Ideas and perspectives: Carbon leaks from flooded land: do we need to replumb the inland water active pipe?
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Abstract. At the global scale, inland waters are a significant source of atmospheric carbon (C), particularly in the tropics. The active pipe concept predicts that C emissions from streams, lakes and rivers are largely fuelled by terrestrial ecosystems. The traditionally recognized C transfer mechanisms from terrestrial to aquatic systems are surface runoff and groundwater drainage. We present here a series of arguments that support the idea that land flooding is an additional significant process that fuels inland waters with C at the global scale. Whether the majority of CO₂ emitted by rivers comes from floodable land (approximately 10 % of the continents) or from well-drained land is a fundamental question that impacts our capacity to predict how these C fluxes might change in the future. Using classical concepts in ecology, we propose, as a necessary step forward, an update of the active pipe concept that differentiates floodable land from drained land. Contrarily to well-drained land, many wetlands (in particular riparian and littoral wetlands) combine strong hydrological connectivity with inland waters, high productivity assimilating CO₂ from the atmosphere, direct transfer of litter and exudation products to water and waterlogged soils, a generally dominant allocation of ecosystem respiration (ER) below the water surface and a slow gas-exchange rate at the water–air interface. These properties force plants to pump atmospheric C to wetland waters and, when hydrology is favourable, to inland waters as organic C and dissolved CO₂. This wetland CO₂ pump may contribute disproportionately to CO₂ emissions from inland waters, particularly in the tropics where 80 % of the global CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere occur. In future studies, more care must be taken in the way that vertical and horizontal C fluxes are conceptualized along watersheds, and 2-D models that adequately account for the hydrological export of all C species are necessary. In flooded ecosystems, significant effort should be dedicated to quantifying the components of primary production and respiration by the submerged and emerged part of the ecosystem community and to using these metabolic rates in coupled hydrological–biogeochemical models. The construction of a global typology of wetlands that includes productivity, gas fluxes and hydrological connectivity with inland waters also appears necessary to adequately integrate continental C fluxes at the global scale.

1 Introduction

Continental surfaces play a major role on the present and past climates, in particular through the exchange of greenhouse gases (GHGs) such as carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) with the atmosphere (Ciais et al., 2013). Conversely, the global climate affects the continental carbon (C) budget, as biological productivity and the capacity of ecosystems to store C are influenced by temperature, rainfall and other climatic variables (Heimann and Reichstein, 2008; Reichstein et al., 2013). The continental C budget is in addition affected by direct human alterations such as deforestation and reforestation as well as other land use changes. On continents, the C cycle is tightly coupled to the water cycle,
and CO₂ and CH₄ budgets strongly depend on how and how much water circulates through the plants, soil, groundwater and surface waters to the coastal ocean. Biogeochemical processes and fluxes in the critical zone, the permeable layer of the continents from the vegetation top to the aquifer bottom (Lin, 2010), have varied drastically at geological timescales (Knoll and James, 1987). Emissions of GHGs from continental ecosystems are expected to be highly sensitive to precipitation and hydrology in the future (Chapin III et al., 2013). Water is necessary for plant photosynthesis; moisture strongly controls respiration in soils; and the presence of water promotes anaerobic conditions and CH₄ production in wetlands, while soil desiccation promotes soil CH₄ oxidation. Water also considerably contributes to continental C budgets because rivers transport C laterally, with C being later trapped in sediments, emitted as CO₂ and CH₄ to the atmosphere, or exported to the ocean (Garrels and Mackenzie, 1971; Meybeck, 1982; Cole et al., 2007).

In terms of CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes, continental landscapes act as a heterogeneous mosaic, and some ecosystems store or emit more atmospheric C than others. Some small surfaces can behave as hotspots and disproportionately contribute to the total C mass balance at the regional, continental and global scales. Surface waters are recognized hotspots for CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes (Cole et al., 1994; Cole and Caraco, 2001; Bastviken et al., 2011; Raymond et al., 2013; Holgerson and Raymond, 2016). Natural surface waters include the open waters of lakes, reservoirs, streams, rivers and estuaries (approximately 3.5 % of the continents) as well as intermittently flooded land, where a canopy of vegetation is active above the water and/or when water is temporarily absent: swamps, marshes and floodplains, also called wetlands, that occupy approximately 10 % of the continents (Downing, 2009). In general, inland waters and wetlands show higher atmospheric C exchange rates per surface area than the surrounding land: wetlands are recognized for their high productivity, sedimentary organic carbon (OC) burial and CH₄ emissions (Mitsch et al., 2013). Inland waters (rivers, streams, lakes and reservoirs) act as a very significant source of atmospheric CO₂ at the global scale (Raymond et al., 2013).

Although the magnitude of CO₂ outgassing from inland surface waters at the global scale is still subject to large uncertainties, there is consensus that the quantity of C exported from land to freshwaters (1.9–3.2 PgC yr⁻¹) was larger than the C flux ultimately reaching the ocean (0.9 PgC yr⁻¹) (Fig. 1b). Cole et al. (2007) have conceptualized inland waters as an active pipe (Fig. 1b), receiving, processing, emitting and storing terrestrial C during its travel from land to the ocean, as opposed to a passive pipe that simply transports terrestrial C conservatively to the ocean (Fig. 1a), as generally assumed in earlier literature from the 1970s and 1980s (Garrels and Mackenzie, 1971; Meybeck, 1982). Since this definition, it has been assumed that most of the C emitted by inland waters was initially fixed upland by terrestrial vegetation, then transported from soils to aquatic systems with runoff and drainage, and finally emitted downstream as CO₂ to the atmosphere. Because no satisfactory methods are available yet to estimate directly the flux of C across the land–water boundary (e.g. Deirmendjian et al., 2018), this flux is calculated as the sum of outgassing from inland waters, burial in freshwater and estuarine sediments, and export to the coastal ocean (Cole et al., 2007). However, the processes controlling C fluxes at the land–water interface are poorly understood and some potential inconsistencies could arise when comparing C budget derived from terrestrial studies with those derived from aquatic studies. Here, we provide some additional evidence demonstrating that the transfer of terrestrial C to rivers could occur preferentially through land flooding. We suggest that wetlands behave not only as a significant source of atmospheric CH₄ and a long-term C sink in soils (Mitsch et al., 2013) but also as an efficient CO₂ pump that exports dissolved and particulate C to inland waters. This is particularly true for riparian and littoral wetlands that have strong connectivity with open inland waters. Using classical concepts in ecology, we analyse qualitatively and quantitatively how ecosystem production and respiration affect C export from drained land and from flooded land. We stress that our current understanding of processes and our ability to measure and quantify C metabolic and hydrological fluxes must be considerably improved to understand the origin of carbon in inland waters and predict future continental GHG budgets in the mosaic of continental ecosystems.

