# Ingestion of Ionospheric Scintillation Skymaps into GNSS Algorithms



Matthieu Lonchay

### PhD Defense

ULiège

Friday 24 May 2019

•

.

# Ingestion of Ionospheric Scintillation Skymaps into GNSS Algorithms



Matthieu Lonchay

PhD Defense

ULiège Friday 24 May 2019

## Analysis GNSS Algorithms and Ionosphere

# Geostatistics

Ionospheric Scintillation Skymaps

### **Prototypes** Mitigation Strategies

GNSS positioning is based on the principle of multilateration between a GNSS receiver and several GNSS satellites orbiting the Earth



GNSS positioning is based on the principle of multilateration



GNSS algorithms exploit code pseudorange and carrier phase measurements related to several frequencies



# GNSS algorithms exploit code pseudorange and carrier phase measurements related to several frequencies

The Standard Point Positioning (SPP) algorithm is based on single-frequency code pseudorange measurements

- Light implementation
- Least-squares adjustment
- Precision of 5 m-10 m



# GNSS algorithms exploit code pseudorange and carrier phase measurements related to several frequencies

The Standard Point Positioning (SPP) algorithm is based on single-frequency code pseudorange measurements

The Precise Point Positioning (PPP) algorithm is based on dual-frequency code pseudorange and carrier phase measurements combined with regional and global corrections

- Complex implementation
- Corrections required from a provider
- Kalman filter
- Initial integer ambiguities to estimate
- Centimetre-decimetre precision level



# GNSS algorithms exploit code pseudorange and carrier phase measurements related to several frequencies

The Standard Point Positioning (SPP) algorithm is based on single-frequency code pseudorange measurements

The Precise Point Positioning (PPP) algorithm is based on dual-frequency code pseudorange and carrier phase measurements combined with regional and global corrections The ionosphere is the part of the upper atmosphere of the Earth where sufficient ionisation can exist to affect the propagation of radio waves



The ionosphere is responsible for refraction effects on GNSS signals which result in delays to be considered in the mathematical model of GNSS algorithms

The ionosphere is the part of the upper atmosphere of the Earth where sufficient ionisation can exist to affect the propagation of radio waves



The ionosphere is responsible for refraction effects on GNSS signals which result in delays to be considered in the mathematical model of GNSS algorithms

The ionosphere is the part of the upper atmosphere of the Earth where sufficient ionisation can exist to affect the propagation of radio waves



Ionosphere



The ionosphere is responsible for refraction effects on GNSS signals which result in delays to be considered in the mathematical model of GNSS algorithms

Small-scale irregularities in the ionospheric free-electron density involve diffraction and scattering effects of GNSS signals

Small-scale irregularities in the ionospheric free-electron density cause diffraction and scattering effects on GNSS signals leading to rapid fluctuations of the amplitude and phase of the signals



Ionosphere



The ionosphere is responsible for refraction effects on GNSS signals which result in delays to be considered in the mathematical model of GNSS algorithms

Small-scale irregularities in the ionospheric free-electron density involve diffraction and scattering effects of GNSS signals

Scattered signals reach the receiver via multiple paths resulting in a diffraction pattern with destructive and constructive interferences of the scattered signals

# Intense ionospheric scintillations of GNSS signals severely threaten GNSS positioning performances and can make GNSSs totally in inoperable



Intense ionospheric scintillations of GNSS signals severely threaten GNSS positioning performances and can make GNSSs totally in inoperable



## Analysis GNSS Algorithms and Ionosphere

**Geostatistics** Ionospheric Scintillation Skymaps

**Prototypes** Mitigation Strategies



Each station of the network is equipped with an ISMR to monitor lowlatitude ionospheric scintillations



A skyplot is a specific type of map resulting from the projection of the satellite locations from the satellite hemisphere (horizontal coordinates) to a plane centred on the user's location



**Ionospheric Pierce Points (IPPs)** associated to satellite-receiver lines of sight related to a network of ISMRs can be exploited to generate high-density skyplots



Ionospheric Pierce Points (IPPs) associated to satellite-receiver lines of sight related to a network of ISMRs can be exploited to generate high-density skyplots



**Ionospheric Pierce Points (IPPs)** associated to satellite-receiver lines of sight related to a network of ISMRs can be exploited to generate high-density skyplots

#### **Geometric** Component

The locations of the IPPs depend on the GNSS orbits and the geographic coordinates of the user's location

