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Abstract

In the Hesbaye region in Belgium, tracer tests performed in variably saturated fissured chalk rocks presented very contrasting results in terms of transit times, according to artificially controlled water recharge conditions prevailing during the experiments. Under intense recharge conditions, tracers migrated across the partially or fully saturated fissure network, at high velocity in accordance with the high hydraulic conductivity and low effective porosity (fracture porosity). At the same time, a portion of the tracer was temporarily retarded in the almost immobile water located in the matrix. Under natural infiltration conditions, the fissure network remained inactive. Tracers migrated downward through the matrix, at low velocity in relation with the low hydraulic conductivity and the large porosity of the matrix. Based on these observations, Brouyère et al. (2004a) proposed a conceptual model in order to explain the migration of solutes in variably saturated, dual-porosity, dual-permeability chalk. Here, mathematical and numerical modelling of tracer and contaminant migration in variably saturated fissured chalk is presented, considering the aforementioned conceptual model. A new mathematical formulation is proposed to represent the unsaturated properties of the fissured chalk in a more dynamic and appropriate way. At the same time, the rock water content is partitioned between mobile and immobile water phases, as a function of the water saturation of the chalk rock. The groundwater flow and contaminant transport in the variably saturated chalk is solved using the control volume finite element method. Modelling the field tracer experiments performed in the variably saturated chalk shows the adequacy and usefulness of the new conceptual, mathematical and numerical model.
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Introduction

Recently, Brouyère et al. (2004a) have presented the results of an experimental study performed in the Hesbaye region in Belgium in order to characterize and to quantify hydrodynamic and hydrodispersive processes governing the downward migration of solute contaminants (e.g., nitrates) across the unsaturated zone overlying a fissured chalk aquifer. One of the most significant observations drawn from these experiments is the high contrast in terms of tracer transit times across the unsaturated chalk depending on the application of an artificial water recharge (forced gradient conditions) in the injection well or not (natural infiltration conditions). Tracer transit times across the unsaturated zone varied from a few hours when forced gradient conditions prevailed to almost one year under natural infiltration conditions.

The tracer test results can be explained by the dual-porosity, dual-permeability of the chalk. In the fissured chalk, groundwater flow and transport conditions can be highly variable according to the degree of water saturation of the rock. Under normal recharge conditions, fissures remain empty in the unsaturated zone of the chalk and a slow regime of flow and contaminant transport is active in the matrix characterised by a low hydraulic conductivity and a high porosity. In the saturated zone, or under intensive recharge conditions in the unsaturated zone, water and contaminants migrate at high velocity along the partially or fully saturated fissures controlling the hydraulic conductivity of the rock mass. The effective porosity is low, representing the contribution of fissures to the total porosity of the chalk. At the same time, transported contaminants are subject to retardation in the matrix (matrix diffusion) where the water can be considered as immobile compared to the water moving in the fissure network. The transport mechanism across the fissured chalk is schematised in Figure 1.
This conceptualisation of the dynamic behaviour of chalk hydraulic and hydrodispersive properties explained, from a conceptual point of view, the tracer test results (Brouyère et al. 2004a). However, a transcription into a mathematical model was required. Below, the mathematical model developed in order to represent more accurately the variations in hydraulic conductivity and effective porosity of the chalk with respect to infiltration conditions and degree of saturation of the chalk is presented. The numerical solution of groundwater flow and contaminant transport in the variably saturated chalk, using the three-dimensional finite element simulator SUFT3D is described. Modelling results of the field tracer experiments performed in the chalk, used to illustrate the developments, support the conceptual model and demonstrate that the mathematical model is adequate to explain the tracer experiments.

1 Modelling the hydraulic and hydrodispersive behaviour of the variably saturated chalk

Many conceptual and mathematical models were proposed to simulate the hydrodynamic behaviour of structured media characterised by preferential flow paths such as fissured rocks or aggregate soils (e.g., Bai et al. 1993, Pruess et al. 1999, Berkowitz 2002). Most advanced concepts rely on a distinct modelling of the preferential flows paths and the matrix (e.g., Gwo 1992, Dykhuiizen 1987, 1990, VanderKwaak 1999). Such approaches are relatively complicated and they require a large number of parameters that are often not available for characterizing both hydraulic networks and their interactions. Consequently, they are frequently not suitable for practical (field scale) applications. Another solution is to consider the medium as a single continuum (e.g., Peters and Klavetter 1988, Berkowitz et al. 1988, Finsterle 2000) by assuming a pressure equilibrium between the matrix and the fissures. In that case, the mathematical relationships used to model the hydraulic behaviour of the rock
mass under variably saturated conditions have to be adapted to account for the dynamic

 evolution of flow conditions according to the degree of saturation of the rock. Two

 characteristic curves need to be defined: the retention curve $\theta(h)$ linking the water content $\theta$

 (dimensionless) to the applied suction head $h$ (L), and the hydraulic conductivity curve $k_r(\theta)$

 relating the evolution of the relative hydraulic conductivity $k_r$ (dimensionless) with the water

 content $\theta$. Classical mathematical relationships used to model unsaturated properties (e.g.

 van Genuchten 1980, Mualem 1976) are not directly suited as they do not allow an accurate

 representation of the unsaturated behaviour of the underground medium close to saturation.

 These relationships implicitly rely on a uni-modal distribution of pore dimensions (Fredlung

 and Xing 1994). In structured media, such as in fissured chalk, this distribution is at least bi-

 modal, often multi-modal (Price et al. 1993, Younger and Elliot 1995). Several relationships,

 based on bi- or multi-modal distributions of pore space, were proposed in the literature for

 modelling the unsaturated properties of structured media (e.g., Smettem and Kirby 1990,


 et al. 1994, Mallants et al. 1997). However, these models, usually developed for representing

 the retention curve, do not allow an analytical derivation of the relationship between the

 hydraulic conductivity and the water content from the retention curve. Furthermore, they are

 usually not continuously derivable, a condition that is not essential but interesting if

 groundwater flow simulations require the computation of derivatives of the unsaturated

 characteristic curves, such as Newton linearization (e.g., Paniconi et al. 1991, Paniconi and

 Putti 1994). The new mathematical relationships proposed here after to model the unsaturated

 characteristic curves of the chalk overcome these problems and they still offer a relatively

 large flexibility for modelling the unsaturated properties of structured media.
1.1 Retention curve

For modelling the unsaturated properties of fissures, a model such as that of Wang and Narasimhan (1985) could probably be very suited. However, it requires a detailed knowledge of the morphology and distribution of fissures, which is not available here. The retention curve of the chalk is modelled here using the combination of two van Genuchten relationships, one defined for the matrix, one for the fissures.

Up to this point, the model used for the retention curve is similar to that of Ross and Smettem (1993) or Durner (1994). In contrast to these models that just sum the two retention curves to build the global functionality describing the retention curve of the structured medium, the approach proposed here forces a continuously derivable transition between the relations that describe the matrix and the fissure component respectively (Figure 2). Accordingly, it is possible to derive analytically the hydraulic conductivity curve from the retention curve across the whole range of water contents.

