Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position







Loïc MICHEL – <u>loicnmichel@gmail.com</u>

Course "Etude des isotopes stables et applications au milieu marin"

Trophic: relating to feeding and nutrition. From Greek τροφή (trophê): food, growth



Nyssen, 1997

Trophic

Food chain: a succession of organisms in an ecological community that are linked to each other through the transfer of energy and nutrients.





Illustration from "Book of the animals", Al-Jahiz (776-869, Iraq). First know mention of the food chain concept.

Trophic

Food chain

Trophic position: the level at which a consumer is found in its food chain

Primary consumers TP = 2

Secondary consumers

TP = 3

Primary producers TP = 1



In real-world ecosystems, consumers feed at multiple trophic levels: trophic position is not a discrete number, it is a continuous variable

ARKIVE	C. acronotus		
	1	Code	Species group
AND CARLES AND	A B B	FISH	Teleost fishes
AND STATISTICS PROPERTY OF THE STATE	0212	CEPH	Cephalopods (squids, octopuses)
A MY WALL AND A MY CONTRACT OF A MY AND		MOL	Molluses (excluding cephalopods)
		CR	Decapod crustaceans (shrimps, crabs, prawns, lobsters)
and the second		INV	Other invertebrates (all invertebrates except molluscs, crustaceans, and zooplankton)
	ndy Murch / SeaPics.com	ZOO	Zooplankton (mainly euphausids "krill")
		BIR	Seabirds
		REP	Marine reptiles (sea turtles and sea snakes)
		MAM	Marine mammals (cetaceans, pinnipeds, mustelids)
		CHON	Chondrichthyan fishes (sharks, skates, rays, and chimaerids)
		PL	Plants (marine plants and algae)
C. amblyrhynchos			

n	Ν	FISH	СЕРН	MOL	CR	INV	ZOO	BIR	REP	MAM	CHON	PL	Trophic level
1	13	98.2	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2
2	15	75.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	4.2
3	22	43.3	13.4	0.0	3.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.7	0.0	4.3
2	164	89.3	2.9	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	4.2
9	253	69.2	16.6	0.0	12.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	4.1
3	136	56.3	5.6	2.0	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	28.0	0.0	4.3
-	n 1 2 3 2 9 3	n N 1 13 2 15 3 22 2 164 9 253 3 136	n N FISH	n N FISH CEPH 1 13 98.2 0.0 2 15 75.0 12.5 3 22 43.3 13.4 2 164 89.3 2.9 9 253 69.2 16.6 3 136 56.3 5.6	n N FISH CEPH MOL 1 13 98.2 0.0 0.6 2 15 75.0 12.5 0.0 3 22 43.3 13.4 0.0 2 164 89.3 2.9 0.0 9 253 69.2 16.6 0.0 3 136 56.3 5.6 2.0	n N FISH CEPH MOL CR 1 13 98.2 0.0 0.6 1.2 2 15 75.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 3 22 43.3 13.4 0.0 3.3 2 164 89.3 2.9 0.0 4.9 9 253 69.2 16.6 0.0 12.7 3 136 56.3 5.6 2.0 7.4	n N FISH CEPH MOL CR INV 1 13 98.2 0.0 0.6 1.2 0.0 2 15 75.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 6.3 3 22 43.3 13.4 0.0 3.3 3.3 2 164 89.3 2.9 0.0 4.9 0.0 9 253 69.2 16.6 0.0 12.7 0.0 3 136 56.3 5.6 2.0 7.4 0.0	n N FISH CEPH MOL CR INV ZOO 1 13 98.2 0.0 0.6 1.2 0.0 0.0 2 15 75.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 6.3 0.0 3 22 43.3 13.4 0.0 3.3 3.3 0.0 2 164 89.3 2.9 0.0 4.9 0.0 0.0 9 253 69.2 16.6 0.0 12.7 0.0 0.0 3 136 56.3 5.6 2.0 7.4 0.0 0.0	n N FISH CEPH MOL CR INV ZOO BIR 1 13 98.2 0.0 0.6 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 15 75.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 6.3 0.0 0.0 3 22 43.3 13.4 0.0 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 2 164 89.3 2.9 0.0 4.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 9 253 69.2 16.6 0.0 12.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 3 136 56.3 5.6 2.0 7.4 0.0 0.0 0.0	n N FISH CEPH MOL CR INV ZOO BIR REP 1 13 98.2 0.0 0.6 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 15 75.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 6.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 3 22 43.3 13.4 0.0 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 164 89.3 2.9 0.0 4.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 9 253 69.2 16.6 0.0 12.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3 136 56.3 5.6 2.0 7.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	n N FISH CEPH MOL CR INV ZOO BIR REP MAM 1 13 98.2 0.0 0.6 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 15 75.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 6.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3 22 43.3 13.4 0.0 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 164 89.3 2.9 0.0 4.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 9 253 69.2 16.6 0.0 12.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3 136 56.3 5.6 2.0 7.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.7	n N FISH CEPH MOL CR INV ZOO BIR REP MAM CHON 1 13 98.2 0.0 0.6 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 15 75.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 6.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 3 22 43.3 13.4 0.0 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 36.7 2 164 89.3 2.9 0.0 4.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.9 9 253 69.2 16.6 0.0 12.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3 136 56.3 5.6 2.0 7.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.7 28.0	n N FISH CEPH MOL CR INV ZOO BIR REP MAM CHON PL 1 13 98.2 0.0 0.6 1.2 0.0

