

## INVERSE ANALYSIS IN GEOTECHNICS: SOIL PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION BY GENETIC ALGORITHM

\*S. Levasseur<sup>1</sup>, Y. Malécot<sup>2</sup>, M. Boulon<sup>2</sup> and E. Flavigny<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université de Liège (ULg)  
ArGenCo - chemin des chevreuils, 1  
4000 Liège 1 Belgium  
E-mail: severine.levasseur@ulg.ac.be

<sup>2</sup> Université Joseph Fourier, Laboratoire  
“Sols, Solides, Structures - Risques”,  
UJF/INPG/CNRS UMR 5521, BP 53,  
38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France  
E-mail: yann.malecot@hmg.inpg.fr

**Key Words:** *Parameter identification; Inverse analysis; Error function; Genetic algorithms; Principal component analysis; Finite element method; Excavation; Pressuremeter test.*

### ABSTRACT

Most standard geotechnical in situ tests do not allow the direct identification of the constitutive parameters of the soil layers. The use of the finite element method for the design of geotechnical structures is consequently strongly limited by the rough knowledge of the mechanical properties of soil. This fact leads to set the problematic of inverse analysis in geotechnical engineering: What information concerning the constitutive parameters of the soil is it possible to get from in situ measurement?

This study is dedicated to the identification of parameters of soil constitutive models by inverse analysis. In order to have a suitable identification method able to adapt itself to different kinds of geotechnical structures, we have chosen to use a direct approach to solve the inverse problem [2, 3, 5, 11]. Trial values of the unknown parameters are used as input values in the finite element code PLAXIS to simulate the associated direct problem until the discrepancy between measurements and numerical results is minimized. The problem is then reduced to a parameter optimization and there are no restrictions concerning the soil model or the geometry than for a direct numerical simulation of a geotechnical engineering problem.

Main methods used in literature to solve optimization problems are based on gradient methods [1, 4]. These methods assume the uniqueness of the solution for inverse problems. However, geotechnical studies are often perturbed by modelling errors or in situ measurement. Then, it can not exist one exact solution for an inverse problem but rather than an infinity of approached solutions. The goal of this study is to develop a method of inverse analysis, which permits to identify all these approached solutions. These developments are based on some excavation problems and pressuremeter tests.

To identify the soil parameters from geotechnical studies, the inverse analysis is based on a genetic algorithm optimization process (GA). This method is well known to be robust and efficient to solve complex problems. For geotechnical studies, the authors show that contrary to optimization processes based on gradient methods, genetic algorithm permits to find the best solutions of the problem even with a flat or noisy

error function [7, 9]. Moreover, the study of the genetic algorithm optimization process provides more information than gradient methods on parameter sensitivity and on the existence of mathematical relations between parameters [10]. Furthermore, to describe the set of solutions identified from a genetic algorithm optimization, they introduce a statistical analysis based on Principle Component Analysis (PCA) [6, 8]. This analysis provides a set of solutions for the inverse problem. A principal component analysis on these solutions permits to defined an envelop in which all the parameter values satisfy the inverse problem.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Calvello M., Finno R. “Selecting parameters to optimize in model calibration by inverse analysis”. *Computers and Geotechnics*, vol.31, pp. 411-425, (2004).
- [2] Gens A, Ledesma A, Alonso EE. “Estimation of parameters in geotechnical backanalysis. II – Application to a tunnel excavation problem”, *Computer and Geotechnics*, Vol. 18(1), pp.29-46, (1996).
- [3] Gioda G., Maier G. “Direct search solution of an inverse problem in elastoplasticity: Identification of cohesion, friction angle and in situ stress by pressure tunnel tests”. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 15, pp. 1823-1848, (1980).
- [4] Lecampion B., Constantinescu A., Nguyen Minh D. “Parameter identification for lined tunnels in viscoplastic medium”. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, vol. 26, pp. 1191-1211, (2002).
- [5] Ledesma A., Gens A, Alonso EE. “Estimation of parameters in geotechnical backanalysis. I – Maximum likelihood approach”, *Computer and Geotechnics* Vol. 18(1), pp.1-27, (1996).
- [6] Levasseur S.. *Analyse inverse en géotechnique : développement d’une méthode à base d’algorithmes génétiques*, PhD thesis, Université Joseph Fourier, (2007).
- [7] Levasseur S., Malécot Y., Boulon M., Flavigny E. “Soil parameter identification using a genetic algorithm”. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, in press, (2007).
- [8] Levasseur S., Malécot Y., Boulon M., Flavigny E. “Soil parameter identification from in situ measurement using a genetic algorithm and a principle component analysis”, *Tenth International Symposium on Numerical Models in Gemechanics – NUMOG X*, Rhodes, April 2007, pp. 665-670, (2007).
- [9] Malécot Y, Levasseur S, Boulon M, Flavigny E. “Inverse analysis on in situ geotechnical measurement using a genetic algorithm”. *Ninth International Symposium on Numerical Models in Gemechanics – NUMOG IX*, Ottawa, September 2004, pp. 223-228, (2004).
- [10] Rechea C., Levasseur S., Finno R.J. “Inverse analysis techniques for parameter identification in simulation of excavation support systems”. *Computers and Geotechnics*, in press, (2007).
- [11] Tarantola A. *Inverse Problem Theory: methods for data fitting and model parameter estimation*. Elsevier Science B.V. 1987.