The relationship used to model the retention curve associated with the matrix is (Figure 2, light grey curve):

\[ \Theta_M = \frac{\theta - \theta_r}{\theta_{s,M} - \theta_r} = \left[ 1 + \left( \alpha_M h^{n_M} \right)^{m_M} \right]^{-m_M} \]  

(1)

where \( \theta_r \) is the residual water content of the matrix; \( \theta_{s,M} \) (dimensionless), to be considered just as a fitting parameter, is the ‘equivalent’ saturated water content of the matrix, close but different from the matrix total porosity \( n_m \) (dimensionless); \( \alpha_M \) (L^{-1}), \( n_M \) (dimensionless) and \( m_M \) (dimensionless) are the van Genuchten parameters used to fit the portion of the retention curve associated with the matrix; \( \Theta_M \) (dimensionless) is the relative saturation of the matrix.

The relationship used to model the retention curve associated with the fissure component of the chalk is (Figure 2, dark grey curve):
where \( \theta_{r,F} \) (dimensionless), to be considered just as a fitting parameter, is the ‘equivalent’ residual water content of the fissure; \( \theta_s \) (dimensionless) is the saturated water content of the chalk rock; \( \alpha_F \) (L\(^{-1}\)), \( n_F \) (dimensionless) and \( m_F \) (dimensionless) are the van Genuchten parameters used to fit the portion of the retention curve associated with the fissures; \( \Theta_F \) (dimensionless) is the relative saturation of the fissures.

At the point \( (\theta_j, h_j) \) common to the matrix and fissure retention curves (Figure 2), continuity conditions can be expressed as follows:

- Continuity of the retention curve: 
  \[ (\theta_j, h_j)_M = (\theta_j, h_j)_F \]

- Continuity of the first derivative of the retention curve: 
  \[ \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial h} \right)_{J,M} = \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial h} \right)_{J,F} \]

This comes to solving the following equation system in terms of \( \theta_{r,F} \) and \( n_F \) (Brouyère 2001):

\[ \chi(\theta_{r,F}, n_F) = \theta_{r,F} - \theta_s + \left( \frac{\theta_s - \theta_r}{1 + (\alpha_M h_j)^{n_M}} \right)^{m_M} \theta_s + \left( \frac{1 + (\alpha_j h_j)^{n_j}}{1 + (\alpha_s h_j)^{n_s}} \right)^{m_j} \]

\[ \gamma(\theta_{r,F}, n_F) = -\frac{\alpha_M m_M (\theta_s - \theta_r)}{1 - m_M} \left( \frac{\theta_s - \theta_r}{1 - \Theta_j^{n_M}} \right)^{m_M} + \frac{\alpha_F m_F (\theta_s - \theta_r)}{1 - m_F} \left( \frac{\Theta_j^{n_F}}{1 - \Theta_j^{n_F}} \right)^{m_F} = 0 \]

In practice, the adjustment of the retention curve representative of the chalk rock is performed as follows. First, the porosities associated with the matrix and the fissures respectively are defined, the total of these two values being set equal to the saturated water content \( \theta_s \) of the rock. The van Genuchten parameters relative to the matrix \( (\alpha_M, n_M, \theta_{s,M}) \) are obtained by fitting Equation (1) on retention curves measured on chalk matrix samples (see Brouyère et
al. 2004a). An estimation has to be provided for the capillary rise \( \psi = 1/\alpha_F \) in the fissure
network. Estimates of the capillary rise in the fractures can be found in the literature (e.g.,
Price et al. 1993). The parameters \( n_F \) and \( \theta_{r,F} \) are determined by solving the equation system
(4a) and (4b) in order to meet the conditions (3a) and (3b).

1.2 Relative hydraulic conductivity curve

In order to derive the relationship between the hydraulic conductivity and the water content
analytically from the retention curve, the model of Mualem (1976) is considered. This model
was used already for the estimation of the evolution of hydraulic conductivity in fissured
rocks (Peters and Klavetter 1988). The fundamental relationship of Mualem’s model is:

\[
k_r(\theta) = S_e^p \left[ \frac{f(\theta)}{f(\theta_r)} \right]^2 = S_e^p \left[ \frac{\int_0^\theta d\theta / h}{\int_0^\theta d\theta / h} \right]^2
\]

(5)

In Equation (5), \( P \) is a parameter for which an optimal value of 0.5 was proposed and
\( S_e = \frac{\theta - \theta_r}{\theta_s - \theta_r} \).

When the matrix is partially saturated and the fissures are completely desaturated,
mathematical integration is performed along the retention curve describing the matrix
(Equation 1):

\[
f_M(\theta) = \int_0^\theta \frac{d\theta}{h} = \alpha_M \left( \theta_{r,M} - \theta_r \right) \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\theta - \theta_{r,M}}{\theta_s - \theta_{r,M}} \right)^{m_u} \right)
\]

(6a)

For a fully saturated matrix and partially saturated fissures, integration starts from \( \theta = \theta_j \) and
it is performed along the retention curve describing the fissures (Equation 2):

\[f(\theta) = f_M(\theta_j) + f_F(\theta)\]

(6b)
with \( f_F(\theta) = \frac{\alpha_F}{h} \int \frac{d\theta}{\theta_s - \theta_{s,F}} \left[ \left( 1 - \Theta_{J,F}^{\frac{1}{m_F}} \right)^{m_F} - \left( 1 - \Theta_J^{\frac{1}{m_F}} \right)^{m_F} \right] \) \hspace{1cm} (6c)

\[
\Theta_{J,F} = \frac{\theta_J - \theta_{s,F}}{\theta_s - \theta_{s,F}}
\]

The evaluation of Equation (6c) in \( \theta = \theta_s \) gives:

\[
f(\theta_s) = f_M(\theta_s) + f_F(\theta_s) \]

Introducing Equations (6b) and (6d) into Equation (5) provides the functionality to describe the evolution of the relative hydraulic conductivity with the water content \( k_r(\theta) \). For completeness, Annex 1 provides the mathematical expressions for the derivatives \( d\theta/dh \) and \( dk_r/dh \), needed for numerical solution of the Richards equation using Newton-Raphson linearization.

Figure 3 shows the characteristic curves obtained using mean van Genuchten parameters estimated by fitting the retention curves measured on the chalk matrix samples and using literature values for the fissure characteristics (Brouyère 2001, Brouyère et al. 2004a). The relative hydraulic conductivity curve shows the expected evolution with water content or suction head. For a small suction applied, fissures desaturate and the hydraulic conductivity of the chalk rock drops by several orders of magnitude. Afterwards, the water content and the relative hydraulic conductivity show a slower variation when the suction is increased. The relationship reproduces the strong reduction in hydraulic conductivity observed when the fissure network is inactive (here, a reduction by a factor 100). This validates a posteriori the use of Mualem’s model together with the proposed bi-modal retention curve.

The way the two retention curves are combined to create the global retention curve means that until the matrix is fully saturated, fissures are empty and remain inactive. This implies that the retention model cannot accommodate by-pass flows observed when there is pressure disequilibrium between the fissures and the matrix. Therefore, the use of the model is...
restricted to relatively slowly changing infiltration conditions. In the Hesbaye aquifer, the
existence of a thick layer of loess smooths the temporal variations of groundwater recharge
rate at the top of the unsaturated chalk layer and the assumption of pressure equilibrium
between the matrix and the fissures is likely to occur in the unsaturated zone (Brouyère et al.
2004a). These relationships could also be used to model situations for which fast flow along
fissures is observed in the unsaturated zone without pressure disequilibrium, such as water
film flows (Tokunaga and Wan 1997, 1998, Tokunaga et al. 2000, Or and Tuller 2000) or
fracture surface-zone flows (Tokunaga and Wan 2001). Finally, if pressure disequilibrium and
by-pass flow occur, the model proposed here provides a good first approximation.