#### **Attribute** Component

The values of the  $S_4$  index for every IPP depends on the instantaneous state of the ionosphere

#### 16-Mar-2014 UTC ٥° 00:00:00 330° 30° 300° 60° ... 270° 90° 120° 240° ٠ 150° 210° 180° 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 S [-]

Inconfidentes

Spatial Autocorrelation constitutes a measure of how similar are nearby objects



Spatial Autocorrelation indices can be calculated and statistically tested to **detect** the presence of significantly positive spatial autocorrelation in a given scatter plot

$$Moran's I \\ Index \qquad I = \frac{N}{S_0} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{ij} (z_i - \bar{z})(z_j - \bar{z})}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} z_i^2}$$

$$Geary's C \\ Index \qquad C = \frac{(N-1)}{2S_0} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{ij} (z_i - z_j)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (z_i - \bar{z})^2}$$

$$Getis-Ord General G \\ Statistic \qquad G = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{ij} z_i z_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} z_i z_j}$$

$$S_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{ij}$$

$$w_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d_{ij}^2}, & i \neq j \\ 0, & i = j \end{cases}$$

SAC indices are based on the geometric and attribute components of Ionospheric Scintillation Skyplots

SAC indices consider all possible pairs of entities

SAC indices are based on a weight function based on the interdistance related to each pair of entities

Ionospheric scintillation skyplots show significantly positive spatial autocorrelation during active ionospheric scintillation conditions at the station of Inconfidentes, Brazil



Ionospheric scintillation skyplots show significantly positive spatial autocorrelation during active ionospheric scintillation conditions at the station of Inconfidentes, Brazil



Global SAC Indices have specific characteristics which highlight their complementarity:

Moran:	Global SAC
Geary:	Global index with high sensitivity to Local SAC
Getis-Ord:	Clustering Index

# Ionospheric scintillation skyplots show significantly positive spatial autocorrelation during active ionospheric scintillation conditions at the station of Inconfidentes, Brazil

Inconfidentes

16-Mar-2014 – 22-Mar-2014



CS1 Moran's I Index

**CS2** Geary's C Index

CS3 Getis-Ord General G Statistic



S\_[-]



CS1 Moran's I Index

CS2 Geary's C Index

CS3 Getis-Ord Gi\* Index



• High/High • Low/Low • High/Low • Low/High



CS1 Moran's I Index CS2 Geary's C Index





CS1 Moran's I Index

CS2 Geary's C Index

CS3 Getis-Ord Gi\* Index

Local and global spatial autocorrelation in ionospheric scintillation skyplots can also be exploited by specific techniques to generate interpolated maps



Local and global spatial autocorrelation in ionospheric scintillation skyplots can also be exploited by specific techniques to generate interpolated maps

**IDW** – Inverse Distance Weighting



S\_ [-]



Spatial analysis techniques applied to ionospheric scintillation skyplots can be exploited to generate three types of spatial products / ionospheric scintillation skymaps



Inconfidentes 18-Mar-2014 – 19-Mar-2014 Analysis GNSS Algorithms and Ionosphere

## Geostatistics

Ionospheric Scintillation Skymaps

**Prototypes** Mitigation Strategies The prototyping of mitigation strategies against ionospheric scintillations is grafted to the post-processing GNSS software RTKLIB

RTKPOST ver.2.4.2					
Time Start (GPST) 2000/01/01	? Time End	i (GPST) ? L ↓ 00:00:00	Interv	al Unit s 24	+
RINEX OBS	?			• E	а
RINEX OBS: Base Station	1				
RINEX "NAV/CLK, SP3, I	ONEX or SBS/EMS				3
				• •	
				◄ .	.)
Solution 📰 Dir					
				• [	9
Plot View	. To KML	Options	Execute	Exit	

etting1	Setting2	Output	Stats	Posit	ions	Files	Misc	
Positioning Mode				PPP Kinematic 🔹				
Frequencies / Filter Type				L1+	2 -	Forwa	rd 🔻	
Elevation Mask (°) / SNR Mask (dBHz)				15	-			
Rec Dynamics / Earth Tides Correction			OFF	Ŧ	OFF	-		
Ionos	phere Corr	ection			Broa	dcast		-
Troposphere Correction			Saastamoinen 👻					
Satellite Ephemeris/Clock			Broadcast 🔹					
Sa Sa	t PCV 📃 P	Rec PCV	PhWir	ndup [	Re	ject Ed	RAI	M FDE
Exclu	ded Satellit	es (+PRN	: Indude	d)				
🔽 GF	s 🔳 GLC	📃 Gali	eo 🔳 🤉	ZSS	S 🗐	BAS 🔳	BeiDou	



