



Numerical Analysis of Thermal Stress in Laser Cladding Technology of M4 High Speed Steel

R.T. Jardin, J.T. Tchuindjang, L. Duchêne, R. Carrus, A. Mertens, A.M. Habraken, H.S. Tran



NUMIFORM Portsmouth USA June 23-29, 2019

Material High Speed Steel M4

- Fe-Cr-C-X alloys with X: carbide-forming element (i.e. V, Nb, Mo or W)
- Hard carbides \Rightarrow High hardness and wear resistance
- Applications: high speed machining, cutting tools, cylinders for hot rolling mills, molds...







Towards a thermo- mechanical validated model

For High Speed Steel (M4 grade) wt%

С	Cr	Мо	V	W	Ni	Si	Fe
1.35	4.30	4.64	4.10	5.60	0.34	0.9	0.33

Particle size [50 to 150 µm]

Direct Energy Deposition DED process



Content

- In house FE code « Lagamine »
- Bulk experiments2D thermal simulations
- Thin wall experiments
 3D thermo-mechanical simulations
- Conclusions Perspectives

Element birth technique



For a thin wall 3D Bulk Sample 2D







element

Inactive element

Convection and radiation element

convection-radiation elem. on vertical planes of the clad not drawn 5

Thermal equations

Heat transfer per conduction

IP

Mechanical equations

- Hooke's law

$$\underline{\underline{\sigma}} = \frac{E(T, y)}{1 + v(T, y)} \left(\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}^{e} + \frac{v(T, y)}{1 - 2v(T, y)} Tr(\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}^{e}) \underline{\underline{I}} \right)$$

- Hardening law: isotropic (multilinear curve)

$$\mathbf{R} = \sigma_{y}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{E}^{p}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{y}) \varepsilon_{eq}^{p} \text{ avec } \varepsilon_{eq}^{p} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \underline{\varepsilon}^{p} : \underline{\varepsilon}^{p}$$

Compression tests at 3 temperatures and 3 different strain rates \rightarrow NO need viscous approach

Model identification phase

In put material data

conduction, heat capacity, latent heat measured on samples extracted from the clad & the substrate (DSC, Laser flash, dilatometry)

Convection, Radiation, laser absorption **fitted** by inverse modelling

Target BOTH Temperature + Melt pool depth measured

"2D" bulk samples

	Bulk Sample
Laser beam speed (mm/s)	6.67
Laser power (W)	1100
Pre-heating (°C)	300
Mass flow (mg/s)	76
Number of tracks per layer	27
Total number of layers	36





4 Thermocouples Thermal measurement in the substrate

40 x 40 x 27.5 mm (972 tracks)

"2D" bulk samples



Predicted Tp° in the clad

Apparent layer

Melt pool depth

Key data for identifying single set of data by inverse simulations (convection, radiation, absorption coefficient)





-Number of full partial remelting

-Tp° Level between solidus and liquidus

- Superheating temperature

Jardin R.T., et al. (2019) Materials Letters. 236:42-45

POI1 MgC Angular MC MAG: 3000 x HV: 15.0 kV WD: 10.0 mm

POI2 Rod-like MC Coral-shaped MC MAG: 3000 x HV: 15.0 kV WD: 10.1 mm

POI3 Rod-like MC M2C Angular MC MAG: 3000 x HV: 15.0 kV WD: 10.0 mm

star-like MC and lamellar eutectic M₂C intercellular carbides

a)

coral-shaped intracellular MC, intercellular eutectic M_2C and refined cells due to multiple melting

coarse angular MC and eutectic M₂C within intercellular zones

"3D" thin wall experiments (January)



With a thiner substrate there too much bending \rightarrow risk for laser position With thicker substrate crack situation worst

"3D" thermal analysis - thin walls



Simulations until 5th layer Convection needs to be function of T Constant value not OK Previous measured thermophysical parameters for the clad

Substrate 42crMo4 different origin than for bulk sample

- → Impossible to recover temperature measurements with previous values of conductivity and thermal capacity.
- → New measurements indeed showed different results for conductivity and heat capacity

(Previous block for bulk sample in martensite state, current bars in Pearlitic state)

"3D" thermo-mechanical data analysis - thin walls



Numerical annealing temperature: plastic strain is forgotten if tp° decreases below this annealing tp°

Stress (Pa)



Results for bilinear stressstrain curves

Far Less sensitive for multi linerar curves



"3D" thin wall experiments (March)



No more crack Nearly constant height



	Substrate pre- heating	Clad deposition
Length of centered laser pass for pre- heating (mm)	70	40
Laser beam speed (mm/s)	41.7	8.3
Laser power (W)	260	600+500=> 400
Temperature at thermocouple P1 at preheating end and at cladding start in °C	400	310
Number of laser passes	20	10

Pre heating at 300°C

"3D" thin wall experiments

3 Experiments with similar conditions 10 layers without crack Temperature history Vertical displacement





"3D" thermo-mechanical data analysis - thin walls - validation?



Dilatation coefficient of the clad



No effect of annealing temperature Strong sensitivity to the dilatation coef of the clad

 \rightarrow Sensitivity to dilatation of substrate, HAZ, have to be done

 \rightarrow Metallography on the thin wall is on going

"3D" thermo-mechanical data analysis - thin walls - validation?

Transversal stress σ_{yy} along thin wall

At the end of cooling Dilatation Case « steel »



"3D" thermo-mechanical data analysis - thin walls - validation?

Transversal stress σ_{yy} along thin wall from literature

X. Lu, et al., *In situ measurements and thermo-mechanical simulation of Ti – 6AI – 4V laser solid forming processes*, Int. J. Mech. Sci. 153–154 (2019) 119–130..



"3D" thermo-mechanical data analysis - thin walls - validation?

40 mm Dilatation Case « steel » Xray strong scattering -Syy1 Syy (MPa) Syy5 Syy10 Syy210 -Syy500 60 100 120 20

Conclusions

FE thermo-mechanical model available, Solid latent heat and dilatation of a single phase No activation of phenomenological solid phase transformation model

Annealing tp° effect depends on the shape of hardening curves No effect on residual stress or displacement for the correct stressstrain curves

Validation by temperature, melt pool size, displacement, residual stress, microstructure of thin wall still ongoing ...

X Ray measurements provide quite scattered data Complex microstructure justifies scattering + Laser cladding experiment repeatability



Systematic sensitivity approach (clad and substrate properties) \rightarrow Identify what should be improved to reach validation

Use of different experimental conditions crack and no cracks cases + hot tensile rupture value for further FE validation method



Jardin R.T., et al. (2019) Materials Letters. 236:42-45