1.3 Partitioning the chalk porosity according to the water saturation degree

Structured geological formations are often characterized by the presence of an important
quantity of immobile or less mobile water located in small pores or in less pervious layers
(Gerke and van Genuchten 1993). To compute contaminant transport and retardation in such
formations, the dual-porosity, first-order transfer model (Coats and Smith 1964, van
Genuchten and Wierenga 1976, Brouyère et al. 2000) introduces two parameters in the
calibration process: the immobile water porosity $\theta_{im}$ (dimensionless) and the first order
transfer coefficient $\alpha$ ($T^{-1}$). The original form of the dual porosity model assumes that the
porosity associated with the immobile water is constant. This model will be further called the
“classical dual porosity model” (CDPM approach). However, when modelling transient
unsaturated groundwater flows, any reduction of water content should affect the distribution
of water between mobile and immobile water phases. If one of these terms is assumed
constant, for example the immobile water content, when the total water content is reduced by
amplitude close to that of the effective porosity, i.e. the mobile water porosity
$\theta_m$ (dimensionless), the latter tends towards zero, which is physically and mathematically
unacceptable (Zurmühl and Durner 1996). In reality, as discussed by Brouyère et al. (2004a),
under variably saturated flow conditions, when the rock mass desaturates, fissures desaturate first and the hydraulic conductivity of the rock mass is globally reduced. In such conditions, water located in the matrix cannot be considered as immobile anymore and it becomes the only vector of mobility of contaminants. In other words, matrix porosity becomes associated with the effective porosity.

It appears that, in order to be applicable in transient variably saturated groundwater flow conditions, the dual porosity first order transfer model has to be adjusted. Water needs to be distributed between mobile and immobile phases, depending of the degree of saturation. Zurmühl and Durner (1996) suggested several criteria, the simplest being the consideration of a constant ratio between the immobile water porosity and the total water content:

\[ c_{\text{part}} = \frac{\theta_{\text{im}}}{\theta} \]  

(7a)

They also suggested distributing the water according to the ratio of relative hydraulic conductivity values associated with the immobile water and the total water content:

\[ c_{\text{part}} = \frac{k_r(\theta_{\text{im}})}{k_r(\theta)} \]  

(7b)

With this approach, the hydraulic conductivity curve reflects the distribution of velocities in the medium, analogous to a capillary bundle model (Rao et al. 1976, Toride et al. 1995). This adjusted model will be further called the “dynamic dual porosity model” (DDPM approach). Figure 4 illustrates this concept, using the hydraulic conductivity curve defined for the chalk in the previous section, with a partitioning coefficient \( c_{\text{part}} = 0.01 \). At saturation, the effective porosity of the chalk rock is small, associated with the fissures, and the quantity of immobile water in the dual porosity is high, associated with the chalk matrix (Figure 4a). When fissures desaturate, the effective porosity of the chalk is higher, associated with a part of the water moving in the matrix. At the same time, the quantity of water that is considered as immobile is reduced (Figure 4b).
1.4 First conclusions

In the variably saturated dual-porosity, dual-permeability chalk, the hydraulic conductivity is likely to change rapidly by several orders of magnitudes and the distribution of water content between mobile and immobile water needs to be continuously updated. The mathematical model presented here above meets these two essential criteria. When the fissures are partially or fully saturated, the model predicts a high hydraulic conductivity and a low effective porosity of the chalk rock. At the same time, dual-porosity effects are likely to be important because of the large porosity of the matrix. When the saturation degree is reduced, fissures become inactive and the hydraulic conductivity curve is reduced by several orders of magnitude. The effective porosity of the chalk rock becomes larger, associated with water present in the rock matrix. The next section describes the adaptations to groundwater flow and contaminant transport equations solved in the SUFT3D code (Brouyère 2001, 2003) for the integration of these concepts.

2 Numerical modelling of groundwater flow and contaminant transport in the variably saturated chalk

2.1 Variably saturated groundwater flow modelling

In the finite element simulator SUFT3D, a generalized form of Richard’s equation is used to model groundwater flow in variably saturated conditions:

\[
F \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[ k_s \left( \theta \right) \nabla (h + z) \right] + q
\]  

(8)

where \( h \) is the pressure head (L), positive in the saturated zone and negative in the unsaturated zone; \( z \) is the elevation head (L); \( k_s \) is the saturated hydraulic conductivity tensor (L T\(^{-1}\)); \( k_r (\theta) \) is the relative hydraulic conductivity (-); \( q \) is a source/sink term (T\(^{-1}\)); and \( F \) is a generalized storage coefficient (L\(^{-1}\)) that can be expressed as:
In Equation (9), the first term is the specific storage coefficient \( S_s \) (L\(^{-1}\)) that accounts for the compressibility of water and porous medium. The second term represents the storage of water in the unsaturated zone; it is the first derivative of the retention curve \( \theta(h) \) (see Annex 1 for its complete mathematical expression).

In the saturated zone, one can generally consider that the water content is constant (\( \theta = \theta_s = \text{constant} \)), in which case:

\[
\frac{d \theta}{dh} = 0 \quad \text{(10a)}
\]

In the unsaturated zone, the specific storage coefficient can often be disregarded compared to the water storage term (\( S_s \ll d \theta/dh \)), in which case, one can write:

\[
F \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{d \theta}{dh} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \quad \text{(10b)}
\]

Based on these assumptions, Equation (8) can be expressed as follows:

\[
S_s \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[ K_s \theta(h) \right] \cdot \nabla(h + z) + q = \nabla \cdot \underline{u}_D + q \quad \text{(11)}
\]

The term \( \underline{u}_D \) in the right-hand side of Equation (11) is the Darcy flux (LT\(^{-1}\)). The retention curve \( \theta(h) \) and the hydraulic conductivity curve \( K_s(\theta) \) are presented in the previous sections.

One of the two components of the storage term (left-hand side of Equation 11) is equal to zero depending on whether the computation is performed in the saturated zone or in the unsaturated zone. This formulation, which distinguishes the saturated and the unsaturated parts of the aquifer, is necessary to avoid numerical problems when applying the numerical solution proposed by Celia et al. (1990) which, in its original form, is not suitable to simulate a fully saturated medium.
For unsaturated groundwater flow, the SUFT3D code applies the formulation of Celia et al. (1990) to Equation (11) linearised using Picard, Newton-Raphson or a mixed form of these two schemes (Putti and Paniconi 1992). The numerical solution of Equation (11) is obtained by applying the control volume finite element method (e.g., Letniowski and Forsyth 1991, Therrien and Sudicky 1996). Convergence improvement is achieved using a dynamic relaxation scheme (Cooley 1983) together with the target-based full Newton time stepping scheme proposed by Diersch and Perrochet (1999). The solution to the discretized and linearized equation system is obtained using the sparse-system equation solver WatSolv (VanderKwaak et al. 1997). Details can be found in Brouyère (2001).