**RTKLIB** 

### Workspace

Post-processing GNSS Software RTKLIB

SPP and PPP Algorithms

Multiple Constellations (GPS, GLONASS, Galileo)

Open-Source code (C language)
Mitigation strategies against ionospheric scintillations are benchmarked at the ISMR station of Inconfidentes, Brazil, during four specific 6h-long ionospheric scintillation episodes



## Workspace

Post-processing GNSS Software RTKLIB

ISMR station of Inconfidentes, Brazil

Experimental Episodes E1/E2/E3/E4

The benchmark of the prototype mitigation strategies against ionospheric scintillations requires the definition of several performance criteria



## Workspace

Post-processing GNSS Software RTKLIB

ISMR station of Inconfidentes, Brazil

Experimental Episodes E1/E2/E3/E4

Performance Criteria

Root-Mean-Square Error (RMSE) Accuracy Continuity Success Rate (SR) Reliability Success Rate for a given accuracy (SR<sub>xx</sub>) **Processing Time** 

Prototype mitigation strategies target the stochastic modelling stage and the integrity monitoring stage of the SPP and PPP algorithms



The stochastic model of the SPP/PPP algorithms is represented by the covariance matrix of the observations which contains information related to the precision of the individual measurements



**Covariance Matrix of the Observations** 

$$Q_{\underline{l}} = \sigma^2 I_m = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^{1^2} & & & \\ & \sigma^{2^2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \sigma^{m^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Two approaches are considered to customise the stochastic model of the SPP and PPP Algorithms

Weighting Scheme (WS)

Spatial Masks (SM)

A Calibration Process based on the actual tracking variance (Conker Model) is exploited in order to defined the variation of the Stochastic Factors according to variables measurable in skymaps











**Spatial Masks (SM)** are designed according to ionospheric scintillation skymaps in order to exclude potentially culprit observations from the parameter estimation process of the SPP and PPP algorithms

Ionospheric Scintillation Skymaps are exploited to design specific masks based on various criteria.



**Spatial Masks (SM)** are designed according to ionospheric scintillation skymaps in order to exclude potentially culprit observations from the parameter estimation process of the SPP and PPP algorithms



**Spatial Masks (SM)** are designed according to ionospheric scintillation skymaps in order to exclude potentially culprit observations from the parameter estimation process of the SPP and PPP algorithms



All prototype mitigation strategies increase the continuity of the SPP algorithm with a Success Rate ranging between 95% and 100%



All prototype mitigation strategies increase the continuity of the SPP algorithm with a Success Rate ranging between 95% and 100%



All prototype mitigation strategies increase the continuity of the SPP algorithm with a Success Rate ranging between 95% and 100%



The continuity of the SPP algorithm is always improved

Almost all prototype mitigation strategies increase the accuracy of the SPP algorithm with an RMSE dropping from ~11m down to ~10m



Almost all prototype mitigation strategies increase the accuracy of the SPP algorithm with an RMSE dropping from ~11m down to ~10m

Inconfidentes



The continuity of the SPP algorithm is always improved

The accuracy of the SPP algorithm is improved by almost all the prototype mitigation strategies

Abusive spatial masks tend to decrease the quality of the satellite geometry

Prototype mitigation strategies based on GOS skymaps provide better performances which indicates a higher suitability of GOS skymaps for GNSS positioning



The continuity of the SPP algorithm is always improved

The accuracy of the SPP algorithm is improved by almost all the prototype mitigation strategies

Abusive spatial masks tend to decrease the quality of the satellite geometry

Prototype mitigation strategies based on GOS skymaps provide better performances which indicates a higher suitability of GOS skymaps for GNSS positioning

Inconfidentes



The continuity of the SPP algorithm is always improved

The accuracy of the SPP algorithm is improved by almost all the prototype mitigation strategies

Abusive spatial masks tend to decrease the quality of the satellite geometry

GOS Skymaps provide better results for GNSS positioning in terms of accuracy and continuity

All prototype mitigation strategies increase the continuity of the PPP algorithm with a Success Rate ranging between ~52% and ~68%



All prototype mitigation strategies increase the continuity of the PPP algorithm with a Success Rate ranging between ~52% and ~68%



Original • WS • SM

All prototype mitigation strategies increase the continuity of the PPP algorithm with a Success Rate ranging between ~52% and ~68%



