less abundant, have lower amplitude and shorter duration, and the within-spindle frequency is higher and more variable (Knoblauch et al., 2003). Furthermore, we have found that only low frequency sleep spindles increased after learning in the difficult encoding condition, with largest increases in left frontal brain areas. Mean spindle frequency is lower in frontal than in centro-parietal brain areas (Scheuler, 1990; Werth et al., 1997; Zeithofer et al., 1997; Anderer et al., 2001), which led some authors to propose the existence of two distinct spindle generators: frontal and parietal (Zeithofer et al., 1997; Anderer et al., 2001). In this perspective, our data may support the hypothesis of a specific functional implication of fronto-central located spindles in declarative memory processes, which may be functionally dissociated from parietal-type spindles (Dorran, 2003). It must be noticed, however, that frequency differences between sleep spindles recorded at different brain sites do not necessarily imply functionally distinct spindle activities. At
the level of rhythmic activity in the brain, spindle and faster oscillatory activity have both been linked to aspects of memory consolidation processes (e.g. Siapas and Wilson, 1998; Steriade and Amzica, 1998; Destexhe et al., 1999; Sejnowski and Destexhe, 2000; Gais et al., 2002). Spindle oscillations provoke a massive Ca2+ entry into the spindling cortical cells, and thus could set the stage for plastic synaptic changes that are supposed to be induced during subsequent slow-wave activity (Mölle et al., 2002). Furthermore, sleep spindles are temporally related to the occurrence of hippocampal ripples (Siapas and Wilson, 1998; Sirotà et al., 2003), their co-activation is believed to be important for NREMS-dependent processes of consolidation (e.g. Buzsaki, 1998).

The widespread modifications in EEG power density during NREMS (increase in alpha and beta range at the left frontal derivation; in slow-wave activity and beta range at occipital derivations) found after the easy encoding condition are unlikely due to inter-individual differences, since we used a repeated measure intra-subject design. In contrast with other studies, we carefully monitored the activity of our participants during 24 hours, including the 18-h episode before the learning session. They were tested at the same circadian phase and under equivalent homeostatic sleep pressure in the three experimental conditions. This rigorous control ensured that post-training sleep differences cannot be attributed to confounding effects of circadian and/or homeostatic factors, which are known to modulate performance in several memory and vigilance measures (Cajochen et al., 1999, 2004; Wyatt et al., 1999; Wright et al., 2002). Accordingly, subjective cognitive strain, difficulty and weariness were similarly rated in the learning and control tasks. We aimed at making the control task to resemble the learning tasks as much as possible, except for the intentional learning component. Together with the homeostatic and circadian
control, and the fact that the two learning tasks differed only by the abstraction degree of the association between word pairs, we are reasonably sure that the observed effects genuinely represent learning-dependent changes more than a global use-dependent recovery phenomenon. This does not exclude the possibility that increased EEG spectral power in the delta range after the difficult encoding condition reflects local post-training recovery in the areas solicited by the encoding effort. Finally, we observed that increased activity in EEG power spectra in the low spindle frequency range and spindle density was positively correlated with changes in memory performance between pre- and post-sleep recall sessions. This result further suggests that spindle activity during daytime sleep is involved in the consolidation of recently acquired declarative memories in humans, at least in the difficult encoding condition. Hence, our results are in agreement with nocturnal sleep studies using declarative material (Plilhal and Born, 1997, Gais et al., 2002, 2004; Schabus et al., 2004; Clemens et al., 2005; Peigneux et al., 2004 for spatial episodic memory), and extend those findings in showing that learning-related changes in sleep parameters, and sleep-related consolidation of declarative memories, are contingent upon the nature of the material to be learned.
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**Tables and Figure legends**

### Table 1: Percent of sleep time spent in each sleep stage (mean ± SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sleep stages</th>
<th>Difficult encoding</th>
<th>Easy encoding</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>11.33 ± 1.27 %</td>
<td>10.38 ± 1.48 %</td>
<td>11.11 ± 1.49 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>14.70 ± 2.05 %</td>
<td>14.82 ± 1.80 %</td>
<td>17.36 ± 2.03 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>46.76 ± 1.84 %</td>
<td>48.79 ± 1.77 %</td>
<td>48.81 ± 2.98 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>9.55 ± 1.04 %</td>
<td>8.82 ± 1.26 %</td>
<td>9.09 ± 1.16 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>12.23 ± 1.89 %</td>
<td>10.14 ± 1.58 %</td>
<td>7.86 ± 1.67 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REM</td>
<td>16.75 ± 2.30 %</td>
<td>17.41 ± 2.53 %</td>
<td>16.88 ± 2.91 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWS</td>
<td>21.79 ± 2.03 %</td>
<td>18.97 ± 2.21 %</td>
<td>16.95 ± 1.97 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep efficiency</td>
<td>70.14 ± 6.68 %</td>
<td>65.70 ± 7.01 %</td>
<td>68.04 ± 6.48 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2LAT (in minutes)</td>
<td>10.97 ± 1.10 min</td>
<td>14.02 ± 5.23 min</td>
<td>13.46 ± 2.97 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sleep time (in minutes)</td>
<td>168.38 ± 16.08 min</td>
<td>159.31 ± 16.35 min</td>
<td>163.41 ± 15.57 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All comparisons between the three conditions were non-significant (rANOVA, all p>0.10).

W, Wake; S1-S4, NREM sleep stages 1-4; REM, Rapid Eye Movement sleep
S2lat latency in minutes to stage 2 onset; Sleep efficiency (Total Sleep Time/Total Time in Bed)*100

**Figure 1**
Overview of the protocol design for a subject with a habitual bedtime at midnight. Dashed lines indicate wakefulness under constant routine conditions and horizontal black bars scheduled sleep episodes. BL=Baseline night. Dark grey arrows: difficult encoding condition, light grey arrows: easy encoding condition; white arrows: recall sessions; black arrow: control task.

**Figure 2**
Relative EEG power density (% of control condition) during NREM sleep for F3 after the difficult encoding condition (a) and the easy encoding condition (b). 100% = Control condition, black reference line. SEMs are illustrated in dashed lines. Grey bars indicate the significant increase of EEG power density after the learning compared to the control condition (paired t-test comparisons).

**Figure 3**
(a) Low frequency (12.25-13.75 Hz) sleep spindle density for F3 per 20-s epochs during stage 2 in the nap sleep episode. Black bar: difficult encoding condition; grey bar: easy encoding condition and white bar: control condition (+SEM). (b) Pearson correlation between over-nap memory performance change (log10(delayed recall/immediate recall)) and changes in low frequency sleep spindle density (log10(difficult encoding/control condition)).