

State complexity of the multiples of the Thue-Morse set

Adeline Massuir


Joint work with Émilie Charlier and Célia Cisternino

École Jeunes Chercheurs en Informatique Mathématique

March 7th, 2019


Automata

Deterministic finite automaton (DFA) : $\mathcal{A} = (Q, q_0, F, \Sigma, \delta)$



Automata

Deterministic finite automaton (DFA) : $\mathcal{A} = (Q, q_0, F, \Sigma, \delta)$



Language – Regular language

Theorem

For any regular language L , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) minimal automaton accepting L .

Minimal automaton

Theorem

For any regular language L , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) minimal automaton accepting L .

Theorem

An automaton is minimal if and only if it is accessible and reduced.

Minimal automaton

Theorem

For any regular language L , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) minimal automaton accepting L .

Theorem

An automaton is minimal if and only if it is accessible and reduced.

One algorithm :

Minimal automaton

Theorem

For any regular language L , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) minimal automaton accepting L .

Theorem

An automaton is minimal if and only if it is accessible and reduced.

One algorithm :

- ➊ Eject non accessible states

Minimal automaton

Theorem

For any regular language L , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) minimal automaton accepting L .

Theorem

An automaton is minimal if and only if it is accessible and reduced.

One algorithm :

- ① Eject non accessible states
- ② Look for undistinguished states

State complexity

Definition

The *state complexity* of a regular language is the number of states of its minimal automaton.

What do we want to do ?

Definition

Let $b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. A subset X of \mathbb{N} is b -recognizable if $\text{rep}_b(X)$ is regular.

What do we want to do ?

Definition

Let $b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. A subset X of \mathbb{N} is b -recognizable if $\text{rep}_b(X)$ is regular.

It is equivalent to work with $0^* \text{rep}_b(X)$.

What do we want to do ?

Definition

Let $b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. A subset X of \mathbb{N} is b -recognizable if $\text{rep}_b(X)$ is regular.

It is equivalent to work with $0^* \text{rep}_b(X)$.

Theorem

Let $b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is b -recognizable, so is mX .

Theorem [Alexeev, 2004]

The state complexity of the language $0^* \text{rep}_b(m\mathbb{N})$ is

$$\min_{N \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{m}{\gcd(m, b^N)} + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{b^n}{\gcd(b^n, m)} \right\}$$

Thue-Morse

0

Thue-Morse

0

1

Thue-Morse

01

1

Thue-Morse

01

10

Thue-Morse

01~~10~~

10

Thue-Morse

0110

1001

Thue-Morse

0110~~1001~~

1001

Thue-Morse

01101001

10010110

Thue-Morse

01101001**10010110**

10010110

Thue-Morse

0110100110010110...

Thue-Morse

0110100110010110...

Definition

The Thue-Morse set is the set

$$\mathcal{T} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\text{rep}_2(n)|_1 \in 2\mathbb{N}\}.$$


Thue-Morse

0110100110010110...

Definition

The Thue-Morse set is the set

$$\mathcal{T} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\text{rep}_2(n)|_1 \in 2\mathbb{N}\}.$$




Thue-Morse

0110100110010110...

Definition

The Thue-Morse set is the set

$$\mathcal{T} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\text{rep}_2(n)|_1 \in 2\mathbb{N}\}.$$



Definition

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. We say that p and q are *multiplicatively independent* if

$$p^a = q^b \Rightarrow a = b = 0.$$

They are said *multiplicatively dependent* otherwise.

Definition

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. We say that p and q are *multiplicatively independent* if

$$p^a = q^b \Rightarrow a = b = 0.$$

They are said *multiplicatively dependent* otherwise.

Theorem [Cobham, 1969]

- Let b, b' two multiplicatively independent bases. A subset of \mathbb{N} is both b -recognizable and b' -recognizable iff it is a finite union of arithmetic progressions.
- Let b, b' two multiplicatively dependent bases. A subset of \mathbb{N} is b -recognizable iff it is b' -recognizable.

The result

$$\mathcal{T} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\text{rep}_2(n)|_1 \in 2\mathbb{N}\}$$

Theorem

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$.

Then the state complexity of the language $0^* \text{rep}_{2^p}(m\mathcal{T})$ is

$$2k + \left\lceil \frac{z}{p} \right\rceil$$

if $m = k2^z$ with k odd.

