ORIGINAL COMMUNICATION



Resistance to eye opening in patients with disorders of consciousness

Hjalmar Jochem van Ommen^{1,2} · Aurore Thibaut^{1,3} · Audrey Vanhaudenhuyse^{1,4} · Lizette Heine^{1,5} · Vanessa Charland-Verville¹ · Sarah Wannez¹ · Olivier Bodart¹ · Steven Laureys¹ · Olivia Gosseries¹

Received: 16 November 2017 / Revised: 11 March 2018 / Accepted: 26 March 2018 / Published online: 5 April 2018 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Introduction Resistance to eye opening (REO) is a commonly encountered phenomenon in clinical practice. We aim to investigate whether REO is a sign of consciousness or a reflex in severely brain-injured patients.

Methods We recorded REO in chronic patients with disorders of consciousness during a multimodal diagnostic assessment. REO evaluations were performed daily in each patient and clinical diagnosis of unresponsive wakefulness syndrome (UWS), minimally conscious state with (MCS+) or without (MCS-) preserved language processing was made using the Coma Recovery Scale-Revised (CRS-R).

Results Out of 150 consecutive patients, 79 patients fit inclusion criteria. REO was seen in 19 patients (24.1%). At the group level, there was a significant relationship between the presence of REO and the level of consciousness. We also observed a difference in the repeatability of REO between patients in UWS, MCS– and MCS+. Out of 23 patients in UWS, six showed REO, in whom five showed atypical brain patterns activation.

Conclusion Our findings suggest a voluntary basis for REO and stress the need for multiple serial assessments of REO in these patients, especially since most patients show fluctuating levels of consciousness.

Keywords Disorders of consciousness \cdot Resistance to eye opening \cdot Unresponsive wakefulness syndrome \cdot Minimally conscious state

Introduction

Resistance to eye opening (REO) concerns the strong closure of the already closed eyelids by a patient while trying to open the eyes for the ophthalmological examination. It was first described by Charles Miller Fisher [1] and is often

Olivia Gosseries ogosseries@uliege.be

- ¹ GIGA-Consciousness, Coma Science Group, and Neurology Department, University Hospital of Liege, University of Liege, Avenue de l'Hopital, 1, Liege, Belgium
- ² Department of Intensive Care, MC Slotervaart, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ³ Spaulding Neuromodulation Center, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- ⁴ GIGA-Consciousness, Department of Algology and Palliative Care, University Hospital of Liege, University of Liege, Liege, Belgium
- ⁵ Auditory Cognition and Psychoacoustics Team, Lyon Neuroscience Research Centre, Lyon, France

seen in conversion disorders such as psychiatric coma and non-epileptic seizures [2, 3]. Although it is usually considered a voluntary, albeit motiveless, response comparable to gegenhalten [4], it has also been considered to be a reflex in reports of anoxic and ischemic basal nuclei pathology [5, 6], brainstem lesions due to stroke or demyelination [7], and even paraneoplastic syndromes [8, 9]. Furthermore, reflex closure of one eye upon touch of the eyelid has also been described in preterm born children [10]. The hyperexcitable orbicularis oculi (or glabellar tap) reflex and the blink reflex in untreated Parkinson's disease [11] have historically been considered identical to the resistance to eye opening that Miller Fisher described [12]. Thus, there might be two different presentations of REO, namely voluntary and reflexive.

At present, empirical data regarding REO in chronic disorders of consciousness (DOC) such as the unresponsive wakefulness syndrome (UWS, also called vegetative state [13]) and the minimally conscious state (MCS [14]) have not been studied. UWS patients only show reflexive behaviors whereas patients in MCS show fluctuating but reproducible signs of consciousness such as visual pursuit and responses to command. Patients who show behaviors such as visual pursuit or automatic motor reactions, are considered MCS– while patients who show signs of preserved language processing are considered MCS+ [15]. Misdiagnosis among these disorders is frequent and can lead to serious medical and ethical issues [16].

The aim of the present study is to investigate if REO is present in patients in chronic UWS, MCS- and MCS+ and if so, whether it can help to distinguish between these states. Our test hypothesis is that it should be possible to distinguish between reflex and voluntary: in the case of a volitional response, REO should be seen repeatedly during multiple assessments in patients with higher levels of consciousness.