Cortes 1999 ICES J Mar Sci 56: 707-717 (149 spp of sharks)

Why study trophic position?



- allows estimation of energy flow through ecological communities (e.g. food chain length)
- is a simple way to compare organisms' functional roles in natural ecosystems...

...yet can take into account complex and important processes (e.g. omnivory)

Trophic position is commonly used in trophic ecology

How to study trophic position?

Classical methods: *in situ* feeding observations and gut content analysis





Classic methods have limitations

- Time-consuming: representative sampling hard to achieve
- Direct observations: observer effect
- Gut contents: items can have different digestibility
- Only provide a "snapshot" of the diet
- Info about ingestion, but what about assimilation, and therefore energy and organic matter transfer?



Classic methods have limitations

- Time-consuming: representative sampling hard to achieve
- Direct observations: observer effect
- Gut contents: items can have different digestibility
- Only provide a "snapshot" of the diet

To overcome those limitations, classic methods can be complemented by integrative trophic markers, such as stable isotope ratios





Due to the complex steps in digestion of proteins, the heavy nitrogen stable isotope (¹⁵N) undergoes enrichment from diet to consumer tissue

ISOTOPE EFFECTS IN METABOLISM OF ¹⁴N AND ¹⁵N FROM UNLABELED DIETARY PROTEINS¹

O. H. GAEBLER, TRIESTE G. VITTI,² AND ROBERT VUKMIROVICH

Canadian Journal of Biochemistry. Volume 44 (1966)



ISOTOPE EFFECTS IN METABOLISM OF ¹⁴N AND ¹⁵N FROM UNLABELED DIETARY PROTEINS¹

O. H. GAEBLER, TRIESTE G. VITTI,² AND ROBERT VUKMIROVICH

Canadian Journal of Biochemistry. Volume 44 (1966)

 $\delta^{15}N$ follows a stepwise increase pattern along food chains

This increase (trophic enrichment) is predictable



Stepwise enrichment of ¹⁵N along food chains: Further evidence and the relation between $\delta^{15}N$ and animal age

MASAO MINAGAWA* and EITARO WADA 1984 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta Vol. 48, pp. 1135-1140