2.2 Solute transport modelling

In the SUFT3D code, the general mass conservation equation applied to the solute contaminant in the variably saturated chalk is:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\theta_m C) + \frac{\partial (\theta_{im} C_{im})}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\nu D C) + \nabla \cdot \left( \theta_m D_h \cdot \nabla C \right) - \lambda (\theta_m C + \theta_{im} C_{im}) + q C' \quad (12)
\]

In Equation (12), the left-hand side represents the storage of solute at a concentrations \( C \) (ML\(^{-3}\)) in the mobile water (associated porosity \( \theta_m \)) and \( C_{im} \) (ML\(^{-3}\)) in the immobile water and (associated porosity \( \theta_{im} \)). In the right-hand side, the first term is the advective flux. The second term is the hydrodispersive flux (\( D_h \) is the hydrodynamic dispersion tensor, L\(^2\)T\(^{-1}\)). The third term represents solute degradation in the mobile and the immobile water (\( \lambda \) is the first-order degradation constant, T\(^{-1}\)) and the last term accounts for a source/sink, at a rate \( q \) (T\(^{-1}\)) and concentration \( C' \) (ML\(^{-3}\)), with \( C' = C_{inj} \) if \( q > 0 \) and \( C' = C \) if \( q < 0 \) (\( C_{inj} \) being the concentration in the injected fluid).
Equation (12) is called the divergence form of the transport equation (Diersch 2001, Saaltink et al. 2004). Expanding the mass storage and the advective flux terms in Equation (12) and using Equation (11) gives the advective form of the transport equation:

\[ \theta_m \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\theta_{im} C_{im})}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \nabla C + \nabla \cdot \left( \theta_m \nabla h \right) + q(C' - C) - \lambda (C_m + \theta_m C_{im}) + \left( F \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \theta_m}{\partial t} \right) C \]  
\[ \text{(13)} \]

The advective formulation offers several advantages, e.g., when solving chemical reaction problems (Huyakorn et al. 1985) or when applying lagrangian methods to solve advection-dominated problems (Yeh 1990). It has also the advantage of facilitating the implementation of the porosity-partitioning concept.

Mass conservation equation applied to the immobile water alone can be written as:

\[ \frac{\partial (\theta_{im} C_{im})}{\partial t} = \alpha (C - C_{im}) - \lambda \theta_{im} C_{im} + f_{\Delta \theta}^C \]  
\[ \text{(14)} \]

In Equation (14), \( \alpha \) is the first-order transfer coefficient (\( T^{-1} \)) that accounts for diffusive solute exchange between mobile and immobile water, \( f_{\Delta \theta}^C \) represents solute exchange due to water transfer between mobile and immobile water when the degree of saturation varies with time (‘advective’ exchange).

Practically speaking, the computation is performed as follows. The groundwater flow simulation is performed on a time step \( \Delta t \). Based on the results, the variation in water content is evaluated at each calculation point between time \( t \) and \( t + \Delta t \). At a given calculation point, if the water content \( \theta \) increases, the immobile water porosity \( \theta_{im} \) increases proportionally (see Figure 4). In that case, a quantity of water containing solute at a concentration \( C \) is “transferred” from the mobile water to the immobile water:

\[ \text{If } \frac{\partial \theta_{im}}{\partial t} > 0, f_{\Delta \theta}^C = \frac{\partial \theta_{im}}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\theta_{im}(t + \Delta t) - \theta_{im}(t)}{\Delta t} C = \frac{\Delta \theta_{im}}{\Delta t} C \]  
\[ \text{(15a)} \]
In contrast, if the water content $\theta$ decreases, the immobile water porosity $\theta_{im}$ decreases proportionally and a quantity of water containing solute at a concentration $C_{im}$ is transferred from the immobile to the mobile water:

$$\text{If } \frac{\partial \theta_{im}}{\partial t} < 0, \text{ then } f_C = \frac{\partial C_{im}}{\partial t} = \frac{\theta_{im}(t + \Delta t) - \theta_{im}(t)}{\Delta t} C_{im} = \frac{\Delta \theta_{im}}{\Delta t} C_{im}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15b)

Considering Equations (15a) and (15b), Equation (14) can be written in a general form:

$$\theta_{im} \frac{\partial C_{im}}{\partial t} = \alpha(C - C_{im}) - \lambda \theta_{im} C_{im} + \left( C^* - C_{im} \right) \frac{\Delta \theta_{im}}{\Delta t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $C^* = C$ if $\frac{\Delta \theta_{im}}{\Delta t} > 0$

$C^* = C_{im}$ if $\frac{\Delta \theta_{im}}{\Delta t} < 0$

In Equation (16), the last term of the right-hand side is only present if the transfer of water occurs from the mobile water to the immobile water.

Introducing Equation (16) into Equation (13) provides the mass conservation equation applied to the solute in the mobile water alone:

$$\theta_m \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{\Delta \theta_{im}}{\Delta t} C^* =$$

$$- \nabla \cdot \nabla C + \nabla \cdot \left( \theta_m \nabla \right) + q(C' - C) - \lambda \theta_m C - \alpha(C - C_{im}) + \left( F \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \theta_m}{\partial t} \right) C$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

It is still necessary to discuss the last term of the right-hand side of Equation (17). In the saturated zone, the water content terms ($\theta$, $\theta_m$, $\theta_{im}$) are assumed constant and $F \approx S_s$. In that case:

$$\left( F \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \theta_m}{\partial t} \right) C \approx S_s \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} C \approx S_s \frac{\Delta h}{\Delta t} C$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Since $S_s$ is small, this term is often negligible. In that case, Equation (17) reduces to the “classical” solute transport equation in the presence of a dual-porosity process:
\[
\frac{\partial \theta_m}{\partial t} = -v_D \cdot \nabla C + \nabla \cdot \left( \theta_m \frac{D_m}{h} \cdot \nabla C \right) + q(C' - C) - \lambda \theta_m C - \alpha (C - C_{im})
\] (19)

In the unsaturated zone, one can write:

\[
\theta = \theta_m + \theta_{im} + \theta_c
\] (20)

where \( \theta_c \) represents a possible portion of isolated water, which does not contribute at all to transport processes. This quantity of water can be assumed as either negligible or invariant with time, for which case one can write,

\[
F \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \theta_m}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \theta_{im}}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\Delta \theta_m}{\Delta t} + \frac{\Delta \theta_{im}}{\Delta t}
\] (21)

Introducing Equation (21) into Equation (17) provides the mathematical form of the transport equation in the mobile water, in the unsaturated zone:

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = -v_D \cdot \nabla C + \nabla \cdot \left( \theta_m \frac{D_m}{h} \cdot \nabla C \right) + q(C' - C) - \lambda \theta_m C - \alpha (C - C_{im}) + \frac{\Delta \theta_{im}}{\Delta t} (C - C^*)
\] (22)

The last term of the right-hand side exists when the transfer of water occurs from the immobile water to the mobile water (i.e. \( \frac{\Delta \theta_{im}}{\Delta t} < 0 \), \( C^* = C_{im} \)), the solute present in the mobile water being diluted or concentrated depending whether \( C > C_{im} \) or not. If water is transferred from the mobile to the immobile water, the concentration in the mobile water is not affected by loss of a portion of this phase.

