



## Investigating remote coaching modalities to ensure AAC intervention fidelity among parents and professionals: insights from a multi-centric study

Charles Fage<sup>1</sup>, Pascale Grevesse<sup>2</sup>, Marina Robert<sup>3</sup>, Stéphane Jullien<sup>4</sup>, Christelle Maillart<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Unité de Logopédie Clinique, Faculté de Psychologie, Logopédie et Sciences de l'Éducation, Université de Liège, Belgique ([charles.fage@uliege.be](mailto:charles.fage@uliege.be); [christelle.maillart@uliege.be](mailto:christelle.maillart@uliege.be))

<sup>2</sup> Logopède Indépendante, Obaix, Belgique ([pgrevesse@hotmail.com](mailto:pgrevesse@hotmail.com))

<sup>3</sup> Logopède, IME Les Hautes Rôches, Saint-Malo, France ([hauteroches.ortho.ted@pep35.org](mailto:hauteroches.ortho.ted@pep35.org))

<sup>4</sup> Centre de Logopédie, Neuchâtel, Suisse ([stephane.jullien@unine.ch](mailto:stephane.jullien@unine.ch))

### Context

- Parents and health professionals represent key communication partners (Kent-Wash, Murza, Malani & Binger, 2015)
- Training interventions focused on knowledge exhibit limited impact onto changing practices
- When **reflexive modalities** are implemented for educators, benefits of interventions are increased (Markussen et al., 2017)
- Associating modeling with performance feedback**, regardless of the duration of the intervention, produces satisfying results (Brock & Carter, 2017).

**Rely on the presence of the trainer** → narrow down spreading such evidence-based practice due to geographical and economic constraints. Hence, researchers are now **investigating the opportunities of new technologies** for training and coaching parents and health professionals (Wainer, Pickard & Ingersoll, 2017).

**Objective.** This work aims to investigate: 1) the feasibility of implementing and animating remote training/coaching AAC interventions based on an innovative AAC application; 2) the modalities allowing the greater benefits for both AAC users and their stakeholders.

### Method

We deployed a mobile application-based intervention named **Tiwouh**. Similar to the PECS protocol (Picture Exchange Communication System; King et al., 2014), this four-stepped intervention aims toward introducing an assistive application to allow children with CCN making basic requests in real-life situations. Each step involves a trainer and a communication partner, alternatively embodied by a parent and a health professional.

Participants were recruited on 3 different French-speaking locations (Belgium, France, Switzerland): parents and speech-therapists.

- Self-efficacy**  
(Sense of Competence Questionnaire, Jansen et al., 2007)
- Implementation fidelity  
(video-recording of sessions)
- Requesting skills of children

### Initial training

Presentation of the assistive tool  
Clinical vignette  
Modeling  
Content development

### Condition 1

Face-to-face supervision  
N=10

### Condition 2

Remote individual supervision  
N=15

Performance feedbacks during each session

Individual remote supervision  
(Email, Facebook, Skype)



### Early results

- A remote AAC intervention is successfully implemented through individually coaching both parents and health professionals (mostly speech therapists)
- Insights on barriers that have to be addressed especially for remotely coaching a group of users are gathered
- Self-efficacy does not seem to be related to fidelity for both parents and health professionals.

However, as interventions are still ongoing, data have to be gathered and computed to confirm our hypotheses.

### Conclusion - Take home message

This study will give insights on the feasibility of remote coaching in AAC interventions. The upcoming results will help highlight the needs and constraints of health professionals and families in terms of implementation of AAC intervention.

### References

Kaiser, A. P., & Roberts, M. Y. (2013). Parents as communication partners: An evidence-based strategy for improving parent support for language and communication in everyday settings. *Perspectives on Language Learning and Education*, 20(3), 96-111.

Kent-Walsh, J., Murza, K. A., Malani, M. D., & Binger, C. (2015). Effects of communication partner instruction on the communication of individuals using AAC: A meta-analysis. *Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, 31(4), 271-284.

King, M. L., Takeguchi, K., Barry, S. E., Rehfeldt, R. A., Boyer, V. E., & Mathews, T. L. (2014). Evaluation of the iPad in the acquisition of requesting skills for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 8(9), 1107-1120.

Markussen-Brown, J., Juhl, C. B., Plasta, S. B., Bleses, D., Højén, A., & Justice, L. M. (2017). The effects of language-and literacy-focused professional development on early educators and children: A best-evidence meta-analysis. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 38, 97-115.

Wainer, A. L., Pickard, K., & Ingersoll, B. R. (2017). Using web-based instruction, brief workshops, and remote consultation to teach community-based providers a parent-mediated intervention. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 26(6), 1592-1602.