Trophic position



ISOTOPE EFFECTS IN METABOLISM OF ¹⁴N AND ¹⁵N FROM UNLABELED DIETARY PROTEINS¹

O. H. GAEBLER, TRIESTE G. VITTI,² AND ROBERT VUKMIROVICH

Canadian Journal of Biochemistry. Volume 44 (1966)

 $\delta^{15}N$ follows a stepwise increase pattern along food chains

This increase (trophic enrichment) is predictable



Stepwise enrichment of ¹⁵N along food chains: Further evidence and the relation between δ^{15} N and animal age

MASAO MINAGAWA* and EITARO WADA 1984 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta Vol. 48, pp. 1135–1140

If you measure the $\delta^{15}N$ of a consumer and of the baseline item supporting it, you can infer the number of steps between the the consumer and the food web baseline, i.e. its trophic position

Trophic position

Modelling food chain structure and contaminant bioaccumulation using stable nitrogen isotopes

Gilbert Cabana & Joseph B. Rasmusson NATURE - VOL 372 - 17 NOVEMBER 1994





$$TP = \frac{\delta^{15}N_{Cons} - \delta^{15}N_{Base}}{3.4} + 1$$

With

 $\delta^{15}N_{Cons} = \delta^{15}N$ of consumer of interest (here, lake fish) $\delta^{15}N_{Base} = \delta^{15}N$ of baseline supporting this consumer (here, zooplankton) 3.4 = Mean trophic enrichment factor 1 = Trophic position of the baseline



Gilbert Cabana & Joseph B. Rasmusson NATURE - VOL 372 - 17 NOVEMBER 1994





$$TP = \frac{\delta^{15} N_{Cons} - \delta^{15} N_{Base}}{3.4} + 1$$

Problem: In some ecosystems, isotopic composition of the baseline is highly variable temporally and/or spatially

- 3.4 = Mean trophic enrichment factor
- 1 = Trophic position of the baseline

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA Vol. 93, pp. 10844-10847, October 1996

Comparison of aquatic food chains using nitrogen isotopes

(food web/trophic level/sewage/eutrophication/nutrient cycling)

GILBERT CABANA*† AND JOSEPH B. RASMUSSEN



$$TP = \frac{\delta^{15} N_{Cons} - \delta^{15} N_{Prim}}{3.4} + 2$$

With

 $\delta^{15}N_{Cons} = \delta^{15}N$ of consumer of interest $\delta^{15}N_{Prim} = \delta^{15}N$ of a primary consumer belonging to the same food web

3.4 = Mean trophic enrichment factor

2 = Trophic position of the primary consumer



Unionidae mussels

Real-world food webs



In theory

In the real world \checkmark



Food webs are complex and dynamic...

Animals seldom (if ever) depend on a single baseline item



Summerhayes & Elton (1923): J. Animal Ecol. 11(2): 216-233



Ecology, 83(3), 2002, pp. 703–718 $\tilde{0}$ 2002 by the Ecological Society of America

USING STABLE ISOTOPES TO ESTIMATE TROPHIC POSITION: MODELS, METHODS, AND ASSUMPTIONS

DAVID M. POST^{1,2,3}



For fish feeding mostly in the littoral zone: the littoral baseline will be more important For fish feeding mostly in the pelagic zone: the pelagic baseline will be more important



Ecology, 83(3), 2002, pp. 703–718 $\tilde{0}$ 2002 by the Ecological Society of America

USING STABLE ISOTOPES TO ESTIMATE TROPHIC POSITION: MODELS, METHODS, AND ASSUMPTIONS

DAVID M. POST^{1,2,3}

If, for a single baseline

$$TP = \frac{\delta^{15}N_{Cons} - \delta^{15}N_{Base}}{\Delta^{15}N} + \lambda$$

With

$$\begin{split} &\delta^{15}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{Cons}} = \delta^{15}\mathsf{N} \text{ of consumer} \\ &\delta^{15}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{Base}} = \delta^{15}\mathsf{N} \text{ of "baseline"} \\ &\Delta^{15}\mathsf{N} = \mathsf{Trophic enrichment factor} \\ &\lambda = \mathsf{Trophic position of "baseline"} \end{split}$$