2 Conceptualizing and formulating C fluxes

Fluxes of C through the boundaries of an ecosystem – i.e. vertical exchange with the atmosphere and burial in soils and sediments on the one hand, and horizontal exchange between lands, wetlands and aquatic ecosystems on the other hand – are driven by metabolic processes in each ecosystem and physical processes that transport C such as hydrology, wind, turbulent mixing, and sediment deposition and resuspension. Following the conventions of Chapin III et al. (2006), the net CO₂ exchange of an ecosystem with the atmosphere is partitioned into several forms of C fluxes (Fig. 2):

\[-\text{NEE} = \text{NECB} + F_{\text{other}} + E,\]  

(1)

where NEE is net ecosystem exchange (the net CO₂ flux from the ecosystem to the atmosphere); NECB is the net ecosystem carbon balance (the net C accumulation in the ecosystem); \(F_{\text{other}}\) is the sum of vertical fluxes of volatile forms of C other than CO₂ (CH₄, carbon monoxide, volatile organic carbon) from the ecosystem to the atmosphere; and \(E\) is horizontal C export by hydrological transport, trading of food, feed and wood (Chapin III et al., 2008). Among the components of \(E\), only hydrological horizontal transport of C will be discussed in this paper. All terms in Eq. (1) are net fluxes and can be positive or negative. Note that, by con-
of CO₂ and/or dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) inside the ecosystem and generates a gradient that causes atmospheric CO₂ to enter the ecosystem. One process that makes –NEE diverge from NEP and NECB is the entrance in or departure from the ecosystem of significant amounts of inorganic C as DIC in the aquatic phase with horizontal hydrological transport rather than through atmospheric exchange (Chapin III et al., 2006). However, DIC originating from dissolution of carbonate rock will not contribute to the difference between NEP and NECB. In addition to this divergence between NEE and NEP, NECB deviates from NEP when C enters or leaves the ecosystem in forms other than CO₂ or DIC (Eq. 1). This includes horizontal transport of particulate and dissolved OC (POC and DOC) by hydrological processes, as well as vertical CH₄ fluxes, and a secondary C flux that is significant for the active pipe concept, as well as for climate regulation.

As a first step, an adequate conceptualization of atmospheric C fluxes along watersheds implies the definition of functional entities inside the boundless C cycle (Battin et al. 2009), at least between three types of ecosystems that have fundamentally different properties with respect to atmospheric CO₂ (Fig. 2): (1) the terrestrial, never-flooded land and its biosphere (forest, crops, shrub, grassland, and their well-drained soils and groundwater); (2) the floodable land and its mosaics of emergent wetlands with extremely variable ecological and hydrological properties; (3) the open waters of streams, lakes, and rivers. Some estimations of CO₂ outgassing from inland waters have included wetland surface areas generally estimated as the time-averaged flooded area (Richey et al., 2002; Aufdenkampe et al., 2011; Sawakuchi et al., 2017), while some others have not (Cole et al., 2007; Tranvik et al., 2009; Raymond et al., 2013). However, wetlands are functionally different from inland waters because their canopy of vegetation can alter the direction of atmospheric CO₂ exchange (Raymond et al., 2013; Abril et al., 2014). Assuming that the CO₂ flux at the water–air interface equals –NEE in wetlands (Richey et al., 2002) implicitly supposes that GPP and the aerial compartment of AR (Fig. 2b) are null or exactly balanced, which is incorrect. With respect to C cycling, the flooded land with emerged or floating vegetation has different properties from the drained land which is never flooded and whose topsoil is never waterlogged, as well as from the permanent and open waters of lakes. A definition based on flooding criteria has the advantage of allowing the clear delineation of the three subsystems using remote sensing (e.g. Melack and Hess, 2010) and is also functional with respect to the conceptualization and quantification of C cycling (Fig. 2). However, many wetland ecosystems are only seasonally flooded and experience emerged phases with ecological properties more similar to drained land; thus, C export by land flooding must be conceptualized as a transport mechanism that occurs during defined periods of time, even if it can mobilize highly significant amounts of C for the annual wetland budget. The surface areas of rivers, lakes and wetlands on the continents are still
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In this study, we consider hydrological transport and other processes such as animal movement, wind deposition and erosion, and anthropogenic transport or harvest.

\[ E = E_{POC} + E_{DOC} + E_{CO_2} + E_{CH_4}. \]  

Particulate and dissolved organic C (POC and DOC) are derived from NPP; DIC is in part the result of ER that releases dissolved CO\(_2\) (as well as CH\(_4\)) to waters and in part the result of chemical weathering that generates alkalinity. Weathering of carbonate and silicate rocks is mediated by soil CO\(_2\) derived from respiration, so that weathering is also a component of ER; however, the weathering of carbonate rock involves an additional mineral source of DIC which contributes to half of the alkalinity produced. Because chemical weathering is assumed to occur mostly upland, alkalinity is considered a relatively conservative chemical form of river C, although some exceptions have been reported in floodplains of tropical rivers (Bouchez et al., 2012; Geeraert et al., 2017). Here, we will discuss only the fraction of DIC that occurs as excess CO\(_2\), that is, the DIC that is potentially lost after complete water–air equilibration (Abril et al., 2000). Concerning dissolved CH\(_4\), the role of wetlands was identified in the literature for sustaining CH\(_4\) emissions in adjacent rivers (Borges et al., 2015b) and lakes (Juuitten et al., 2003). However, owing to its low solubility, high loss rates through microbial oxidation, and the fact that emissions from wetlands occur mostly as ebullition or through plants (Chanton and Whiting, 1995), contributing to the \( F_{other} \) term in Fig. 2b, the contribution of \( E_{CH_4} \) to \( E \) is small (few percent) in most ecosystems.

