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## General aims:

- To shed light on the encoding of motion events in Ancient Greek and Ancient Egyptian by putting forward a corpusbased, diachronic study of motion verbs
- To provide a plausible route of the semantic change that motion verbs undergo
- To address the cognitive underpinnings in and cultural differences between the two languages, as reflected in the language of motion


## We focus on:

- The ancient Greek motion verb $\boldsymbol{\beta a i v \omega}$ (baínō 'go')
- Arguing that:
- Lexical polysemy in general inheres in particular contextual features
- Long standing tradition in cognitive linguistics
"From words as building blocks to usage events, in all their contextual detail" (Cuyckens et al. 2003: 21).
- Focusing exclusively on de-contextualized semantic nodes or niches is inadequate


## We focus on:

- The ancient Greek motion verb $\boldsymbol{\beta a i v \omega}$ (baínō 'go')
- Arguing that:
- Lexical polysemy in general inheres in particular contextual features
- Long standing tradition in cognitive linguistics
"From words as building blocks to usage events, in all their contextual detail" (Cuyckens et al. 2003: 21).
- Focusing exclusively on de-contextualized semantic nodes or niches is inadequate
- Enriched lexical constructions containing morphosyntactic, lexical, semantic, and discoursal information are necessary


## We focus on:

- The ancient Greek motion verb $\boldsymbol{\beta a i v \omega}$ (baínō 'go')
- Showing that its various meanings correlate with:
a) the type of syntactic complement they appear with
b) morphological features
c) the verb-complement word order
d) the type of sentence they are found in
e) the lexical fillers of the complements
- Corpus-based or -driven approaches that seek for objective measures to distinguish between senses
- Atkins (1987): "ID tags": "syntactic or lexical markers in the citations which point to a particular dictionary sense of the word".
- Fillmore \& Atkins (1992): analysis of the polysemy of risk relies on the distinction of the different types of complements in corpus-derived examples (cf. Hanks 1996; Croft 2009)
- Gries (2006) and Berez \& Gries (2009): behavioral profiles of the verbs run and get respectively
- Newman \& Rice (2006): semantic properties of the verbs eat and drink may inhere not only in the type of complement but also in individual inflections of the verb
- Corpus-based or -driven approaches that seek for objective measures to distinguish between senses
- In semantic change:
- Jansegers and Gries (2017): dynamic behavior profile of the Spanish sentir (cf. Ioannou 2017)
- Hilpert (2016): highlights the relationship between the collocates of may and its different senses
- Shift in collocational preferences correlates with semantic change and the different meanings of the modal


## Why Construction Grammar for AG motion verbs?

- Motion events in AG have been investigated from either a semantic or syntactic perspective (see Skopeteas 2002, Skopeteas 2008a, 2008b, Nikitina 2013, Nikitina \& Maslov 2013, Georgakopoulos forthcoming[2018])
- By adopting a constructional perspective, we expect to arrive at holistic descriptions of the verbs we analyze since particular senses arise in particular morpho-syntactic, lexical and/or discoursal configurations
(see Georgakopoulos et al. submitted)
- Problems:
- Diverging treatment in dictionaries
- Diverging treatment in the literature (e.g., Spano 2015)
- Different rendering of the relevant passages in the translations of these texts
- Napoli (2006: 165): telic verb inherently denoting "a goal-directed movement"
- "This basic semantics interacts with perfective and imperfective morphology respectively in order "to represent the movement by including its final goal" or "to represent the movement as not having attained its final goal, or indicate that such a goaldirected movement is habitual or iterative"
- Problems:
- Diverging treatment in dictionaries
- Diverging treatment in the literature (e.g., Spano 2015)
- Different rendering of the relevant passages in the translations of these texts
- Napoli (2006: 165): telic verb inherently denoting "a goal-directed movement"
- "This basic semantics interacts with perfective and imperfective morphology respectively in order "to represent the movement by including its final goal" or "to represent the movement as not having attained its final goal, or indicate that such a goaldirected movement is habitual or iterative"

