# **Delayed bone formation partly explains tibial anterolateral** bowing associated with neurofibromatosis type 1

Majid Nazemi<sup>1</sup>, Liesbet Geris<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Biomechanics Research Unit, Université de Liège, Belgium. <sup>2</sup> Prometheus, Division of Skeletal Tissue Engineering, KU Leuven, Belgium.



## Introduction

 Anterolateral bowing of tibia is observed at birth within 4% of the diagnosed with children neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF-1) [1].

#### Growth

- Iongitudinal growth of long bones is fueled by progressive proliferation and hypertrophy Of which are regulated differentiated chondrocytes through interaction between parathyroid hormone related peptide (PHRP) and Indian Hedgehog (Ihh)
- represents interstitial fluid velocity and was obtained by solving equations of poroelasticity:

$$\nabla \cdot \tau = 0$$
(5)  
$$\nabla \cdot \dot{u} - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{k}{\rho g} \nabla P\right) = 0$$
(6)

- Tibial bowing could further increase growth, leading with to fracture (Fig 1), spontaneous nonunion, and amputation in severe cases.
- NF-1 has been shown to influence cellular interactions involved in angiogenesis and bone formation.



(Fig 3) whose spatiotemporal variation are governed by reaction-diffusion equation (eq. 1).

 $\frac{DS_i}{Dt} + v_f \cdot \nabla S_i + S_i \nabla \cdot v_g = D_i \nabla^2 S_i + b_i$ 

source terms,  $b_i$ , were represented by Schnakenberg equation [2, 3]:

 $b_{PHRP} = C_{pc} \left( \alpha_1 - \beta_1 S_{PHRP} + \gamma_1 S_{PHRP}^2 S_{Ihh} \right)$ 

$$b_{Ihh} = C_{pc} \left( \alpha_2 - \gamma_1 S_{PHRP}^2 S_{Ihh} \right)$$



#### Fig 3) Negative feedback loop between PHRP and Ihh [3]

- in which  $\tau$  is stress tensor, u is displacement vector, Pis pressure, k is coefficient of permeability,  $\rho$  is density, and g is gravity constant.
- Angiogenesis is enhanced via VEGF production by hypertrophic chondrocytes whose spatiotemporal distribution obeys eq. 1 with the source term,  $b_{VEGF}$ , as [2]:

(2) 
$$b_{VEGF} = rs_{VEGF} C_{hc} \left(1 - \frac{s_{VEGF}}{P_{VEGF}}\right) + \chi_{VEGF} C_{hc}$$
 (7)

Bone formation was assumed to occur when a certain (3)threshold of VEGF concentration is reached.

#### Analysis

(1)

- The constants in eqs. 1 to 7 were adopted from literature [1-4].
- The system of eqs. 1 to 7 were solved using finite element method in the FreeFEM++ environment.

## **Results**

• Predictions of spatial arrangement of proliferative chondrocytes (Fig 4) fit well with physiological observations of the growing tibia [3].

**Fig 1)** Tibia spontaneous fracture due to NF 1 related excessive bowing [1]

#### Objective

The objective of this study was to develop a valid mechanobiological model of early long bone growth to investigate the role of NF-1 relevant delayed bone formation in tibial anterolateral bowing at birth.

## Methodology

Initial geometry and loading conditions

- initial geometry mimicking • An condensed the of anlage mesenchymal stem cells was first considered.
- mechanical Dynamic loads representing contact pressure at

represents growth velocity vector, obtained  $v_a$ considering proliferation rate of chondrocytes and the enlargement rate of hypertrophic chondrocytes,  $\gamma$  [4]:

 $t^{\beta-1}e^{-t/\theta}$  $\gamma(t) = \alpha_{mech} \frac{1}{\nu}$ 

in which t is the time elapsed since hypertrophy has started and  $\alpha_{mech}$  is a dimensionless factor, between 0.75 to 1.25, depending on the mechanical stress [4].

• Our results revealed increased bowing at birth with higher thresholds of VEGF (Fig 5).

## Conclusion

NF-1 related delayed bone formation may explain anterolateral bowing of tibia at birth.



(4)

medial/lateral plateaus were the applied to the growing model (Fig 2) from embryonic day 90 onwards [2].



Fig 2) Initial anlage geometry with applied loading and boundary conditions

#### 8e4 10e4 4e4 6e4 **764**

**Fig 4)** Distribution pattern of proliferative chondrocytes from embryonic day 50 to 270

**Fig 5)** Predicted morphology of the tibial bone at birth for VEGF concentration of 0.027, 0.030, and 0.033 ng/mm (from left to right).

References

[1] Pannier S., 2011, Orthopaedics and Traumatology. [2] Vaca-Gonzalez, J. J. et al., 2018, Biomech Model Mechanobiol. [3] Garzon-Alvarado D. A. et al., 2009, Biomech Model Mechanobiol. [4] Castro-Abril H. A. et al., 2017, Theoretical Biology.