NEE is generally negative in forests (Luysaerta et al., 2010; Ciais et al., 2013) and wetlands (Morison et al., 2000; Saunders et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2016) but positive in lakes and rivers (Cole et al., 1994, 2007; Raymond et al., 2013) (Fig. 3). Compared to NEE, exchange of CH\(_4\) with the atmosphere (\( F_{other} \) in Eq. 1) is significant in wetlands but not in forests (Ciais et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2016) and proba-
Table 1. Surface areas of land, rivers (from Allen and Pavelsky, 2018), lakes and wetlands (from Lehner and Döll, 2004). Boreal refers to latitudes higher than 66° N, temperate to latitudes between 34 and 66° N and between 34 and 66° S, and tropical and subtropical to latitudes between 34° N and 34° S. Note that the estimate of Downing (2009) gives larger surface areas for lakes and wetlands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Land (excluding Antarctica)</th>
<th>Rivers</th>
<th>Lakes</th>
<th>Wetlands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface areas (km²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal</td>
<td>10 417 452</td>
<td>138 083</td>
<td>796 382</td>
<td>758 381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>49 208 693</td>
<td>205 109</td>
<td>1 218 642</td>
<td>3 677 205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical and subtropical</td>
<td>75 464 855</td>
<td>429 808</td>
<td>413 006</td>
<td>4 731 415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>135 091 000</td>
<td>773 000</td>
<td>2 428 030</td>
<td>9 167 001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contribution of ecosystems to global land area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boreal</th>
<th>Temperate</th>
<th>Tropical and subtropical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 %</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contribution of ecosystems to regional land area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boreal</th>
<th>Temperate</th>
<th>Tropical and subtropical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regional contribution to ecosystem global area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boreal</th>
<th>Temperate</th>
<th>Tropical and subtropical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 %</td>
<td>18 %</td>
<td>33 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 The inland water perspective

Global estimates of CO₂ emissions from inland waters (Cole et al., 1994; Raymond et al., 2013; Lauerwald et al., 2015) are derived from CO₂ flux intensities computed from the water–air gradient of the partial pressure of CO₂ (pCO₂) and the gas transfer velocity at the water–air interface and scaled to the surface area of lakes and rivers. Each of the three terms suffers for uncertainties and generally poor data coverage. Cole et al. (1994) provided the first quantification of the CO₂ emission to the atmosphere from lakes (0.1 PgC yr⁻¹), which was later confirmed by an updated calculation by Sobek et al. (2005). Cole and Caraco (2001) estimated global CO₂ degassing for rivers and streams, which has been recently re-evaluated by Raymond et al. (2013) and Lauerwald et al. (2015). The two latter studies are based on pCO₂ computed from pH and alkalinity from the same database (GLORICH, Hartmann et al., 2014) but with different data-selection criteria and scaling approaches. Raymond et al. (2013) extrapolated discrete pCO₂ values per COSCATS catchment aggregated units (Meybeck et al., 2006) and obtained a global CO₂ emission to the atmosphere of 0.3PgC yr⁻¹ from lakes and 1.8PgC yr⁻¹ from rivers and streams. A potential problem in this estimation comes from the calculation of pCO₂ from pH and alkalinity, which greatly overestimates pCO₂ (up to several hundred percent) in many acidic organic-rich “black” waters such as those found in the tropics and the boreal zone (Abril et al.,
Figure 3. Functional differences of carbon metabolism and hydrological export in well-drained and flooded land. NEE: net ecosystem exchange; GPP: gross primary production; NPP: net primary production; WP: wood production; LF: litter fall; AR: autotrophic respiration; AR\textsubscript{a}: autotrophic respiration in air; AR\textsubscript{w}: autotrophic respiration in water; AR\textsubscript{s}: autotrophic respiration in soils and sediments; HR: heterotrophic respiration; HR\textsubscript{w}: heterotrophic respiration in water; HR\textsubscript{s}: heterotrophic respiration in sediments; B: long-term burial in soils and sediments. POC: particulate organic C; DOC: dissolved organic C; \( E_{\text{OC}} \): export of organic carbon (sum of DOC and POC); \( E_{\text{CO}_2} \): export of dissolved \text{CO}_2; \( E_{\text{CH}_4} \): export of dissolved \text{CH}_4; \( F_{\text{CO}_2} \) and \( F_{\text{CH}_4} \): fluxes of \text{CO}_2 and \text{CH}_4 at the soil–air or water–air interface (as determined with static chambers). Note that, by convention, NEE is opposite in sign to GPP and NPP because NEE is defined by atmospheric scientists as a C input to the atmosphere, whereas GPP and NPP are defined by ecologists as C inputs to ecosystems (Chapin III et al., 2006). C export to river systems results from the interactions between metabolic processes and C transport processes between air, plants, soils, sediments and waters, which are fairly different in flooded ecosystems (c) and terrestrial, well-drained ecosystems (a). In terrestrial drained systems, carbon export occurs as surface runoff and drainage and includes a small fraction of LF, root exudation, AR\textsubscript{s}, and HR. In contrast, in wetlands during flooding (c), almost all LF and root exudation (that releases DOC), as well as a substantial fraction of ecosystem respiration (AR\textsubscript{w} + AR\textsubscript{s} + HR\textsubscript{w} + HR\textsubscript{s}), are transferring C to the aquatic system as OC and dissolved gases; in addition, slow gas exchange (low gas transfer velocity) in protected wetlands favours lateral export of dissolved \text{CO}_2 and \text{CH}_4. These lateral C fluxes are enhanced in flooded compared to drained systems and should generate strong discrepancies between ecosystem metabolic fluxes (GPP, NPP, ER and NECB) and vertical C fluxes measured in the field with static chambers (\( F_{\text{CO}_2} \) and \( F_{\text{CH}_4} \)) and eddy-covariance towers (NEE).

Lauerwald et al. (2015) computed river \( p\text{CO}_2 \) values on a regular grid (0.5° × 0.5°), using a multiple regression model based on the GLORICH \( p\text{CO}_2 \) data and modelled terrestrial NPP on the catchment, population density, air temperature and slope; this method provided a lower estimate of global \text{CO}_2 emission for rivers of 0.7 PgC yr\(^{-1}\). The strong divergence of global \text{CO}_2 emission estimates in these two studies most likely reflects the low data coverage in tropics that account for nearly 80% of the modelled global emission, although in the GLORICH database nearly all of the data in the tropics are from the Amazon. Recent direct \( p\text{CO}_2 \) measurements in several African rivers (Borges et al., 2015a) and in the Amazon (Abril et al., 2014) scaled to the tropics with wetland coverage (Borges et al., 2015b) provide a value of 1.8 ± 0.4 PgC yr\(^{-1}\) of \text{CO}_2 outgassing from tropical rivers alone (latitude < 25°), thus in line with the higher es-
timate of Raymond et al. (2013). The most recent estimates of river areal extent are higher than those used by Raymond et al. (2013) and Lauerwald et al. (2015) by 44% (Allen and Pavelsky, 2018), which should lead to an upward revision of CO$_2$ fluvial emissions. A larger estimate of the global river CO$_2$ outgassing of 3.9 PgC yr$^{-1}$ has been published recently (Sawakuchi et al., 2017). However, we choose not to consider this number in our analysis because it is based on observations in the Amazon River that include the floodplain areas that belong to the wetland domain, with a canopy of emergent vegetation.