Methods

During a period of 28 months, we selected all patients over 16 years of age in UWS, MCS– and MCS+ who were admitted to our hospital for a multimodal diagnostic workup (including positron emission tomography and magnetic resonance imaging scanning). Patients were excluded when in the acute phase (i.e., within 30 days of brain injury), diagnosed other than DOC, were sedated, medically unstable, or could not be assessed more than once to assess repeatability. The diagnosis was based on repeated assessments with the Coma Recovery Scale-Revised (CRS-R) [17], in which patients are tested extensively on different clinical subscales of consciousness, namely auditory, visual, motor and oromotor/verbal functions, communication and arousal.

REO was defined as a forceful closure of one or both eyes upon manual opening of the upper eyelid on either side by the examiner [1]. Assessments of CRS-R and the presence of REO were performed daily by experienced neuropsychologists. The repeatability of REO was defined as the number of CRS-R assessments in which REO was observed divided by the total number of CRS-R assessments. Differences in the presence and repeatability of REO between the UWS, MCS- and MCS+ patient groups were examined using Chi square, Kruskal–Wallis *H* and Mann–Whitney *U* tests. Twotailed *p* values of <0.05 were considered significant. For statistical analysis, IBM SPSS version 19.0 was used (IBM Belgium).

Most patients underwent a positron emission tomography that was performed and analysed as in our previous studies [18, 19]. Briefly, patients were scanned after the injection of fluorodeoxyglucose and brain areas showing significantly preserved or decreased metabolism were detected in each patient compared to a group of healthy controls. Typically, patients in MCS show preserved metabolic activity in the associative fronto-parietal network, while patients in UWS show no preserved metabolism in this network [19]. A few patients also underwent a magnetic resonance imaging during which they were instructed to perform mental imagery tasks, done as previously published [20]. Clinical reports of these neuroimaging findings were reviewed and compared to the REO data. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical School of the University of Liège and informed consents were obtained from the patient's legal surrogates.

Results

Of a total of 150 screened patients, 79 patients (36 females, median age 37, interquartile range (IQR) 28–52 years) fit the inclusion criteria. The median interval between brain injury and assessment was 15 months (IQR 1–41 months). Etiologies were traumatic brain injury (TBI) (n=42, 53%), postanoxic encephalopathy due to cardiac arrest (n=25, 32%), ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke (n=6, 8%), subarachnoid hemorrhage (n=5, 6%), encephalitis (n=2, 3%), and hypoglycemia (n=1, 1%). Two patients had suffered from cardiac arrest after traumatic brain injury. Of these 79 patients, 23 were diagnosed in UWS (29%), 15 in MCS– (19%) and 41 in MCS+ (52%).

REO was observed in 19 out of 79 patients (24.1%) during one or more behavioral assessments: six out of 23 patients in UWS (26%), eight out of 15 patients in MCS– (53%) and five out of 41 patients in MCS+ (12%) (Table 1). The Chisquare test of independence showed a significant relationship between the presence of REO and the level of consciousness ($\chi^2 = 10.25$, df = 2, p = 0.006). There was no difference in the presence of REO between patients with and without TBI ($\chi^2 = 1.23$, df = 1, p = 0.27).

A Kruskal–Wallis *H* test showed that there was a statistically significant difference in the repeatability of REO between patients in UWS, MCS– and MCS+ ($\chi^2 = 6.01$, df = 2, p = 0.049, with a mean rank repeatability of 9.8% in UWS patients, 7.1% in MCS– patients and 14.9% in MCS+ patients). Performing Mann–Whitney *U* post hoc tests revealed that there was a significant difference between patients in MCS– and MCS+ (Z = -2.21, p = 0.027), while repeatability of REO between UWS and MCS– and between UWS and MCS+ did not differ (Z = -1.10, p = 0.27, and Z = -1.74, p = 0.082, respectively, see Fig. 1).