Ecology, 83(3), 2002, pp. 703–718 © 2002 by the Ecological Society of America

USING STABLE ISOTOPES TO ESTIMATE TROPHIC POSITION: MODELS, METHODS, AND ASSUMPTIONS

DAVID M. POST^{1,2,3}

Then, for two baselines

$$TP = \frac{\delta^{15}N_{Cons} - (\alpha . \delta^{15}N_{B1} + (1 - \alpha) . \delta^{15}N_{B2})}{\Delta^{15}N} + \lambda$$

With

 $\delta^{15}N_{Cons} = \delta^{15}N$ of consumer $\delta^{15}N_{B1} = \delta^{15}N$ of "baseline" 1 $\delta^{15}N_{B2} = \delta^{15}N$ of "baseline" 2 $\Delta^{15}N =$ Trophic enrichment factor $\lambda =$ Trophic position of "baselines" $\alpha =$ Contribution of baseline 1 to the diet of the consumer



Ecology, 83(3), 2002, pp. 703–718 © 2002 by the Ecological Society of America

USING STABLE ISOTOPES TO ESTIMATE TROPHIC POSITION: MODELS, METHODS, AND ASSUMPTIONS

DAVID M. POST^{1,2,3}

How to estimate α ? With a (simple) mixing model based on carbon...

$$\alpha = \frac{\delta^{13}C_{\text{Cons}} - \delta^{13}C_{\text{B2}}}{\delta^{13}C_{\text{B1}} - \delta^{13}C_{\text{B2}}}$$

Considering no isotopic fractionation of carbon:

 $δ^{13}C_{Cons} = α.δ^{13}C_{B1} + (1-α).δ^{13}C_{B2}$









Literature analysis to pick values that make sense in the context of your study

Take into account environment, taxon, prey nature, etc.





OIKOS 102: 378-390, 2003

Variation in trophic shift for stable isotope ratios of carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur

James H. McCutchan Jr, William M. Lewis Jr, Carol Kendall and Claire C. McGrath

Table 3. Mean (\pm SE) estimates of trophic shift for C, N, and S; estimates for fluid-feeding consumers are excluded. Results of the Student's t-test are given for each comparison. Statistically significant differences (p<0.05) are indicated by *. High-protein diets include animal and microbial diets; low-protein diets include plant and algal diets.