According to the active pipe concept (Fig. 1b), the emission of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere from inland waters is attributed to terrestrial C fixed by plants on the catchment. The transfer occurs as (1) an input of dissolved CO$_2$ (and CH$_4$) originating from soil respiration, which will be further degassed from waters ($E_{CO_2}$ and $E_{CH_4}$ in Eq. 6); and (2) an input of particulate and dissolved organic C ($E_{DOC}$ and $E_{POC}$) followed by heterotrophic degradation to CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ in the aquatic system (Del Giorgio et al., 1999; Prairie et al., 2002; Cole et al., 2000; Battin et al., 2008; Hotchkiss et al., 2015). Inland waters, particularly lakes, also store significant quantities of OC mainly of terrestrial origin in their sediments (Cole et al., 2007; Tranvik et al., 2009). In aquatic systems, all the GPP and ER occur in water and sediments (Fig. 2c) and can be quantified with in vitro or in situ incubations. In addition, the CO$_2$ outgassing flux measured with floating chambers in open waters gives a direct estimate of $-\text{NEE}$ (although this method may create artefacts at the water–air interface), and diurnal changes in water pCO$_2$ (or oxygen concentration) can provide an estimate of GPP and ER. In inland waters, Eqs. (1) and (2) are generally combined to a simplified equation that allows us to account for the inorganic C balance:

\[ -\text{NEE} = \text{NEP} + E_{CO_2}, \]

(7)

with NEE positive, NEP negative (heterotrophic metabolism) and $E_{CO_2}$ negative, as rivers and lakes receive more dissolved CO$_2$ from upstream than they export downstream. Battin et al. (2008) made a global synthesis of aquatic metabolism rate measurements (NEP) and confirmed that stream, river and estuarine ecosystems are overall net heterotrophic and respire a total flux of about 0.3 PgC yr$^{-1}$. The fact that net heterotrophy (negative NEP) is in general lower than CO$_2$ outgassing in inland waters led Hotchkiss et al. (2015) to differentiate “internal CO$_2$” (from $-\text{NEE}$) from “external CO$_2$” coming from groundwater or riparian inputs of DIC (negative $E_{CO_2}$). Indeed, inputs of groundwater DIC are acknowledged as sustaining a significant fraction of the CO$_2$ emissions from lakes (Butman and Raymond, 2011; McDonald et al., 2013) and from rivers, especially headwaters (Johnson et al., 2008; Hotchkiss et al., 2015; Deirmendjian and Abril, 2018). Horizontal transfer of respiration-derived DIC from forest or wetland soils to aquatic ecosystems explains why aquatic NEE (CO$_2$ outgassing) greatly exceeds $-\text{NEP}$ (negative NEP, net heterotrophic ecosystems) in rivers (Abril et al., 2014; Hotchkiss et al., 2015; Borges et al., 2015a). Conversely, this outgassing flux from aquatic systems implies that, in terrestrial ecosystems and wetlands that release DIC laterally, NEP exceeds $-\text{NEE}$. Finally, large exports of DOC and POC from ecosystems such as peatland occur preferentially at high water table (Freeman et al., 2001; Clark et al., 2008); the large DOC hydrological mobilization from swamps and bogs will make their $-\text{NEE}$ much higher than their NECB (Eq. 1).

4 The terrestrial perspective

Hydrological C export as a significant loss term for terrestrial ecosystems has been considered in more detail only relatively recently (e.g. Ciais et al., 2008) and is included in only a very limited number of global terrestrial models (Tian et al., 2015; Lauerwald et al., 2017; Nakhaival et al., 2018). Terrestrial C budgets at the plot and the continental scales are based on different methods not consistent and precise enough to estimate hydrological C export as a residual flux. In addition, no direct standardized experimental method is available yet to directly estimate the flux of C across the boundary between land and water, and the $E$ term in Eq. (1) for terrestrial systems is almost always calculated from a C mass balance in inland waters (Fig. 1b; Ciais et al., 2013). Terrestrial $-\text{NEE}$ calculated as the difference between land use change and net land C flux is estimated at 2.6 PgC yr$^{-1}$ for the 2000s (Ciais et al., 2013). In a conceptual model that ignores the different functionalities between floodable and drained land (Fig. 1b), depending on what estimates are used for the outgassing term (Raymond et al., 2013; Lauerwald et al., 2015) and for the sediment burial term (Cole et al., 2007; Tranvik et al., 2009), the hydrological export necessary to balance the inland water C budget is 1.9–3.2 PgC yr$^{-1}$, which corresponds to 75%–125% of the present net atmosphere–land C flux (Fig. 1b). The atmosphere–land net C flux of 2.6 PgC yr$^{-1}$ is derived from multiple approaches including atmospheric CO$_2$ inversion, terrestrial ecosystem models and forest inventories (Ciais et al., 2013). The atmospheric CO$_2$ inversion method integrates large continental areas that include inland waters. Thus, the global $-\text{NEE}$ calculated from continental-scale inversion models accounts for CO$_2$ outgassing from inland waters. Intriguingly, the results of inversion methods are relatively consistent with forest inventories and process-based models that do not necessarily account for hydrological export (Ciais et al., 2013). However, when a comparison is made at the plot scale with eddy-covariance data, model performance is generally poor (Schwalm et al., 2010), and for instance modelled GPP can be overestimated by more than 100% in tropical forests (Stöckli et al., 2008). If a $-\text{NEE}$ from atmospheric inversion is assumed close to NECB from inventories and process-based models, then the $E$ term (Eq. 1) is expected to be small, within the error of
flux estimates from the terrestrial perspective. If outgassing of CO₂ from freshwater is already included in −NEE calculated by atmospheric inversion methods, and if this −NEE value (2.0–3.0 PgC yr⁻¹) is very close to that of NECB (1.8–2.3 PgC yr⁻¹), then terrestrial ecosystems barely export the 0.6–1.0 PgC yr⁻¹ of recalcitrant OC that is buried in inland waters (0.2–0.6 PgC yr⁻¹) and exported to the ocean (0.4 PgC yr⁻¹).