But: In no way accounts for the full range of actual uses in AG

'And being come to the house of cloud-gatherer Zeus, they sat them down within the polished colonnades'(Homer, Illiad 20.10-11)

'who was first to leap into the wall of the Achaeans'(Homer, Iliad 12.438)

- Homeric and Classical Greek: mostly use Satellite-framed patterns (see Skopeteas 2002; Imbert 2010; Nikitina 2013; cf. Verkerk 2014)


## Method and coding

Table 1. The corpus constructed for the current study

| Diachronic <br> Stage | Date | Author | Work | Text types | Words |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Homeric | $8^{\text {th }}$ B.C. | Homer | Iliad, Odyssey | Epic poetry | $\approx 200 \mathrm{~T}$ |
| Classical | $5^{\text {th }}$ B.C. | Euripides | Andromache, Phoenissae, <br> Iphigenia in Aulis, Hecuba, <br> Iphigenia in Tauris, <br> Hippolytus, Medea, Heracles, <br> Electra, Bacchae, Orestes | Tragedy | $>96 \mathrm{~T}$ |
|  | $5^{\text {th }}$ B.C. | Plato | Euthydemus, Protagoras, <br> Gorgias, Meno, Euthyphro, <br> Apology, Crito, Phaedo | Philosophy | $>108 \mathrm{~T}$ |
|  |  |  | ( |  |  |

Source: Perseus digital library (http:/ /www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper)

- Retrieve and clean up:
- $N=339$ in Homer
- $N=195$ in Classical Greek
- Coding of data (cf. Gries 2006: 73-75, for the English run).
- Separate pivot tables for each language with MS Excel 2016
- Display data in a multidimensional chart and extract sionificant patterns
- Which senses are attracted to which morpho-syntactic, and lexical and semantic features.
- We have adopted a corpus-based approach: our analysis sought to confirm certain observations made from the perusal of the data.

Method and coding
Table 2. The morpho-syntactic, lexical, and semantic features coded

| Animacy of subject | Animate <br> (Human vs. Non human) | Inanimate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transitivity | Transitive | Intransitive |  |  |  |
| Syntactic complement | Prepositional Phrase | Noun in Accusative | Infinitive | Participle | Zero |
| Semantic specification of the complement | Source | Path | Goal | Other |  |
| Sentence type | Main <br> (Declar., Inter., Imper.) | Subordinate |  |  |  |
| Inflection of Verb | Tense | Mood |  |  |  |
| Number/ Person | 1sg, 2sg, 3sg | 1pl, 2 pl, 3pl | $3 d u$ |  |  |
| Word order | Verb-Complement | ComplementVerb | Complement- <br> Verb- <br> Complement |  |  |
| Type of text | Epic poetry | Philosophy | Tragedy |  |  |

- Retrieve and clean up:
- $N=339$ in Homer
- $N=195$ in Classical Greek
- Coding of data (cf. Gries 2006: 73-75, for the English run).
- Separate pivot tables for each language with MS Excel 2016
- Display data in a multidimensional chart and extract significant patterns
- Which senses are attracted to which morpho-syntactic, and lexical and semantic features.
- We have adopted a corpus-based approach: our analysis sought to confirm certain observations made from the perusal of the data.