	$\Delta \delta^{13}$ C	2	$\Delta \delta^{15} N$	1	$\Delta \delta^{34} S$		
Consumer	Trophic shift	t-test	Trophic shift	t-test	Trophic shift	t-test	
All animals	$+0.5 \pm 0.13$ (102)		$+2.3\pm0.18$ (73)		$+0.5 \pm 0.56$ (12)		
Diet type Vascular plants All other diets	$+0.4 \pm 0.28$ (34) $+0.5 \pm 0.14$ (68)	t = 0.39; p = 0.70	$+2.4 \pm 0.42$ (19) $+2.2 \pm 0.20$ (54)	t = 0.34; p = 0.73	-0.9 ± 0.61 (6) +1.9 ± 0.42 (6)	t = 3.83; p = 0.003*	
Protein content High Low	$+0.6 \pm 0.16$ (44) $+0.5 \pm 0.19$ (58)	t = 1.10; p = 0.27	$+2.4 \pm 0.22$ (38) $+2.2 \pm 0.30$ (35)	t = 0.61; p = 054	$+1.9 \pm 0.51$ (5) -0.5 ± 0.65 (7)	t = 2.80; p = 0.019*	
Metabolism Poikilotherms Homeotherms	$+0.4 \pm 0.14$ (91) $+0.9 \pm 0.37$ (11)	t = 1.13; p = 0.26	$+2.3 \pm 0.20$ (65) $+2.0 \pm 0.38$ (8)	t = 0.45; p = 0.66	+0.5 ± 0.56 (12)	_	
Nitrogenous waste Ammonia Urea/uric acid	$+0.4 \pm 0.18$ (49) $+0.5 \pm 0.19$ (53)	t = 0.71; p = 0.48	$+2.3 \pm 0.28$ (32) $+2.3 \pm 0.24$ (41)	t = 0.14; p = 0.89	$+1.9 \pm 0.51$ (5) -0.5 ± 0.65 (7)	t = 2.80; p = 0.019*	
Environment Aquatic	$+0.4 \pm 0.17$ (50)	t = 0.58; p = 0.56	$+2.3\pm0.28$ (33)	t = 0.12; p = 0.90	$+1.9 \pm 0.51$ (5)	t = 2.80; p = 0.019*	
Terrestrial	$+0.5 \pm 0.19$ (52)	P	$+2.3\pm0.24$ (40)	P	-0.5 ± 0.65 (7)	P	
Analysis Whole organism Muscle	$+0.3 \pm 0.14$ (84) +1.3 ± 0.30 (18)	t = 2.93; p = 0.004*	$+2.1 \pm 0.21$ (58) $+2.9 \pm 0.32$ (15)	t = 1.92; p = 0.090	-0.5 ± 0.65 (7) +1.9 ± 0.51 (5)	t = 2.80; p = 0.019*	
Lipid removal (mus Lipid removed No treatment	cle) +1.8 \pm 0.29 (5) +1.1 \pm 0.35 (13)	t = 1.17; p = 0.26	$+3.2 \pm 0.43$ (3) $+2.8 \pm 0.40$ (12)	t = 0.46; p = 065	$+1.9 \pm 0.51$ (5)	_	
Acidification (whole No treatment Acidified	$+0.5 \pm 0.17$ (62) -0.2 ± 0.21 (22)	t = 2.11; p = 0.038*	$+2.4 \pm 0.24$ (36) $+1.1 \pm 0.29$ (15)	t = 2.82; p = 0.007*	-0.8 ± 0.81 (5) +0.2 ± 1.25 (2)	t = 0.64; p = 0.55	

Fitting variability in the picture

Stable isotope ratios of consumers and baseline items, as well as trophic enrichment factors, are variable : natural variability + analytical error



Fitting variability in the picture

Stable isotope ratios of consumers and baseline items, as well as trophic enrichment factors, are variable : natural variability + analytical error





Received: 5 October 2017 Accepted: 13 March 2018 Methods Ecol Evol. 2018;9:1592-1599.

DOI: 10.1111/2041-210X.13009

APPLICATION

Methods in Ecology and Evolution Ecological Society

TROPHICPOSITION, an R package for the Bayesian estimation of trophic position from consumer stable isotope ratios

Claudio Quezada-Romegialli^{1,2} | Andrew L. Jackson³ | Brian Hayden^{4,5}

Kimmo K. Kahilainen^{5,6} | Christelle Lopes⁷ | Chris Harrod^{1,2,8}



Received: 5 October 2017 Accepted: 13 March 2018

Methods Ecol Evol. 2018:9:1592-1599.

DOI: 10.1111/2041-210X.13009

APPLICATION

Methods in Ecology and Evolution

TROPHICPOSITION, an R package for the Bayesian estimation of trophic position from consumer stable isotope ratios

Claudio Quezada-Romegialli^{1,2} | Andrew L. Jackson³ | Brian Hayden^{4,5} | Kimmo K. Kahilainen^{5,6} | Christelle Lopes⁷ | Chris Harrod^{1,2,8}

Input data: $\delta^{15}N_{Cons}$, $\delta^{15}N_{Base}$, $\Delta^{15}N$ (optional: $\delta^{13}C_{Cons}$, $\delta^{13}C_{Base}$, $\Delta^{13}C$)

- Takes into account variability of SI ratios and TEFs
- Can be used for one or two baselines
- If two baselines: use of a mixing model to estimate α (you need carbon data)
- Output: distribution of solutions (credibility intervals)
- Allows comparisons of distributions



Received: 5 October 2017 Accepted: 13 March 2018

Methods Ecol Evol. 2018:9:1592-1599.

