





# Risk-fracture prediction in elderly people based on a microRNA panel

A. Ladang<sup>1</sup>, C. Beaudart<sup>2</sup>, M. Locquet<sup>2</sup>, JY Reginster<sup>2,3,4</sup>, O Bruyère<sup>2,4</sup>, E Cavalier<sup>1,4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Clinical Chemistry, ULiège and CHU of Liège, Belgium
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Public Health, Epidemiology and Health Economics, ULiège, Belgium
- <sup>3</sup> Chair for Biomarkers of Chronic Diseases, Biochemistry Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, KSA
- <sup>4</sup> Centre Académique de Recherche et d'Expérimentation en Santé (CARES SPRL), Belgium

#### Introduction:

This study evaluates the "OsteomiR" panel and the "OsteomiR" score in their ability to predict the risk-fracture in elderly people. The «OsteomiR» panel is a miRNA panel composed of 19 miRNAs previously described to be implicated in bone homeostasis where as the «OsteomiR » score is a fracture predictive score based on 10 out of the 19 miRNAs. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are short non coding RNA sequences implicated in translational repression.

### O Material and Methods:

People selected for this study were implicated in a long term prospective study called the SarcophAge study. We selected 17 persons which developed a fracture within 3 years after entering the study. The control group is composed of 16 persons that did not fracture in the meantime. Serum was collected at the enrolment in the study. "OsteomiR" panel has been tested through qPCR technique (LighCycler 480 (Roche°)) and the "OsteomiR score" was calculated as design by TamiRNA°.