Different numerical approaches can be found in the literature for the solution of the dual-porosity, first-order transfer model, differing from a point of view of computational efficiency and stability (Gallo et al. 1996). A first solution is to use a fully coupled approach (Gambolati et al. 1994) for which the concentration in the immobile water \( C_{im}^* \) is considered as a state variable just like the concentration in the mobile water \( C \). The number of unknowns changes from \( N \) to \( 2N \) (\( N \) being the number of nodes in the discretization), which increases considerably the size of the equation system to be solved and therefore the demand on
memory and computation time. Decoupled approaches give very accurate results at lower computational costs. Gambolati et al. (1993) use an integro-differential approach that allows an analytical computation of concentrations in the immobile water over a time step. The resulting expression is then back-substituted into the equation relative to the concentration evolution in the mobile water. Unfortunately, this numerical scheme shows instabilities if the first order transfer coefficient between mobile and immobile water becomes large. Ibaraki and Sudicky (1995) or Gambolati et al. (1996) propose a decoupled approach for which the differential equation relative to mass-conservation in the immobile water (Equation 14) is approximated by a finite difference scheme written over the computation time step. Once more, the resulting expression is back-substituted into the equation describing the evolution of concentration in the mobile water (Equation 17). This approach gives very accurate results and shows a very good stability.

A fourth approach proposed by Biver (1993) is used in the SUFT3D code. A semi-analytical expression is found for the concentration evolution in the immobile water (Equation 16) over the computation time step. The resulting expression is substituted in Equation 17. The resulting partial differential equation is then solved using the finite element method. Details can be found in Brouyère (2001). The coding of the dual-porosity concept in the SUFT3D code was verified by comparison with the analytical solutions proposed by van Genuchten and Wierenga (1976), using the CXTFIT code (Toride et al. 1995) and by comparison with FRAC3DVS (Therrien 1992, Therrien and Sudicky 1996) based on the computation of a synthetic radially converging tracer experiment (Brouyère et al. 2000).

3 Modelling tracer experiments performed in the chalk

Details about the tracer experiments performed in the unsaturated zone overlying the Hesbaye aquifer in Belgium can be found in Brouyère (2001) and Brouyère et al. (2004a). After a short
description of the experimental setup, the simulations of the experiments performed with the 
SUFT3D code are described and discussed.

3.1 Tracer experiments performed in the chalk

In the Hesbaye region, the geological succession consists, from top to bottom, of 13 m of 
loess formations, 2 to 4 m of flint conglomerate and 32 m of fissured chalk. The aquifer, 
located in the fissured chalk, is unconfined. The aquifer basis is formed by several meters of 
smectite clay (Brouyère et al. 2004b). The experimental site, located at Bovenistier, is 
equipped with 7 boreholes drilled and screened at different depths in the saturated zone (the 
central well PC and two piezometers Pz CS and Pz 12) and in the unsaturated zone (Pz CNS 
in the unsaturated chalk, Pz CGL in the flint conglomerate, Pz LB and Pz LS in the loess). 
Undisturbed core samples were collected during the drilling of the boreholes for laboratory 
measurements, such as hydraulic conductivity measurements, the determination of 
unsaturated properties of the different geological formations and the analysis of nitrate and 
pesticide contents. In the field, infiltration tests were performed in the unsaturated zone and 
pumping tests were performed in the saturated zone. Tracer experiments were performed in 
both the unsaturated and saturated zone. Table 1 summarizes the information relative to these 
tracer injections.

Two tracer tests were performed between Pz CS and the central well in the saturated zone of 
the chalk aquifer, in radially converging flow conditions. For the first injection (phase 1 in 
Table 1), eosin Y was used and the pumping rate at the recovery well was 1.2 m$^3$/h. For the 
second injection (phase 2 in Table 1), naphtionate was used and the pumping rate at the 
recovery well was 6 m$^3$/h. Figure 5 presents the measured breakthrough curves at the central 
well PC.

Two tracer injections were performed in the unsaturated chalk, from Pz CNS (Figure 6). For 
the first injection with potassium chloride (KCl), artificial recharge conditions were created
by adding water at a constant rate (0.3 m$^3$/h) in the well after tracer injection. This led to enhanced hydraulic gradient between the injection point and the aquifer and locally to a higher degree of saturation in the unsaturated chalk. As the chalk layer is overlain by a thick loess formation, actual recharge conditions are likely to be less intensive. A second tracer injection was performed using potassium iodide (KI), without addition of water after tracer injection. This configuration reflects better the actual seepage conditions in the unsaturated chalk. The first tracer experiment in the unsaturated chalk was performed during a period of low groundwater levels that prevailed during the whole tracer monitoring period (3 days). For this experiment, the unsaturated thickness of chalk crossed by the tracer was approximately 8.5 m. For the second tracer experiment in the unsaturated chalk, the iodide tracer was also injected at the period of low groundwater levels. However, the duration of the test was longer (about two years) and a progressive rise in groundwater levels was observed in the aquifer during that period. The unsaturated thickness of chalk, so the distance travelled by the tracer, was reduced down to 4 to 3 m.

3.2 Conceptual model and discretisation

Three finite element meshes were used for modelling exercise: a regional mesh and two local meshes, both centred around the central well and refined in the area of the tracer experiments. The regional model was developed using a finite element mesh with an horizontal extension of 320 m × 320 m and a vertical dimension of 32 m representing the saturated zone of the aquifer. This model, used for calculating groundwater heads at the boundaries of the two local meshes, was calibrated for natural groundwater flow conditions, based on water levels measured in the region during the period of the experiments and on pumping tests performed in the central well (results not shown). In order to account for the rock alteration and strain relaxation at shallow depth, values of hydraulic conductivity defined in the upper layers are higher (between 1.0×10$^{-4}$ and 4.0×10$^{-4}$ m.s$^{-1}$) than in the deepest layers (between 5.0×10$^{-5}$ and
The hydraulic conductivity field obtained from the calibration of the regional model was transferred to the corresponding finite elements of the local meshes. Tracer tests performed in the saturated zone were modelled using a finite element mesh with an horizontal extension of 80 m × 80 m and a vertical dimension of 32 m representing the saturated zone of the chalk aquifer (10 layers of finite elements ranging from 2 m to 5 m). Tracer tests performed in the unsaturated chalk were modelled using another mesh with a horizontal extension of 18 m × 18 m, extending over the whole thickness of the saturated and unsaturated zone (50 m). In the unsaturated zone, the discretisation is made of 1 m × 1 m × 1 m cubic finite elements.

At the lateral boundaries of the local meshes, prescribed heads (Dirichlet boundary conditions) were defined in the saturated zone. Head values were set equal to those computed, at the same location, using a “regional” model run with the same stress factors (recharge, pumping rate…). In the unsaturated zone, water fluxes being essentially vertical, lateral faces were considered as impervious. At the top of the model, a flux-type (Neumann) boundary condition was prescribed. The transient nature of recharge conditions was not considered and a mean annual rate of 9.5×10⁻⁹ m/s was applied (approx. 300 mm/year).