Table 3. The meanings and their contextual cues of baínō in Ancient Greek

|  | Meaning | Constructional Cues | $N$ of the pattern | Total $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer | GO | 1. Human, intransitive, Goal element (PP, noun in accusative, adverb or suffix) | 114 | 163 |
|  |  | a. Human, intransitive, Goal element ( PP , noun in accusative, adverb or suffix), aorist | 88 |  |
|  |  | 2. Human, intransitive, PP, Path | 22 |  |
|  | SET OUT | 1. Human, intransitive, infinitive, declarative, aorist, Indicative | 76 | 80 |
|  |  | a. Human, intransitive, infinitive, declarative, aorist, indicative, $3^{\text {rd }}$ person ( 3 SG or 3 PL ), Verb-Complement | 72 |  |
|  | MOUNT | Human, intransitive, Goal PP (lexically specified, in particular the nouns naûs 'ship', díphros 'stool', pûrgos 'tower, castle', ókhea 'chariot', and hárma 'chariot') | 26 | 38 |
|  | LEAVE | 1. Human, intransitive, Source element (PP or adverb or suffix) | 19 | 37 |
|  |  | 2. Human, intransitive, zero complement, declarative, aorist, indicative | 13 |  |
|  | MAKE GO | Human, transitive | 6 | 6 |
| Euripides | GO | 1. Human, intransitive, Goal element (PP, Noun in accusative, adverb or suffix) | 35 | 62 |
|  | DIE | Human, intransitive, zero complement, past tense (aorist, perfect, pluperfect) | 5 | 6 |
|  | LEAVE | 1. Human, intransitive, Source PP | 3 | 10 |
|  |  | 2. Human, intransitive, Zero complement | 5 |  |
|  | COME | 1. Human, intransitive, PP/ adverb or suffix, Source | 6 | 38 |
|  |  | 2. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Human, intransitive, PP/ adverb or suffix/ NP, } \\ & \text { Goal/ Goal animate }\end{aligned}$ | 13 |  |
|  |  | 3. Past tense (Aorist, Perfect) | 21 |  |
| Plato | OVERSTEP | Human, intransitive, PP, Source non location (lexically specified, in particular nouns relating to justice, e.g., dike $\bar{e}$, or legislation, e.g., nomothesia) | 3 | 5 |
|  | STAND | 1. PP, other non location, perfect, CV | 3 | 6 |
|  |  | 2. Inanimate, perfect | 4 |  |

## Homer

## The 'go' construction

(1)

| énth' | ébē | eis | eunè̀n | pollà | phresì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| there | go:AOR.3SG | to | bed:ACC.SG.F | many:NOM.PL.N | heart:DAT.SG.FEM |

mermērizōn.
be_anxious:PTCP.NOM.SG.M
'thither went to his bed, pondering many things in mind' (Homer, Odyssey 1.427)

Contextual cues:

- Human subject
- Goal or path prepositional complement

Principal meaning:
Directed, self-propelled motion
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Principal meaning:
Directed, self-propelled motion

- (Core Frame Element)


## Homer

## The 'go' construction

(1) énth'

| ébē | eis | eunè̀n | pollà | phresì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| go:AOR.3SG | to | bed:ACC.SG.F | many:NOM.PL.N | heart:DAT.SG.FEM |

mermērizōn.
be_anxious:PTCP.NOM.SG.M
'thither went to his bed, pondering many things in mind' (Homer, Odyssey 1.427)

Contextual cues:

- Human subject
- Goal or path prepositional complement (Core Frame Element)