Spatially, global forest carbon accumulation occurs in boreal and temperate regions, whereas tropical forests were found to be nearly neutral, with net emissions from land use change being compensated for by sinks in preserved tropical forests (Pan et al., 2011). In contrast, Lauerwald et al. (2015) estimated that 78% of global CO₂ outgassing by rivers occurred at a latitude lower than 25°. Such latitudinal uncoupling between CO₂ uptake by forests and CO₂ outgassing from rivers and lakes is intriguing and merits an explanation. Indeed, it would imply that different climatic and/or anthropogenic forces are driving these continental fluxes, in contradiction with the positive spatial correlation between river pCO₂, air temperature and terrestrial NPP at the global scale (Lauerwald et al., 2015). It should not be forgotten, however, that these correlations could be indirect. Indeed, field pCO₂ data in the Amazon and in African rivers including the Congo River reveal a strong positive influence of flooding and the presence of wetlands on water pCO₂ (Abril et al., 2014; Borges et al., 2015a, b).

In terrestrial systems, few local studies at the plot scale compare −NEE or NECB measurements with E derived from groundwater, spring and/or stream sampling. These studies lead to very different conclusions from those of global modelling studies. In remnant mature forests of Pará, Brazil, Davidson et al. (2010) estimated the export of dissolved CO₂ from soil and groundwater to streams at a value of 2–3 orders of magnitude lower than the forest soil respiration and NPP. In temperate climate, Kindler et al. (2011) quantified C leaching by combining a soil–water model and dissolved C analysis in soil water; these authors reported significant E flux in croplands (25 % of NECB) and grasslands (22 %) but not in forests (less than 3 %). In a temperate, forested and well-drained watershed, Deirmendjian et al. (2018) monitored dissolved C concentrations in groundwater and streams and estimated a total export E of 2 % of −NEE as measured by eddy covariance at the same site. These modest export rates from forests in this limited number of studies appear contradictory with the necessity of a large E term from terrestrial ecosystems (1.9–3.2 PgC yr⁻¹ in Fig. 1b) to fuel inland waters at the global scale (Cole et al., 2007; Ciais et al., 2013).

From an ecological point of view, a modest hydrological C export from well-drained lands is also supported by the nature of their NEC components and more specifically by the allocation of GPP and ER between air and water (Figs. 2, 3). In terrestrial systems, GPP assimilates atmospheric CO₂, and AR releases CO₂ partly in air (ARₐ), as foliar respiration, woody tissue respiration and, partly in soil (ARₕ), as root respiration. HR occurs almost entirely in soils (HRₕ). In forests, below-ground respiration generally accounts for 30%–80 % of ER, and above-ground respiration accounts for the remaining fraction of ER (Davidson et al., 2006). Below-ground respiration in soils (ARₕ and HR) produces CO₂ mainly in superficial well-drained soils, where root density is highest, which are enriched in biodegradable organic matter by litter fall and root exudation (Ryan and Law, 2005). When the land is well drained, this CO₂ is released in the unsaturated zone of the soil and mostly returns to the atmosphere across the soil–air interface. In a tallgrass prairie, downward transfer of soil CO₂ to groundwater was only approximately 1 % of the soil–air CO₂ efflux (Tsypin and Macpherson, 2012). For this reason, CO₂ efflux from soils as measured with static chambers (Fig. 3) is commonly used as an integrative measure of soil respiration (Ryan and Law, 2005; Davidson et al., 2006) and, until now, by considering the loss of CO₂ that dissolves in groundwater as negligible or within the error of estimation of metabolic flux at the ecosystem scale. In other terms, historical approaches in terrestrial ecosystems consisted in neglecting F_other and E, combining Eqs. (1) and (2) to

\[ \text{−NEE} = \text{NECB} = \text{NEP} = \text{GPP} – \text{ER}. \] (8)

The transfer of C from well-drained terrestrial ecosystems to aquatic systems (Fig. 3) occurs through mechanical erosion of superficial soil by runoff that mobilizes POC including young litter; more refractory mineral-bound OC, as well as dissolved humic OC; and percolation of rainwater through soils that dissolves gaseous CO₂ and soil OC and liberates DIC and DOC in groundwater, which is further drained to streams and rivers. The fraction of HR that occurs in groundwater is probably modest in well-drained ecosystems, as the deepest water-saturated soil horizons contain much less biodegradable organic matter than the superficial soil (Ryan and Law, 2005; Deirmendjian et al., 2018). A modest export rate from forests is thus consistent with the allocation of forest metabolism (in particular ER) mainly above the water table (Fig. 2a), and with only few percent of −NEE ultimately reaching the aquatic system in non-flooding conditions (Fig. 3).

5 The wetland perspective

Even though wetlands cover an area of only approximately 10 % of land surface (Downing, 2009), they act as hotspots of productivity and CH₄ emissions (Saunois et al., 2016). In addition, many wetlands, such as riparian and littoral wetlands, have strong hydrological connections with streams, rivers and lakes. Ecologists formulated the hypothesis of wetlands as efficient C exporters long ago. Mulholland and Kuenzler (1979) reported several-fold higher DOC export from swamps than from the surrounding landscape in North Carolina (US). Junk (1985) described floodplain wetlands
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as a source of POC for the Amazon River; Wetzel (1992) named littoral wetlands of lakes as “metabolic gates” for nutrients and organic C between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. More recently, using a landscape ecological approach, Jenerette and Lal (2005) commented on the determinant influence of hydrology on wetland C fluxes, including downstream export to open waters. Consequently, hydrological variation (the second dimension of the conceptual 2-D model) was identified as a factor of large uncertainty in wetland C cycling (Jenerette and Lal, 2005). Indeed, current available quantitative information on the C export flux (Eq. 6) is particularly scarce. In wetlands, the quantification of metabolic C fluxes and the understanding of biogeochemical processes regulating –NEE, NEP, ER, and NECB have a high degree of uncertainty. (i) The partitioning of wetland community metabolism between air, water and sediment and (ii) the complex biological and physical processes that transfer C in gaseous, dissolved, and particulate forms between these three sub-compartments are only partially understood (e.g. Hamilton et al., 1995); they are also highly variable in time and space and difficult to measure in practice. Connectivity between wetlands and inland waters strongly impacts the magnitude of the E term in Eq. (1) and is much stronger in riparian and littoral wetlands than in swamps or bogs. Large variations in E are also expected with climate and latitude, due to differences in seasonal land flooding and the relative surface areas of rivers, lakes and wetlands in boreal, temperate and tropical regions (Table 1).

