Bayesian optimisation

Gilles Louppe
ATLAS ML workshop

March 30, 2016

Problem statement

$$x^* = \arg\max_x f(x)$$

Constraints:

- f is a black box for which no closed form is known;
 - **gradients** $\frac{df}{dx}$ are not available.
- f is expensive to evaluate;
- (optional) uncertainty on observations y_i of f
 - e.g., $y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i$ because of Poisson fluctuations.

Goal: find x^* , while minimizing the number of evaluations f(x).

Disclaimer

If you do not have these constraints, there is certainly a better optimisation algorithm than Bayesian optimisation.

(e.g., L-BFGS-B, Powell's method (as in Minuit), etc)

Bayesian optimisation

for t = 1 : T,


- 1. Given observations (x_i, y_i) for i = 1 : t, build a probabilistic model for the objective f.
 - Integrate out all possible true functions, using Gaussian process regression.
- 2. Optimise a cheap utility function *u* based on the posterior distribution for sampling the next point.

$$x_{t+1} = \arg\max_{x} u(x)$$


Exploit uncertainty to balance exploration against exploitation.

3. Sample the next observation y_{t+1} at x_{t+1} .

Where shall we sample next?



Build a probabilistic model for the objective function



This gives a posterior distribution over functions that could have generated the observed data.

Acquisition functions

Acquisition functions u(x) specify which sample x should be tried next:


- Upper confidence bound UCB(x) = $\mu_{GP}(x) + \kappa \sigma_{GP}(x)$;
- Probability of improvement $PI(x) = P(f(x) \ge f(x_t^+) + \kappa)$;
- Expected improvement $EI(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(x) f(x_t^+)];$
- ... and many others.

where x_t^+ is the best point observed so far.

In most cases, acquisition functions provide knobs (e.g., κ) for controlling the exploration-exploitation trade-off.


- Search in regions where $\mu_{GP}(x)$ is high (exploitation)
- Probe regions where uncertainty $\sigma_{GP}(x)$ is high (exploration)

Plugging everything together (t = 0)




$$x_{t+1} = \arg\max_{x} \mathsf{UCB}(x)$$


... and repeat until convergence (t = 1)




... and repeat until convergence (t = 2)




... and repeat until convergence (t = 3)



... and repeat until convergence (t = 4)



... and repeat until convergence (t = 5)



Limitations

- · Bayesian optimisation has parameters itself!
 - Choice of the acquisition function
 - Choice of the kernel (i.e. design of the prior)
 - Parameter wrapping
 - Initialization scheme
- Gaussian processes usually do not scale well to many observations and to high-dimensional data.
 - Sequential model-based optimization provides a direct and effective alternative (i.e., replace GPs by a tree-based model).

Applications

- Bayesian optimization has been used in many scientific fields, including robotics, machine learning or life sciences.
- Use cases for high energy physics?
 - Optimisation of simulation parameters in event generators;
 - Optimisation of compiler flags to maximize execution speed;
 - Optimisation of hyper-parameters in machine learning for HEP;
 - ... let's discuss further ideas?

Software

- Python
 - Spearmint https://github.com/JasperSnoek/spearmint
 - GPyOpt https://github.com/SheffieldML/GPyOpt
 - RoBO https://github.com/automl/RoBO
 - scikit-optimize https://github.com/MechCoder/scikit-optimize (work in progress)
- C++
 - MOE https://github.com/yelp/MOE

Check also this Github repo for a vanilla implementation reproducing these slides.

Summary

- Bayesian optimisation provides a principled approach for optimising an expensive function f;
- Often very effective, provided it is itself properly configured;
- Hot topic in machine learning research. Expect quick improvements!

References

- Brochu, E., Cora, V. M., and De Freitas, N. (2010). A tutorial on bayesian optimization of expensive cost functions, with application to active user modeling and hierarchical reinforcement learning. *arXiv* preprint *arXiv*:1012.2599.
- Shahriari, B., Swersky, K., Wang, Z., Adams, R. P., and de Freitas, N. (2016). Taking the human out of the loop: A review of bayesian optimization. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 104(1):148–175.