Principal meaning:
Directed, self-propelled motion

- Perfective aspect


## Lexical meaning in constructional niches

Table 3. The meanings and their contextual cues of baínō in Ancient Greek

|  | Meaning | Constructional Cues | $N$ of the nattern | Total $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer | GO | 1. Human, intransitive, Goal element (PP, noun in accusative, adverb or suffix) | 114 | 163 |
|  |  | a. Human, intransitive, Goal element (PP, noun in accusative, adverb or suffix), aorist | 88 |  |
|  |  | 2. Human. intransitive. PP. Path | 22 |  |
|  | SET OUT | 1. Human, intransitive, infinitive, declarative, aorist, Indicative | 76 | 80 |
|  |  | a. Human, intransitive, infinitive, declarative, aorist, indicative, $3^{\text {rd }}$ person ( 3 SG or 3 PL ), Verb-Complement | 72 |  |
|  | MOUNT | Human, intransitive, Goal PP (lexically specified, in particular the nouns naûs 'ship', díphros 'stool', pûrgos 'tower, castle', ókhea 'chariot', and hárma 'chariot') | 26 | 38 |
|  | LEAVE | 1. Human, intransitive, Source element (PP or adverb or suffix) | 19 | 37 |
|  |  | 2. Human, intransitive, zero complement, declarative, aorist, indicative | 13 |  |
|  | MAKE GO | Human, transitive | 6 | 6 |
| Euripides | GO | 1. Human, intransitive, Goal element (PP, Noun in accusative, adverb or suffix) | 35 | 62 |
|  | DIE | Human, intransitive, zero complement, past tense (aorist, perfect, pluperfect) | 5 | 6 |
|  | LEAVE | 1. Human, intransitive, Source PP | 3 | 10 |
|  |  | 2. Human, intransitive, Zero complement | 5 |  |
|  | COME | 1. Human, intransitive, PP/ adverb or suffix, Source | 6 | 38 |
|  |  | 2. Human, intransitive, $\mathrm{PP} /$ adverb or suffix/ NP, Goal/ Goal animate | 13 |  |
|  |  | 3. Past tense (Aorist, Perfect) | 21 |  |
| Plato | OVERSTEP | Human, intransitive, PP, Source non location (lexically specified, in particular nouns relating to justice, e.g., dik $\bar{e}$, or legislation, e.g., nomothesia) | 3 | 5 |
|  | STAND | 1. PP, other non location, perfect, CV | 3 | 6 |
|  |  | 2. Inanimate, perfect | 4 |  |

## Homer

## The 'leave' construction



## Contextual cues:

- Human subject
- Source complement


## Homer

## The 'leave' construction

(3)

hốs pháto, bêe dè suphorbós, epeì<br>PTC say:IMPRF.3SG go:AOR.3SG PTC swineherd:NOM.SG.M when<br>tòn mûthon ákouse.<br>DEF.ACC.SG.M saying:ACC.SG.M hear:3SG.AOR<br>'So he spoke, and the swineherd went when he had heard this saying.'<br>(Homer, Odyssey 17.574)

Contextual cues:

- Human subject
- Zero complement


## Lexical meaning in constructional niches

Table 3. The meanings and their contextual cues of baínō in Ancient Greek

|  | Meaning | Constructional Cues | N of the |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total $\boldsymbol{N}$.

## Homer

## The 'mount/climb' construction

(4)
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll|ll|}\hline \text {.., toì } & \text { mèn } & \text { pálin } & \text { aûtis } & \text { ébainon } & \text { nēòs } & \text { épi } \\ \text { DEM.DAT.M } & \text { PTC } & \text { back } & \text { again } & \text { go:IMPRF.3PL } \\ \text { ship:GEN.SG.F } & \text { on }\end{array}\right]$
'they went back again on board their hollow ship and the gods themselves hid me' (Homer, Odyssey 14.356-57)

- In the context of lexically specified complements:
- naûs 'ship'
- díphros 'stool'
- pûrgos 'tower, castle'
- ókhea 'chariot'
- hárma 'chariot'


## Lexical meaning in constructional niches

Table 3. The meanings and their contextual cues of baínō in Ancient Greek

|  | Meaning | Constructional Cues | N of the |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total $\boldsymbol{N}$.

## Homer

The inchoative 'start/ set out' construction
(5) bê d' iénai pròs dôma, philon
go:3SG.AOR PTC go:INF towards house:ACC.SG.N beloved:NOM.SG.M
tetièménos êtor,
sorrowing:PTCP.PRF.M/P.NOM.SG.M heart:NOM.SG.N
'but went his way to the house, his heart heavy within him.' (Homer, Odyssey 2.297)

## Contextual cues:

a) (Perfective) aorist
b) Mostly $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular
c) Unaugmented
d) Followed by the present infinitive of another (motion) verb, primarily iénai 'go'
e) Fixed order (bainō + infinitive)
f) When the infinitive starts with a vowel, the construction includes a euphonic particle ( $d^{\prime}$ or $r^{\prime}$ )
g) Sentence-initial position
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## Lexical meaning in constructional niches

Table 3. The meanings and their contextual cues of baínō in Ancient Greek

|  | Meaning | Constructional Cues | N of the |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total $\boldsymbol{N}$.