The few estimates of wetland C fluxes at the global scale strongly vary depending first on the surface area considered for upscaling (Fig. 1c). Lehner and Döll (2004) calculated a wetland surface area of 9–11 × 10^6 km^2. Mitsch et al. (2013) have used a value of 7 × 10^6 km^2 and Downing (2009) re-evaluated the total wetland area including smaller systems to 13–16 × 10^6 km^2. Based on remote sensing data, Papa et al. (2010) provide a mean total surface area of 3.4 × 10^6 km^2, with 56% located in the tropics, in agreement with previous estimates by Prigent et al. (2001, 2007). More recently, Lu et al. (2016) use a larger but probably unrealistic value of 33 × 10^6 km^2. Global wetland C fluxes consist in three major terms in Eq. (1): (1) –NEE obtained from eddy-covariance measurements was upscaled to a value of 3.2 PgC yr^{-1} (Lu et al., 2016), an estimate that needs to be corrected to 1.3 PgC yr^{-1} when applying the surface area re-evaluated by Downing (2009); in addition, the arithmetic mean of available eddy-covariance data (Lu et al., 2016) is probably not the most appropriate way to upscale –NEE at the global scale, and a more precise typology of wetland –NEE is necessary, based for instance on the classification of Lehner and Döll (2004). (2) NECB is assumed to be equal to organic C sequestration in soils and estimated from ^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs core dating (Mitsch et al., 2013), a method that ignores slow decay in the soil C pool and can result in unrealistically high soil C sequestration rates (Bridgham et al., 2014); indeed, Mitsch et al. (2013) proposed a global C sequestration value of 0.8 PgC yr^{-1}, whereas Bridgham et al. (2014) re-evaluated this value to less than 0.01 PgC yr^{-1}. (3) The F_{other} term for wetlands is mainly composed of CH_4 emissions and estimated from bottom-up approaches using static chambers and process-based models (Mitsch et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2016), as well as top-down inversion models based on atmospheric data (Saunois et al., 2016). Recent published estimates for the global wetland CH_4 flux range between 0.2 PgC yr^{-1} (Saunois et al., 2016) and 0.6 PgC yr^{-1} (Mitsch et al., 2013). Wetland C sources and sinks are thus subject to large uncertainties but still support the possibility of a residual C flux able to contribute significantly to river and lake C budgets at the global scale (Fig. 1c).

Eddy covariance reveals strong negative NEE (CO_2 sink) in most wetlands (Morison et al., 2000; Jones and Humphries, 2002; Saunders et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2016). However, if wetland E as DIC is ignored but significant, GPP and NPP deduced from the diurnal changes of eddy CO_2 fluxes (Lu et al., 2016) would be overestimated and, inversely, ER would be underestimated (Eqs. 1–6). This point is particularly crucial because in flooded land the emerged compartment contains most of the photosynthetic parts of the ecosystem (GPP, NPP) fixing CO_2 directly from the atmosphere, whereas the submerged compartment contains most of the respiratory parts of the ecosystem (ER, HR and a large fraction of AR) releasing CO_2 to waters but only part of it back to the atmosphere because of gas-exchange limitation at the water–air interface (Fig. 3). Wetland 1-D mass-balance budgets also include an estimation of NPP based on biomass inventories (Mitsch et al., 2013; Sjögersten et al., 2014). One problem with NPP data is that they do not account for all the C transferred by the plants from the atmosphere to the soil and water; indeed, as the sum of NEP and HR (Eq. 5), NPP does not include the fraction of GPP that is recycled by AR and, most importantly, the root respiration in sediment and water, which is highly significant below floating plant meadows (Bedford et al., 1991; Hamilton et al., 1995) and in flooded forest (Piedade et al., 2010). Total AR in flooded ecosystems should be divided into three components according to

\[
AR = AR_a + AR_w + AR_s,
\]

where AR_a, AR_w and AR_s are the fraction of AR occurring in air, water and soils, respectively (Fig. 3). In flooded land, a canopy of vegetation generally protects the water–air interface from wind stress and the gas transfer velocity is lower compared to surrounding open waters (Foster-Martinez and Variano, 2016; Ho et al., 2018). Consequently, only a limited fraction of AR_w and AR_s will contribute to the CO_2 fluxes measured with static chambers in wetlands. This is a second reason why wetland mass balances are incomplete and may artificially shift wetlands to atmospheric C sources or sinks (Sjögersten et al., 2014).

The allocation of C stocks and metabolism above and below water is fundamentally different in flooded land com-
pared to well-drained land, and this considerably modifies their ecological functionalities (Figs. 2 and 3). Although some wetland plants also use DIC from water for photosynthesis, a large majority of wetland GPP is made by the emerged part of plants that fix atmospheric CO\(_2\) during the emersion periods and/or during the flooding thanks to their emerged or floating canopies (Piedade et al., 1994; Parolin et al., 2001; Engle et al., 2008). A large fraction (excluding wood) of the wetland biomass produced annually is transferred directly to water and sediment as litter fall and fine root production, where it fuels HR, including methanogenesis. Beside some important CH\(_4\) oxidation (Segarra et al., 2015), this leads to a \(F_{\text{other}}\) (Eq. 1) as CH\(_4\) fluxes more significantly in wetlands than in well-drained terrestrial ecosystems (Ciais et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2016). In addition, because of anaerobic conditions in their soils, water-tolerant plants can develop morphological aeration strategies (Haase and Rätsch, 2010) that actively transport oxygen to the root zone and enhance respiration and the release of dissolved CO\(_2\), CH\(_4\) and other fermentative organic compounds such as ethanol to waters and pore waters (Bedford et al., 1991; Hamilton et al., 1995; Piedade et al., 2010). Plants also transport CH\(_4\) directly from sediments to the atmosphere (Byrnes et al., 1995). Wetland water below plant canopies is generally hypoxic and highly supersaturated in CO\(_2\) (Bedford et al., 1991; Abril et al., 2014) and CH\(_4\) (Hamilton et al., 1995; Borges et al., 2015b). Because the water–air interface behaves as a strong physical barrier for gas diffusion, depending on hydrological features, dissolved CO\(_2\) from swamps, marshes and floodplains’ waters can be transported downriver for a long distance before being emitted to the atmosphere (Abril et al., 2014; Borges et al., 2015b). Lateral export of C from wetland to inland waters can follow different patterns depending on the hydrological connectivity and the frequency of flooding. Some almost permanently flooded wetlands will contribute continuously, whereas wetlands episodically flooded will contribute only during short periods through this mechanism. Nevertheless, C lateral fluxes induced by flooding during these short periods can still be very significant in the annual C budget of wetlands and rivers.