Among the six patients in UWS with REO, five showed neuroimaging results that were more compatible with the diagnosis of MCS (Fig. 2). Using positron emission tomography, four patients presented relatively preserved metabolism in the fronto-parietal network (Fig. 2a) (as usually observed in patients in MCS) and one patient was able to respond to command using a motor imagery task (i.e., imagine playing tennis) when assessed with functional magnetic resonance imaging, which indicates that this patient presented a cognitive-motor dissociation (Fig. 2b) [19–21].

Table 1Characteristics of thepatients displaying resistance toeye opening

ID	Gender	Age at injury	CRS-R diagnosis	Etiology	Time since injury	Repeatability
1	Male	24	UWS	TBI	2 years 4 months	1/12
2	Male	28	UWS	TBI	3 years 0 months	4/9
3	Female	36	UWS	Anoxia	5 years 8 months	2/12
4	Female	49	UWS	Anoxia	38 days	1/4
5	Male	40	UWS	Anoxia	7 years 11 months	2/13
6	Male	53	UWS	Meningitis	2 months	2/6
7	Female	28	MCS-	TBI	1 years 6 months	1/8
8	Male	73	MCS-	TBI	1 months	1/5
9	Male	34	MCS-	TBI	8 years 10 months	1/6
10	Male	13	MCS-	TBI	8 years 2 months	1/5
11	Female	26	MCS-	ADEM	2 years 1 months	1/10
12	Female	47	MCS-	Anoxia	1 years 3 months	3/14
13	Female	59	MCS-	Anoxia	2 months	1/7
14	Male	47	MCS-	Anoxia	4 years	1/7
15	Male	32	MCS+	TBI	2 years 10 months	4/9
16	Female	22	MCS+	TBI	1 years 10 months	1/7
17	Female	43	MCS+	SAH	3 months	6/8
18	Female	64	MCS+	SAH	3 years 9 months	6/9
19	Female	47	MCS+	SAH	6 months	7/11

Repeatability is defined by REO observed/total number of assessments

CRS-R Coma Recovery Scale—revised, *UWS* unresponsive wakefulness syndrome, *MCS* – minimally conscious state minus, *MCS* + minimally conscious state plus, *TBI* traumatic brain injury, *ADEM* acute demyelinating encephalomyelitis, *SAH* (aneurysmal) subarachnoid hemorrhage



Fig. 1 Repeatability of resistance to eye opening between the three different states of consciousness. Values are expressed as medians and interquartile range (IQR). UWS unresponsive wakefulness syndrome, MCS- minimally conscious state minus, MCS+ minimally conscious state plus

Only one of these patients recovered from UWS on re-examination 6 months later (two passed away and three remained clinically in UWS). Of the patients in UWS without REO, 5 out of 17 also showed atypical brain pattern that was more compatible with the diagnosis of MCS, which is in line with previous studies [19]. This atypical brain metabolism was thus seen more often in UWS patients with REO (83%) than without REO (29%) ($\chi 2 = 5.25$, df = 1, p = 0.02).

Discussion

In this study, REO was observed in about one out of four patients with disorders of consciousness, which is quite frequent considering how scarce the literature is on this subject. It is, therefore, important to know how to interpret this finding in this challenging population. In their landmark book on disorders of consciousness, Plum and Posner make a distinction between voluntary and reflex REO, as they state that in the assessment of comatose patients "strong resistance to eyelid opening and then rapid closure, is usually voluntary, suggesting that the patient is not truly comatose. However, lethargic patients with either metabolic or structural lesions may resist eye opening, as do some patients with a non-dominant parietal lobe infarct" [22]. This difficulty is confirmed by our results in patients in DOC, where the clinical finding of REO at the individual level cannot reliably distinguish between voluntary or reflex behavior (and thus conscious versus unconscious patients), since 6 out of 23 UWS patients presented REO. CRS-R assessments provided a clear diagnosis of UWS in all six patients, but,



Fig. 2 Neuroimaging results in UWS patients with resistance to eye opening. The results of the six patients in UWS with REO are displayed (a PET standardized uptake values—SUV, b fMRI motor

imagery task) and compared to PET-SUV typically observed in patients with UWS and MCS. *UWS* unresponsive wakefulness syndrome, *MCS* minimally conscious state

interestingly, neuroimaging results showed atypical brain activation in 5 of these patients (as well as 5 out of 17 UWS patients without REO). For such UWS patients who show a dissociation between behavior and brain results, the diagnosis of MCS* has been suggested [18, 23], and for patients who show specific brain activation during mental imagery tasks, the diagnosis of cognitive-motor dissociation has been proposed [21]. Regarding REO outcome, only one of these patients in UWS recovered signs of consciousness 6 months post-evaluation, which indicates that there is no prognostic value of REO in chronic patients in UWS in the present dataset.