## Homer

## The 'make go' construction

| tè̀n | dè néon | klisiéthen éban | kérukes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEM.ACC.SG.F | PTC | young:ACC.SG.F | tent:ABL | go:3PL.AOR | heralds:NOM.PL.M

- Marginal pattern (exclusively in Iliad)
- Transitive verb with an accusative-marked NP as the object.
- The causal component in the meaning can be attributed to the transitive construction (cf. Goldberg 1995: 118)
- Additional contextual cues:
- the semantics of the accusative object
- neighboring spatial modifiers


## Homer

## The 'make go' construction

| $t e ̀ ̀ n$ | dè | néon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEM.ACC.SG.F | PTC | young:ACC.SG.F |


| klisiéthen éban | kérukes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tent:ABL | go:3PL.AOR | heralds:NOM.PL.M |

ágontes koúrēn Brisêos
lead:PTCP:PRS.NOM.PL.M daughter:ACC.SG.F Briseus:GEN
'but the heralds have just taken from my tent the daughter of Briseus'
(Homer, Iliad 1.391)

- Marginal pattern (exclusively in Iliad)
- Transitive verb with an accusative-marked NP as the object.
- The causal component in the meaning can be attributed to the transitive construction (cf. Goldberg 1995: 118)
- Additional contextual cues:
- the semantics of the accusative object
- neighboring spatial modifiers


## Homer

## The 'make go' construction

| tè̀n | dè | néon | klisiēthen éban | kérukes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEM.ACC.SG.F | PTC | young:ACC.SG.F | tent:ABL | go:3PL.AOR | heralds:NOM.PL.M |

- Marginal pattern (exclusively in Iliad)
- Transitive verb with an accusative-marked NP as the object.
- The causal component in the meaning can be attributed to the transitive construction (cf. Goldberg 1995: 118)
- Additional contextual cues:
- the semantics of the accusative object
- neighboring spatial modifiers


## Lexical meaning in constructional niches

Table 3. The meanings and their contextual cues of baínō in Ancient Greek

|  | Meaning | Constructional Cues | N of the |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total $\boldsymbol{N}$

## Euripides

## The 'go' and 'come' constructions

| pôs | pronópios | phaínēi | pròs | oíkois |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ADV | before.the door:NOM.SG.M | appear:2SG.M/P | PROX | house: DAT.PL.M |
| tô̂s | emô̂s, | éksō | bebốs; |  |
| ART.DAT.PL.M | POSS.1PL.DAT | out | go:PTCP.PRF.NOM.SG.M |  |
| 'How do you appear in front of my house? How did you manage to go out?' |  |  |  |  |
| ( Euripides, Bacchae 645-646) |  |  |  |  |

- Baínō acquires truly deictic uses that cover both 'go' and 'come' meanings


## Euripides

## The 'go' and 'come' constructions

| Antigónē | tâide | tâide | bâthí | moi, | tâide |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Antigone:NOM | DEM.ACC.PL.N | DEM.ACC.PL.N | go:2SG.IMP.AOR | 1SG.DAT | DEM.ACC.PL.N |


| tâide | póda | titheís, | hốst' | óneiron | iskhún. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEM.ACC.PL.N | foot:ACC.SG.M | place:2SG | as | dream:ACC.SG.N | strength:ACC.DG.F |