The method

Automaton	Language accepted
$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}, 2^p}$	$(0, 0)^* \text{rep}_{2^p}(\mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{N})$

The method

Automaton	Language accepted
$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}, 2^P}$	$(0, 0)^* \text{rep}_{2^P}(\mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{N})$
$\mathcal{A}_{m, 2^P}$	$(0, 0)^* \text{rep}_{2^P}(\{(n, mn) : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$

The method

Automaton	Language accepted
$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}, 2^P}$	$(0, 0)^* \text{rep}_{2^P}(\mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{N})$
$\mathcal{A}_{m, 2^P}$	$(0, 0)^* \text{rep}_{2^P}(\{(n, mn) : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$
$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}, 2^P} \times \mathcal{A}_{m, 2^P}$	$(0, 0)^* \text{rep}_{2^P}(\{(t, mt) : t \in \mathcal{T}\})$

The method

Automaton	Language accepted
$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}, 2^P}$	$(0, 0)^* \text{rep}_{2^P}(\mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{N})$
$\mathcal{A}_{m, 2^P}$	$(0, 0)^* \text{rep}_{2^P}(\{(n, mn) : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$
$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}, 2^P} \times \mathcal{A}_{m, 2^P}$	$(0, 0)^* \text{rep}_{2^P}(\{(t, mt) : t \in \mathcal{T}\})$
$\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}, 2^P} \times \mathcal{A}_{m, 2^P})$	$0^* \text{rep}_{2^P}(m\mathcal{T})$

The automaton $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}, 2^p}$

$$(0, 0)^* \{ \text{rep}_{2^p}(t, n) : t \in \mathcal{T}, n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$


The automaton $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}, 2^P}$

$$(0, 0)^* \{ \text{rep}_{2^P}(t, n) : t \in \mathcal{T}, n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

States	T, B
Initial state	T
Final states	T
Alphabet	$\{0, \dots, 2^P - 1\}^2$
Transitions	$\delta_{\mathcal{T}, 2^P}(X, (a, b)) = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } a \in \mathcal{T} \\ \overline{X} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$

The automaton $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},4}$

$(0,0), (0,1), (0,2), (0,3)$
 $(3,0), (3,1), (3,2), (3,3)$



The automaton $\mathcal{A}_{m,b}$

$$(0, 0)^* \{ \text{rep}_b(n, mn) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

The automaton $\mathcal{A}_{m,b}$

$$(0,0)^* \{ \text{rep}_b(n, mn) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

States	$0, \dots, m - 1$
Initial state	0
Final states	0
Alphabet	$\{0, \dots, b - 1\}^2$
Transitions	$\delta_{m,b}(i, (d, e)) = j \Leftrightarrow bi + e = md + j$

The automaton $\mathcal{A}_{m,b}$


$$(0,0)^* \{ \text{rep}_b(n, mn) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

States	$0, \dots, m - 1$
Initial state	0
Final states	0
Alphabet	$\{0, \dots, b - 1\}^2$
Transitions	$\delta_{m,b}(i, (d, e)) = j \Leftrightarrow bi + e = md + j$

For all $i, j \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$, for all $u, v \in \{0, \dots, b - 1\}^*$,

$$\delta_{m,b}(i, (u, v)) = j \Leftrightarrow b^{|(u,v)|} i + \text{val}_b(v) = m \text{val}_b(u) + j.$$

The automaton $\mathcal{A}_{6,4}$



The product automaton $\mathcal{A}_{m,2^p} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},2^p}$

$$(0,0)^* \{\text{rep}_{2^p}(t, mt) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

The product automaton $\mathcal{A}_{m,2^p} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},2^p}$

$$(0,0)^* \{\text{rep}_{2^p}(t, mt) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

States	$(0, T), \dots, (m-1, T), (0, B), \dots, (m-1, B)$
Initial state	$(0, T)$
Final states	$(0, T)$
Alphabet	$\{0, \dots, 2^p - 1\}^2$
Transitions	$\delta_{\mathcal{T},2^p}((i, X), (u, v)) = (j, Y)$ $\Leftrightarrow 2^{p u,v }i + \text{val}_{2^p}(v) = m\text{val}_{2^p}(u) + j$ and $Y = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } \text{val}_{2^p}(u) \in \mathcal{T} \\ \overline{X} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$

