

Title: Impact of age and napping on actimetry-derived sleep and 24-h rest-activity indices.

Authors: Mathilde Reyt^{1*}, Alizée Latteur^{1*}, Micheline Maire^{2,3,4}, Carolin Reichert^{2,3,*}, Christian Cajochen^{2,3}, Christina Schmidt^{1,5}, Vincenzo Muto^{1*}, Gregory Hammad^{1*}

Affiliations:

1. GIGA-CRC in Vivo Imaging, University of Liège, Belgium
2. Centre for Chronobiology, Psychiatric Hospital of the University of Basel, Switzerland
3. Transfaculty Research Platform Molecular and Cognitive Neurosciences, University of Basel (Basel, CH);
4. Institute of Primary Health Care (BIHAM), University of Bern (Bern, CH)
5. Psychology and Neurosciences of Cognition (PsyNCog), University of Liège, Belgium

Introduction: Sleep appears as a protective factor in models of cognitive and brain aging. However, temporal organisation of sleep and wakefulness over the 24-hour cycle still remains underestimated in these models. Chronic napping is frequent in the older population and might interfere with sleep-wake regulation. Here, we explored age-related changes in actimetry-derived indices of both sleep and sleep-wake fragmentation.

Methods: Actimetry data (Actiwatch plus device, Cambridge Neurotechnology) were collected for 7 days in 24 younger (20-32 years, 16 women) and 21 older participants (58-85 years, 8 women, 9 chronic nappers [naps > 20 min/day, > 3*week, since >1 year]). Periods of complete inactivity > 2 hours were excluded from analyses since the latter presumably reflect actigraph removal. Sleep-wake fragmentation was explored by estimating transition probability to rest during daytime (kAR), transition probability into activity during night-time (kRA), volume of sleep in the afternoon (fSOD), intra-daily variability (IV) and inter-daily stability (IS).

Results: Significant age-related changes were observed for indices measuring sleep-wake cycle fragmentation (IV, $t(43) = -3.79$, $p < 0.001$) and wake fragmentation (kAR, $t(43) = -3.05$, $p < 0.01$, fSOD, $t(43) = -3.60$, $p < 0.01$). The younger presented lower wake fragmentation compared to both older no-nappers (kAR, $t(34) = -3.41$, $p < 0.01$, fSOD, $t(34) = -2.74$, $p < 0.05$) and nappers (fSOD, $t(31) = -2.69$, $p < 0.05$). Furthermore, sleep-wake cycle fragmentation was lower in younger participants compared to older nappers only (IV, $t(31) = -5.10$, $p < 0.001$). Finally, compared to older no-nappers, older nappers presented higher sleep-wake cycle fragmentation (IV, $t(19) = -3.64$, $p < 0.01$) and lower inter-daily stability (IS, $t(19) = 2.24$, $p < 0.05$).

Conclusions: Overall, our data suggest that the impact of age is more evident in actimetry-derived indices taking into account wake fragmentation during daytime. Nappers presented higher sleep-wake cycle fragmentation compared to no-nappers, while sleep fragmentation did not significantly differ. Future analyses aim at taking into account individually-tailored rest-activity profiles to estimate sleep-wake cycle fragmentation. Finally, whether these indices explain significant part of variance in cognitive ageing remain to be assessed.

Disclosures of potential conflict of interest: the authors do not disclose a potential conflict of interest

Sources of funding: Swiss National Foundation (SNF), Belgian Fund for Scientific Research (FNRS), European Research Council (ERC-Starting Grant).