The characteristic curves $\theta(h)$ and $k_r(\theta)$ were defined for each geological formation encountered, together with an estimation of the saturated hydraulic conductivity $K_s$. For the loess formations, van Genuchten relationships were used, considering the mean estimates of the parameters obtained by fitting this relationship on retention curves that were measured on loess samples (Brouyère et al. 2004a). In the absence of data, the flint conglomerate was considered as a silty sand for which mean van Genuchten parameters were taken from tables provided by Carsel and Parrish (1988). For the chalk, a bimodal relationship similar to that established in section 2.1 was considered. Parameter values considered for the different geological formations are summarized in Table 2.
Saturated hydraulic conductivity values for the loess and conglomerate formations were obtained by modelling constant head infiltration tests performed in the field. Calibrated hydraulic conductivity values are in good agreement with those derived from infiltration tests (Brouyère 2001, Brouyère et al. 2004a): 1.5 to $5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ m/s for the loess formation and $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ m/s for the flint conglomerate. For the unsaturated chalk, the infiltration tests provided an estimation of saturated hydraulic conductivity that was considered too low compared to values derived from the pumping tests. It was decided to use a mean hydraulic conductivity $K_s = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ m/s.

3.3 Modelling tracer experiments performed in the saturated chalk

The two tracer experiments performed between Pz CS and the central well were modelled with the SUFT3D code, using the CDPM approach. Figure 5 shows the comparison between measured and fitted breakthrough curves. Table 3 summarizes adjusted hydrodispersive parameters. The calibrated effective porosity is low, typical for the fissure porosity of the chalk. First arrivals are not perfectly calibrated because the mesh is not refined enough for modelling the low dispersive transport along fissures between Pz CS and the central well. Because of numerical dispersion, reducing further the value of longitudinal dispersivity $\alpha_L (L)$ did not have any effect on the computed breakthrough curve. The calibrated longitudinal dispersivity coefficient is thus probably overestimated. The calibrated first-order transfer coefficient $\alpha$ is in a good agreement with values found for other tracer experiments performed in the fissured chalk in the Hesbaye aquifer (Biver 1993, Hallet 1999). The porosity associated with the immobile water $\theta_{im}$ is relatively small. However, in the saturated zone, the dual-porosity concept is usually considered as a diffusive process characterised by relatively slow kinetics. Because the tracers were injected very close to the recovery well, the mean travel time in the
aquifer is very short. It is thus likely that a part of the immobile water does not take part in the retardation process. The calibrated immobile water porosity thus reflects the quantity of water that is involved in the physical retardation process rather than the total quantity of immobile water.

3.4 Modelling tracer experiment performed in the unsaturated chalk under artificial injection conditions

Steady state conditions were assumed for modelling the tracer experiment in the unsaturated chalk for high recharge rates. Tracer injection and water recharge conditions were modelled considering a nodal source term applied at the finite element node which is the nearest from the screen level of Pz CNS in the three-dimensional finite element mesh. A constant rate of 0.3 m$^3$/h was applied in the model. A tracer injection duration of one hour was considered (close to the actual 53 min. that were actually needed to inject the 300 litres of tracer fluid). The calibration was based on the fitting of the chloride breakthrough curve, this tracer being considered as conservative (i.e. no sorption or degradation). First, the CDPM approach was considered, assuming that effective porosity and immobile water porosity are constant values defined at the R.E.V. scale, independent of the rock saturation. In this case, the degree of saturation plays only a role for the computation of Darcy fluxes. The fitting parameters are the effective porosity, the longitudinal dispersivity, the immobile water porosity and the first order transfer coefficient between mobile and immobile water phases. Second, the DDPM approach, as described in the previous sections, was considered. In this case, the saturation degree of the rock plays a role on both the computation of Darcy fluxes (groundwater flow computation) and on the distribution of water between mobile and immobile porosity (transport computation). The magnitudes of effective porosity and immobile water porosity are not exactly known. The only information is the partitioning coefficient $c_{part}$ considered as a fitting parameter together with the longitudinal dispersivity and the first-order transfer
coefficient. Figure 6a presents the chloride breakthrough curve measured in the field together with the best fit obtained (by trial-and-error) considering the two approaches. Table 3 summarizes the calibrated parameters.

For the CDPM approach, fitted parameters are close to those obtained from modelling the tracer experiments performed in the saturated zone (see Table 3). The effective porosity is low (fissure porosity) and the longitudinal dispersivity coefficient is relatively small but probably overestimated again. The immobile water porosity is similar to that considered in the saturated zone, reflecting some bypass of the immobile water during the tracer downward migration in the unsaturated chalk. The first order transfer coefficient is very similar to that obtained in the saturated zone. This indicates that hydrodispersive processes governing the mobility of tracers during these experiments were similar to those prevailing in the saturated zone: a fast, preferential migration of tracers along partially or fully saturated fissures and a strong physical retardation in the immobile water located in the matrix porosity.

When the DDPM approach is considered, a partitioning coefficient $c_{\text{part}} = 0.02$ is found. This indicates that the effective porosity is small and the immobile water porosity is large (see Figure 4). At the same time, the calibrated first order transfer coefficient is smaller than that obtained from the CDPM approach. In fact, the degree of saturation varies spatially and therefore also the distribution of porosity between mobile and immobile water and the hydraulic conductivity. For lower degrees of saturation, the effective porosity is larger. This contributes to the retardation of that portion of the tracer migrating across these zones, as compared to the quantity of tracer migrating across zones characterised by a higher degree of saturation. The DDPM approach thus requires less ‘diffusive’ effect for modelling tracer late arrivals compared to the CDPM approach. At the extreme, delayed tracer arrivals could be obtained just by adapting the hydraulic conductivity functionality and the partitioning coefficient until the breakthrough curve is reproduced independently from any dual-porosity...
effect. However, it is not realistic to think that diffusion into the immobile water does not have any influence on the mobility and retardation of tracers in the chalk. Whatever the modelling approach that is considered (CDPM or DDPM), modelling results confirm the conceptual model of tracer transport for intensive recharge conditions. The fissure network was active, driving tracers at high velocities across the unsaturated zone, together with an important dual-porosity effect that produced physical retardation due to storage of tracers in the immobile water located in the low permeability matrix. It has to be mentioned that previous attempts, consisting of modelling the unsaturated properties of the chalk with ‘classical’ functionalities (such as unimodal van Genuchten relationships) were unable to explain and reproduce short travel times such as those observed in the field (Crispin 2000). The bimodal functionality developed to model the behaviour of the variably saturated chalk is thus one of the key factors that allow modelling of the tracer experiments. It allows a fair reproduction of the very dynamic behaviour of the variably saturated chalk when the saturation degree varies. A full dual-permeability approach, modelling the chalk by two flow continua (one for the matrix, one for the fissured component) like that of Gerke and van Genuchten (1993) could probably also provide an even better representation of the phenomena but the required data are generally not available.