'This way, this way, come to me Antigone, place your steps here, like a dream in your

``` strength.' (Euripides, Phoenissae 1720-1722)
- Baínō acquires truly deictic uses that cover both 'go' and 'come' meanings

\section*{Euripides}

\section*{The 'go' and 'come' constructions}

- Distinguishing 'come' and 'go':
- The semantics of the complement:
Éksō forces a 'go' interpretation

\section*{Euripides}

\section*{The 'go' and 'come' constructions}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Antigónē & tâide & tâide & bâthí & moi, & tâide \\
Antigone:NOM & DEM.ACC.PL.N & DEM.ACC.PL.N & go:2SG.IMP.AOR & 1SG.DAT & DEM.ACC.PL.N
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
tâide & póda & titheís, & hốst' & óneiron & iskhún. \\
DEM.ACC.PL.N & foot:ACC.SG.M & place:2SG & as & dream:ACC.SG.N & strength:ACC.DG.F
\end{tabular}
'This way, this way, come to me Antigone, place your steps here, like a dream in your strength.' (Euripides, Phoenissae 1720-1722)
- Distinguishing 'come' and 'go':
- The explicit mention of the speaker
- Perfective aspect
- Appears mostly in chorus passages ( \(N=23\) (out of 38))

\section*{Euripides}

\section*{The 'go' and 'come' constructions}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Antigónē \\
Antigone:NOM
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
tâide \\
DEM.ACC.PL.N
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
tâide \\
DEM.ACC.PL.N
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
bâthí \\
go:2SG.IMP.AOR
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{align*}
& \text { moi, }  \tag{8}\\
& \text { 1SG.DAT }
\end{align*}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
tâide \\
DEM.ACC.PL.N
\end{tabular} \\
\hline tâide & póda & titheís, hốst & ' óneiron & iskh & \\
\hline DEM.ACC.PL.N & foot:ACC.SG.M & place:2sG as & dream:ACC.SG & stren & ACC.DG.F \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'This way, this way, come to me Antigone, place your steps here, like a dream in your strength.' (Euripides, Phoenissae 1720-1722)
- Distinguishing 'come' and 'go':
- The explicit mention of the speaker
- Perfective aspect
- Appears mostly in chorus passages ( \(\mathrm{N}=23\) (out of 38))

\section*{Euripides}

\section*{The 'go' and 'come' constructions}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Antigónē & tâide & tâide & bâthí & moi, & tâide \\
Antigone:NOM & DEM.ACC.PL.N & DEM.ACC.PL.N & go:2SG.IMP.AOR & 1SG.DAT & DEM.ACC.PL.N
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
tâide & póda & titheís, & hốst' & óneiron & iskhún. \\
DEM.ACC.PL.N & foot:ACC.SG.M & place:2SG & as & dream:ACC.SG.N & strength:ACC.DG.F
\end{tabular}
'This way, this way, come to me Antigone, place your steps here, like a dream in your
``` strength.' (Euripides, Phoenissae 1720-1722)
- Distinguishing 'come' and 'go':
- The explicit mention of the speaker
- Perfective aspect
- Appears mostly in chorus passages ( \(N=23\) (out of 38 ))

\section*{Lexical meaning in constructional niches}

Table 3. The meanings and their contextual cues of baínō in Ancient Greek
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Meaning & Constructional Cues & \(N\) of the nattern & Total \(N\) \\
\hline \multirow{9}{*}{Homer} & \multirow{3}{*}{GO} & 1. Human, intransitive, Goal element (PP, noun in accusative, adverb or suffix) & 114 & \multirow{3}{*}{163} \\
\hline & & a. Human, intransitive, Goal element ( PP , noun in accusative, adverb or suffix), aorist & 88 & \\
\hline & & 2. Human. intransitive. PP. Path & 22 & \\
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{SET OUT} & 1. Human, intransitive, infinitive, declarative, aorist, Indicative & 76 & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{80} \\
\hline & & a. Human, intransitive, infinitive, declarative, aorist, indicative, \(3^{\text {rd }}\) person ( 3 SG or 3 PL ), Verb-Complement & 72 & \\
\hline & MOUNT & Human, intransitive, Goal PP (lexically specified, in particular the nouns naûs 'ship', díphros 'stool', pûrgos 'tower, castle', ókhea 'chariot', and hárma 'chariot') & 26 & 38 \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{LEAVE} & 1. Human, intransitive, Source element (PP or adverb or suffix) & 19 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{37} \\
\hline & & 2. Human, intransitive, zero complement, declarative, aorist, indicative & 13 & \\
\hline & MAKE GO & Human, transitive & 6 & 6 \\
\hline \multirow{7}{*}{Euripides} & GO & 1. Human, intransitive, Goal element (PP, Noun in accusative, adverb or suffix) & 35 & 62 \\
\hline & DIE & Human, intransitive, zero complement, past tense (aorist, perfect, pluperfect) & 5 & 6 \\
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{LEAVE} & 1. Human, intransitive, Source PP & 3 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10} \\
\hline & & 2 Human intransitivo Zorn comnlam & 5 & \\
\hline & \multirow{3}{*}{COME} & 1. Human, intransitive, PP/ adverb or suffix, Source & 6 & \multirow{3}{*}{38} \\
\hline & & 2. Human, intransitive, \(\mathrm{PP} /\) adverb or suffix/ NP, Goal/ Goal animate & 13 & \\
\hline & & 3. Past tense (Aorist. Perfect) & 21 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Plato} & OVERSTEP & Human, intransitive, PP, Source non location (lexically specified, in particular nouns relating to justice, e.g., dike, or legislation, e.g., nomothesia) & 3 & 5 \\
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{STAND} & 1. PP, other non location, perfect, CV & 3 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6} \\
\hline & & 2. Inanimate, perfect & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{The 'overstep' construction}
(9)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
doûnai & tà & paradeígmata & toîsi & dikastaîs \\
give:INF.AOR & ART.ACC.PL.N & examples:ACC.PL.N & ART.DAT.PL.M & judge:DAT.PL.M
\end{tabular}