DOI: 10.1111/2041-210X.13009

APPLICATION



TROPHICPOSITION, an R package for the Bayesian estimation of trophic position from consumer stable isotope ratios

Claudio Quezada-Romegialli^{1,2} | Andrew L. Jackson³ | Brian Hayden^{4,5}

Kimmo K. Kahilainen^{5,6} | Christelle Lopes⁷ | Chris Harrod^{1,2,8}

Practical course: get the files at doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3903263

- Input data: $\delta^{15}N_{Cons}$, $\delta^{15}N_{Base}$, $\Delta^{15}N$ (optional: $\delta^{13}C_{Cons}$, $\delta^{13}C_{Base}$, $\Delta^{13}C$)
- Takes into account variability of SI ratios and TEFs
- Can be used for one or two baselines
- If two baselines: use of a mixing model to estimate α (you need carbon data)
- Output: distribution of solutions (credibility intervals)
- Allows comparisons of distributions









Nitrogen isotopic fractionation is linked with protein metabolism, but not all amino acids are affected in the same way...

Nitrogen isotopic fractionation is linked with protein metabolism, but not all amino acids are affected in the same way...



Essential amino acids (e.g. phenylalanine) cannot be synthesized by animals

Nitrogen isotopic fractionation is linked with protein metabolism, but not all amino acids are affected in the same way...



Essential amino acids (e.g. phenylalanine) cannot be synthesized by animals



Non-essential amino acids (e.g. glutamic acid) can be synthesized by animals, and are involved in many metabolic reactions

Nitrogen isotopic fractionation is linked with protein metabolism, but not all amino acids are affected in the same way...

Trophic amino acids (x): undergo strong trophic fractionation. Their δ^{15} N increase with each trophic level.

Source amino acids (y): undergo little trophic fractionation. Their $\delta^{15}N$ reflect the one of the food web baseline.





LIMNOLOGY and OCEANOGRAPHY: METHODS

Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods 7, 2009, 740–750 © 2009, by the American Society of Limnology and Oceanography, Inc.

Determination of aquatic food-web structure based on compound-specific nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids

Net $\delta^{15}N$ difference between trophic and source amino acids can be used to calculate trophic position

Trophic amino acids (x): undergo strong trophic fractionation. Their $\delta^{15}N$ increase with each trophic level.

Source amino acids (y): undergo little trophic fractionation. Their $\delta^{15}N$ reflect the one of the food web baseline.





LIMNOLOGY and OCEANOGRAPHY: METHODS

Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods 7, 2009, 740–750 © 2009, by the American Society of Limnology and Oceanography, Inc.

Determination of aquatic food-web structure based on compound-specific nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids

$$TP = \frac{\delta^{15}N_x - \delta^{15}N_y - \beta_{x,y}}{\Delta_x - \Delta_y} + 1$$

With

 $\delta^{15}N_x = \delta^{15}N$ of trophic amino acid(s) $\delta^{15}N_y = \delta^{15}N$ of source amino acid(s) $\beta_{x,y} = Net \ \delta^{15}N$ difference between trophic and source amino acids in primary producers $\Delta_x = Trophic$ enrichment factor for trophic amino acid(s) $\Delta_y = Trophic$ enrichment factor for source amino acid(s)



LIMNOLOGY and OCEANOGRAPHY: METHODS

Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods 7, 2009, 740–750 © 2009, by the American Society of Limnology and Oceanography, Inc.