At the group level, we, however, observed a significant relationship between the presence of REO and the level of consciousness. REO repeatability was also the highest in patients in MCS+, suggesting a link between the number of times REO is seen and the level of consciousness. This is comparable to the way the CRS-R is used, where consistent or reproducible findings of even one specific behavior are enough to indicate a certain level of consciousness. Our findings, therefore, suggest a voluntary basis for REO when it is seen multiple times in a single patient, and stress the need to assess REO repeatedly in DOC patients, especially since most patients with chronic DOC have fluctuating levels of consciousness.

Voluntary forceful eye closure is mediated by sensory input through spino-thalamical and thalamo-cortical connectivity with the somatosensory cortex and motor control from precentral gyrus and corticobulbar tract. Functional magnetic resonance imaging studies have shown that during voluntary blinking, increased activity is especially seen in the medial parts of precentral gyrus, superior frontal gyrus and occipital cortex; the latter probably due to visual activations [24]. Further studies should, therefore, look into the correlation between the localization of brain lesions and the presence and repeatability of REO. Although this study used a large population of DOC, results should be read with caution due to the heterogeneity in etiologies, time since onset and brain damage.

Acknowledgements The study was supported by the University and University Hospital of Liège, the Belgian National Funds for Scientific Research (FRS-FNRS), Human Brain Project (EU-H2020-fetflagship-hbp-sga1-ga720270), Luminous project (EU-H2020-fetopenga686764), the French Speaking Community Concerted Research Action (ARC-06/11-340), the James McDonnell Foundation, Mind Science Foundation, IAP research network P7/06 of the Belgian Government (Belgian Science Policy), the European Commission, the Public Utility Foundation 'Université Européenne du Travail', "Fondazione Europea di Ricerca Biomedica", and the BIAL Foundation. OB is a research fellow, AT, VCV and OG are post-doctoral fellows, and SL is research director at FRS-FNRS. The authors thank Prof. Pierre Maquet from the Neurology department, University Hospital of Liège, as well as the whole Neurology staff, patients and their families.

Author contributions The authors have contributed to conception and design of the study (HJvO, SL, OG), acquisition and analysis of data (HJvO, AT, AV, LH, VCV, SW, OB, OG), writing of the manuscript (HJvO, OG), creation of the figures (HJvO, OG) and critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content (AT, AV, LH, VCV, SW, OB, SL).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical standards The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical School of the University of Liège and written consents were obtained from the legal representative of each patient.

References

- Miller Fisher C (1963) Reflex blepharospasm. Neurology 13:77. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.13.1.77
- DeToledo JC, Ramsay RE (1996) Patterns of involvement of facial muscles during epileptic and nonepileptic events: review of 654 events. Neurology 47:621–625. https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.47.3.621
- Young JL, Rund D (2010) Psychiatric considerations in patients with decreased levels of consciousness. Emerg Med Clin North Am 28:595–609. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emc.2010.03.010
- Hurwitz TA (2011) Psychogenic unresponsiveness. Neurol Clin 29:995–1006. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2011.07.006
- Larumbe R, Vaamonde J, Artieda J, Zubieta JL, Obeso JA (1993) Reflex blepharospasm associated with bilateral basal ganglia lesion. Mov Disord 8:198–200. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.87008 0215
- Grandas F, López-Manzanares L, Traba A (2004) Transient blepharospasm secondary to unilateral striatal infarction. Mov Disord 19:1100–1102. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20109
- Jankovic J, Patel SC (1983) Blepharospasm associated with brainstem lesions. Neurology 33:1237. https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.33.9.1237
- Iizuka T, Sakai F, Ide T, Monzen T, Yoshii S, Iigaya M, Suzuki K, Lynch DR, Suzuki N, Hata T, Dalmau J (2008) Anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis in Japan: long-term outcome without tumor removal. Neurology 70:504–511. https://doi.org/10.1212/01. wnl.0000278388.90370.c3
- Choe CH, Gausas RE (2012) Blepharospasm and apraxia of eyelid opening associated with anti-Hu paraneoplastic antibodies: a case report. Ophthalmology 119:865–868. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ophtha.2011.10.008
- Minkowski M (1921) Sur les mouvements, les reflexes, et les reactions musculaires du foetus humain de 2 a 5 mois et leurs relations avec le systeme nerveux foetal. Rev Neurol (Paris) 37:1105