3.5 Modelling of the tracer experiment performed in the unsaturated chalk for natural infiltration conditions

This tracer experiment is more representative of natural downward migration conditions of solute contaminants in the unsaturated chalk. Because the tracer experiment lasted for two years, the interpretation and modelling should ideally consider the transient nature of recharge conditions and the variations in piezometric levels. However, for computation time and numerical reasons, simplified assumptions were considered. First, as no detailed data were available on the evolution of water contents with time in the unsaturated zone, the transient
nature of the recharge was neglected. Second, as mentioned previously, in order to save memory and computation time, a local model was needed for the transport simulations. At the limits of this local model, boundary conditions were not easy to define in the fluctuating zone of the groundwater table. Normally, boundary conditions should be switched from impervious to prescribed head as the groundwater table rises, but this was not available in the SUFT3D. In a first attempt, the rise of the groundwater table was considered by increasing the total head prescribed at the Dirichlet nodes. With such a configuration, water could enter or leave the model via the bottom layers only, resulting in very artificial Darcy fluxes and pressure fields, with the direct consequence that numerical problems were inevitable in the transport simulations.

Steady state groundwater flow simulations were finally performed, considering groundwater levels prevailing at the beginning of the tracer experiments (low groundwater levels). For the transport simulations, the size of the time steps was restricted to 1 hour because of the numerical Courant criterion. With the total simulation time being 2 years, very long computation times of approximately one week were required. Because of the above restrictions, the objectives of the transport simulations performed in natural infiltration conditions were to check: (1) if the strong contrast observed in terms of travel times across the unsaturated chalk could be reproduced; (2) to check the consistency of the conceptual model postulating that contaminants migrate across the matrix in natural recharge conditions; and (3) to have an estimation of the effective porosity associated to the chalk in natural infiltration conditions. For the simulations, unless stated here after, hydrodispersive parameters were set equal to those obtained when modelling the tracer experiments performed under intense injection conditions.
Using the DDPM approach with the hydrodispersive parameters obtained for the tracer experiments performed under experimental intense injection conditions did not result in any significant tracer arrival during the sampling campaign (almost 2 years).

Different simulations were performed considering the CDPM approach. It was first considered that all the water located in the chalk was mobile (no dual-porosity effect). Practically, a value of 35% was defined for the effective porosity. As for the DDPM approach, the result of this simulation did not show any significant tracer arrival at the pumping well during the period corresponding to the sampling campaign. The second test performed with the CDPM approach consisted in trying to obtain a modelled first arrival of the order of the observed one, by adjusting the effective and the immobile water porosity. This was obtained by using an effective porosity of 10% and an immobile water porosity of 15% in the model (Figure 6b). The order of magnitude of the first arrival is respected (almost one year). However, the modelled breakthrough curve shows an evolution that departs from the measured one, the latter being sharper. This is probably related to the fact that tracer arrivals were not only explained by the downward migration of the tracer in the unsaturated zone, but also by the rise of the groundwater table that washed the tracer during its downward migration in the unsaturated zone.

The effective porosity (10%) obtained by adjusting the first arrival is too small to be representative of the matrix porosity. However, as stated before, groundwater levels prevailing at the beginning of the tracer experiment were considered in the simulations. Because of that, the travel distance in the model (approximately 9m) is almost 3 times the actual distance covered by the tracer (approximately 3m). A simple rule of three thus provides a rough estimation of 30% for the effective porosity from the 10% obtained with the model, which is in better agreement with the estimated chalk matrix porosity.
From the analysis of these simulations, it can be concluded that, for natural infiltration conditions, solute contaminant downward migration occurs across the chalk matrix, as suggested in the conceptual model proposed by Brouyère et al. (2004a).

4 General conclusions

This research has provided several very useful contributions. First, a new functionality is proposed for modelling the fundamental unsaturated properties (retention curve and hydraulic conductivity curve) for structured formations such as fissured rocks or macroporous soils. This functionality allows an analytical evaluation of the hydraulic conductivity curve from the retention curve and it is continuously derivable over the whole range of water contents. It reproduces naturally the fast and strong variation in hydraulic conductivity that is often observed in structured media when the preferential flow paths become desaturated. Second, a generalisation of the dual-porosity model is proposed to the case of variably saturated groundwater flows, in a way that is similar to that proposed by Zurmühl and Durner (1996). Third, the mathematical formulation and the numerical implementation of all these developments in the three-dimensional groundwater flow and transport simulator SUFT3D are described. All these developments are used to provide an innovative and unified point of view on the hydrodynamic and hydrodispersive behaviour of variably saturated dual-porosity, dual-permeability chalk.

The modelling results obtained for the different tracer experiments performed in the saturated and the unsaturated chalk at Bovenistier under various experimental conditions confirm that the conceptual model proposed by Brouyère et al. (2004a) is valid to explain the hydrodispersive behaviour of the chalk for variably saturated conditions. In the saturated zone and in the unsaturated zone when intensive recharge conditions prevail, the order of magnitude of the hydrodispersive parameters shows that the fissure network drives the mobility of contaminants. At the same time, the matrix acts as a buffer where these
contaminants are temporarily stored and retarded. Under natural infiltration conditions, the fissure network is inactive and tracers migrate slowly downward across the high porosity, low permeability chalk matrix. The analysis and interpretation of the tracer experiments performed in the chalk could be improved by considering several factors that were neglected, such as tracer density effects, the transient nature of the groundwater recharge and variations in groundwater levels. It could be interesting to perform further numerical simulations using the DDPM approach for explaining the tracer experiments performed in natural recharge conditions. A comparison between the present approach and multi-continuum approaches could provide a better understanding of the possible influence of pressure disequilibrium between the fissures and the matrix (bypass flow) on the mobility and retardation of contaminants.

In the field, a better understanding and quantification of the behaviour of the chalk under variably saturated flow could be obtained by performing tracer injections under variable recharge conditions. In order to monitor the impact of the fissure network inactivation, it could be interesting to perform tracer injections with variable artificial recharge rates or to perform a long duration tracer injection during which the artificial recharge is stopped at a time when tracer arrivals are still clearly above the detection limit. This kind of experiment would be similar to flow interruption methods such as proposed by Brusseau et al. (1989) or Cote et al. (2000), used to quantify kinetic retardation processes affecting the behaviour of solutes in column experiments. Such experiments could contribute to a better assessment of the functionality used for porosity partitioning and to a better understanding of fissure-matrix interactions.

The model presented here could be used to study the impact of groundwater table seasonal variations on the evolution of contaminant concentrations such as nitrates. This could be of direct interest for the estimation of groundwater quality trends (seasonal detrending).
model is also compatible with the research works of Tokunaga and Wan (1997), Tokunaga et al. (2000), Price et al. (2000) or Tokunaga and Wan (2001). The developments presented here could thus be adapted to provide the mathematical framework for these researches.
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**Annex 1: Mathematical expressions used to compute the derivatives of the retention curve and the hydraulic conductivity curve**

As mentioned previously, the numerical solution of Richards’ equation using Newton-Raphson linearization technique needs computation of the derivatives of the retention curve and the hydraulic conductivity curve according to the water content. For completeness, the expressions are provided here after.