Determination of aquatic food-web structure based on compound-specific nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids

$$TP = \frac{\delta^{15}N_x - \delta^{15}N_y - \beta_{x,y}}{\Delta_x - \Delta_y} + 1$$

With

 $\delta^{15}N_{\chi} = \delta^{15}N$ of trophic amino acid(s) $\delta^{15}N_{\gamma} = \delta^{15}N$ of source amino acid(s) $\beta_{x,y} = Net \ \delta^{15}N$ difference between trophic and source amino acids in primary producers $\Delta_{x} = Trophic enrichment factor for trophic amino acid(s)$

 Δ_y = Trophic enrichment factor for source amino acid(s)

+ : No need to sample and analyse isotopic baseline, nor even to identify it. The isotopic composition of this baseline is inferred from consumer's tissues.

- : Sufficient knowledge of digestive metabolism in the studied species, and of associated trophic fractionation patterns, is required. Amino acid metabolism is complex, many open questions...



Determination of aquatic food-web structure based on compound-specific nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids

$$TP = \frac{\delta^{15}N_x - \delta^{15}N_y - \beta_{x,y}}{\Delta_x - \Delta_y} + 1$$



Oecologia (2017) 184:317–326 DOI 10.1007/s00442-017-3881-9

CONCEPTS, REVIEWS AND SYNTHESES

'Trophic' and 'source' amino acids in trophic estimation: a likely metabolic explanation

T. C. O'Connell¹

+ : No need to sample and analyse isotopic baseline, nor even to identify it. The isotopic composition of this baseline is inferred from consumer's tissues.

- : Sufficient knowledge of digestive metabolism in the studied species, and of associated trophic fractionation patterns, is required. Amino acid metabolism is complex, many open questions...



Determination of aquatic food-web structure based on compound-specific nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids





ORGANIZE!

Thanks for your attention

References & further reading

- CABANA, G. & RASMUSSEN, J.B. 1996. Comparison of aquatic food chains using nitrogen isotopes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **93**, 10844–10847, <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.20.10844</u>.
- CABANA, G. & RASMUSSEN, J.B. 1994. Modelling food chain structure and contaminant bioaccumulation using stable nitrogen isotopes. *Nature*, **372**, 255–257, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/372255a0</u>.
- Снікакаізні, Y., Ogawa, N.O., Kashiyama, Y., Takano, Y., Suga, H., Tomitani, A., Miyashita, H., Kitazato, H. & Ohkouchi, N. 2009. Determination of aquatic food-web structure based on compound-specific nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, **7**, 740–750, <u>https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2009.7.740</u>.

FRY, B. 2006. Stable Isotope Ecology. Springer, 308 pp, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-33745-8</u>.

GAEBLER, O.H., VITTI, T.G. & VUKMIROVICH, R. 1966. Isotope Effects in Metabolism of ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N From Unlabeled Dietary Proteins. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry*, **44**, 1249–1257, <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/o66-142</u>.

GARVEY, J.E. & WHILES, M.R. 2016. Trophic Ecology. CRC PRess, 379 pp, https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315367804.

- MCCUTCHAN, J.H.J., LEWIS JR, W.M., KENDALL, C. & MCGRATH, C.C. 2003. Variation in trophic shift for stable isotope ratios of carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur. *Oikos*, **102**, 378–390, <u>https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12098.x.</u>
- MINAGAWA, M. & WADA, E. 1984. Stepwise enrichment of 15N along food chains: Further evidence and the relation between δ15N and animal age. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **48**, 1135–1140, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-</u> 7037(84)90204-7.
- O'CONNELL, T.C. 2017. 'Trophic' and 'source' amino acids in trophic estimation: a likely metabolic explanation. *Oecologia*, **184**, 1–10, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-017-3881-9</u>.
- POST, D.M. 2002. Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions. *Ecology*, **83**, 703–718, https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2002)083[0703:USITET]2.0.CO;2.

References & further reading

SUMMERHAYES, V.S. & ELTON, C.S. 1923. Contributions to the ecology of Spitsbergen and Bear Island. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **11**, 216–233, <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2255863</u>.