All these observations suggest the occurrence of a wetland CO\(_2\) pump that captures atmospheric CO\(_2\) and exports organic and inorganic C to rivers and lakes. This biological pump is also consistent with chamber measurements that generally identify CO\(_2\) sinks in vegetated flooded areas and CO\(_2\) sources in adjacent open waters (Pierobon et al., 2010; Ribaudo et al., 2012; Peixoto et al., 2016). It is worth noting that little is known on how wetland –NEE is affected by hydrology. For instance, a swamp of papyrus (Cyperus papyrus) on a sheltered shore of Lake Naivasha, Kenya, was a CO\(_2\) sink during immersion but a CO\(_2\) source during emersion, when large amounts of plant detritus accumulated in soils were exposed to air (Jones and Humphries, 2002). In contrast, in the more hydrologically dynamic Amazon floodplain, Brazil, a stand of Echinochloa polystachya, another C\(_4\) plant, was a CO\(_2\) sink during both immersion and emersion (Morison et al., 2000). This suggests that a more efficient hydrological export of C in Amazon floodplains compared to Lake Naivasha could have promoted an annual negative NEE (Eq. 1). Such competition between C export and burial is also consistent with the more efficient C burial (B term in Fig. 3) in low flow-through wetlands (Mitsch et al., 2013).

Concerning the metabolic C balance of wetlands during flooding, the fraction of OC produced by NPP that is not respired in situ or buried in the wetland soil is exported to rivers systems as OC (Fig. 3), according to

\[
\text{NPP} = B + \text{HR} + \text{E}_{\text{POC}} + \text{E}_{\text{DOC}}. \tag{10}
\]

\[
\text{NEP} = B + \text{E}_{\text{POC}} + \text{E}_{\text{DOC}}. \tag{11}
\]

where \(B\) is the OC burial in the wetland soil. Thus, the export of POC and DOC from wetlands is expressed as

\[
\text{E}_{\text{POC}} + \text{E}_{\text{DOC}} = \text{NEP} - B = \text{NPP} - \text{HR} - B. \tag{12}
\]

Downstream, this organic material will undergo intense degradation in inland water (negative NEP), contributing to CO\(_2\) outgassing through the OC detrital pathway (Cole and Caraco, 2001; Battin et al., 2008).

Plants and microbes respiring in water, sediments and the root zone (AR\(_w\), AR\(_s\) and HR) release dissolved CO\(_2\) in wetland water. During flooding, AR\(_s\) is the only component of ER not contributing to \(E_{\text{CO}_2}\). The fraction \(\alpha\) of wetland ER occurring in water and sediment (AR\(_w\) and AR\(_s\)) and almost all of the microbial HR (Eq. 11) release dissolved CO\(_2\) (and CH\(_4\)) to waters:

\[
\alpha \text{ER} = \text{AR}_w + \text{AR}_s + \text{HR} \text{ with } (0 < \alpha < 1). \tag{13}
\]

Part of these dissolved gases is emitted to the atmosphere, and another part is exported by the water flow:

\[
\alpha \text{ER} = F_{\text{CO}_2} + F_{\text{CH}_4} + E_{\text{CO}_2} + E_{\text{CH}_4}, \tag{14}
\]

with

\[
E_{\text{CO}_2} = \alpha \beta \text{ER} \text{ and } F_{\text{CO}_2} = \alpha (1 - \beta) \text{ER} \text{ and } (0 < \beta < 1). \tag{15}
\]

\(\alpha \beta\) is thus the fraction of ecosystem respiration that is exported laterally from the wetland in water masses. For simplification, we do not include \(E_{\text{CH}_4}\) in Eq. (13) because this term is assumed to be modest (few %) compared to \(E_{\text{CO}_2}\). Indeed, the \(\beta\) term might be much smaller for CH\(_4\) than for CO\(_2\) due to preferential CH\(_4\) ebullition and transport through plants in wetlands (Chanton and Whiting, 1995). For CO\(_2\), the fraction \(\beta\) depends on hydrological and geomorphological parameters such as water depth, velocity and gas exchange in the wetland. Using a simple model of lateral dissolved gas transport (Abril et al., 2014), typical values of 1 cm h\(^{-1}\) for the
gas transfer velocity (Foster-Martinez and Variano, 2016; Ho et al., 2018) and 5000 ppmv for water pCO2, we calculated a β value of 0.93 for a water column of 1 m depth flowing at a velocity of 10 cm s⁻¹ in a 100 m long wetland (assumed conditions for riparian wetlands during maximum flood). When the water depth is set at 0.1 m instead of 1 m or the water velocity is established at 1 cm s⁻¹ instead of 10 cm s⁻¹, β decreases to 0.53. Consequently, a large majority of the CO2 produced by wetland below-water respiration is outgassed to the atmosphere outside of the wetland. Finally, accounting for all terms in Eq. (6) in wetlands leads to total export expressed as

\[
E = (E_{\text{DOC}} + E_{\text{POC}}) + (E_{\text{CO2}} + E_{\text{CH4}})
= (\text{NPP} - \text{HR} - B) + (\beta \alpha \text{ER} - F_{\text{CO2}} - F_{\text{CH4}}), \tag{16}
\]

\[
E = (E_{\text{DOC}} + E_{\text{POC}}) + (E_{\text{CO2}} + E_{\text{CH4}})
= (\text{NPP} - \text{HR} - B) + (\beta (\text{AR}_w + \text{AR}_s + \text{HR})
- F_{\text{CO2}} - F_{\text{CH4}}), \tag{17}
\]

\[
E = \text{NPP} - B + \beta \text{AR}_w + \beta \text{AR}_s + (\beta - 1) \text{HR}
- F_{\text{CO2}} - F_{\text{CH4}}. \tag{18}
\]

The correct 2-D wetland mass-balance budget in flooded ecosystems is also calculated as

\[
\text{NPP} + \beta \text{AR}_w + \beta \text{AR}_s - (1 - \beta) \text{HR}
= B + F_{\text{CO2}} + F_{\text{CH4}} + E. \tag{19}
\]

The three terms AR_w, AR_s and HR together with the E term are generally neglected in wetland C budgets that quantify only NPP, F_{CO2}, F_{CH4} and B (Mitsch et al., 2013; Sjögersten et al., 2014).