- Deuschl G, Goddemeier C (1998) Spontaneous and reflex activity of facial muscles in dystonia, Parkinson's disease, and in normal subjects. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 64:320–324. https://doi. org/10.1136/jnnp.64.3.320
- Pearce J, Aziz H, Gallagher JC (1968) Primitive reflex activity in primary and symptomatic Parkinsonism. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 31:501–508
- Laureys S, Celesia GG, Cohadon F, Lavrijsen J, León-Carrión J, Sannita WG, Sazbon L, Schmutzhard E, von Wild KR, Zeman A, Dolce G (2010) Unresponsive wakefulness syndrome: a new name for the vegetative state or apallic syndrome. BMC Med 8:68. https ://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-8-68
- Giacino JT, Ashwal S, Childs N, Cranford R, Jennett B, Katz DI, Kelly JP, Rosenberg JH, Whyte J, Zafonte RD, Zasler ND (2002) The minimally conscious state: definition and diagnostic criteria. Neurology 58:349–353
- Bruno M-A, Vanhaudenhuyse A, Thibaut A, Moonen G, Laureys S (2011) From unresponsive wakefulness to minimally conscious PLUS and functional locked-in syndromes: recent advances in our understanding of disorders of consciousness. J Neurol 258:1373– 1384. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-011-6114-x
- Gosseries O, Di H, Laureys S, Boly M (2014) Measuring consciousness in severely damaged brains. Annu Rev Neurosci 37:457–478. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-06201 2-170339
- Seel RT, Sherer M, Whyte J, Katz DI, Giacino JT, Rosenbaum AM, Hammond FM, Kalmar K, Pape TL-B, Zafonte R, Biester RC, Kaelin D, Kean J, Zasler N (2010) Assessment scales for disorders of consciousness: evidence-based recommendations for clinical practice and research. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 91:1795– 1813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2010.07.218
- Bodart O, Gosseries O, Wannez S, Thibaut A, Annen J, Boly M, Rosanova M, Casali AG, Casarotto S, Tononi G, Massimini M, Laureys S (2017) Measures of metabolism and complexity in the brain of patients with disorders of consciousness. NeuroImage Clin 14:354–362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.02.002
- Stender J, Gosseries O, Bruno M-A, Charland-Verville V, Vanhaudenhuyse A, Demertzi A, Chatelle C, Thonnard M, Thibaut A, Heine L, Soddu A, Boly M, Schnakers C, Gjedde A, Laureys S (2014) Diagnostic precision of PET imaging and functional MRI in disorders of consciousness: a clinical validation study. Lancet (Lond, Engl) 384:514–522. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140 -6736(14)60042-8
- Monti MM, Vanhaudenhuyse A, Coleman MR, Boly M, Pickard JD, Tshibanda L, Owen AM, Laureys S (2010) Willful modulation of brain activity in disorders of consciousness. N Engl J Med 362:579–589. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0905370
- Schiff ND (2015) Cognitive motor dissociation following severe brain injuries. JAMA Neurol 72:1413–1415. https://doi. org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.2899
- 22. Posner JB, Saper CB, Schiff ND, Plum F (2007) Plum and Posner's diagnosis of stupor and coma, 4th edn. Oxford University Press, New York
- Gosseries O, Zasler ND, Laureys S (2014) Recent advances in disorders of consciousness: focus on the diagnosis. Brain Inj 28:1141–1150. https://doi.org/10.3109/02699052.2014.920522
- Kato M, Miyauchi S (2003) Functional MRI of brain activation evoked by intentional eye blinking. Neuroimage 18:749–759. https ://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00005-3