\section*{Metaphorical meaning:}
- With prepositional complements headed by ektós and éksō ‘outside of'
- The complement filler is further specified to belong to a semantically definable class, that of nouns relating to legislation and justice

\section*{The 'overstep' construction}
(9)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
doûnai & tà & paradeígmata & tô̂si & dikastâ̂s \\
give:INF.AOR & ART.ACC.PL.N & examples:ACC.PL.N & ART.DAT.PL.M & judge:DAT.PL.M
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll|l|} 
tô̂u & mépote & baínein éksō tês & dikês \\
ART.GEN.SG & ever & go:INF out ART.GEN.SG.F & trial:GEN.SG.F \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'and gave the judges examples, so as to prevent their ever overstepping the bounds of justice' (Plato, Lawus 9.876e)

\section*{Metaphorical meaning:}
- With prepositional complements headed by ektós and éksō 'outside of'
- The complement filler is further specified to belong to a semantically definable class, that of nouns relating to legislation and justice

\section*{Lexical meaning in constructional niches}

Table 3. The meanings and their contextual cues of baínō in Ancient Greek
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{6}{*}{} & Meaning & Constructional Cues & N of the \\
pattern
\end{tabular} Total \(\boldsymbol{N}\)
- The generality of meaning and the multiplicity of possible interpretations in the context of ancient languages, where native intuition is not relevant, highlights the need for descriptive adequacy.
- Tagging:
- Has included all major categories relevant to verbs.
- Can provide reliable indications for a particular meaning.
- The variability of these features and their convergence in particular senses point to the appropriateness of a constructional framework for these data.
- Upgraded role of lexical constructions representing the individual senses of the verb
(cf. Croft 2003; Nemoto 2005; Boas 2005, 2008)
- When in transitive contexts:
- Not enough to say that the interpretation comes from the interaction of the transitive construction with verbal semantics
- The meaning depends on specific lexical complements and contextual cues
- All this information needs to be incorporated in enriched lexical entries ("mini" constructions; Boas 2013:191)
> Some of its intransitive uses correlate with:
- Particular inflectional forms (cf. Newman \& Rice's inflectional island)
- Particular types of complements that need to be syntactically and lexically specified.
- Along with Boas \((2005,2013)\), we do not dispute the existence of general, schematic constructions such as the intransitive motion, the ditransitive, or the resultative.
- But we entertain the possibility that lexical constructions may exist redundantly and independently of the "over-generating" of schematic patterns.
- As per Croft (2012: 382), "if linguists make different abstractions from verb + argument structure construction combinations in verb-specific constructions, speakers might do so as well".
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