When fissures are fully desaturated and the matrix is partially saturated with water:

\[
\frac{d\theta}{dh} = -\frac{\alpha_M m_M (\theta_{s,M} - \theta_r)}{1 - m_M} \Theta_M^{\frac{1}{n_M}} \left(1 - \Theta_M^{\frac{1}{n_M}}\right)^{m_M} \tag{A.1}
\]

\[
\frac{dk_r}{dh} = \frac{dk_r}{d\theta} \frac{d\theta}{dh} = \left(\frac{P}{\theta_s - \theta_r} S^\rho - 2 S^\rho f_f^{(\theta)} \frac{df_f^{(\theta)}}{d\theta}\right) d\theta \tag{A.2}
\]

with:

\[
\Theta_M = \frac{\theta - \theta_r}{\theta_{s,M} - \theta_r}
\]

\[
m_M = 1 - \frac{1}{n_M}
\]

\[
\frac{df_f^{(\theta)}}{d\theta} = \alpha_M \left(1 - \Theta_M^{\frac{1}{n_M}}\right)^{m_M - 1} \Theta_M^{\frac{1}{n_M} - 1}
\]

When the matrix is fully saturated and fissures are partially saturated with water:
\[
\frac{d\theta}{dh} = \frac{-\alpha_F m_F (\theta_s - \theta_{r,F})}{1 - m_F} \Theta_F^{(m_{r,F})} (1 - \Theta_F^{(m_{r,F})})
\]  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

\[
\frac{dk_s}{dh} = \frac{dk_s}{d\theta} \frac{d\theta}{dh} = \left( \frac{P}{\Theta_s - \Theta_r} S^{(P-1)}_e \right. + 2 S^p_\nu \left( f(s) \left( f(s) + f_H(\theta) \right) \frac{df_H(\theta)}{d\theta} \right) \frac{d\Theta}{d\theta} \left. \right) \frac{d\theta}{dh}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (A.4)

with:

\[
\Theta_F = \frac{\theta - \theta_{r,F}}{\Theta_s - \Theta_{r,F}}
\]

\[
m_F = 1 - \frac{1}{n_F}
\]

\[
\frac{df_H}{d\theta} = \alpha_F \left( 1 - \Theta_F^{(m_{r,F})} \right)^{(m_{r,F}-1)} \Theta_F^{(m_{r,F}-1)}
\]
Table captions

Table 1. Description of tracer injection performed in the saturated (Pz CS) and in the unsaturated chalk (Pz CNS).

Table 2. Parameters defined for modelling the behaviour of unsaturated formations. For the loess and the flint conglomerate, the van Genuchten – Mualem model is used. For the chalk, the new functionality developed in section 1 is used.

Table 3. Fitted transport parameters for the chalk using the tracer test results. $\alpha_L$ is the longitudinal dispersivity coefficient (L) appearing in the expression of the hydrodynamic dispersion tensor. (Values of effective and immobile water porosity depend of the saturation degree of the rock. This may change from point to point and it is thus impossible to give values for these parameters).
Figure captions

Figure 1. Conceptual model for water recharge and solute transport mechanisms in the variably saturated chalk. Under intensive or artificial recharge conditions, water and contaminants migrate through the saturated fissures and the matrix acts as a buffer zone. Under “natural” recharge conditions, the fissure network is inactive; the migration of water and contaminants is restricted in the matrix.

Figure 2. Illustration of the method used to construct the global retention curve relative to the fissured, dual porosity chalk (dashed line) from the superposition of two van Genuchten retention curves, one for the matrix (light grey curve), one for the fissure (dark grey curve) components. A continuously derivable condition is prescribed at the point \((\theta_J, h_J)\).

Figure 3. Unsaturated characteristic curves obtained with \(\theta_{s,M} = 0.41, \alpha_M = 0.099 \, \text{m}^{-1}\), \(n_M = 1.1, \theta_s = 0.42, \alpha_F = 10 \, \text{m}^{-1}\). The characteristic curve relative to the matrix and fissure components join at a suction value \(h_J = 0.4 \, \text{m}\), the continuity parameters obtained by solving equations (4a and b) being \(n_F = 3.11\) and \(\theta_{r,F} = 0.4088\).

Figure 4. Partitioning of water between the mobile and the immobile porosity as a function of the ratio between associated relative hydraulic conductivity values. At saturation (a), the mobile water is associated with the fracture porosity and the dual porosity effect is important; as the rock desaturates (b), the mobile water becomes associated with water in the matrix and the dual porosity effect is reduced.
Figure 5. Breakthrough curves of tracers injected in Pz CS during the field tracer experiments (line + symbols) and modelled with the SUFT3D code (dashed lines).

Figure 6. Breakthrough curves of the tracers injected in Pz CNS under (a) intense artificial recharge conditions and (b) natural recharge conditions (lines + symbols) and modelling results obtained with the SUFT3D code (lines).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection well</th>
<th>Pz CS phase 1</th>
<th>Pz CS phase 2</th>
<th>Pz CNS phase 1</th>
<th>Pz CNS phase 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injection conditions</td>
<td>Saturated zone</td>
<td>Saturated zone</td>
<td>Artificially intense recharge conditions</td>
<td>Natural recharge conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping rate at PC (m³/h)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>Permanent pumping at a rate varying between 3 and 6 m³/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracer</td>
<td>eosin Y</td>
<td>naphtionate</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injected mass (kg)</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.64 (10 of KI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracer volume (m³)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace injection duration (h)</td>
<td>0.031 (1min53s)</td>
<td>0.036 (2min11s)</td>
<td>0.88 (53 min)</td>
<td>0.1 (6 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water flush volume (m³)</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>Constant recharge: 0.1 m³/h</td>
<td>No recharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water flush duration (h)</td>
<td>0.29 (17min20s)</td>
<td>0.22 (12min56s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum transit time (h)</td>
<td>0.58 (35min)</td>
<td>0.25 (15min)</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>8184 (341 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modal transit time (h)</td>
<td>1.42 (85min)</td>
<td>0.5 (30min)</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>15312 (638 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>$\theta_r$ (-)</td>
<td>$\theta_{s,M}$</td>
<td>$\theta_{s,F}$</td>
<td>$\theta_s$ (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loess</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flint Conglomerate</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fissured chalk</td>
<td>Fissured chalk</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.4088(*)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) parameters adjusted for guaranteeing continuity at the matching point defined on the matrix and fissure retention curves, for $k_l = 0.4$ m
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection well</th>
<th>Experimental conditions</th>
<th>Modelling approach</th>
<th>$\theta_m$ (-)</th>
<th>$\theta_m$ (-)</th>
<th>$\alpha_t$ (m)</th>
<th>$\alpha$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pz CS</td>
<td>Saturated zone</td>
<td>CDPM</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0×10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsCNS</td>
<td>Intense recharge</td>
<td>CDPM</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.3×10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DDPM</td>
<td>$c_{part}$ = 0.02</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>9.0×10$^{-8}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural infiltration</td>
<td>CDPM</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.3×10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DDPM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no tracer arrival observed during the simulated period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
intensive rain / recharge

natural recharge

matrix blocks

active fissures

inactive fissures
retention curve relative to the fissured component: \((\alpha_F, n_F)\)

global retention curve relative to the fissured chalk rock (dashed line)

point common to the matrix and fissure retention curves where continuity conditions are expressed

retention curve relative to the matrix component \((\alpha_M, n_M)\)

Suction head (L)

water content (-)
\[ \theta = \theta_s \quad \frac{k_r(\theta_{im})}{k_r(\theta)} = cste = 0.01 \]

(a) saturated chalk

\[ \theta < \theta_s \quad \frac{k_r(\theta_{im})}{k_r(\theta)} = cste = 0.01 \]

(b) unsaturated chalk