The product automaton $\mathcal{A}_{m,2^p} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},2^p}$


$$(0,0)^* \{\text{rep}_{2^p}(t, mt) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

States	$(0, T), \dots, (m-1, T), (0, B), \dots, (m-1, B)$
Initial state	$(0, T)$
Final states	$(0, T)$
Alphabet	$\{0, \dots, 2^p - 1\}^2$
Transitions	$\delta_{\mathcal{T},2^p}((i, X), (u, v)) = (j, Y)$ $\Leftrightarrow 2^{p u,v } i + \text{val}_{2^p}(v) = m \text{val}_{2^p}(u) + j$ <p>and $Y = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } \text{val}_{2^p}(u) \in \mathcal{T} \\ \overline{X} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$</p>

Remark

If i, X, v are fixed, there exist unique j, Y, u such that we have a transition labeled by (u, v) from (i, X) to (j, Y) .

The automaton $\mathcal{A}_{6,4} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},4}$




The projected automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{m,2^p} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},2^p})$

$$0^* \text{rep}_{2^p}(m\mathcal{T}) = 0^* \{\text{rep}_{2^p}(mt) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

The projected automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{m,2^p} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},2^p})$

$$0^* \text{rep}_{2^p}(m\mathcal{T}) = 0^* \{\text{rep}_{2^p}(mt) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$$



Proposition

The automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{m,2^p} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},2^p})$ is

- deterministic,
- accessible,
- coaccessible.

Proposition


The automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{m,2^P} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},2^P})$ is

- deterministic,
- accessible,
- coaccessible.


Proposition

In the automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{m,2^P} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},2^P})$, the states (i, T) and (i, B) are disjoined for all $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$.


The automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{6,4} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},4})$




The automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{6,4} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},4})$




The automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{24,4} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},4})$




The automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{24,4} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},4})$




The automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{24,4} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},4})$



The automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{24,4} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},4})$



The automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{24,4} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},4})$



Recall

For $m \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, we write $m = k2^z$ with z odd.

Recall

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, we write $m = k2^z$ with z odd.

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$T_n := \begin{cases} T & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{T} \\ B & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Recall

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, we write $m = k2^z$ with z odd.

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$T_n := \begin{cases} T & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{T} \\ B & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Definition

For all $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, we set


$$[(j, T)] := \{(j + k\ell, T_\ell) : 0 \leq \ell \leq 2^z - 1\}$$

$$[(j, B)] := \{(j + k\ell, \overline{T}_\ell) : 0 \leq \ell \leq 2^z - 1\}.$$

We also set

$$[(0, T)] := \{(0, T)\} \text{ and } [(0, B)] := \{(0, \overline{T}_\ell) : 0 \leq \ell \leq 2^z - 1\}.$$

The automaton $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{24,4} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T},4})$



Definition

For all $\alpha \in \{0, \dots, z-1\}$, we set

$$C_\alpha := \left\{ (k2^{z-\alpha-1} + k2^{z-\alpha}\ell, \overline{T_\ell}) : 0 \leq \ell \leq 2^\alpha - 1 \right\}.$$

For all $\beta \in \left\{0, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{z}{p} \right\rceil - 2\right\}$, we set

$$\Gamma_\beta := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \{\beta p, \dots, (\beta+1)p-1\}} C_\alpha.$$

We also set

$$\Gamma_{\left\lceil \frac{z}{p} \right\rceil - 1} := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \left\{ \left(\left\lceil \frac{z}{p} \right\rceil - 1\right)p, \dots, z-1 \right\}} C_\alpha.$$


We can build a new automaton


We can build a new automaton which is

- accessible

We can build a new automaton which is

- accessible
- reduced.





Theorem

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. Then the state complexity of the language $0^* \text{rep}_{2p}(m\mathcal{T})$ is equal to

$$2k + \left\lceil \frac{z}{p} \right\rceil$$

if $m = k2^z$ with k odd.

Theorem

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. Then the state complexity of the language $0^* \text{rep}_{2p}(m\mathcal{T})$ is equal to

$$2k + \left\lceil \frac{z}{p} \right\rceil$$

if $m = k2^z$ with k odd.

$$2 \times 3 + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil = 7$$

To go further

What about the language

$$0^* \text{rep}_{2^p}(m\mathcal{T} + r)$$

where $r \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$?