6 What tools do plumbers need?

Quantifying hydrological C export from wetlands at the ecosystem, regional and global scales would require information that to date is still missing or incomplete. General recommendations include more systematic field observations of C fluxes across the boundaries of wetlands with the atmosphere, the upland and the river. Eddy-covariance data are still lacking in some remote wetlands where logistics are complicated (Lu et al., 2016), for example in floodplains of large tropical rivers, which host highly productive flooded forests and floating macrophytes (Piedade et al., 1994; Morrisson et al., 2000), and largely contribute to riverine global CO2 and CH4 emissions (Richey et al., 2002; Engle et al., 2008; Bloom et al., 2010; Abril et al., 2014; Borges et al., 2015a). Eddy-covariance measurements should also be more systematically coupled at the same site with chamber measurements, hydrological C fluxes and C sequestration studies but accounting for the longer timescale of the sequestration rates based on core dating.

The quantification in the field of the amount of C that enters or leaves wetland ecosystems horizontally with water flow is challenging because many wetlands have complex morphologies and multiple pathways of hydrological transport that can be apprehended only using hydrodynamical modelling. In addition to hydrological complexity, the chemical forms may largely change when water crosses the wetland and, for instance, fine terrestrial mineral-bound POC can be trapped and replaced by wetland coarser POC, DOC and dissolved CO2. Isotopic and molecular tracers can help in differentiating terrestrial from wetland OC, when the signatures of the two sources are well separated; for instance, in watersheds dominated by C3 forests, the contribution of wetland C4 macrophytes can be tracked with δ13C in riverine POC, DOC and DIC (Quay et al., 1992; Mortillaro et al., 2011; Albèric et al., 2018). In contrast, OC from flooded forests is more difficult to differentiate from that coming from terra firme forests (Ward et al., 2013) when many tree species are common to both ecosystems (Junk et al., 2010). Radiocarbon age in rivers can be interpreted as the time spent by C in soils and, when young C predominates, radiocarbon data suggest a rapid transfer from plants to waters (Mayorga et al., 2005), as expected in highly productive riparian wetlands. However, some wetlands such as peats can also export old DOC to streams (Billet et al., 2007).

Original experimental work in mesocosms that simulate flooding and wetland ecosystem manipulations are necessary to characterize and quantify hydrological C export annually per flooded area, as well as the fraction of ecosystem respiration occurring below water; methods must be developed to estimate HR, AR_w and AR_s during immersed and emerged periods (Eqs. 13–15). Soil core incubations or submerged static chambers, for instance, provide an estimate of HR_s plus a fraction of AR_s in some flooded areas with small plants that can be captured in the chamber; in the absence of phytoplankton, dark water incubations measure HR_w but miss AR_w by the submerged part of plants. Special mesocosms adapted to the metabolism of semiaquatic plants are thus necessary. Data of metabolic rates would allow building coupled hydrological–biogeochemical models of wetlands accounting for flooded and non-flooded periods. Process-based biogeochemical models are indeed promising approaches for quantifying C exports from flooded lands (e.g. Sharifi et al., 2013; Lauerwald et al., 2017). Ideally, these models could simulate the most important biological processes in the wetland: GPP; NPP; litter fall; and the different components of ER in air, water and soil, together with hydrological transport and gas emission. Few modelling studies account for DOC export (Sharifi et al., 2013) – most miss the DIC export as dissolved CO2 and do not correctly account for the autotrophic respiration terms (AR_w and AR_s) or the heterotrophic microbial processes in the root zone (HR_s) (Fig. 2). Recently, Lauerwald et al. (2017) developed a new type of model of C cycling in large rivers that mimics the most important physical and biological processes, including an empirical equation during land flooding; when applied to the Amazon River, the model calculated a total CO2 out-
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gassing flux close to that upscaled from field measurements (Richey et al., 2002); in addition, the computed annual relative contributions to the total dissolved C inputs of surface runoff (14 %), drainage (28 %) and flooding (57 %) were consistent with recent field evidence that wetlands predominantly fuel CO2 outgassing from the Amazon River (Abril et al., 2014).

Finally, a precise upsampling of wetland and inland waters’ global C budgets requires an adequate typology of C cycles that accounts for the different hydrological and biogeochemical functioning of peats, swamps, marshes and floodplains, and their spatial distributions along climatic zones (Lehner and Döll, 2004). While large-scale wetlands such as tropical flooded forests can be determined by remote sensing and are available in spatial data sets such as the Global Land Cover 2009 (Bontemps et al., 2011), there are no global data sets for smaller-scale and elusive structures such as meadows of macrophytes that are important components of floodplains and riparian wetlands. However, progress has been made to develop algorithms to treat fine-resolution remote sensing data for local applications (Villa et al., 2018). Ideally, these global geo-referenced databases could also include metabolic parameters such as ecosystem productivity, respiration and CH4 emission, as well as simplified parameters that describe hydrological connectivity and exposure time to flooding (e.g. Oldham et al., 2013). Process-based models could also be built and validated in individual wetland types, and then aggregated to a global model able to quantify C fluxes between drained land, floodable land, rivers and lakes, and the atmosphere at the continental scale. Such modelling tools will also be highly valuable to predict the impacts of climate and land use changes on these continental C fluxes. Knowing the relative contribution of well-drained and flooded land to inland water CO2 emissions is crucial for quantifying the continental greenhouse gas budget (Fig. 1) and predicting its sensitivity and feedback on climate warming. For instance, the intensification of floods and droughts or river damming has the potential to drastically modify C fluxes at the land–water–atmosphere interface and alter or enhance the hotspot character of wetlands in the continental C cycle. Such evolution must be monitored in the field, better understood, conceptualized and modelled in order to guide environmental conservation strategies in the next decades.
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