## O Results:

$ \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (f(x) - f(x) - $	CC	o (n= 16) Fx (n= 17)	المحمد المحمد المراحد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد	and a star a star and a star and a star and a star
$ \\  \\  \\  \\  \\  \\  \\  \\  \\  \\  \\  \\  \\ $				
$ \left( \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c}$		14/2 16/1		1.
Cyrain (rg/q)       1,1,1,1       1,1,0,1         Big to poor sing       1,2,0,1       1,1,0,0         Cyrain (rg/q)       1,2,0,1       1,2,0,0         Cyrain (rg/q)       1,2,0,0       1,0,0         Cyrain (rg/q)       1,2,0,0       1,0,0         Cyrain (rg/q)       1,2,0,0       1,0,0         Cyrain (rg/q)       1,2,0,0       1,0,0         Cyrain (rg/q)       1,0,0       1,0,0         Cyrain (rg/q)       0,0       0,0       0,0         Cyrain (rg/q)       0,0			2	
Impediation			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	÷
Building and set states       1,32,6,6         Discopering in 2, 1,2,2,3       1,32,9,6         Building of FRAX (%) 14,82,9,3       1,52,8,9         Fat - 1 chardeneous of pateneous and the states       Fat - 1 chardeneous of pateneous and the states         Image: A final states and the states and	Cystatin C (mg/L) 1,	1±0,11 1,1±0,18		
$\left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$				
<figure></figure>	Number of fractures	0 1,3±0,6		
<page-header><figure></figure></page-header>	Osteoporosis	1 1		
<page-header><figure></figure></page-header>	Osteopenia	12 12	a	
<caption></caption>		4.8 + 9.9 15.0 + 8.9		
<figure></figure>		,,===,=		<u>.</u>
For the state of the state o	Table 1. Clinical characteritics of patients involved in the stud	y	Fig 1. Results of the « OsteomiR » panel: Taken separately, none of th	e 19 miRNAs harbored a statistically significant difference.
For the state of the state o				
For a channel se une in band hold all for the state in the set of the set	A diagnostic algorithm converts	1,0	1,0	
<ul> <li>F 2 - 0.5 met voltes for service for service (s) (15(7) 17(3) 17(3) 17(4))</li> <li>F 3 - 0.5 met voltes de de da marté volte (s) especificity</li> <li>F 3 - 0.5 met voltes de da da marté volte (s) especificity</li> <li>F 3 - 0.5 met voltes de da da marté volte (s) (15(7) 17(3) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17(3) 17(4) 17</li></ul>	microRNA abundance into an individual			
<ul> <li>For the state of t</li></ul>	fracture risk score		0.9	1,10
<ul> <li>Second and stepset</li> <li>Second and stepse</li></ul>	J	0,9-		•• •
<ul> <li>I wonder team</li> <li>I wonder</li></ul>	Selected model Input	e		8
<ul> <li>I wonder team</li> <li>I wonder</li></ul>			₩ ₩ ₩	
1. Flore ADR lab 2 low (Phi Ray 10) 1. Flore ADR lab 2 low	6 self-normalizing ratios	е́е «м		8 0,00 • • • •
1. Flore ADR lab 2 low (Phi Ray 10) 1. Flore ADR lab 2 low				0.50
1. Flore ADR lab 2 low (Phi Ray 10) 1. Flore ADR lab 2 low	Model consisting of 4 piles	to 0,7-	0.7	040
$\frac{1}{16 \text{ krod} 3 \text{ krydt} \text{ krack of a krydt we nick}}{16 \text{ krod} 2 \text{ krydt we nick}} + \frac{1}{16 \text{ krod} 2 \text{ krydt} \text{ krack of a krydt we nick}} + \frac{1}{16 \text{ krod} 2 \text{ krydt} \text{ krack of a krydt we nick}} + \frac{1}{16 \text{ krod} 2 \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt}} + \frac{1}{16 \text{ krod} 2 \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt}} + \frac{1}{16 \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt}} + \frac{1}{16 \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt}} + \frac{1}{16 \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt}} + \frac{1}{16 \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt}} + \frac{1}{16 \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt} \text{ krydt}} + \frac{1}{16  krydt$				
1. Plate 4 a trigh ADD Ratio 6 is trigh them toge institution of the tright term term term term term term term ter				
$F_{2} + 0$ the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as $f_{1}$ the threshold was defined as $f_{1}$ the threshold was defined as $f_{1}$ threshold was defined as $f_{2}$ threshold was		0,0	0.6	
$F_{2} 2 \cdot Oteomik * score is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios in fracture group.$	4. If Ratio 2 is high AND Ratio 6 is high, then high risk	Co Fx		
$F_{2} 2 \cdot Oteomik * score is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios in fracture group.$			OsteomiR ecore	
$\mathbf{F}_{ration R^{tot} rest Result}$ $\mathbf{F}_{s} 2 \cdot \mathbf{Oteom} \mathbf{R}, score is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 micro results and ROC curve: OstomiR score is linked to BMD status$ $\mathbf{F}_{s} 2 \cdot \mathbf{Oteom} \mathbf{R}, score results and ROC curve: OstomiR score is linked to BMD status$ $\mathbf{F}_{s} 2 \cdot \mathbf{Oteom} \mathbf{R}, score results and ROC curve: OstomiR score is linked to BMD status$ $\mathbf{F}_{s} 2 \cdot \mathbf{Oteom} \mathbf{R}, score results and ROC curve: OstomiR score is linked to BMD status$ $\mathbf{F}_{s} 2 \cdot \mathbf{Oteom} \mathbf{R}, score results and ROC curve: OstomiR score is linked to BMD status$ $\mathbf{F}_{s} 2 \cdot \mathbf{Oteom} \mathbf{R}, score results and ROC curve: OstomiR score is linked to BMD status$ $\mathbf{F}_{s} 2 \cdot \mathbf{Oteom} \mathbf{R}, score results and ROC curve: OstomiR score is linked to BMD status$ $\mathbf{F}_{s} 2 \cdot \mathbf{Oteom} \mathbf{R}, score results and ROC curve: OstomiR score is linked to BMD status$ $\mathbf{F}_{s} 2 \cdot \mathbf{Oteom} \mathbf{R}, score results and ROC curve: OstomiR score is linked to BMD status$ $\mathbf{F}_{s} 2 \cdot \mathbf{Oteom} \mathbf{R}, score results and ROC curve: OstomiR score is linked to BMD status$ $\mathbf{F}_{s} 2 \cdot \mathbf{Oteom} \mathbf{R}, score results and ROC curve: OstomiR score is linked to BMD status$	*			
$F_{2} 2 \cdot CreomR \ast score is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Thresh$				
$\mathbf{F}_{r2} \cdot \mathbf{OteomR} \ast \mathbf{score}  is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric v$	Final offer disk active			
$\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value.$	↓ ↓ I	80	80	
$\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as$ $\mathbf{F}_{ratios} that calculates a numeric value.$	ectropy D1M Yest Detuils			
$F_{ij} 2. \bullet \text{Osteomilk} * \text{score} is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value to the numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates the numeric value to the numeric value to the numeric value to the numeric value. Threshold was defined as the numeric value to the num$		<u>€</u> 60 -	∰@E	
$F_{ij} 2. \bullet \text{Osteomilk} * \text{score} is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value to the numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates the numeric value to the numeric value to the numeric value to the numeric value. Threshold was defined as the numeric value to the num$	0.9 • High Real • Lampin 2		set i	
$F_{ij} 2. \bullet \text{Osteomilk} * \text{score} is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value to the numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates the numeric value to the numeric value to the numeric value to the numeric value. Threshold was defined as the numeric value to the num$		₹ 40 F	₹ 40 F	OsteomiR* positifs only, n (%) 4 (26,7) 9 (64,3)
$Fig 2. \bullet \text{OteomiR} * \text{score} is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as as the formula of the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as the calculates a numeric value is in fracture group. The calculates the calcu$				
$Fig 2. \bullet OsteomiR \bullet score is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as Threshold was defi$	E CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR			
Fig 2. • OsteomiR • score is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as		- PPV 68%	Specificity 05%	
Fig 2. • OsteomiR • score is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as			NPV 44%	
Fig 2. « OsteomiR » score is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as       IOO - specificity       IOO - specificity         Fig 3. « OsteomiR » score results and ROC curve: OsteomiR » score results and ROC curve: OsteomiR » score when cohort is classified according is in fracture group.       Fig 4. « Osteomir » score when cohort is classified according BMD status:       Fig 5. « Osteomir » score compared to IOF-FRAX thresholds: Osteomir » score predicts more fracture events				
Fig 2. « OsteomiR » score is based on 10 miRNAs leading to 6 ratios that calculates a numeric value. Threshold was defined as is in fracture group.				
ratios that calculates a numeric value. Thresholds: OsteomiR score jredicts more fracture group.			тор - греспісту	
	0		č <b>č</b>	•
		a a anna 8 oab.	the state, excent sere is inter to bits state	interiore outcomit score preutes more mattine events

## Conclusions:

This study shows promising data on the discriminatory potential of the OsteomiR° score and the existence of a miRNA-fracture-signature that reflects bone homeostasis impairment several years before the occurrence of a fracture. Further studies should be dedicated to define the place in the diagnostic scheme of the OsteomiR° score, alone or in combination with the IOF-FRAX algorithm