For the PPP algorithm, prototype mitigation strategies based on spatial masks provide better performances than strategies based on the tuning of the weighting scheme



For the PPP algorithm, prototype mitigation strategies based on spatial masks provide better performances than strategies based on the tuning of the weighting scheme





Statistical Validation Test (RTKLIB)

$$\begin{split} v_r^s &= \frac{\left[P_r^s - (\widehat{D}_r^s + c \ \widehat{dt}_r - c \ dt^s + I_r^s + T_r^s)\right]}{\sigma_{P_r^s}}\\ \underline{v} &= (v_r^1, v_r^2, \dots, v_r^n)^T\\ \\ \frac{\underline{v}^T \ W \ \underline{v}}{\sigma_0^2} &< \chi_\alpha^2 (n - m - 1) \end{split}$$



Statistical Validation Test (RTKLIB)

$$v_r^s = \frac{\left[P_r^s - (\widehat{D}_r^s + c \ \widehat{dt}_r - c \ dt^s + I_r^s + T_r^s)\right]}{\sigma_{P_r^s}}$$
$$\underline{v} = (v_r^1, v_r^2, \dots, v_r^n)^T$$
$$\frac{\underline{v}^T W \ \underline{v}}{\sigma_0^2} < \chi_\alpha^2 (n - m - 1)$$



## **RAIM-FDE**

**Receiver Autonomous Integrity Monitoring – Fault Detection Exclusion** 





Three Approaches to design prototype mitigation strategies related to the integrity monitoring stage of the SPP and PPP Algorithms.

Original RTKLIB RAIM-FDE Technique (IMoo)

Extended Technique (IMo1)

Spatial Technique (IMo2)

Hybrid Solution (IMo3)

## **RAIM-FDE**

Receiver Autonomous Integrity Monitoring – Fault Detection Exclusion













Hybrid prototype mitigation strategies targeting both the stochastic modelling and the integrity monitoring stages lead to the highest results for the SPP and PPP algorithms



Hybrid prototype mitigation strategies targeting both the stochastic modelling and the integrity monitoring stages lead to the highest results for the SPP and PPP algorithms



SPP

PPP

Analysis GNSS Algorithms and Ionosphere

**Geostatistics** Ionospheric Scintillation Skymaps

**Prototypes** Mitigation Strategies
Spatial analysis techniques are applicable to GNSS/ISMR measurements collected in a network of stations located near the magnetic equator in order to produce real-time ionospheric scintillation skymaps (H1)

- Detection, scaling and tracking of spatial autocorrelation
- Real-time ionospheric scintillation skymaps

## Mitigation strategies based on real-time ionospheric scintillation skymaps improve the performances of the SPP and PPP algorithms in case of low-latitude ionospheric scintillations (H<sub>2</sub>)

- General improvement of the accuracy, continuity and reliability of the SPP and PPP algorithms
- Higher reliability of the GOS skymaps
- SPP performances improved by tuning the weighting scheme of the stochastic model
- PPP performances improved by applying spatial masks
- Integrity monitoring can bring the performances to the next level in case of ionospheric scintillations
- Hybrid mitigation strategies are very powerful
- PPP performances remain below requirements during intense ionospheric scintillations at low latitudes
  SR<sub>0.5</sub> = 55% max and at the cost of a heavy computational load

...this research approach constitutes an encouraging breakthrough but there is still room for improvement!

## Perspectives exist to extend this research further and reach even better performances for GNSS positioning in case of ionospheric scintillations

- High-density ionospheric scintillation skymaps (space + time)
  - Alternative variables to measure the impact of ionospheric scintillations on GNSS signals
  - Multiple GNSS constellations
  - High-density GNSS/ISMR networks
  - Smartphone-based crowdsourcing
- Advanced RAIM-FDE technology combined with adaptive exclusion process (pre-processing) and stochastic model
  - GOS skymaps
  - Individual testing
  - Phase/Code/Doppler validation tests
  - Adaptive computational load
- High-latitude ionospheric scintillations
- Application of the approach in other harsh tracking environment (jamming, multipath, etc.)

## Ingestion of Ionospheric Scintillation Skymaps into GNSS Algorithms



Matthieu Lonchay

PhD Defense

ULiège Friday 24 May 2019

## Ingestion of Ionospheric Scintillation Skymaps into GNSS Algorithms



Matthieu Lonchay M.Lonchay@alumni.uliege.be

PhD Defense

ULiège

Friday 24 May 2019